Introduction of complementary foods in Sweden and impact of maternal education on feeding practices
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Abstract

Objective: To describe the introduction of complementary foods in a population-based cohort in relation to recommendations and explore the possible impact of maternal education on infant feeding practices.

Design: Prospective data from the All Babies in Southeast Sweden (ABIS) cohort study were used. The ABIS study invited all infants born in south-east Sweden during October 1997–October 1999 (n 21 700) to participate. A questionnaire was completed for 16 022 infants. During the infants’ first year parents continuously filed in a diary covering introduction of foods.

Setting: Sweden.

Subjects: Infants (n 9727) with completed food diaries.

Results: Potatoes, vegetables, fruits/berries and porridge were the foods first introduced, with a median introduction between 19 and 22 weeks, followed by introduction of meat, cow’s milk, follow-on formula and sour milk/yoghurt between 24 and 27 weeks. Early introduction of any food, before 16 weeks, occurred for 27 % of the infants and was more common in infants of mothers with low education. Overall, potatoes (14.7 %), vegetables (11.1 %), fruits/berries (8.5 %), porridge (7.4 %) and follow-on formula (2.7 %) were the foods most frequently introduced early. The majority of infants (27 %) were introduced to potatoes, vegetables, fruits/berries and porridge during concurrent breast-feeding, but introduction during concurrent breast-feeding was less common in infants of mothers with low education.

Conclusions: Most infants were introduced to complementary foods timely in relation to recommendations. Low maternal education was associated with earlier introduction of complementary foods and less introduction during concurrent breast-feeding. Still, the results indicated exposure to fewer foods at 12 months in infants of mothers with low education.
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Since 2001, the WHO has recommended exclusive breast-feeding for the first 6 months of life11. Breast milk is the ideal food for optimal growth, development and health of the infant12. By 6 months of age the nutritional requirements of the infant, particularly the requirement for iron13, call for addition of other foods and complementary feeding should be initiated. The WHO defines complementary feeding as the process of introducing other foods and liquids to the infant, when the nutritional requirements of the infant are no longer met solely by breast milk14. Thus, foods given in addition to breast milk are called complementary foods. Timely introduction of complementary foods may be essential not only for covering the nutritional needs of the infant, but also for food acceptance later in childhood15. Additionally, although there are discrepancies in the literature, the general timing of introduction of complementary foods may affect risk of obesity6, while the timing of introduction of specific foods has been suggested to affect the risk of type 1 diabetes6,7 and food allergy6,8. Furthermore, introduction of gluten during concurrent breast-feeding has been suggested to offer protection against coeliac disease6. However, a recent position paper from the European Society for Pediatric Gastroenterology, Hepatology and Nutrition stated...
that although breast-feeding has other health effects, introduction of gluten during concurrent breast-feeding, as compared with introduction to gluten after weaning, has not been shown to be associated with a reduced risk of coeliac disease\textsuperscript{10}. Yet, it could be hypothesized that concurrent breast-feeding during introduction of specific foods or allergens could offer protective effect against development of immunological diseases.

In 1997 a large prospective observational cohort study of all children born in the south-east of Sweden, the All Babies in Southeast Sweden (ABIS) study\textsuperscript{11}, was initiated. At that time, the Swedish National Institute of Public Health recommended that complementary foods were introduced at 4 to 6 months of age, starting with potatoes, vegetables and fruits and then continuing with meat, fish and eggs\textsuperscript{12}. The rationale for this order was more based on cultural tradition than on scientific evidence. Additionally, parents were recommended to wait with introduction of gluten until the infant was 6 months old\textsuperscript{12}. A new pamphlet with updated recommendations on infant feeding was published first in 1998\textsuperscript{13}, and then in 1999\textsuperscript{14} when the Swedish National Food Agency took over the responsibility for these recommendations. Essentially the only recommendation that was changed in these updated recommendations was that gluten should be introduced slowly from 4 months of age and preferably during concurrent breast-feeding. The new recommendation regarding timing of gluten introduction was based on research showing that the incidence of coeliac disease had increased dramatically in Sweden during the 1980s\textsuperscript{15}, when recommendations during the preceding decades successively had been postponed from 1 month in the 1960s to 6 months in 1982\textsuperscript{10}. Current guidelines\textsuperscript{17}, published in 2012, recommend exclusive breast-feeding for 6 months with introduction of tiny tastes of any food (including gluten-containing foods) from 4 months of age as long as these tastes do not compete with breast-feeding.

Earlier we investigated breast-feeding duration, introduction of cow’s milk and gluten in the ABIS cohort using retrospectively collected data\textsuperscript{18}, but more detailed information about the timing of introduction of complementary foods in population-based samples of Swedish infants is lacking. Previously, we also showed that parental education, especially maternal education, was inversely related to intake frequency of sweets and pastries at 1 year of age as well as early introduction to sugar-sweetened drinks\textsuperscript{19} and that low maternal education predicted shorter duration of exclusive breast-feeding\textsuperscript{20}, which calls for further investigation of how introduction of complementary feeding in the ABIS cohort is affected by maternal education. Other studies have also shown different socio-economic characteristics to influence infant feeding practices in terms of both the timing of first introduction to complementary foods\textsuperscript{21–24} as well as the timing of introduction of some specific foods\textsuperscript{25,26}, but without covering all food groups.

Therefore, the aim of the present paper was to thoroughly describe the introduction of complementary feeding using prospectively collected data in a large population-based Swedish cohort with regard to timing of introduction of different foods and food groups, and to describe whether public health recommendations were followed. Additionally we wanted to explore the possible impact of maternal education on infant feeding practices.

### Methods

#### Study population

The present paper is based on the ABIS cohort. The region of south-east Sweden included the counties of Östergötland, Småland, Blekinge and Öland. Parents of all the 21 700 babies born in this region during 1 October 1997 to 1 October 1999 were invited to participate. The parents were informed about the study during pregnancy and were recruited at the maternity ward\textsuperscript{11}. A total of 16 022 mothers completed a baseline study questionnaire after delivery, before leaving the maternity ward, or at home. If the study questionnaire was filled in at home it was returned to a doctor or a nurse at the regular infant health check-up within a week from birth. Since then the cohort has been followed through several re-examinations at different ages of the child\textsuperscript{27}. In the present study our sample is based on 9727 children whose parents, in addition to the baseline questionnaire, also completed a food diary (described below) during their first year of life. Some information was also used from a follow-up questionnaire completed at 1 year of age for 8792 of these children participating in the food diary.

#### Introduction to complementary foods

During the infants’ first year, the parents were instructed to fill in a detailed food diary to record the duration of breast-feeding and the timing of introduction of complementary feeding. The food diary contained a list of twenty-five specified foods and food groups including breast milk, infant formula, different types of follow-on formula or gruel (with gluten; without gluten; soya; wholegrain; corn), different types of porridge (with gluten; oatmeal; rice; semolina), cheese, cow’s milk, sour milk and yoghurt, bread with gluten, pasta, potatoes, rice, fruits and berries, sugar-containing foods (fruit drinks and soda; sugar and sweets), vegetables, meat, fish and eggs. Follow-on formula in Sweden is made of milk and cereals and is typically bought as an industrially produced powder and mixed with water. The parents were instructed to register both the date of the first introduction and, if applicable, also the date of termination. For ten of the specified foods additional questions were asked on the regularity of consumption after introduction of the food. These ten foods were breast milk, infant formula, follow-on formula containing soya, follow-on formula containing gluten, porridge containing gluten and cow’s
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milk, bread containing gluten, pasta containing gluten, fish and eggs. Data on the regularity of consumption were not, however, used in the present study.

The questionnaire at the 1-year follow-up included some questions about breast-feeding and feeding practices during the infants’ first year, thus retrospectively overlapping the questions of the food diary. Where data on termination of breast-feeding was missing in the food diary, data from the 1-year follow-up questionnaire were used.

**Parental socio-economic and lifestyle variables**

Descriptive information about the parents was assessed through the baseline questionnaire. Parental age (continuous) at birth of the child was calculated from date of birth for both parents. Maternal and paternal education was divided into three categories: low education (compulsory school or less); intermediate education (practical upper secondary school, theoretical upper secondary school and folk high school); and high education (university 1–3 years and university degree). Information on maternal smoking during pregnancy was dichotomous (yes/no). Proportions of mothers and fathers, respectively, born in Sweden were reported, as well as the proportion of children not having any siblings. Marital status at time of birth was reported by three categories: single mother; co-habiting; and married.

**Statistical analyses**

Since the aim of the present study was to investigate the timing of introduction of complementary foods during the first year, only introductions during the first 365 d were considered in the analyses. Timing of introduction of complementary foods is mainly presented in weeks, but in figures timing is shown in months. Each month was considered to be four weeks. Introduction of complementary foods and weaning often take place concurrently over several weeks (24). Thus, introduction of complementary foods during concurrent breast-feeding was defined with a 30 d overlap of breast-feeding, i.e. introduction was initiated at least 30 d before termination of breast-feeding.

Differences in continuous variables between two groups were examined by the independent-samples t test. Differences in categorical variables were examined by the χ² test. Since timing of introduction was not normally distributed, the time of introduction is presented as median, 25th and 75th percentile. Furthermore, the association between timing of introduction of foods and maternal education (three groups) was examined by the non-parametric Kruskal–Wallis test. When the overall Kruskal–Wallis was significant, post hoc tests were performed.

All statistical analyses were performed in the statistical software package IBM SPSS Statistics Version 20.0. All tests were two-sided. To account for multiple testing, a P value of <0.001 was considered significant.

**Results**

Detailed food diaries were available for 9727 children. Table 1 shows the representativeness of the food diary participants compared with the whole original cohort (n 16 022). Although differences between participants and non-participants mostly were small, the parents who chose to fill in the food diary were older and more often born in Sweden and the mothers had higher education and were less likely to smoke during pregnancy. Furthermore, higher proportions of the children participating in the food diary had married parents and were more often the first child.

Table 2 shows the timing of introduction of infant formula and complementary foods during the first year. Infant formula was introduced to half of the children during the first year and the median age for introduction was 7 weeks. Potatoes, vegetables, fruits and berries and porridge were the first foods to be introduced, with a median introduction between weeks 19 and 22. Thereafter meat, cow’s milk, follow-on formula, sour milk and yoghurt were introduced between weeks 24 and 27, and followed by introduction of bread, fish, rice and pasta between weeks 28 and 34. The last foods to be introduced were cheese, sugar-containing foods and eggs, with a median introduction between weeks 35 and 39. The proportion of children being introduced to the foods during concurrent breast-feeding varied and decreased with increasing age at introduction. Early introduction, i.e. any complementary food before 16 weeks (4 months) of age, occurred for 27 % of the infants (data not shown). Overall, potatoes (14·7 %), vegetables (11·1 %), fruits/berries (8·5 %), porridge (7·4 %) and follow-on formula (2·7 %) were the foods most frequently introduced early. Follow-on formula was introduced to 3·7 % of the infants before 16 weeks (4 months) of age. Figure 1 illustrates the cumulative proportion of infants being introduced to different foods and food groups during the first 12 months. Most foods showed a steep increase in introduction between month 4 and month 7. However, for some foods, such as fish, cheese, sugar-containing foods and eggs, the pattern was different, with a slower rate of introduction. More than 90 % of the children were introduced to potatoes, vegetables, fruits and berries, porridge, meat, milk products, bread and pasta during their first 12 months.

Table 3 shows the timing of introduction of complementary foods and food groups by maternal education. Several significant differences in timing were observed between different levels of maternal education. Generally, lower education was associated with earlier introduction and this pattern was evident for fruits and berries, cow’s milk, follow-on formula, fish, rice, pasta, cheese and sugar-containing foods. The proportion of infants introduced to complementary foods early, i.e. before 16 weeks (4 months), differed significantly by maternal education (P<0·001; data not shown). About 41 % of infants of...
mothers with low education were introduced early, while 29 and 21% of infants of mothers with intermediate and high education, respectively, were introduced early.

For all foods, except fish, the proportion of children introduced during the first year differed across categories of maternal education ($P<0.001$; Fig. 2). Generally, the proportion of children introduced to the respective foods at age 1 year was higher in children of mothers with higher education. For infant formula and sugar-containing foods the relationship was reversed, however, so that increasing maternal education was associated with fewer children introduced. The proportion of children introduced to complementary foods during concurrent breast-feeding also differed across categories of maternal education for all foods ($P<0.001$) except for sugar-containing foods. Higher maternal education was associated with an increased

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Initial cohort (% 16 022)</th>
<th>Food diary participants (% 9 727)</th>
<th>Food diary dropouts (% 6 295)</th>
<th>$P$ value* for difference between sub-samples</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Maternal age (years)</td>
<td>Mean 29.6  SD 4.6</td>
<td>Mean 29.7  SD 4.5</td>
<td>Mean 29.3  SD 4.8</td>
<td>$&lt;0.001$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paternal age (years)</td>
<td>Mean 32.0  SD 5.4</td>
<td>Mean 32.2  SD 5.3</td>
<td>Mean 31.8  SD 5.7</td>
<td>$&lt;0.001$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maternal education</td>
<td>Low 37.1  5 943</td>
<td>6.8  SD 660</td>
<td>11.3  SD 713</td>
<td>$&lt;0.001$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Intermediate 30.8  4939</td>
<td>5.9  SD 5764</td>
<td>59.5  SD 3745</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>High 31.6  5 063</td>
<td>3.5  SD 3262</td>
<td>28.6  SD 1801</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paternal education</td>
<td>Low 33.8  5 411</td>
<td>12.6  SD 1225</td>
<td>14.5  SD 912</td>
<td>$&lt;0.01$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Intermediate 40.2  6 439</td>
<td>61.5  SD 5982</td>
<td>59.2  SD 3729</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>High 24.1  3 859</td>
<td>24.3  SD 2362</td>
<td>23.8  SD 1497</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maternal smoking during pregnancy</td>
<td>Low 11.1  1 776</td>
<td>8.8  SD 858</td>
<td>14.6  SD 918</td>
<td>$&lt;0.001$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Intermediate 30.8  4939</td>
<td>5.9  SD 5764</td>
<td>59.5  SD 3745</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>High 31.6  5 063</td>
<td>3.5  SD 3262</td>
<td>28.6  SD 1801</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maternal smoking during pregnancy</td>
<td>Low 33.8  5 411</td>
<td>12.6  SD 1225</td>
<td>14.5  SD 912</td>
<td>$&lt;0.01$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Intermediate 40.2  6 439</td>
<td>61.5  SD 5982</td>
<td>59.2  SD 3729</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>High 24.1  3 859</td>
<td>24.3  SD 2362</td>
<td>23.8  SD 1497</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maternal smoking during pregnancy</td>
<td>Low 11.1  1 776</td>
<td>8.8  SD 858</td>
<td>14.6  SD 918</td>
<td>$&lt;0.001$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Intermediate 30.8  4939</td>
<td>5.9  SD 5764</td>
<td>59.5  SD 3745</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>High 31.6  5 063</td>
<td>3.5  SD 3262</td>
<td>28.6  SD 1801</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Independent-samples t test for continuous variables; otherwise $\chi^2$ test.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Food or food group</th>
<th>Median time of introduction (weeks)$^*$</th>
<th>25th-75th percentile</th>
<th>Introduced before 16 weeks (4 months)</th>
<th>Introduced during first year and during breast-feeding†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Infant formula</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>0:9–16:9</td>
<td>37.7  SD 3665</td>
<td>38.7  SD 3761</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potatoes</td>
<td>18.9</td>
<td>17:4–22:0</td>
<td>14.7  SD 1430</td>
<td>74.6  SD 7254</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vegetables</td>
<td>19.6</td>
<td>17:9–23:0</td>
<td>11.1  SD 1082</td>
<td>72.2  SD 7027</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fruits and berries</td>
<td>21.4</td>
<td>18:7–24:9</td>
<td>8.5  SD 826</td>
<td>70.1  SD 6815</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Porridge</td>
<td>21.6</td>
<td>18:6–25:9</td>
<td>7.4  SD 724</td>
<td>69.9  SD 6797</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meat</td>
<td>24.0</td>
<td>21:9–27:1</td>
<td>12.2  SD 120</td>
<td>64.1  SD 6238</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Milk</td>
<td>26.1</td>
<td>22:9–30:0</td>
<td>1.9  SD 181</td>
<td>56.2  SD 5498</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Follow-on formula</td>
<td>26.4</td>
<td>24:6–30:7</td>
<td>3.7  SD 357</td>
<td>45.2  SD 4401</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sour milk and yoghurt</td>
<td>27.3</td>
<td>24:3–31:6</td>
<td>1.4  SD 136</td>
<td>51.9  SD 5047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bread</td>
<td>28.1</td>
<td>23:9–33:4</td>
<td>2.0  SD 195</td>
<td>51.6  SD 5019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fish</td>
<td>31.1</td>
<td>25:9–38:3</td>
<td>0.4  SD 43</td>
<td>32.4  SD 3151</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rice</td>
<td>33.1</td>
<td>27:7–36:3</td>
<td>0.9  SD 87</td>
<td>36.6  SD 3556</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pasta</td>
<td>34.0</td>
<td>29:9–36:6</td>
<td>0.5  SD 53</td>
<td>35.4  SD 3443</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cheese</td>
<td>35.0</td>
<td>30:1–40:3</td>
<td>0.5  SD 44</td>
<td>25.7  SD 2497</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sugar-containing foods</td>
<td>36.1</td>
<td>28:4–43:0</td>
<td>2.1  SD 202</td>
<td>21.3  SD 2073</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eggs</td>
<td>38.7</td>
<td>32:7–44:7</td>
<td>0.2  SD 24</td>
<td>14.6  SD 1416</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Based on those introduced to the respective foods or food groups during the first year.
†Breast-fed ≥30 d after introduction.
proportion of children being introduced during concurrent breast-feeding. The cumulative proportion of children being breast-fed, introduced to infant formula and follow-on formula, respectively, according to maternal education is shown in Fig. 3. The proportion being breast-fed and the proportion introduced to infant formula, according to maternal education, showed inverse patterns. Lower maternal education was associated with earlier cessation of breast-feeding and higher proportions of infants introduced to infant formula and follow-on formula. Differences in these variables across categories of maternal education were significant at both 4 and 6 months (P<0.001). Figure 4 shows the cumulative proportion of children introduced to milk, sour milk and yoghurt, fish and eggs over the first 12 months according to maternal education. Proportions of infants introduced to fish and milk, sour milk and yoghurt differed significantly across categories of maternal education at both 4 and 6 months (P<0.001) and lower maternal education was associated with higher proportions introduced. Maternal education did not seem to influence the introduction of eggs.

Discussion

In the present study we describe the introduction of complementary feeding in a large cohort of Swedish infants with emphasis on the timing of introduction of
specific foods and food groups as well as introduction during concurrent breast-feeding. We found that most children were introduced to complementary foods appropriately with regard to timing of introduction according to the recommendations at that time. However, the proportions of children introduced to fish and eggs during their first year were considerably lower than for other common foods and food groups. Furthermore, introduction before 16 weeks (4 months) of age was seen for about one in four infants, despite the recommendations to start introduction of complementary foods no earlier than at 4 months of age. This finding is in agreement with what Hörnell et al. found in a smaller cohort of Swedish infants born 1989 to 1992, where about one out of three was introduced to solids before 4 months of age (24).

In relation to the relatively scarce data existing on the timing of introduction of complementary foods in Nordic countries, the results from the present study seem to be consistent with previous studies. Andrén Aronsson et al. reported from an international multicentre study that infants in Sweden and Finland were introduced to potatoes and roots, fruits and berries and cereals during

---

Fig. 2 Percentage of children introduced to complementary foods during the first 12 months by maternal education, All Babies in Southeast Sweden (ABIS) study (n 9727): , low education; , intermediate education; , high education. Hatching shows percentage introduced to complementary foods during breast-feeding (at least 30 d overlap).

Fig. 3 Cumulative proportion of infants introduced to infant formula and follow-on formula and the proportion of infants being breast-fed during the first 12 months by maternal education, All Babies in Southeast Sweden (ABIS) study (n 9727): , infant formula, low education; , follow-on formula, low education; , breast-feeding, low education; , infant formula, intermediate education; , follow-on formula, intermediate education; , breast-feeding, intermediate education; , infant formula, high education; , follow-on formula, high education; , breast-feeding, high education. Differences in proportions breast-fed, introduced to infant formula and follow-on formula across categories of maternal education at age 4 and 6 months, respectively, were tested and were significant at both ages for all variables (P < 0.001).

---
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months 4 and 5(25), and Erkkola et al. reported from a Finnish study that infants were introduced to potatoes, roots, fruits and berries during month 4, to cereals and meat at age 5 months, to fish at age 7 months and to milk products during month 10(26). However, both these studies collected data on introduction of complementary foods up until the age of 24 months, so some reducing the comparability with our study. Furthermore, while our sample is population-based, these two previous studies investigated cohorts with susceptibility for type 1 diabetes(25,26). In our previous paper on breast-feeding and introduction of solid foods(18) we used retrospective data collected at a follow-up visit when the child was about 1 year old, while we in the present paper use data collected consecutively during the first year. When comparing our previous results based on retrospective data(18) with our present results based on prospective data, parents seem to recall earlier introduction of porridge and follow-on formula when reporting retrospectively as compared with prospectively, while length of breast-feeding seems to have high consistency.

Maternal education was associated with introduction of complementary feeding in several ways. Early introduction occurred twice as often in infants of mothers with low education as compared with infants of mothers with high education. Despite mothers with lower education introducing foods to their infants earlier, fewer did this during concurrent breast-feeding. This may seem contradictory but is probably explained by shorter duration of breast-feeding in mothers with lower education, as shown in a previous publication on the ABIS cohort(20). Previous research has also shown low parental education to be associated with earlier introduction of complementary foods in infants(21–23,25,26) and that both younger maternal age(21–23,25) and maternal smoking(21–23,25,26) also predict earlier introduction of complementary foods. Post hoc analyses of the present results showed that mothers with lower education were both younger and more likely to smoke during pregnancy, as compared with mothers with intermediate or high education. These three characteristics likely often coexist and further enhance the odds of early introduction of complementary foods. Contradictory to the association between lower maternal education and earlier introduction, lower maternal education was also associated with lower proportions of children introduced to the investigated foods and food groups at 1 year of age, which could mean that these infants consume only a limited number of foods. Why lower education is associated with a lower compliance to public health recommendation is a question that remains to be answered, but in the case of low maternal education and early introduction of complementary foods this may have future health implications for the children. Early introduction of complementary feeding may be associated with increased risk of childhood overweight(6) and type 1 diabetes mellitus(7), while early introduction of specific allergens may decrease the risk of allergy(8).

The ABIS cohort was recruited at the end of the 1990s and we need to take both changes in breast-feeding rates and changes in infant feeding recommendations into account when interpreting our findings with regard to what we could expect for today’s infants. At the end of the 1990s breast-feeding rates in Sweden reached their peak.

![Fig. 4 Cumulative proportion of infants introduced to fish, eggs and milk products during the first 12 months by maternal education, All Babies in Southeast Sweden (ABIS) study (n 9727): ---, fish, low education; ---, eggs, low education; ---, milk/sour milk/yoghurt, low education; ---, fish, intermediate education; ---, eggs, intermediate education; ---, milk/sour milk/yoghurt, intermediate education; ---, fish, high education; ---, eggs, high education; ---, milk/sour milk/yoghurt, high education. Differences in proportions introduced to milk, eggs and fish across categories of maternal education at age 4 and 6 months, respectively, were tested and were significant at both ages for milk products and fish (P < 0.001) but not for eggs (P > 0.001)](https://doi.org/10.1017/S1368980016003104)
At this time, more than 80% of Swedish infants were breast-fed at age 4 months and 70% were breast-fed at 6 months of age\(^1\)\(^{28}\). Since then a decline in breast-feeding rates of about 10 percentage points has occurred in Sweden. When looking at full breast-feeding the rate has declined even more, from about 70% at age 4 months at the end of the 1990s\(^2\)\(^{29}\) to about 50% in 2014\(^4\)\(^{28}\). At age 6 months, the corresponding figures are 40%\(^2\)\(^{29}\) and 15%\(^2\)\(^{28}\). These rates are in accordance with what has been shown in the ABIS cohort\(^1\), with the exception of a much lower rate of exclusive breast-feeding at age 6 months in the ABIS cohort where only about 10% of the infants were exclusively breast-fed at age 6 months\(^1\). This difference is however most likely explained by differences in the definition of exclusive breast-feeding. Since the late 1990s breast-feeding recommendations have changed and exclusive breast-feeding is now recommended for the first 6 months\(^1\). If the child is interested, tiny tastes of any food could be given from 4 months of age, but without competing with the breast-feeding\(^1\). It could be speculated that more infants are introduced to infant formula today and that they are introduced earlier, because of shorter duration of exclusive breast-feeding and because the present recommendations stress that complementary meals should not be introduced before the age of 6 months. Furthermore, it could be speculated that infants are introduced to complementary foods later today than in the late 1990s and thus also to a lesser extent during concurrent breast-feeding. Later introduction may not however apply to some specific foods, such as fish and eggs. Previously, allergy preventive recommendations were given to families with atopic heredity recommending them to postpone introduction of fish and eggs until 9–12 months of age\(^12\)\(^–\)\(^14\). It is probable that this recommendation explains the fact that as many as 20 and 40%, respectively, of the infants of ABIS were not introduced to fish and eggs during their first year, while only about 5% of the infants were not introduced to for example potatoes, fruits, vegetables, cereals, meat and milk products. Perhaps this recommendation was adopted not only by the families with atopic heredity, but also by other families. In the present study higher maternal education was associated with later introduction of fish, while no such gradient was seen for eggs. A post hoc analysis showed a positive association between reported atopic heredity and higher maternal education, which could explain why fish was introduced later to infants of mothers with high education. However, why timing of egg introduction was not affected is not clear. Today, no general recommendation is given with regard to introduction of fish and eggs to infants with atopic heredity\(^17\).

Strengths of the present study include the prospective design and a large population-based sample with a high participation rate. Furthermore, the design of the food diary and the successive completion of this throughout the first year ensure detailed information about introduction of several foods and food groups. The study is not without its weaknesses however, and the fact that we rely on self-reported data has to be accounted for. Another limitation is that the food diary was limited to a specific set of foods and food groups; but most likely these groups did cover the majority of the foods consumed during the infants’ first year.

**Conclusion**

In conclusion, most infants were introduced to complementary foods in a timely fashion. Foods introduced earlier than recommended, i.e. before 4 months of age, were generally foods that were recommended to be introduced first. Maternal education was found to clearly influence introduction of complementary feeding and low maternal education was associated with both earlier introduction of complementary foods and less introduction during concurrent breast-feeding. Still, our results indicated that infants of mothers with low education were exposed to fewer foods at 12 months of age. The ABIS study offers unique potential for investigations of introduction of complementary foods in relation to several health outcomes.
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