

The psychosocial work environment is associated with risk of stroke at working age

Katarina Jood, Nadine Karlsson, Jennie Medin, Helene Pessah-Rasmussen, Per Wester and Kerstin Ekberg

The self-archived version of this journal article is available at Linköping University Institutional Repository (DiVA):

<http://urn.kb.se/resolve?urn=urn:nbn:se:liu:diva-139614>

N.B.: When citing this work, cite the original publication.

Jood, K., Karlsson, N., Medin, J., Pessah-Rasmussen, H., Wester, P., Ekberg, K., (2017), The psychosocial work environment is associated with risk of stroke at working age, *Scandinavian Journal of Work, Environment and Health*, 43(4), 367-374. <https://doi.org/10.5271/sjweh.3636>

Original publication available at:

<https://doi.org/10.5271/sjweh.3636>

Copyright: Nordic Association of Occupational Safety and Health (NOROSH)

<http://www.norosh.org/>



The psychosocial work environment is associated with risk of stroke at working age

Authors: Katarina Jood¹, MD, PhD; Nadine Karlsson², MSc, PhD; Jennie Medin² MSc, PhD; H el ene Pessah-Rasmussen³, MD, PhD; Per Wester⁴, MD, PhD; Kerstin Ekberg², MD, PhD.

¹Institute of Neuroscience and Physiology, Department of Clinical Neuroscience, the Sahlgrenska Academy at the University of Gothenburg and Department of Neurology at the Sahlgrenska University Hospital, Gothenburg, Sweden

²Department of Medical and Health Sciences, Division of Community Medicine, Link oping University, Sweden

³Department of Neurology and Rehabilitation Medicine, Sk ane University Hospital; and Department of Health Sciences, Lund University, Sweden

⁴Department of Public Health and Clinical Sciences, Ume a University; and Department of Clinical Sciences, Karolinska Institutet, Danderyd Hospital, Sweden

Cover title: Psychosocial work environment and stroke

Number of tables: 3

Number of figures: 1

Word count abstract: 163

Word count text + abstract: 4145

Author disclosures:

Authors declare no conflicts of interest.

Author contributions:

Katarina Jood; acquisition of data, analysis and interpretation of data, drafting the manuscript

Nadine Karlsson; analysis and interpretation of data

Jennie Medin; study concept and design, acquisition of data

H el ene Pessah-Rasmussen; acquisition of data, critical revision of the manuscript for intellectual content

Per Wester; acquisition of data, critical revision of the manuscript for intellectual content

Kerstin Ekberg; study concept and design, study supervision, analysis and interpretation of data, critical revision of the manuscript for intellectual content

Acknowledgements

We wish to thank the research nurses Lina Håkansson, Göteborg, Ulrika Persson, Malmö, Gunn Johansson, Linköping, and MD Margarita Carlander, Linköping , research occupational therapist Gerd Andersson, and LilliAnn Andersson, Umeå for important data collection when using the questionnaires during visits to the outpatient clinics.

Sources of funding

This study was founded by FAS (Council for Working Life and Social Research), research grant no 2005-0370

Corresponding author: Katarina Jood, Section of Clinical Neuroscience, Sahlgrenska University Hospital, Blå stråket 7, plan 3, 415 35 Göteborg, Sweden, Phone+ 46-31-342 90 52 , katarina.jood@neuro.gu.se

The psychosocial work environment is associated with risk of stroke at working age

Cover title: Psychosocial work environment and stroke

60-word summary: Conflicts at work, is associated with increased stroke risk at working age, independently of effort reward imbalance and job strain. This indicates that these measures capture different aspects of the psychosocial work environment that impact the risk of stroke. Interventional studies targeting job strain and emotional work environment are warranted.

Number of tables: 4

Number of figures: 1

Word count abstract: 173

Word count text + abstract: 4790

ABSTRACT

Objective: To explore the relation between the risk of first-ever stroke at working age and psychological work environmental factors.

Methods: A consecutive multicentre matched 1:2 case-control study of acute stroke cases (N=198, age 30-65 years) who had been working full-time at the time of their stroke and 396 sex- and age-matched controls. Stroke cases and controls answered questionnaires on their psychosocial situation during the previous 12 months. The psychosocial work environment was assessed using three different measures: the job-control-demand model, the effort-reward imbalance score, and exposures to conflict at work.

Results: Among 198 stroke cases and 396 controls, job strain (odds ratio (OR) 1.30, 95% CI 1.05 to 1.62), effort-reward imbalance (OR 1.28, 95% CI 1.01 to 1.62) and conflict at work (OR 1.75, 95% CI 1.07 to 2.88) were independent risk factors of stroke in multivariable regression models.

Conclusions: Adverse psychosocial working conditions during the past 12 months were more frequently observed among stroke cases. Since these factors are presumably modifiable, interventional studies targeting job strain and emotional work environment are warranted.

Key terms: job strain, conflict at work, effort-reward balance, case-control study

INTRODUCTION

Stroke is a multi-factorial disease caused by an interplay between genetic and environmental risk factors. Well-documented risk factors include age, hypertension, diabetes, cigarette smoking, physical inactivity, carotid atherosclerosis and atrial fibrillation. During the last few decades, a growing body of evidence suggests that psychosocial factors also contribute. Education and socioeconomic status are consistently reported to be associated with stroke (1), and an association with psychosocial stress has been suggested (2). There is also an accumulating literature suggesting association between cardiovascular disease and the psychosocial work environment (3-5). The main body of evidence comes from studies on coronary heart disease, while stroke has been less studied.

Among psychosocial work environmental factors, psychosocial stress, including the job-demand-control (JDC) model (6), has received most attention. This model postulates that high psychological demands in terms of volume and intensity of workload combined with low individual control over pace and content of work tasks result in high strain or stress (job strain). A recent meta-analysis of 14 European cohort studies concluded an increased risk of ischaemic stroke for those exposed to job strain (7). There are also recent systematic reviews showing increased risk of stroke in relation to long working hours (8) and shift work (9). Interestingly, in Japan and Taiwan, “Karoshi”, i.e. sudden death as a consequence of overwork, is predominately attributed to cerebrovascular death, and is recognized as a clinical entity qualifying for worker compensation (10). Emotional components of the psychosocial work environment, such as lack of reciprocity between work effort and rewards (11) or workplace conflicts (12-14), have gained increased attention as important stressors. However, investigations of these factors in relation to stroke are scarce.

Here, our aim is to investigate the relation between a first-ever stroke at working age and the psychosocial work environment as assessed by the JCD model, the effort-reward imbalance model, and self-reported conflicts at work.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

This study is a multicentre matched case-control study. Cases were recruited at stroke units at four different hospitals in Sweden, geographically located in the very south of Sweden (Malmö, 62 cases), the middle (Göteborg, 64 cases, Linköping, 39 cases) and the north (Umeå, 33 cases). Stroke-free controls were randomly recruited from the population residing in the hospitals' catchment area using the Swedish population register. The study was approved by the regional ethics board in Linköping, Sweden.

Cases

Consecutive cases, aged 30-65 years, presenting with acute stroke at the stroke units from September 2007 to December 2009, were screened by a nurse or an occupational therapist to check for the inclusion and exclusion criteria, and to ask for informed consent to participate in a study investigating associations between living conditions and risk of stroke. Inclusion criteria were: diagnosis of first-ever intracerebral haemorrhage (ICD-10: I61) or cerebral infarction (ICD-10:I63). Exclusion criteria were: previous stroke, not working at the time of stroke, not able to answer a questionnaire (in Swedish) in writing or verbally, or severe illness. Screening was performed within four weeks of the acute event. All cases underwent neuroimaging, and for each case, subtype of stroke was recorded as haemorrhagic or ischaemic. Ischaemic strokes were further classified according to the Trial of Org 10172 in Acute Stroke Treatment (TOAST) criteria (15). Severity of neurological deficits at acute stroke was recorded using the National Institute of Health Stroke Scale (NIHSS). A total of 434 cases were screened. Twenty-one otherwise eligible patients declined participation. Of these, 12 were males and the mean age was 55.5 years. In all, 198 working cases fulfilled the inclusion criteria and did not meet any exclusion criteria, see Figure 1.

Controls

For each case, up to ten controls were randomly drawn from the Swedish population register, six months from admittance of the case. Controls were matched to the cases with regard to age (± 1 year), sex and geographical area. Potential controls received a letter with information in which the study was presented as an investigation of associations between living conditions and risk of stroke. The first two respondents who met the inclusion criteria and not the exclusion criteria were used as controls. Exclusion criteria were the same as for cases, and all controls with a self-reported doctors' diagnosis of stroke (asked for in the questionnaire) were excluded. In all, 2829 questionnaires were sent to potential controls, and the response rate was 49% after one reminder. Respondents and non-respondents did not differ with respect to gender. However, in men the proportion of non-responders was inversely associated to age.

Data collection

All subjects were asked to fill in a written questionnaire covering questions about their civil status, education, length of employment, lifestyle habits, anthropometric measures, doctors' diagnosis of vascular risk factors, family history of stroke, occurrence of sick leave spells, number of sick leave days during the last 12 months, main medical reason for sick leave, and work situation. The time span considered for the exposure measures in the questionnaire was 12 months prior to stroke for the cases; for controls, it was 12 months prior to the time they answered the questionnaire, as exemplified by the question "Have you been involved in any conflicts at your workplace during the last 12 months".

Psychosocial work environment

The psychosocial work environment was assessed by means of two psychometric instruments: the Swedish Demand-Control-Support Questionnaire (DCSQ) (16,17), and Effort-Reward Imbalance (ERI) (18,19). The latter model adds the intrinsic (personal coping patterns) work efforts to the extrinsic (work pressure) work efforts and postulates that high effort in combination with low personal reward (financial, status, job security or esteem) is stressful (18, 20, 21). Thus, this model somewhat overlaps the JCD model, but differs from the JCD model in that it also incorporates intrinsic aspects such as the negative emotions elicited by the experience of a lack of reciprocity in work effort and gains. Two subscales were derived from the DCSQ: psychological demands (time pressure, and conflicting demands, 5 items), and decision latitude (skill level and decision authority, 6 items). Job strain was calculated as the ratio of the mean of psychological demands in the numerator and the mean of decision latitude in the denominator. Cronbach's alpha for psychological demands and decision latitude were 0.74 and 0.68, respectively. Two subscales were derived from the ERI model: effort (time pressure, interruptions, responsibility, working overtime, increasing demands, 5 items), and reward (financial and status-related reward, esteem reward and job security reward, 11 items). The ERI ratio was computed as the ratio of the mean of the effort score in the numerator and the mean of the reward score in the denominator (18). Cronbach's alpha for effort and rewards was 0.75 and 0.77, respectively.

Conflict at work

Each subject was asked to report occurrence in the last year of threat, violence, harassment or bullying by supervisors, harassment or bullying by colleagues, involvement in conflicts, or victimisation at the workplace as “no, never”, “no, seldom”, “yes, sometimes” and “yes, often”, and of serious conflict in the workplace (life-event coded as “yes”) (total 7 items)

(22). Conflict at work was coded as present if one of these questions were answered as yes (“yes, sometimes” or “yes, often”).

Risk factors

Hypertension was defined as responding yes to the question “*Have you previously been informed by your physician that you have high blood pressure?*” Similar questions were posed for diabetes, high blood lipids, atrial fibrillation, and angina pectoris. Family history of stroke was defined as responding yes to the question “*Have either of your parents or your siblings had a stroke?*”

Body mass index was calculated as weight in kilograms divided by height in meters squared. Smoking habits were coded as current smoker versus never or former. Physical activity was assessed by two questions: one on physical activity in everyday life and one on exercise during the last 12 months. These questions were combined, and physical activity was coded as low (none or little everyday activity) versus moderate to high physical activity (almost daily and/or frequent intense physical activity). Civil status was classified as married/cohabiting, single, or other. Education was classified as low (nine-year compulsory school) or high (upper secondary school or university).

Statistical analysis

Descriptive statistics are presented as frequencies or mean values and standard deviations (SD). Differences between groups were examined with the chi-squared test for proportions and with Student’s t test for continuous variables.

The associations between psychosocial work environment (i.e. job strain, ERI ratio, conflict at work) and stroke were investigated by conditional logistic regression analyses. In these

analyses, each stroke was individually age- and sex-matched with two controls within each centre. The psychosocial scales, (i.e. ERI and job strain) were standardised before entering the regression analyses; thus the odds ratios (ORs) of the psychosocial scales, can be interpreted as the OR when moving one standard deviation on the dimension of the scales. The conditional logistic regression analysis was performed in two steps. In the first step, the ORs were adjusted only for the matching factors. In the second step, the ORs were additionally adjusted for educational attainment, marital status, smoking, physical activity, high blood pressure, diabetes, high blood lipids, body mass index, and family history of stroke. Two different models were used to explore differences between the job strain and the effort-reward imbalance models. In the first model, job strain and conflicts at work were determinants; in the second model, ERI and conflicts at work were determinants. In a final step, we investigated the combined effect of job strain and ERI in univariate and multivariable regression models. In these models, the psychosocial scales were dichotomized, and those exposed to neither job strain nor ERI was used as the reference category (23).

The proportion of cases or controls with missing values was <10% for all items, except for angina pectoris in cases (17%) and smoking in cases (11%). Replacement of missing values on measurement scales was performed according to the SF36 rule (24). Thus, a total score was calculated for a subject if he/she had answered at least half of the questions of the scale, by giving the missing items the average score of the other items in the scale. Cases and controls with missing values for predictor variables were excluded from multivariable analyses. Data were analysed using the statistical software SAS 9.1. Results were considered statistically significant at $p \leq 0.05$ using two-tailed tests.

RESULTS

Among the cases, a total of 20 strokes (10%) were haemorrhagic and 178 (90%) were ischaemic. The median NIHSS score was 2 (ranging from 0 to 22). According to TOAST classification of ischaemic stroke, 28 (16%) were due to large artery arteriosclerosis, 19 (11%) to cardiac embolism, and 69 (39 %) to small artery occlusion; other causes (mainly dissection of vertebral or carotid arteries) were found in 14 (8 %), whereas 48 (27 %) were undetermined.

The characteristics of the study subjects are given in Table 1. Cases and controls differed significantly with respect to educational level, cohabitation, smoking habits, physical activity, hypertension, BMI, family history of stroke and family history of heart disease. Cases also reported a significantly higher frequency of sick leave spells lasting more than 14 days, compared with controls. However, there was no difference between the groups regarding medical reasons for previous sick leave. Most common were common mental disorders (79% among cases, 76% among controls) and cardiovascular disorders (17% and 19% respectively). Occupational distribution differed between cases and controls ($p=.003$): 38% among cases and 54% among controls had occupations requiring university education or involving management positions; 14% among cases and 9% among controls had occupations requiring no formal education.

The work exposure characteristics of the two groups are shown in Table 2. There were significant differences between the groups in their ratings of occurrence of conflict at work, with a higher prevalence among cases, mainly due to higher ratings of involvement in conflicts and serious conflicts at work. Job strain was higher among cases, in particular due to

lower decision latitude. The ERI score was higher among cases, mainly due to higher scores for effort compared with the controls.

Univariate regression analyses showed that job strain (odds ratio (OR) 1.38, 95% confidence interval (CI) 1.19 to 1.59), ERI (OR 1.24, 95% CI 1.06 to 1.44) and conflict at work (OR 1.87, 95% CI 1.38 to 2.54) were significant determinants of stroke risk. Gender stratified analysis showed no differences between women (OR 1.46, 95% CI 1.10 to 1.94, OR 1.17, 95% CI 0.90 to 1.53, and OR 1.65, 95% CI 1.02 to 2.66 for job strain, ERI, and conflict at work, respectively) and men (OR 1.33, 95% CI 1.13 to 1.58, OR 1.29, 95% CI 1.07 to 1.55, and OR 2.02, 95% CI 1.37 to 2.99 for job strain, ERI, and conflict at work, respectively).

Results from multivariable regression models are given in Table 3. After adjustment for conflicts at work, the ORs for job strain and ERI attenuated and only job strain remained significantly associated with stroke risk (OR 1.30, 95% CI 1.12 to 1.51, and 1.13, 95% CI 0.96 to 1.33 for job strain and ERI, respectively). Conflict at work remained an independent determinant of stroke after adjustments for job strain (OR 1.64, 95% CI 1.19 to 2.25) and ERI (OR 1.80, 95% CI 1.30 to 2.50). Multivariable regression models adjusting for education, marital status, and vascular risk factors showed that job strain, ERI, and conflict at work all remained independent predictors of stroke (Table 3). In a final step, we investigated the combined effect of job strain and ERI (Table 4). In those exposed to both job strain and ERI, the multivariable OR for stroke was 3.01, 95% CI 1.62 to 5.61. Further adjustment for conflict at work attenuated the association; however, the combined effect, as well as conflict at work, remained significantly associated to increased risk of stroke. There was no significant interaction between job strain and ERI (OR for the interaction term 1.06, 95% CI 0.94 to 1.19).

DISCUSSION

In this case-control study of first-ever stroke at working age we observed an association between adverse psychosocial working conditions during the past 12 months and increased risk of stroke. Interestingly, all three measures, job strain, effort-reward imbalance (ERI), and conflict at work, were more frequently reported among stroke cases compared with controls. The association between stroke and measures of psychosocial work environment remained after adjustment for education, marital status, and vascular risk factors.

Workplace bullying and harassments are increasingly common and associated with a wide range of negative health effects and emotional reactions (12, 13). Also in our study, conflicts at work were a common exposure, as more than one fifth of the participants in the control group reported occurrence of some kind of conflict at work. Despite this, there are no previous reports about associations to stroke. Thus, our results showing an association between conflict at work and stroke are novel, and add stroke to the list of health problems associated to exposure to work-place conflicts, underscoring the potential detrimental health effects of an adverse emotional psychosocial work environment.

The measures ERI and conflict at work somewhat overlap, as they both measure aspects of social interaction at the workplace and the emotional work environment. Interestingly, ERI also showed association to stroke, further indicating an important role for the emotional environment at work. To the best of our knowledge, there are no previous reports on the relation between ERI and stroke. Conflicts at work and job strain showed associations with stroke that were independent of each other, indicating that these measures capture different aspects of the psychosocial work environment that impact the risk of stroke. These results are

important, as they indicate that interventions may need to target not only job strain, but also the emotional work environment.

Associations between stroke and job strain or its components have previously been reported from large-scale prospective studies (7, 25-27), while others (28-30) did not find any association between job strain and stroke. There is also conflicting data regarding whether the association between job strain is confined to ischaemic stroke or whether it also influences the risk of haemorrhagic stroke (5, 26). In this context, the results from our study are not novel, but lend further support to an association between job strain and an increased risk of stroke at working age. The size of our sample did not allow for separate analysis of different stroke subtypes. However, interestingly, and similar to what has previously been reported in relation to myocardial infarction (23), the combined effect of job strain and ERI was substantial as it was associated with a threefold increase in stroke risk. Moreover, this association remained, however attenuated, after adjustment for conflict at work.

The association between psychosocial work environment and stroke is probably caused by a complex interplay between a numbers of factors. Several different mechanisms have been suggested as possible mediators including activation of the neuroendocrine system, vascular inflammation, oxidative stress, immune dysfunction, development of the metabolic syndrome, hypertension, unhealthy behaviors such as smoking, physical inactivity and poor diet. There is also a complex interplay with education and socioeconomic status (31, 32). As expected, cases reported a higher burden of vascular risk factors compared with controls. Cases also had lower education and were less often in occupations requiring university education or involving management positions. Although we used multivariable regression models to adjust

for these factors, residual confounding may remain. However, it should be noted that some of these factors can be considered as mediators for the association.

Cases reported higher frequency of sick leave spells lasting more than 14 days, compared with controls. Similarly, Medin et al. (33) found that stroke cases had accumulated more sick leave during the three years prior to their stroke compared to the general population of the same age. At first glance, this may be perceived as an expected finding based on the higher burden of vascular risk factors. However, the most prevalent reason for sick leave was common mental disorders, and there was no increase in the proportion of sick leave explained by cardiovascular disorders among cases. The explanation for the higher frequency of long-term sick leave prior to stroke is not clear. An adverse psychosocial work environment may contribute not only to cardiovascular disease, but also to reduced mental health (34).

Conversely, spells of longer sick leave may contribute to an adverse psychosocial work environment, involving worse relations with supervisors and workmates (35), as sick leave may interfere with achievements and social relations at work. Moreover, a recent report indicates a complex interplay between depression, psychological stress, socioeconomic status, and risk of cardiovascular diseases including stroke (36).

Our study is a hospital-based case-control study and potential limitations include those that are inherent to the study design (i.e. selection bias and recall bias). According to guidelines in Sweden, all patients with a suspected stroke, including those with milder symptoms, should be admitted to a stroke unit. Controls were randomly recruited from the population residing in the hospitals' catchment area. However, we were not able to include the most severe cases, i.e. fatal cases and those with severe stroke symptoms that interfered with the ability to answer the questionnaire. Moreover, non-Swedish-speaking persons, a group which may have a higher exposure to an adverse psychosocial work environment, were not included. Thus,

selection bias of cases in this study may, if anything, have led to an underestimation of the true association. On the other hand, the relatively low response-rate in controls is a limitation that potentially may inflate the difference between cases and controls with respect to socioeconomic factors including the psychosocial work environment. However, our findings on education and marital status are comparable with previous studies in the field (1, 26), suggesting that the effects of a possible selection bias of controls were limited.

In order to reduce recall bias, assessments of exposure were made shortly after disease onset, and neither the cases nor the controls were given detailed information about the hypothesis under investigation. When possible, we used validated psychometric instruments (i.e. ERI and JDC). Previous studies of coronary disease indicate that these instruments are relatively robust with respect to recall bias. A recent systematic review of high quality studies found similar associations to job strain in case-control and prospective studies (4). Moreover, in a study of myocardial infarction at working age, self-rated and job exposure matrix based assessments of job demands and decision latitude showed similar relations in cases and controls, further supporting a limited influence of recall bias on these measures (37). The classification of vascular risk factors were based on self-reported doctors' diagnoses, and not confirmed in medical journals. Although using the same method in cases and controls, these factors were measured with less precision. Moreover, we did not measure depression, and were therefore not able to investigate the contribution of this factor. A particular strength of our study is the relatively large sample of well-characterised cases who had their stroke at working age, with exposure data covering the year immediately preceding the stroke. However, the sample size did not allow for separate analyses of different stroke subtypes.

SUMMARY

The results from our study lend further support to the growing literature showing an association between the psychosocial work environment and stroke. We show that conflicts at work, is associated with increased stroke risk, independently of job strain and ERI, indicating that these measures capture different aspects of the psychosocial work environment that impact the risk of stroke. Spells of sick leave were more frequent during the year preceding a stroke, which highlights the fact that preventive measures can be taken at the workplace. As the psychosocial work environment may be a modifiable risk factor, interventional studies targeting the psychosocial environment are needed. Such studies should target both job strain and the emotional work environment.

References

1. Marshall IJ, Wang Y, Crichton S, McKeivitt C, Rudd AG, Wolfe CD. The effects of socioeconomic status on stroke risk and outcomes. *Lancet Neurol* 2015;14:1206-18.
2. Booth J, Connelly L, Lawrence M, Chalmers C, Joice S, Becker C et al. Evidence of perceived psychosocial stress as a risk factor for stroke in adults: a meta-analysis. *BMC Neurol*. 2015;15:233.
3. Kivimäki M, Kawachi I. Work Stress as a Risk Factor for Cardiovascular Disease. *Curr Cardiol Rep*. 2015;17:630.
4. Theorell T, Jood K, Järholm LS, Vingård E, Perk J, Östergren PO et al. A systematic review of studies in the contributions of the work environment to ischaemic heart disease development. *Eur J Public Health*. 2016;26:470-7.
5. SBU. Occupational exposures and cardiovascular disease. Stockholm: Swedish Agency for Health Technology Assessment and Assessment of Social Services (SBU); 2015. SBU report no 240 (in Swedish).
6. Karasek RA: Job demands, job decision latitude, and mental strain: implications for job redesign. *Adm Sci Q*. 1979; 24:285-308.
7. Fransson EI, Nyberg ST, Heikkilä K, Alfredsson L, Bjorner JB, Borritz M et al. Job strain and the risk of stroke: an individual-participant data meta-analysis. *Stroke*. 2015;46:557-559.
8. Kivimäki M, Jokela M, Nyberg ST, Singh-Manoux A, Fransson EI, Alfredsson L et al. Long working hours and risk of coronary heart disease and stroke: a systematic review and meta-analysis of published and unpublished data for 603,838 individuals. *Lancet*. 2015;386:1739-46.
9. Kecklund G, Axelsson J. Health consequences of shift work and insufficient sleep. *BMJ*. 2016;355:i5210

10. Ke DS. Overwork, stroke, and karoshi-death from overwork. *Acta Neurol Taiwan*. 2012;21:54-9.
11. Siegrist J. Effort-reward imbalance at work and cardiovascular diseases. *Int J Occup Med Environ Health*. 2010; 23:279-85.
12. Birkeland Nielsen M, Einarsen S. Outcomes of exposure to workplace bullying: A meta-analytic review. *Work & Stress*. 2015; 26:309-332.
13. Rodriguez-Munoz A, Moreno-Jiménez B, Sanz-Verkgel AI. Reciprocal relations between workplace bullying, anxiety, and vigor: a two-wave longitudinal study. *Anxiety, Stress, & Coping*. 2015; 28: 514-530.
14. Tüchsen F, Hannerz H, Roepstorff C, Krause N. Stroke among male professional drivers in Denmark, 1994-2003. *Occup Environ Med*. 2006;63:456-60.
15. Adams HP Jr, Bendixen BH, Kappelle LJ, Biller J, Love BB, Gordon DL et al. Classification of subtype of acute ischemic stroke. Definitions for use in a multicenter clinical trial. *Stroke*. 1993;24:35-41.
16. Theorell T, Perski A, Akerstedt T, Sigala F, Ahlberg-Hultén G, Svensson J, Eneroth P. Changes in job strain in relation to changes in physiological state. A longitudinal study. *Scand J Work Environ Health*. 1988;14:189-96.
17. Chungkham HS, Ingre M, Karasek R, Westerlund H, Theorell T. Factor structure and longitudinal measurement invariance of the demand control support model: an evidence from the Swedish Longitudinal Occupational Survey of Health (SLOSH). *PLoS One*. 2013;8:e70541.
18. Siegrist J, Klein D, Voigt K. Linking sociological with physiological data: the model of effort-reward imbalance at work. *Acta Physiol Scand Suppl*. 1997;640:112-16.

19. Wege N, Westerlund H, Theorell T, Wahrendorf M, Siegrist J. How valid is a short measure of effort-reward imbalance at work? A replication study from Sweden. *Occup Environ Med.* 2010;76:562-31.
20. Siegrist J. Effort-reward imbalance at work and cardiovascular diseases. *Int J Occup Med Environ Health.* 2010; 23:279-85.
21. Siegrist J, Starke D, Chandola T, Godin I, Marmot M, Niedhammer I et al. The measurement of effort–reward imbalance at work: European comparisons. *Social Science & medicine.* 2004;58:1483-1499.
22. Vingård E, Alfredsson L, Hagberg M, Kilbom A, Theorell T, Waldenström M et al. To what extent do current and past physical and psychosocial occupational factors explain care-seeking for low back pain in a working population – results from the musculoskeletal intervention center – Norrtälje study. *Spine.* 2000;25:493-500.
23. Peter R, Siegrist J, Hallqvist J, Reuterwall C, Theorell T; SHEEP Study Group. Psychosocial work environment and myocardial infarction: improving risk estimation by combining two complementary job stress models in the SHEEP Study. *J Epidemiol Community Health.* 2002;56:294-300.
24. Ware JE, Snow KK, Kosinski M, Gandek B. SF-36 Health Survey. Manual and Interpretation guide. Boston: The Health Institute, New England Medical Centre; 1993
25. Tsutsumi A, Kayaba K, Kario K, Ishikawa S. Prospective study on occupational stress and risk of stroke. *Arch Intern Med.* 2009;169:56-61.
26. Toivanen S. Job control and the risk of incident stroke in the working population in Sweden. *Scand J Work Environ Health.* 2008;34:40-47.
27. Virtanen SV, Notkola V. Socioeconomic inequalities in cardiovascular mortality and the role of work: a register study of Finnish men. *Int J Epidemiol.* 2002 ;31:614-21.

28. Kuper H, Adami HO, Theorell T, Weiderpass E. The socioeconomic gradient in the incidence of stroke: a prospective study in middle-aged women in Sweden. *Stroke*. 2007;38:27-33.
29. André-Petersson L, Engström G, Hedblad B, Janzon L, Rosvall M. Social support at work and the risk of myocardial infarction and stroke in women and men. *Soc Sci Med*. 2007;64:830-41.
30. Torén K, Schiöler L, Giang WK, Novak M, Söderberg M, Rosengren A. A longitudinal general population-based study of job strain and risk for coronary heart disease and stroke in Swedish men. *BMJ Open*. 2014;4:e004355.
31. Ursin H, Eriksen HR. The cognitive activation theory of stress. *Psychoneuroendocrinology*. 2004; 29:567-592.
32. Steptoe A, Kivimäki M. Stress and cardiovascular disease: an update on current knowledge. *Annu Rev Public Health*. 2013;34:337-54.
33. Medin J, Nordlund A, Ekberg K. Sick leave, disability pension and health-care seeking behaviour prior to stroke, among people aged 30-65: A case-control study. *Brain Injury*. 2007;21: 457-463.
34. Verkuil B, Atasayi S, Molendijk ML. Workplace bullying and mental health: A meta-analysis on cross-sectional and longitudinal data. *PLoS One*. 2015;10:e0135225.
35. Finne LB, Christensen JO, Knardahl S. Psychological and social work factors as predictors of mental distress: a prospective study. *PLoS One*. 2014;9:e102514.
36. Sumner JA, Khodneva Y, Muntner P, Redmond N, Lewis MW, Davidson KW et al. Effects of concurrent depressive symptoms and perceived stress on cardiovascular risk in low- and high-income participants: Findings from the Reasons for Geographical and Racial Differences in Stroke (REGARDS) Study. *J Am Heart Assoc*. 2016;5(10).

37. Theorell T, Tsutsumi A, Hallquist J, Reuterwall C, Hogstedt C, Fredlund P et al.
Decision latitude, job strain, and myocardial infarction: a study of working men in
Stockholm. The SHEEP Study Group. Stockholm Heart epidemiology Program. Am J
Public Health. 1998;88(3):382-8.

Table 1. Characteristics of the study subjects											
	Cases (N=198)					Controls (N=396)					
	N	Mean	SD	N	%	N	Mean	SD	N	%	p-value^a
Age, years	198	54.4	7.9	-	-	396	54.6	7.8	-	-	
Male sex	198	-	-	135	68	396	-	-	270	68	
Low education	192	-	-	104	54	389	-	-	168	43	0.01
Length of employment, years	174	11.7	10.3	-	-	371	11.6	10.0	-	-	0.93
Marital status	193	-	-			394	-	-			0.002
Married/cohabiting				132	68				320	81	
Single				53	28				64	16	
Other				8	4				10	2	
Smoking	176	-	-	50	28	377	-	-	53	14	<0.001
Physical activity (moderate or high)	191	-	-	54	28	393	-	-	155	39	0.008
Hypertension	184	-	-	70	38	386	-	-	104	27	0.007
Diabetes	192	-	-	12	6	393	-	-	21	5	0.66
High blood lipids	192	-	-	47	24	394	-	-	73	18	0.09
BMI (kg/m ²)	182	27.2	5.4	-	-	389	25.8	3.7	-	-	<0.001
Family history of stroke	190	-	-	65	34	382	-	-	67	18	<0.001
Atrial fibrillation	189	-	-	7	4	384	-	-	9	2	0.37
Angina pectoris	164	-	-	10	6	382	-	-	12	3	0.24
At least one spell of sick leave ^b	183	-	-	92	50	393	-	-	167	42	0.26
≥ three spells of sick leave ^b	183	-	-	18	10	393	-	-	26	7	0.18
At least one spell of sick leave >14 days ^b	180	-	-	30	17	389	-	-	33	8	0.004

^aStudent's t-test for continuous variables and chi-squared test for proportions, ^bduring the last 12 months

Table 2. Work exposure characteristics of the study subjects											
	Cases (N=198)					Controls (n=396)					
Study variables	N	Mean	SD	N	%	N	Mean	SD	N	%	p-value
Conflict at work^a	198	-	-	71	35	395	-	-	91	23	0.001
Threats ^b	187	-	-	20	11	388	-	-	37	10	0.66
Violence ^b	187	-	-	13	7	388	-	-	13	3	0.05
Bullying by supervisor ^b	178	-	-	10	6	384	-	-	13	3	0.21
Bullying by Workmates ^b	182	-	-	10	5	387	-	-	12	3	0.17
Involved in conflicts at the workplace ^b	182	-	-	41	23	385	-	-	48	12	0.002
Victimised at the workplace ^b	179	-	-	14	8	385	-	-	16	4	0.07
Serious conflict at the workplace ^b	195	-	-	39	20	388	-	-	40	10	0.001
Job strain	191	0.90	0.29	-	-	389	0.83	0.21	-	-	<0.001
Psychological demands	191	13.40	2.90	-	-	390	12.96	2.63	-	-	0.07
Decision latitude	191	18.48	3.04	-	-	390	19.20	2.54	-	-	0.003
Effort-reward imbalance ratio	185	0.90	0.33	-	-	386	0.83	0.28	-	-	0.01
Effort	192	13.05	3.45	-	-	391	12.24	3.34	-	-	0.007
Reward	185	33.46	5.91	-	-	386	33.57	5.43	-	-	0.82

^aConflict at work was coded as present if ≥ 1 of the seven items were answered as “yes” (“yes, sometimes” or “yes, often”).

^bCoded as present if the item was answered as yes (“yes, sometimes” or “yes, often”).

Table 3. Multivariate adjusted odds ratios of stroke and 95% confidence intervals for job strain, effort-reward imbalance and conflict at work						
	Model I (n=580)^a			Model Ib (n=455)^b		
Variable	OR	95% CI	p-value	OR	95% CI	p-value
Job strain	1.30	1.12 to 1.51	<0.001	1.30	1.05 to 1.62	0.02
Conflict at work	1.64	1.19 to 2.25	0.003	1.75	1.07 to 2.88	0.03
	Model II (n=571)^c			Model IIb (n=447)^d		
Variable	OR	95% CI	p-value	OR	95% CI	p-value
Effort-reward imbalance	1.13	0.96 to 1.33	0.15	1.28	1.01 to 1.62	0.04
Conflict at work	1.80	1.30 to 2.50	<0.001	1.86	1.11 to 3.11	0.02

n= number of observations used; OR = odds ratio; CI=confidence interval;

^a Multivariate including age, sex, job strain and conflict at work.

^b Multivariate including age, sex, job strain, conflict at work, low education, marital status, smoking, moderate/high physical activity, high blood pressure, diabetes, high blood cholesterol, BMI, and family history of stroke.

^c Multivariate including age, sex, effort-reward imbalance and conflict at work.

^d Multivariate including age, sex, effort-reward imbalance, conflict at work, low education, marital status, smoking, moderate/high physical activity, high blood pressure, diabetes, high blood cholesterol, BMI, and family history of stroke.

Table 4. Multivariate adjusted odds ratios of stroke and 95% confidence intervals for combined effect of job strain and effort-reward imbalance, and conflict at work

	Model I (n=444) ^a			Model II (n=444) ^b		
	OR	95% CI	p-value	OR	95% CI	p-value
Neither effort-reward ratio >1 nor job strain present	1.00			1.00		
Effort-reward ratio > 1 but job strain absent	1.53	0.79 to 2.94	0.20	1.25	0.62 to 2.49	0.53
Job strain present but effort-reward ratio ≤ 1	2.41	1.32 to 4.38	0.004	2.02	1.09 to 3.72	0.03
Effort-reward ratio > 1 AND job strain present	3.01	1.62 to 5.61	<0.001	2.31	1.17 to 4.54	0.02
Conflict at work	-	-	-	1.78	1.05 to 3.02	0.03

n= number of observations used; OR = odds ratio; CI=confidence interval

^aMultivariate adjusted for age, sex, combined effect of job strain and effort-reward imbalance, low education, marital status, smoking, moderate/high physical activity, high blood pressure, diabetes, high blood cholesterol, BMI, and family history of stroke.

^bMultivariate adjusted for age, sex, combined effect of job strain and effort-reward imbalance, conflict at work, low education, marital status, smoking, moderate/high physical activity, high blood pressure, diabetes, high blood cholesterol, BMI, and family history of stroke.

Figure legends

Figure 1: Flow chart showing included and excluded cases

