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Abstract

Necrotising enterocolitis (NEC) is a potentially devastating intestinal inflammation of multifactorial aetiology in premature or otherwise vulnerable neonates. Because of the broad spectrum of presentations, diagnosis and timing of surgical intervention may be challenging, and imaging needs to be an integrated part of management.

The first four studies included in this thesis used routinely collected, nationwide register data to describe the incidence of NEC in Sweden 1987–2009, its variation with time, seasonality, space-time clustering, and associations with maternal, gestational, and perinatal factors, and the risk of intestinal failure in the aftermath of the disease.

Early infant survival increased dramatically during the study period. The incidence rate of NEC was 0.34 per 1,000 live births, rising from 0.26 per 1,000 live births in the first six years of the study period to 0.57 in the last five. The incidence rates in the lowest birth weights were 100–160 times those of the entire birth cohort. Seasonal variation was found, as well as space-time clustering in association with delivery hospitals but not with maternal residential municipalities.

Comparing NEC cases with matched controls, some factors positively associated with NEC were isoimmunisation, fetal distress, caesarean section, persistent ductus arteriosus, cardiac and gastrointestinal malformations, and chromosomal abnormalities. Negative associations included maternal pre-eclampsia, maternal urinary infection, and premature rupture of the membranes. Intestinal failure occurred in 6% of NEC cases and 0.4% of controls, with the highest incidence towards the end of the study period.

The last study investigated current practices and perceptions of imaging in the management of NEC, as reported by involved specialists. There was great consensus on most issues. Areas in need of further study seem mainly related to imaging routines, the use of ultrasound, and indications for surgery.

Developing alongside the progress of neonatal care, NEC is a complex, multifactorial disease, with shifting patterns of predisposing and precipitating causes, and potentially serious long-term complications. The findings of
seasonal variation, space-time clustering, and negative associations with antenatal exposure to infectious agents, fit into the growing understanding of the central role of bacteria and immunological processes in normal maturation of the intestinal canal as well as in the pathogenesis of NEC. Imaging in the management of NEC may be developed through future studies combining multiple diagnostic parameters in relation to clinical outcome.
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### Abbreviations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ANID</td>
<td>Acquired neonatal intestinal disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AR</td>
<td>Abdominal radiography</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDR</td>
<td>Cause of death register (used in paper III and IV)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CI</td>
<td>Confidence interval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CS</td>
<td>Caesarean section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DAAS</td>
<td>Duke abdominal assessment scale</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GA</td>
<td>Gestational age</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HR</td>
<td>Hazard ratio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICD-9</td>
<td>International Classification of Disease, 9th revision</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICD-10</td>
<td>International Classification of Diseases, 10th revision</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IF</td>
<td>Intestinal failure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IRDS</td>
<td>Infant respiratory distress syndrome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IRR</td>
<td>Incidence rate ratio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IVH</td>
<td>Intraventricular haemorrhage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MBR</td>
<td>Swedish Medical Birth Register (used in paper III and IV)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCD</td>
<td>National Cause of Death Register (used in paper I)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEC</td>
<td>Necrotising enterocolitis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPR</td>
<td>National Patient Register</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NIRS</td>
<td>Near infra-red spectroscopy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OR</td>
<td>Odds ratio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDA</td>
<td>Persistent ductus arteriosus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PE</td>
<td>Pre-eclampsia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PI</td>
<td>Pneumatosis intestinalis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PP</td>
<td>Pneumoperitoneum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PROM</td>
<td>Premature rupture of the membranes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abbreviation</td>
<td>Definition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PVG</td>
<td>Portal venous gas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROP</td>
<td>Retinopathy of the premature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SGA</td>
<td>Small for gestational age</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIP</td>
<td>Spontaneous intestinal perforation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMB</td>
<td>Swedish Medical Birth Register (used in paper I)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US</td>
<td>Ultrasound</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VLBW</td>
<td>Very low birth weight</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Introduction

Necrotizing Enterocolitis (NEC) is a serious intestinal inflammation in neonates, which has developed alongside neonatal intensive care. The risk of NEC increases with increasing prematurity, but full-term infants may also be affected. A common pathophysiological pathway of multifactorial aetiology, rather than a uniform disease entity, NEC comes with a broad spectrum of clinical presentations – from the mild course of spontaneously resolving “medical” NEC to a fulminant course with rapid progression to massive bowel necrosis and death. Mortality is high in serious NEC and there is a substantial risk of long term complications, such as intestinal strictures, intestinal insufficiency, and neurodevelopmental impairment. Incidence rates, mortality rates, and identified or suspected risk factors have been observed to vary between populations and over time.

Ever since NEC was first described as a disease entity in the 1960’s, imaging has played an important role in definition, staging, and monitoring of the disease. Available methods, however, often fail at confirming the diagnosis at an early stage and determining the need for surgical intervention in serious cases.

This thesis describes the incidence rates, timely variations, and risk factors of NEC in infants born in Sweden 1987–2009, the occurrence of intestinal failure in NEC cases and matched controls in this cohort, and the perceived role of imaging in the management of NEC as reported by neonatologists, paediatric surgeons, and paediatric radiologists from various parts of the world.
Background

History

The first reports of what may, at least in some cases, have been NEC, appeared as early as the first half of the 19th century.1–4 After more case reports during the 19th and early 20th centuries, it was named by in 1959 and described as a clinical, radiographic and pathologic entity during the sixties.5,5,6 In 1978, Bell et al defined a severity staging system for NEC to support therapeutic decisions,7 which is, after gentle modification,8 still in use. With the ninth edition of the International Classification of Diagnoses system (ICD9) in 1987 an ICD-code for Necrotizing Enterocolitis was specified.

The early NEC patients were weak and vulnerable neonates, most often preterm but usually at least 30 weeks of gestation.9 With continuously improving survival of the most premature, the patient population has changed, challenging researchers to revise their understanding of the disease over the course of time.1

During the last decade, advocates of so called NEC reductionism have emphasised the need to delimit NEC against other acquired neonatal intestinal diseases (ANIDs) and differentiate between NEC of different origin.9–12

Pathophysiology

The aetiology of NEC is multifactorial and may differ according to the degree of maturity of the patient.12 With few exceptions, the common prerequisites are, as stated by Sántulli et al. in 1975, an injury to the intestine, the presence of bacteria, and availability of substrate for the bacteria, typically infant formula.3,5 In general, this still holds true, although during the last 15–20 years, immunological processes at the intestinal mucosa and the role of “bacterial-host crosstalk” in maturation of a large variety of intestinal and immunological functions have been explored. Increasing knowledge about the complex interactions between the innate immunity system and colonising bacteria, pro-inflammatory factors and modifying systems, contributes to the understanding of how the susceptibility of the neonatal gut is influenced by variations in the composition of intestinal microbiota, together with the immaturity of intestinal functions, such as motility and digestion, structural and biochemical barrier functions, and circulatory regulation.13–18 The injury to the mucosa was initially
thought to be ischemic in most cases, but in premature infants it is usually rather mediated by bacterial and inflammatory action,\textsuperscript{1,10} local ischemic changes being secondary to micro circulatory imbalance between vasoconstriction and vasodilatation as a result of inflammatory mediators, endothelial dysfunction and immature neurobiological regulation through the enteric and autonomous nervous systems.\textsuperscript{19} Intestinal injury and disruption of the neonatal gut barrier may, however, also be caused by splanchnic hypo-perfusion in response to a hypoxic or stressful event,\textsuperscript{20} which can explain the increased risk of NEC after such incidents in term and near-term infants, with greater intestinal maturity than preterm neonates. It has also been suggested that injury to the mucosa is caused by high concentrations of organic acids due to rapid fermentation of carbohydrates from stagnated milk substrate.\textsuperscript{10}

Systemic effects of the local release of inflammatory mediators may further enhance the damage to the intestine, not only as a consequence of NEC but also as a contributing factor.\textsuperscript{21} The result is coagulation necrosis, acute and chronic inflammation, and bacterial overgrowth with gas formation in the lumen as well as in the intestinal wall.\textsuperscript{21}

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{pathophysiology.png}
\caption{A simplified representation of the pathophysiology in NEC in relation to radiographic and ultrasonographic findings.}
\end{figure}
Gas within the intestinal wall, referred to intramural gas or pneumatosis intestinalis (PI), is one of the hallmarks of NEC on abdominal radiographs (AR). The other hallmark is portal venous gas (PVG), assumed to arise from leakage of intramural gas into the mesenteric venous system, which drains into the portal vein, or even from entering of gas-producing bacteria into the circulation.\textsuperscript{22,23} Figure 1 depicts a simplified summary of the pathophysiology of NEC and its possible relations to radiographic and ultrasonographic findings.

**Clinical Presentation, Differential Diagnosis, and Classifications**

The clinical presentation of NEC ranges from mild and unspecific, resolving with discontinued feeding, parenteral nutrition, and antibiotics, to fulminant, with rapid progression to intestinal gangrene, sepsis, organ failure and death. Mild symptoms include temperature instability, bradycardia, apnea, desaturation, increasing gastric residuals and abdominal distension. More serious symptoms are signs of peritonitis, discoloration of the abdomen, and a palpable abdominal mass. In advanced disease there is hypotension and disseminated intravascular coagulation.\textsuperscript{2} Together with the picture on plain abdominal radiography these signs form the basis of Bell’s criteria (Table 1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stage</th>
<th>Clinical findings</th>
<th>Gastrointestinal findings</th>
<th>Radiographic findings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>Apnoea, bradycardia</td>
<td>Gastric residuals</td>
<td>Mild intestinal dilatation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IIa</td>
<td>Apnoea, bradycardia</td>
<td>Grossly bloody stools</td>
<td>Marked intestinal dilatation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mild inflammation</td>
<td>Marked abdominal distension</td>
<td>Ileus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IIb</td>
<td>Thrombocytopenia</td>
<td>Absent bowel sounds</td>
<td>Pneumatosis intestinalis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mild acidosis</td>
<td>Abdominal tenderness</td>
<td>Portal venous gas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Inflammation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IIIa</td>
<td>Moderate acidosis</td>
<td>Abdominal discoularation</td>
<td>Pneumatosis intestinalis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Coagulopathy</td>
<td></td>
<td>Portal venous gas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hypotension</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Oliguria</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IIIb</td>
<td>Shock</td>
<td>Perforated bowel</td>
<td>Free abdominal air</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Bell’s Criteria as modified by Walsh and Kliegmann and adopted by Dördelmann et.al.\textsuperscript{24}
The time of onset is typically around the second week in life but has been observed to correlate inversely with gestational age, although there is great variation, presumably depending on variations in pathophysiological pathways. The early onset of term and near-term infants is thought to be due to an immediately precipitating perinatal event. A very early onset in an extremely premature infant may suggest Spontaneous Intestinal Perforation (SIP) rather than NEC. Onset during the second week in life is thought to correspond to the transition from the first to the second phase of intestinal colonisation. Time of onset has also been suggested to relate to the rate of advancement to full feedings.

The clinical presentation itself may also be influenced by gestational age. The extremely premature frequently exhibit a less specific picture dominated by ileus, whereas hematochezia, abdominal tenderness and guarding are more commonly found in higher gestational ages. The difference may be explained by a more distal location with involvement of the colon in term infants. Extremely premature infants also seem less likely to present with PVG, possibly explained by lack of substrate in unfed or cautiously fed infants, reduced peristalsis in the immature gut, shortening of the phase of intramural bacterial growth in fulminant NEC, and lack of circulation in necrotic bowel.

Laboratory parameters include elevated C-reactive protein (CRP), neutropenia, leucocytosis, thrombocytopenia, hyponatraemia, acidosis and hyperglycaemia, which may be present in various combinations. The acidosis is commonly of mixed metabolic type, but respiratory acidosis may occur in advanced disease.

It is generally accepted that NEC should be differentiated from SIP, which, although often misdiagnosed as perforated NEC, is recognized as an entity of its own. The possibilities to distinguish SIP from NEC without a laparotomy or autopsy are also being discussed and investigated. In a survey performed by Zani et al. 46% of responding surgeons reported that they were able to diagnose SIP preoperatively. Blakely et al. reported 95% correct preoperative diagnosis in 156 infants operated on for NEC or SIP, and Bohnhorst et al. found good sensitivity and specificity, using ultrasound in combination with Gordon’s classification (see below). In contrast, Allin et al.
suggested that the conditions be treated equally preoperatively and
differentiated postoperatively according to the intraoperative finding.\textsuperscript{35}

Apart from SIP, suggested differential diagnoses include viral enteritis of
infancy, feeding intolerance of prematurity, cow’s milk protein allergy, ischemic
bowel disease due to cardiac anomalies, and Hirschspring’s
disease.\textsuperscript{9,11,36} Aspects aiding in differential diagnosis would be the gestational
age, age at onset and feeding volumes, stool cultures, blood cultures, the
presence or absence of coagulopathy, C-reactive protein levels, white blood cell
and platelet counts and clinical symptoms such as hematochezia and ileus.\textsuperscript{9,36}

In 2007, Gordon and coworkers suggested a classification, or system for
differential diagnosis, which seeks to take all these aspects into account.\textsuperscript{4}
Suggestions for subdivision of NEC of different origin have followed.\textsuperscript{12}

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Signs and symptoms</th>
<th>FIP</th>
<th>NEC</th>
<th>SIP</th>
<th>VEI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age at onset</td>
<td>&lt; 2 weeks</td>
<td>&gt; 2 weeks</td>
<td>&lt; 2 weeks</td>
<td>&gt; 2 weeks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feeds (ml/kg/d)</td>
<td>&lt; 80</td>
<td>&gt; 80</td>
<td>&lt; 40</td>
<td>&gt; 120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pneumatosis</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>common</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Free abdominal air</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>20 – 30 %</td>
<td>100 %</td>
<td>30 – 40 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ileus</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>variable</td>
<td>not initially</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bloody stools</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>uncommon</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coagulopathy</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>if severe</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>if severe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pathogens</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>enterobacteria</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>rotavirus; enterovirus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clustering</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surgical finding</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>pneumatosis</td>
<td>focal perforation</td>
<td>pneumatosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Histological finding</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>mucosal obliteration</td>
<td>necrosis</td>
<td>less inflammation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Gordon’s classification, adopted from a summarised version by Bohnhorst et.al.\textsuperscript{34}

FIP: feeding intolerance of prematurity, NEC: necrotizing enterocolitis, SIP: spontaneous intestinal
perforation, VEI: viral enteritis. Gordon et.al.\textsuperscript{9} further divides NEC into preterm NEC in BW <1250 g
and ischemic NEC in >1250 g and includes cow’s milk protein allergy as a differential diagnosis in
BW>1250 g.

Battersby et.al. recently reported on an attempt to create a propensity score for
NEC, based on the sensitivity and specificity of a carefully selected combination
of clinical and radiographical signs together with the probability of NEC at
different gestational ages. Findings at laparotomy or autopsy, or a reasonably
certain clinical diagnosis of NEC, were used as reference standard.
The signs or combination of signs were assigned 1-3 points each as follows (points within brackets): Abdominal discoloration (1), Abdominal tenderness (1), the combination of increased or bilious aspirates and abdominal distention (1), one or more of pneumoperitoneum, portal venous gas, and fixed loop on AR (1), blood in stool (2) pneumatosis intestinalis on AR (3). Accepting a positive predictive value of 60% or more, the resulting cut-off scores for a diagnosis of NEC were set to 2 in gestational ages <30 full weeks, 3 in gestational ages 30–36 full weeks, and 4 in gestational ages ≥37 weeks.

Imaging in Differential Diagnosis, Staging and Monitoring of NEC

Ever since NEC was described as a clinical, pathologic, and radiographic entity, imaging has been an integrated part of its management. It was soon recognized, that subtle radiographic signs of early NEC may appear before clinical signs of the disease and progress ahead of clinical deterioration, but also that the typical radiographic signs, pneumatosis intestinalis (PI) and portal venous gas (PVG), were often transient, pneumoperitoneum (PP) frequently missing in spite of intestinal perforation, and the overall sensitivity of AR low, especially in extremely low birth weight infants. These insights, together with the reports of early detection of PVG with ultrasound by Merritt et.al. and the studies of mesenteric circulation in neonates by van Bel et.al., led Fotter and Sorantin to suggested a standardized algorithm for early diagnosis and evaluation of progress, in which AR in two projections and ultrasonography was recommended for diagnosis in all cases, followed by repeated examinations at 4-6 hours interval, or at least daily, with supine and left lateral decubitus films each time, and repeated ultrasounds at every 12 to 24 hours. 

Apart from low sensitivity, AR also suffers from of considerable intra- and interobserver variability and presumably some variability in the quality of the radiographs, depending on the skill of the radiographer. To improve diagnostic accuracy, radiographic patterns have been analysed at greater detail, defining early signs, such as ileus and elongation of bowel loops, loss of bowel wall definition, and a disorganised, atonic pattern of intestinal gas. These early signs, together with the more typical findings of pneumatosis intestinalis, portal venous gas, and pneumoperitoneum, have been organised into the Duke Abdominal Assessment Scale (DAAS), a standardised 10 point radiographic
scale, increasing with disease severity and likelihood of surgical intervention.\textsuperscript{49} and reported to have fair interobserver agreement.\textsuperscript{50, 51}

Table 3: The DAAS scale\textsuperscript{49}

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>Normal gas pattern</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Mild distention</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Moderate distention or normal with bubbly lucencies that are likely stool</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Focal moderate distention of bowel loops</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Separation or focal thickening of bowel loops</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Featureless or multiple separated bowel loops</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Possible pneumatosis with other abnormal findings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Fixed or persistent dilation of bowel loops</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Pneumatosis (highly probable or definite)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Portal venous gas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Pneumoperitoneum</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In addition to this effort to reduce interobserver variability and communication uncertainty through systematic reading and reporting of AR findings\textsuperscript{50} efforts to improve diagnostic accuracy include development and evaluation of the use of ultrasound (US) in NEC.\textsuperscript{52, 53}

In the 1980’s and 90’s PVG on US was described as an early sign of NEC,\textsuperscript{44, 54, 55} as opposed to on AR, where it is considered a sign of advanced disease.\textsuperscript{23, 56–59}

Later studies, however, have not always been able to confirm the high sensitivity.\textsuperscript{24, 60, 61} The specificity of most sonographic findings, i.e. PVG, bowel wall thinning, PI, echoic free fluid and focal fluid collections, on the other hand, has been found to be high.\textsuperscript{24, 61} In respect to NEC reductionism, the combination of PVG and PI on ultrasound was highly sensitive and specific for NEC in relation other SIP, when retrospectively evaluated with surgical results as reference standard.\textsuperscript{34}

Several studies of flow velocities in the superior mesenteric artery in connection with NEC were reported in the early 1990’s, however with inconsistent results.\textsuperscript{52–67}

Complex ascites (focal or echoic fluid) has been associated with intestinal gangrene, perforation, the need for surgery, or otherwise poor outcome.\textsuperscript{39, 42, 68, 69} PP detected with US may also predict poor outcome, as well as a combination of three or more of: increased wall echogenicity, absent perfusion, PVG, bowel wall thinning, bowel wall thickening, free fluid with echoes or PI.\textsuperscript{39} Absent perfusion detected with doppler, in combination with various other signs, has been associated with bowel necrosis\textsuperscript{70, 71} and the finding of a dilated, elongated
intestinal loop on AR, which has in turn been associated with poor outcome.  

An overview of ultrasonographic and radiographic signs and their occurrence in the literature is given in tables 4 and 5. The selection of articles may, however, not be complete.

**Table 4:**
Reports on radiographic signs of NEC in the literature

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year of publication</th>
<th>Year of publication</th>
<th>Pneumoperitoneum/free gas</th>
<th>Pneumatosis intestinalis/intramural gas</th>
<th>Portal venous gas</th>
<th>Fixed/persistent loop</th>
<th>Pattern of gas distribution</th>
<th>Intestinal dilatation</th>
<th>Separation of intestinal loops</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rabinowitz(^{37})</td>
<td>1976</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Siegle(^{40})</td>
<td>1976</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daneman(^{22})</td>
<td>1978</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kogutt(^{41})</td>
<td>1979</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mata(^{48})</td>
<td>1980</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leonard(^{73})</td>
<td>1982</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*Cikrit(^{74})</td>
<td>1985</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frey(^{75})</td>
<td>1987</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*Kennedy(^{76})</td>
<td>1987</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kosloske(^{56})</td>
<td>1988</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brill(^{77})</td>
<td>1990</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bömelburg(^{78})</td>
<td>1992</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rehan(^{43})</td>
<td>1999</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Molik(^{77})</td>
<td>2001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tam(^{71})</td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Faingold(^{70})</td>
<td>2005</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kim(^{50})</td>
<td>2005</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sharma(^{23})</td>
<td>2005</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coursey(^{50})</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dördelman(^{74})</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bonhorst(^{44})</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dilli(^{81})</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muchante(^{53})</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silva(^{72})</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muller(^{79})</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Abstracts only accessed
Table 5: 
Reports on ultrasonographic signs of NEC in the literature

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year of publication</th>
<th>Focal fluid collections</th>
<th>Turbid/echoic fluid</th>
<th>Portal venous gas</th>
<th>Bowel thickening</th>
<th>Pneumatosis intestinals/intramural gas</th>
<th>Clear/anechoic fluid</th>
<th>Pneumoperitoneum/free gas</th>
<th>Intestinal motility</th>
<th>Bowel perfusion (doppler)</th>
<th>Mesenteric circulation (doppler)</th>
<th>Bowel thinning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Merritt44</td>
<td>1984</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lindley90</td>
<td>1986</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bömelburg78</td>
<td>1992</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coombs52</td>
<td>1992</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kempley53</td>
<td>1992</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deeg64</td>
<td>1992</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miller69</td>
<td>1993</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Riccabona65</td>
<td>1993</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schmidt66</td>
<td>1994</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Faingold70</td>
<td>2005</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kim50</td>
<td>2005</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silva72</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dördelmann24</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McBride32</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bochnorst34</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dilli61</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muchantef33</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silva72</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yikilmaz21</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palleri68</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

US has been suggested to be used for: detection of PI, PVG, and intra-abdominal fluid and evaluation of bowel peristalsis, thickness, and perfusion of the bowel wall in neonates with mild symptoms and nonspecific plain film findings; confirmation of an indication for surgery in neonates with more advanced NEC; additional information in neonates with a paucity of bowel gas or a fixed bowel loop over serial radiographs; and demonstration of PVG in patients with diffusely gas distended bowel, where evaluation of the abdominal cavity is impaired by intraluminal gas.52,53
Epidemiology – Incidence and Risk Factors

❖ Incidence

NEC incidence is known to vary geographically with frequencies thought to be especially low in Japan, Switzerland, and some Scandinavian countries.\(^5\)\(^,\)\(^8\)\(^1\)\(^,\)\(^8\)\(^2\) Incidence rates from the United States vary between 0.7 and 1 in 1000 live births, with significantly higher incidence rates in infants of African American descent (1.6–2.2 per 1000 live births) than whites (0.5–0.6 per 1000 live births).\(^2\)\(^5\)\(^,\)\(^8\)\(^3\)–\(^8\)\(^5\) In the United Kingdom and Ireland, 0.3 cases of proven NEC in 1000 live births were estimated during 1981–1984 (0.5 when including suspected cases).\(^2\)\(^8\)

Among infants with birth weights of 1500 g or less, incidence rates of 2-7% have been reported in various studies from Europe, North America, and South Korea at different times between 1983 and 2014.\(^8\)\(^6\)–\(^8\)\(^9\) A Canadian multicentre study reported 5.1% in 16,669 neonates of <33 weeks gestational age 2003–2008 with considerable variation between participating units.\(^2\)\(^7\) In the national extremely preterm infants study in Sweden (EXPRESS) 6% of the live born with a gestational age of less than 27 full weeks developed NEC.\(^9\)\(^0\) In England, Rees et.al. found 14% NEC of all stages in birthweights <1,000 g and gestational ages <26 w in 2005–2006, and Battersby et.al. found severe NEC, i.e. requiring surgery, or stated as the primary cause of death, in 3% of infants with gestational ages <32 weeks 2012–2013. The proportion of term infants varies between 7% and 25%.\(^2\)\(^5\),\(^2\)\(^8\),\(^9\)\(^2\)–\(^9\)\(^7\)

Advances in ante- and perinatal care of premature infants have resulted in dramatically improving early survival in this group, which may lead to increasing incidence of NEC and other diseases typical to the premature.\(^2\)\(^5\),\(^8\)\(^3\),\(^9\)\(^8\),\(^9\)\(^9\)

❖ Seasonality and clustering

NEC has been reported sometimes to occur in clusters or epidemics,\(^1\)\(^0\)\(^0\)–\(^1\)\(^0\)\(^4\) especially in winter- and early summer months, and seasonal variation has been described.\(^1\)\(^0\)\(^5\),\(^1\)\(^0\)\(^6\) According to some authors, the incidence of viral induced NEC is increasing.\(^3\)\(^0\) No specific pathogen has been identified as causative of NEC, but a great number of microbial organisms, have occasionally been isolated in conjunction with outbreaks,\(^5\),\(^1\)\(^0\)\(^7\)–\(^1\)\(^0\)\(^9\) and infection control measures have been effective in preventing further cases.\(^1\)\(^0\)\(^2\),\(^1\)\(^0\)\(^8\),\(^1\)\(^1\)\(^0\)
Risk factors

It is well known that pre-term birth is the most consistent predisposing factor for NEC. Beeby and Jeffrey concluded: “In the 25–29 week range all babies are at risk on the basis of extreme prematurity. In the 30–36-week range, asphyxiated and growth retarded babies are at increased risk, while at term a major predisposing event appears to be required.”97 Palmer et al showed that risk factors varied according to birth weight.111

In some studies of the full-term sub-group, all NEC cases were admitted to an NICU for a reason other than NEC,112,113 whereas others report that such a predisposing factor seemed to be absent in 12.5%–60%.96,114,115 Identified risk factors for NEC, apart from prematurity and low birth weight, vary between studies with sometimes contradictory results. There is support for familial predisposition,116 and genetic factors may influence several of the pathogenetic pathways of NEC.117

Table 6 presents a selection of factors, which have at some stage been suspected to predispose for NEC. Some of them are commented on below.

➢ Pre-eclampsia (PE) in the mother has been thought of as a potential risk factor of NEC through reduced placental perfusion, ischemia, and fetal growth restriction.118 In a study of 211 low birth weight infants in 1995–1998, PE was identified as an independent risk factor for NEC.119 A larger prospective study reached the same conclusion, but statistical significance was not confirmed in multivariable regression.118 Results of retrospective studies include an independent association of PE with NEC in the subgroup of growth restricted neonates only,120 overrepresentation of PE among NEC cases without statistical significance,121 or PE as a significant risk factor for NEC but tested in univariable analyses only.122

➢ Antibiotics administered to the mother: In a Malaysian register study, intrapartum antibiotics administered to mothers were found to be protective of NEC.123 A meta-analysis from 2013 of treatment of mothers for premature rupture of membranes, however, found a tendency towards lower risk for NEC with a shorter course of antibiotics, but, except for the combination of amoxicillin and clavulanic acid, antibiotics per se did not increase the risk.124
Premature rupture of membranes (PROM) and chorioamnionitis increase the risk of premature birth. Several studies, including one meta-analysis, have also indicated that it independently increases the risk of NEC and/or SIP. Others found no association of the severity of chorioamnionitis or presence of histological chorioamnionitis with NEC. NEC occasionally occurs in infants, who have never been fed. It has been speculated that infected amniotic fluid could act as substrate. Infected amniotic fluid is also involved in the “two-hit hypothesis”, which implies that intrauterine exposure to inflammatory stimuli may “prime” cells of the innate immunity and make them more reactive, so that, on encounter with inflammatory stimuli peri- or postnatally they, may react with exaggerated production of proinflammatory cytokines involved in the pathogenesis of NEC.

Table 6: Some suggested risk factors for NEC

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Risk Factor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Increasing maternal age</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low maternal age</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maternal cigarette smoking</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maternal substance abuse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maternal HIV infection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-eclampsia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intrahepatic cholestasis of pregnancy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antenatal Indomethacin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chorioamnionitis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prolonged rupture of membranes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Placental abruption</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antenatal doppler abnormalities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiple birth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birth outside a perinatal centre</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vaginal delivery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cesarean section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meconium aspiration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asphyxia/Low Apgars</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antenatal glucocorticoids</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surfactant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>African American descent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male sex</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small for Gestational Age</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Congenital digestive, musculoskeletal, or multiple anomalies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Congenital cardiovascular abnormality</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDA/treatment for PDA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyaline membrane disease/IRDS/respiratory problems</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Congenital pneumonia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assisted ventilation/prolonged ventilator support/CPAP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treatment with narcotics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acidois/treatment for acidosis/lower cord pH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypotension/chok/treatment for hypotension</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Early onset bacterial sepsis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypoglycemia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polycythemia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Red Cell Transfusion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Immunoglobuline treatment for hemolytic anemia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exchange transfusion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presence of umbilical catheters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absence of an umbilical catheter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inhibitors of gastric acid secretion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prolonged empirical antibiotics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Formula feeding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breastmilk fortifier</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Antenatal steroids are, together with routine administration of synthetic surfactant at birth, part of the proactive approach to perinatal care that has developed during the last decades. A meta-analysis from 2006 found a clear protective effect of antenatal corticosteroids against NEC. A later systematic review found reduced risk for combined serious outcome including NEC, with repeat doses of antenatal steroids compared to a single dose, and a meta-analysis from 2013 found no significant difference between sorts of corticosteroids, ways of administration or regimen, although with just one or two studies addressing each outcome.

Caesarean section. Given the importance of colonisation with normal intestinal flora for the maturation of the neonatal intestine, caesarean section might be expected to increase the risk for NEC. The suspicion was raised in one small study of NEC in 14 term infants where it was noted that all but one were delivered by CS, that half of the cases lacked obvious predisposing factors for NEC, and that an increasing frequency of CS seemed to be paralleled by an increasing incidence of NEC in term infants. Others have instead found vaginal delivery a risk factor for NEC in the premature or no significant difference.

Congenital heart disease. Major heart disease is a well-established risk factor for NEC in mature infants, but may also influence the risk of very low birthweight infants (<1500 g) according to two large multicentre studies from the US, investigating NEC in infants with atrial and ventricular septum defects 1997–2010 and serious congenital heart disease in 2006–2011 respectively.

Patent ductus arteriosus (PDA). The role of a PDA in NEC is not quite clear. A hemodynamically significant PDA results in reduced blood flow to the mesenteric vascular bed and so does medical treatment with indomethacin. Surgery for PDA, as well as Indomethacin, has emerged as a risk factor for NEC in some studies. A meta-analysis from 2007 found an increased risk of NEC with a long indomethacin course compared to a short one.
Blood transfusion. Transfusion of red blood cells has long been suspected to contribute to the occurrence or severity of NEC.\textsuperscript{150-155,172} One proposed mechanism is that a sudden increase in blood viscosity would reduce mesenteric blood flow and, in case of simultaneous enteral feeding, cause a relative ischemia.\textsuperscript{30} An alternative explanation is an immunologic influence working through mesenteric arterial reactivity and nitric oxide pathways as investigated in premature lambs.\textsuperscript{173} So far, however, evidence has been equivocal,\textsuperscript{174,175} and a recent meta-analysis, found that the quality of the studies on transfusion induced NEC was generally low.

Infant formula: Apart from acting as bacterial substrate, infant formula, compared to human milk, contains more free fatty acids, which have been shown to be cytotoxic in high concentrations, when digested in vitro.\textsuperscript{176} Breast milk, in contrast, has been found to be protective against NEC.\textsuperscript{177-183} Bioactive components of the milk and maternal immunoglobulins contribute to immune modulation, stimulation of gut colonisation with beneficial microorganisms, and defence against pathogens through bacteriostatic-, bactericidal-, and neutralising effects, preventing attachment and invasion of pathogens to the intestinal mucosa. Literature on breast milk components and their beneficial effects is abundant, and has been summarised in several reviews with different perspectives.\textsuperscript{16,184-186} Despite great support for the protective role of breast milk compared to formula feeding, there are however studies, where no such difference was demonstrated.\textsuperscript{86,113,149}

Empirical antibiotics. A systematic review in 2001 suggested that prophylactic enteral administration of antibiotics reduced the incidence of NEC in low birth weight infants\textsuperscript{187}, but later studies have indicated that the duration of empirical antibiotics in premature neonates without a positive blood culture is associated with an increasing incidence of NEC and other adverse effect such as late onset sepsis and death.\textsuperscript{163-165}

H2-blockers, routinely used in neonatal intensive care, may increase the risk of NEC according to observational studies.\textsuperscript{160-162} Faecal microbial diversity seems to be reduced and the microbial pattern shifted towards proteobacteria.\textsuperscript{14} The risk of NEC may also be increased by direct effects of H2-blockers on the immune system and decreased intestinal motility.\textsuperscript{161,188}
Prevention
Quality improvement projects including scheduled feeding and the use of human milk, conservative feeding guidelines during blood transfusions, and indomethacin treatment, and restrictive use of H2-blockers seem to be able to reduce the incidence of NEC in institutions. As each program included a combination of preventive measures, it is, however, difficult to discern which ones had effect.

As for feeding schedules, systematic reviews with meta-analyses of randomised and quasi randomised studies have failed to show any difference in NEC between early or late introduction of progressive enteral feeding, trophic feeding compared to enteral fasting, or slow versus faster advancement of feeding. Among the studies included in the first two reviews, there were, however, few participants of gestational age <28 weeks or birthweight <1000 g. It has been proposed that scheduled feeding per se, regardless of protocol, may reduce NEC through enhancing the awareness of feeding and feeding volumes.

Since microbial imbalance, dysbiosis, is thought to play an important role in NEC, the potential of probiotics to promote colonisation with beneficial bacteria and thereby prevent NEC has been investigated. After initial uncertainty about efficacy and safety of probiotics, it was concluded in a recent systematic review, that enteral supplementation with probiotics prevented NEC, especially when multiple strains were combined, and, although not systematically analysed, no adverse effects were noted in the included studies. The optimal combination of bacterial strains is, however, not settled.

Treatment
Medical management of NEC consists of bowel rest, antibiotics, gastric decompression and supportive care including ventilatory support, fluid resuscitation, inotropic support, and correction of acid-base imbalance, coagulopathy and thrombocytopenia, as well as close surveillance with clinical evaluation, monitoring of laboratory status, and imaging, in order to detect deterioration and prevent delay of surgical intervention when needed.

Surgical treatment consists in laparotomy with resection of necrotic bowel or percutaneous peritoneal drainage, frequently followed by laparotomy. The frequency of surgical treatment varies between populations. Holman reported
27%, 31% in birthweights < 1500 g. Around 50% has also been reported.\textsuperscript{99,199,200} Heida found decreasing frequencies of surgical interventions from 53% in 2005–2007 to 29% in 2011–2013, with pneumoperitoneum increasing its share of indications for surgery from 47 to 63%.\textsuperscript{201}

\begin{itemize}
\item Indications for Surgery
\end{itemize}

The only generally accepted indication for surgery is PP on AR,\textsuperscript{70,202,203} as a sign of intestinal perforation. Especially in the extremely low birth weight infants, however, the sensitivity of radiographic signs is low.\textsuperscript{26,39} PP is known to be missing in a great proportion of infants with perforated NEC.\textsuperscript{31,37,75} Other signs, such as persistent/fixed loop and PVG are discussed.\textsuperscript{23,46,56,57,59,79,204} Although PVG on AR has been associated with poor outcome,\textsuperscript{56} it has also been suggested that not PVG, but severity of NEC, is associated with the need for surgical intervention.\textsuperscript{23} Ultrasonographic signs that may indicate intestinal necrosis and need for surgery include localized and/or turbid fluid\textsuperscript{53,68,72} and absent perfusion with reduced peristalsis.\textsuperscript{71}

Clinical indications for surgical intervention include deterioration in spite of maximal medical treatment, abdominal erythema or a palpable abdominal mass.\textsuperscript{144,199,203} The potential of biomarkers to distinguish serious NEC, that may require surgery, from milder disease, is being explored.\textsuperscript{205} Blakely reported that, in 156 infants undergoing laparotomy or drainage for NEC or SIP, the indication was PP in 64%, clinical deterioration despite medical therapy in 66%, PVG in 14%, abdominal wall erythema in 28%, infected ascites in 5%, and other factors in 26%.\textsuperscript{33} Obviously one individual could have more than one indication.

Tepas et.al. investigated a combination of metabolic parameters and found that timing surgery according to seven criteria of metabolic derangement (MD7), rendered better outcome than waiting for free gas on AR to appear.\textsuperscript{206} He et.al reported that, in infants without PP, abdominal erythema, elevated C-reactive protein, and, especially, what they called “a critical imaging report”, were all independent predictors for the need of surgery, indicated by a deteriorating general condition and failure to respond to conservative management. The critical imaging report was defined as any finding of persistent loop or PVG on AR or sonographic evidence of thickening of the bowel wall, absent peristalsis,
or echogenic, free intraabdominal fluid. Of these signs, PVG on AR had the highest specificity, and absent peristalsis on US the highest sensitivity.59

Outcome
Like incidence of NEC, mortality rates vary widely between studies. In populations not restricted to a particular range of gestational age or birth weight, mortality rates of 12 to 38.5% have been reported25,28,84,85,140,201,207,208 with seemingly lower rates in later publication years. After surgical treatment, mortality may be considerably higher.144,207,209 Reported risk factors for death in NEC include low birth weight,85,210 early onset and bleeding tendency,28 hispanic ethnicity, and number of ICD-diagnoses.85 Extension of necrotic bowel, sepsis and organ failure also contribute to adverse outcome.33,207 Holman et al found a decreasing NEC mortality rate 1979 through 1986, which they attributed to improved neonatal intensive care, but increasing rates 1986 through 1992, despite improved over-all early survival.83 Luig and Lui found mainly unchanged mortality rates 1986–1999, as did Heida in 2005–2013.

Long term complications include strictures of the bowel in 20–57% of survivors,24,113 adhesions, enteric fistulas, intestinal insufficiency, and neurodevelopmental impairment, as well as secondary complications due to parenteral nutrition and prolonged intensive care.2,10,207,211 Data from Canada and Sweden show that 73–83% of survivors after extremely preterm birth have no severe neurodevelopmental impairment,212,213 NEC, however, significantly increases the risk.212–214

Stricture of the bowel may occur after medical NEC, sometimes even in not confirmed cases,12 but is more common after surgical NEC. In a Chinese study of 464 neonates with NEC, post-NEC strictures were predicted by a pronounced inflammatory response in the acute phase, expressed as a prolonged and accentuated elevation of C-reactive protein, thrombocytopenia, and clinical signs of peritonitis.

Intestinal insufficiency, may result from bowel resection for NEC, but also from NEC itself. Defined as dependency of total parenteral nutrition after 90 days, an overall frequency of about 15% has been reported,215,216 considerably higher at 42 days215 and after surgery.215,216 Risk factors, in addition to NEC, included low birthweight, extent of small intestine resection, ventilator use at diagnosis of NEC, use of antibiotics at diagnosis of NEC, and gram negative bacteremia.215,216
Aims of the present work

Register studies:
I. To investigate temporal, seasonal, and geographic variations in the incidence of necrotising enterocolitis (NEC), and its relation to early infant survival in the Swedish population, as well as in subgroups based on gestational age, birth weight, and sex.

II. To investigate the occurrence of space-time clusters of NEC in the Swedish population and the development of clustering over time, using maternal residential municipality and delivery hospital to define closeness in space.

III. To analyse differences in maternal, fetal, gestational, and perinatal factors among NEC cases and matched controls, and identify associations of these factors with NEC.

IV. To analyse the incidence of intestinal failure and its previously suggested risk factors in infants with a history of NEC compared to matched controls.

Multispecialist survey
V. To investigate current practices and perceptions of imaging in the management of NEC, as reported by involved specialists, put them in the context of current literature, and find areas in need of further study.
Material and Methods

Epidemiological Studies (papers I–IV)
Anonymised data on the national birth cohorts of 1987 through 2009 was obtained from the following registers held by the Swedish Board of Health and Welfare: National Patient Register (NPR), the Medical Birth Register (MBR)\(^1\), and the Cause of Death Register (CDR)\(^2\), and supplemented with socioeconomic data from Statistics Sweden.

❖ Case Ascertainment
All posts in the registers containing a discharge diagnosis code of NEC, according to the World Health Organization (WHO) International Classification of Disease, 9\(^{th}\) or 10\(^{th}\) revision, (ICD9 or ICD10), i.e. 777F or P77 respectively, were identified. Posts containing a full personal identification number, allowing linkage between registers, belonged to 794 individuals, of which 720 could also be found with their identification number in the MBR.

Of the remaining posts, most could be traced and linked to unique individuals using information on sex, date of birth, dates of discharge and admission, place of residency, diagnoses other than NEC, as well as knowledge of the referral system between hospitals, resulting in an estimation of a total of 920 individuals with NEC. 112 of these could, however, not be reliably linked to any post in the MBR, and were therefore excluded from the incidence studies (paper I and II) as well as from the case-control studies (paper III and IV). 88 individuals could with reasonable certainty be linked to unique individuals in the MBR, although the personal identification number was missing in the NPR registrations. These were included in the incidence studies but not in the case-control studies. The incidence studies thus counted 808 cases of NEC of which 720 were included in the case-control studies.

\(^1\) Abbreviated SMB in Paper I.
\(^2\) Abbreviated NCD in Paper I.
Case Ascertainment Flow Chart

Medical Birth Register MBR
488 patients with NEC diagnosis
22 without personal identification number

National Patients Register NPR
In patients with NEC diagnosis 1987 - 2009:
- 1,494 hospitalisations
- 576 without personal identification number
- 63 without date of birth
Out patients with NEC diagnosis 2001 - 2009:
- 305 visits
- 70 without personal identification number
- 4 without date of birth

National Causes of Death Register
112 deaths with NEC as principal or contributing cause
8 without personal identification number

Lacking personal identification number
579 registrations from NPR
+ 8 individuals from NCD

794 unique individuals identified by their personal identification number

74 cases not found in the MBR

Case-control studies (paper III and IV):
720 cases with full identity information retrieved in the MBR.
1–6 controls for each case, matched for gestational age and year of birth.
⇒ 3,664 controls

Excluded 8 controls: 7 possibly identical with manually identified cases, 1 with NEC diagnosis in 2010

720 cases and 3,656 controls
Information from the MBR, NPR and NCD 1987-2011 for cases and controls

Incidence studies (paper I and II):
720 cases with full identity information
80 manually identified cases
8 cases with NEC diagnosis in MBR
⇒ 808 cases
Complete MBR 1987 - 2009 as background population

99 are with reasonable certainty identical with individuals already identified as cases.
80 are with reasonable certainty identical with other individuals in the MBR, 14 of which have a diagnosis of NEC but no personal identification number (in all 22 posts, of which 8 remain).
112 cannot be reliably identified in the MBR.

Complete MBR for 1987-2009

Manual identification process:
291 probable individuals based on date of birth, sex, place of residency, diagnoses other than NEC and plausible chain of admissions.

Excluded: 67 posts without date of birth; could not be traced further
Subgroups According to Gestational Age and Birthweight
Since the incidence rate, presentation and risk factor patterns are known to vary with gestational age and birthweight, the population was divided in subgroups according to these parameters with limits chosen to fit the definitions of ICD10.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subgroups Defined by Gestational age</th>
<th>Subgroups Defined by Birth Weight</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Extremely premature</td>
<td>Most Extremely Low Birth Weight</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;750 g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very premature</td>
<td>Extremely Low Birth Weight</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>750–999 g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderately premature</td>
<td>Very Low Birth Weight</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1000–1499 g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Full term</td>
<td>Moderately Low Birth Weight</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1500–2499 g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Normal Birth Weight</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>≥2500 g</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Handling of Missing Data
In order to estimate the influence of missing data on the results, the occurrence of codes for diagnoses “highly premature” or “very low birth weight” (765A according to ICD-9 or P070 or P072 according to ICD-10), “other” premature or low birth weight (ICD-9 765B; ICD-10 P071 or P073), and “small for gestational age” (ICD-9 764A, 764B, 764D, 764E, or 764X; ICD-10 P050 or P051), abdominal surgery as well as in-hospital deaths, was compared for the children with and without traceable perinatal data in the SMB and for hospitalisations with and without a valid personal identification number.

In the risk factor analysis, some missing information would cause the loss of a substantial number of observations in the multivariable analyses. Such missing values were found in birthweight (n=90), length at birth (n=932), Apgar score at 1 minute (n=174), Apgar score at 5 minutes (n=197), Apgar score at 10 minutes (n=627), maternal weight (n=1,245), and maternal disposable income (n=47). After checking for missingness at random the missing values were supplemented through multiple imputations.

Incidence and Trends
The complete extract from the MBR 1987–2009 was used as background population to 808 cases of NEC, of which 88 had been manually identified as described above.

Cases and background population characteristics were analysed with descriptive statistics. Poisson regression was used for covariations and trends, reporting results as IRR. The term annual IRR was used to describe the strength of trends over time.
❖ Seasonality and Clustering
The same background population and NEC cases were used for analysis of seasonal variation and the occurrence of space time clustering in NEC incidence. Seasonal variation was analysed in the complete monthly time series by investigating for the presence of harmonic cyclicity with auto correlation. A seasonal subseries plot was used to graphically identify the eventual peak and trough (paper I).

The Knox space–time cluster analysis and the Kulldorff’s space–time permutation scan were used to identify significant space-time clustering of NEC. Delivery hospital and the mother’s residential municipality were used to define closeness in space (paper II).

❖ Risk Factors
For the analysis of risk factors of NEC, the 720 cases with full identity information in the MBR were used with 1-6 controls, matched for gestational age in days and year of birth. Due to limited numbers of available controls especially in the most premature, the desired number of 6 controls was not reached for all cases, but all cases had at least one control, and 87% had 3 controls or more.

Predisposing factors for NEC related to the mother, pregnancy, delivery, infant and perinatal course were sought for in routinely registered information in the MBR and the socioeconomic data from Statistics Sweden, as well as among diagnose codes and procedure codes in the NPR and MBR. Potential risk factors were tested with univariable and multivariable logistic regression in the entire population and in subgroups according to gestational age. The final set of variables included in the models was chosen through a combination of Least Angle Regression and inclusion of suspected risk factors according to previous publications. Variables deemed to be spuriously associated with NEC, showing signs of multicollinearity, or giving unstable models because of few cases in some strata, were sought for and removed.

Since NEC rarely occurs before one week of age, a variable representing 7 days survival, regarded as a prerequisite for NEC, was included in all regressions, univariable as well as multivariable. So were the matching variables, gestational age in days and birth year, in order to minimise any bias induced by the uneven
distribution of controls. Results were reported as odds ratio (OR) with a 95% confidence interval.

❖ Intestinal Failure
Intestinal failure was defined as a diagnosis of intestinal malabsorption or postoperative malabsorption/short bowel syndrome (ICD9 codes 579D, 579W, or 579X or ICD10 codes K904, K908, K909, or K912) or a procedural code of total parenteral nutrition (9915, according to the 1985 version of classifications of non-surgical procedures, or DV055, according to the 1997 version). Procedural codes searched for to identify relevant abdominal surgery included 4010, 4030, 4041, 4047, 4630, 4631, 464, 465, 4660, 4661, 470, 471, 4721, according to the 1985 codes of surgical procedures and JAH00, JAK00, JAK03, JFB, JFC, JFF, JFH, TJA10, and TJA20, according to the 1997 version. Additionally, reversion of stomas, 4664, 4665, and JFG00-JFG50, were identified and used in supplementary analyses to find patients whose primary operation might have been during a hospitalisation with missing identity information.

The study period was divided into birth cohorts based on quartiles of the study population: 1987–1993, 1993–2001, 2002–2006, and 2007–2009. The influence of gestational age, birthweight, intrauterine growth restriction (expressed as small for gestational age, SGA), low apgar scorings, place and year of birth, maternal smoking, maternal level of education, and abdominal surgery on the risk of NEC cases and controls to develop intestinal failure between two weeks and two years of age was investigated with univariable and multivariable Cox regressions.

➢ Supplementary Analyses
Supplementary, not published analyses on surgery, intestinal failure, and mortality and their trends over time were carried out with descriptive methods, Poisson regression and logistic regression.
Survey on the Role of Imaging in the Management of NEC, paper V

❖ Preparation of the Questionnaires
The selection of questions for the survey was guided by literature studies, interviews with neonatologists and paediatric surgeons, and a pilot survey among Swedish and American paediatric radiologists. The final questionnaires, one intended for radiologists, the other for clinicians, i.e. neonatologists and paediatric surgeons, are presented as Appendix 1 and 2 of paper V.

❖ Invitation of Respondents
The questionnaires were web-based and available through a link that was distributed by e-mail through European and American specialist organisations for neonatology, paediatric surgery, and paediatric radiology, members of which were from all over the world. The associations approached were the European Society of Paediatric Radiology (ESPR), the Society for Pediatric Radiology (SPR), Svensk Förening for Pediatrisk Radiologi (SFPR), the European Society for Paediatric Research/European Society for Neonatology (ESPR/ESN), the British Association of Perinatal Medicine (BAPM), the American Academy of Pediatrics (AAP), the European Paediatric Surgery Association (EuPSA), and the American Pediatric Surgery Association (APSA). In order to increase the response rate, distribution of the link through personal communication was also accepted.

❖ Distribution of Respondents
Variation in policies regarding mediation of questionnaires and mass e-mailing within the specialist organisations resulted in an uneven geographical distribution of respondents within specialties with a predominance of radiologists from the USA, Canada, and Sweden and neonatologists from the UK, whereas paediatric surgeons were more scattered, although mostly found in Europe and the Middle East. See table 7.

❖ Analysis of Proportions of Respondents
With the intent to identify and describe, on the one hand, areas of agreement between respondents and, on the other, issues in need of further clarification and study, results were analysed using simple proportion estimations with 95% confidence intervals. Where differences between specialties were found, analyses were repeated without dominant groups, e.g. radiologists from North...
America and Neonatologists from the British Islands, attempting to discern whether a detected difference was more likely due to geographical variations in clinical traditions than diverging perceptions between specialties.

Table 7: Distribution of respondents between countries and specialties

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Radiologists</th>
<th>Neonatologists</th>
<th>Surgeons</th>
<th>Double*</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Austria</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Belgium</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bulgaria</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chile</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Czech Republic</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denmark</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Egypt</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finland</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>France</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greece</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guernsey</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hungary</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ireland</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Israel</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kenya</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latvia</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mexico</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Netherlands</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norway</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oman</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Philippines</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poland</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portugal</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Romania</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saudi Arabia</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serbia</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slovenia</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Korea</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taiwan</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turkey</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UK</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USA</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>74</strong></td>
<td><strong>70</strong></td>
<td><strong>51</strong></td>
<td><strong>7</strong></td>
<td><strong>202</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Double refers to double specialties in neonatology and paediatric surgery
Results

**Incidence of Necrotising Enterocolitis (paper I and II):**
The incidence of necrotising enterocolitis (NEC) in the general population of Sweden 1987–2009 was 0.34 per 1,000 live births (0.38 including presumed cases with insufficient information to allow identification) with an increasing trend from 0.26 in 1987–1992 to 0.57 in 2005–2009, annual IRR 1.05 (1.04–1.06), 95% CI within brackets.
The incidence in infants with birth weights <750 g was 53.1 per 1,000 live births, increasing from 27.2 in 1987–1992 to 89.5 in 2005–2009, annual IRR 1.08 (1.05–1.11).
In the general population and in all sub-groups, except in gestational ages <28 weeks and birth weights < 750 g, the incidence curve was J-shaped with an initial decrease followed by an increase, reaching its turning point at a slightly earlier time with increasing immaturity. The increase was paralleled by a generally increasing early infant survival in all gestational ages. 82% of NEC cases were preterm, increasing from 70% in 1987–1992 to 86% in 2005–2009.
Apart from a higher incidence in Stockholm compared to the rest of the country, no regional differences were identified.
There was an overall seasonality of NEC with a peak in November and a trough in May. Evidence of space-time interaction was found in the hospital environment but not on community level. Using Knox time-space cluster analysis, based on pairs of cases classified as born close or distant in space as well as in time, clustering was identified during 1987–1997 but not during 1998–2009. Using the Kulldorff’s space-time permutation scan, seven significant clusters of 2–5 cases within 1–24 days were identified, three of which occurred during the first sub period and four during the second.

**Risk factors of necrotising enterocolitis (paper III):**
In the following, all associations refer to the multivariable regressions. 7 days survival, assumed to be a prerequisite for NEC, was higher in cases than in controls, especially in gestational ages < 28 weeks. There was, however, also early mortality in NEC cases of all gestational ages, implicating the occurrence of early onset NEC.
Study Population as a Whole

In the study population as a whole, there were positive associations of NEC with being born in Stockholm, OR 1.87 (1.55–2.27), p<0.001, isoimmunisation, OR 1.63 (1.10–2.43), p=0.016, fetal distress, OR 1.44 (1.14–1.80), p=0.002, delivery by caesarean section, OR 1.53 (1.25–1.89), p<0.001, without significant difference if CS was elective or finalised an initially spontaneous delivery, bacterial infection, including sepsis, OR 2.53 (2.06–3.12), p<0.001, erythrocyte transfusion OR 1.34 (1.05–1.73), p=0.021, persistent ductus arteriosus, OR 1.70 (1.33–2.16), p<0.001, cardiac malformation, OR 1.64 (1.11–2.41), p=0.012, gastrointestinal malformation, OR 2.77 (1.52–5.06), p=0.001, and chromosomal abnormality 2.55 (1.29–5.02), p=0.007. Negative associations were found with maternal bodyweight in kg, OR 0.99 (0.98–1.00), p=0.032, maternal pre-eclampsia, OR 0.68 (0.52–0.89), p=0.005, maternal urinary infection during pregnancy, OR 0.71 (0.53–0.95), p=0.019, premature rupture of the membranes, OR 0.62 (0.49–0.80), p<0.001, infant birthweight, OR 0.93 (0.90–0.96) per 100 g, p<0.001, and neonatal icterus, OR 0.57 (0.46–0.69), p<0.001.

Of these, maternal bodyweight and pre-eclampsia showed uniform tendencies in all subgroups, but, except for maternal weight in gestational ages < 28 weeks, the associations were not significant in any of the subgroups.

Subgroups

A few factors did not reach significance in the population as a whole but only in certain subgroups. Being small for gestational age showed an independent positive association with NEC in term infants only, OR 6.52 (2.27–18.73), p=0.001, and a non-significant, positive tendency in GA 32–36 w. Apgar <7 at 5 minutes was independently and positively associated with NEC in GA 32–36 w only, OR 3.24 (1.28–8.17), p=0.013, but with a uniform tendency in all subgroups except GA<28 w.

The positive association with fetal distress was not seen in GA<28 w, and was significant only in GA≥32 w (term and near-term infants). The pattern for caesarean section was similar. Cardiac malformation was positively associated with NEC in GA≥32 w but not in lower gestational ages. The positive association with erythrocyte transfusion was confined to GA 28–36 w, the variable being excluded from the term subgroup because of too small numbers and showing a non-significant negative tendency in GA<28 w.
While isoimmunisation showed a uniform, positive tendency in all subgroups, although significant only in the term subgroup and GA 28–31 w, neonatal icterus was negatively associated with NEC in GA≤36 w.

❖ Relation to other Morbidities Specific to the Premature
There was an independent, positive association with intracranial bleeding, predominantly intraventricular haemorrhage, OR 1.74 (1.33–2.29), p<0.001, and a negative one with bronchopulmonary dysplasia, OR 0.62 (0.46–0.84), p=0.002.

Outcome (paper IV)
Early surgery, defined as at least one operation coded according to the defined procedural codes for relevant abdominal surgery in the PTR within three months of birth (120 cases, 17%) or in the MBR (adding 10 NEC cases) or reversal of stoma within 18 months of age (adding 40 NEC cases), occurred in 170 NEC cases, 24% (21–27%), and 50 controls, 1% (1–2%).

In NEC cases, after adjusting for sex, gestational age, and birthweight, there was a slightly positive trend for early surgery over time: annual IRR 1.03 (1.01–1.06), p=0.011. In controls, the trend was negative: annual IRR 0.96 (0.92–1.00), p=0.031.

Intestinal failure with onset before two years of age was found in 6% of NEC cases and 0.4% of controls, p<0.001. In NEC patients subjected to early abdominal surgery, the proportion of IF was 14% and in those without indication of early surgery it was 3%. Among controls without NEC, all patients with IF were premature, 63% (45–84%) below 28 w GA, but 10 out of 16 had no history of abdominal surgery. Among NEC cases, 5% of patients with IF were full term and 51% (36–66%) below 28 w GA.

Independent risk factors for intestinal failure were a history of NEC, a history of abdominal surgery early in life, extreme prematurity, fetal growth restriction (being small for gestational age) and a later year of birth.

After adjusting for sex, gestational age, fetal growth restriction, and early surgery, there was a positive trend for IF over time with annual IRR 1.23 (1.12–1.34), p<0.001, for NEC cases and 1.15 (1.03–1.27), p=0.012, for controls.

Death within four weeks of birth occurred in 107 NEC cases and 307 controls, corresponding to 15% (12–18%) and 8% (8–9%) respectively, p<0.001. NEC related mortality, i.e. with NEC stated as a principal, underlying or contributing
cause of death, was found in 105 patients, which rendered an overall NEC related mortality in NEC cases of 15% (12–17%), without difference between cases subjected to early abdominal surgery, 14% (9–20%), and not 15% (12–18%). NEC-related mortality was lower during the last sub-period but without significant difference to any other sub-period and without significant trend over time. It occurred within the first week in 23%, within four weeks in 67%, and within three months in 90%. Of the 105 with nec related mortality, 23 had had early abdominal surgery. In no NEC case or control was any of the diagnose codes defining intestinal insufficiency among the causes of death, and only two of the those with NEC among their causes of death had IF. There was no association between IF and mortality within two years of birth.

**Missing data**
Because of discrepancies between the ICD9 and ICD10, diagnoses of prematurity in the following tables include diagnoses of low gestational age as well as low birthweight: High grade prematurity includes ICD9 765A and ICD10 P070 and P072. Other prematurity includes ICD9 765B and ICD10 P071 and P073. SGA includes ICD9 764A, 764B, 764D, 764E, and 764X and ICD10 P050 and P051.

❖ Hospitalisations without personal identification number
Out of 1494 hospitalisations in the NPR, personal identification number was missing in 576, of which 63 also lacked information on date of birth. Prematurity diagnoses and death at discharge were more common in hospitalisations where the personal identification number was missing than where it was present. Please note that each individual can have many hospitalisations, with, as well as without, complete identification information.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diagnosis of...</th>
<th>With identification, n=918</th>
<th>Lacking identification, n=576</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>High grade prematurity</td>
<td>296 (32%)</td>
<td>221 (38%)</td>
<td>0.015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other prematurity</td>
<td>320 (35%)</td>
<td>237 (41%)</td>
<td>0.014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any degree of prematurity</td>
<td>581 (63%)</td>
<td>433 (75%)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SGA</td>
<td>79 (9%)</td>
<td>61 (11%)</td>
<td>0.200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abdominal surgery*</td>
<td>128 (14%)</td>
<td>80 (14%)</td>
<td>0.976</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Death</td>
<td>55 (6%)</td>
<td>89 (15%)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Reversal of stoma not included
Manually identified individuals

The efforts for paper I to identify individuals among the hospitalisations without a personal identification number resulted in 920 presumed individuals, for whom data of 808 could with reasonable certainty be retrieved from the MBR. Comparing these 808 with the 112 that could not be reliably identified, prematurity diagnoses were more common among NEC patients found in the MBR than among those not found, without significant differences in SGA diagnoses or death at discharge.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diagnosis of...</th>
<th>Identified, N=808</th>
<th>Not identified, N=112</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>High grade prematurity</td>
<td>347 (42%)</td>
<td>43 (38%)</td>
<td>0.361</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other prematurity</td>
<td>377 (47%)</td>
<td>33 (29%)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any degree of prematurity</td>
<td>694 (80%)</td>
<td>72 (64%)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SGA</td>
<td>137 (17%)</td>
<td>14 (13%)</td>
<td>0.233</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Death</td>
<td>130 (16%)</td>
<td>19 (17%)</td>
<td>0.814</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No significant differences in diagnoses of prematurity or SGA, or death at discharge were found between NEC-cases in the case-control cohort and cases manually identified for the incidence studies.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diagnosis of...</th>
<th>Case-control, N=720</th>
<th>Manually identified, N=200</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>High grade prematurity</td>
<td>312 (43%)</td>
<td>78 (39%)</td>
<td>0.273</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other prematurity</td>
<td>328 (46%)</td>
<td>82 (41%)</td>
<td>0.252</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any degree of prematurity</td>
<td>570 (79%)</td>
<td>151 (76%)</td>
<td>0.265</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SGA</td>
<td>127 (18%)</td>
<td>175 (12%)</td>
<td>0.057</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Death</td>
<td>111 (15%)</td>
<td>38 (19%)</td>
<td>0.224</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Individuals in the case-control cohort without traceable registrations in the NPR. 445 individuals in the case-control population, 78 cases and 367 controls, lack information about hospitalisations in the NPR, corresponding to 11% of cases and 10% of controls, \( p=0.519 \). Controls were evenly distributed over time, annual IRR 0.99 (0.97–1.00), \( p=0.136 \), but for cases, there was a positive trend over time with, annual IRR 1.04 (1.01–1.07), \( p=0.020 \). Mean gestational age was significantly lower in cases without NPR registrations, 197 (191–203) days, than in cases with posts in the NPR, 219 (216–222) days, as well as in controls, 219 (215–224) and 223 (222–224) days respectively. The strongest factor for infants to lack registrations in the NPR, however, was in hospital death.

### Comparison of NEC cases in the case-control cohort and manually identified cases

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diagnosis of...</th>
<th>Cases with/without NPR posts; N=720</th>
<th>Controls with/without NPR posts; N=3656</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N=642</td>
<td>N=78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High grade prematurity</td>
<td>229 (36 %)</td>
<td>48 (62%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other prematurity</td>
<td>247 (38%)</td>
<td>20 (26%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any degree of prematurity</td>
<td>445 (69%)</td>
<td>66 (85%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SGA</td>
<td>154 (24%)</td>
<td>22 (28%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Death</td>
<td>100 (16%)</td>
<td>75 (96%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The role of Imaging in the Management of NEC (paper V)

202 respondents from various countries answered the questionnaire. The distribution between specialties and countries is described in the methods section, table 7. In the following, the degree of consensus is roughly estimated to be great at around 90% agreement between respondents and great diversity thought to be present at around 65% or less, but the assessment is also influenced by the distribution between vague response alternatives such as “sometimes” and more definite ones like “always”. Late complications were not addressed. For detailed results and percentages, see paper V.

❖ There was great consensus on…
…the usefulness of imaging for confirmation of the NEC diagnosis, guidance in the decision on surgery, and surveillance, although there was some diversity of opinions on the invariable use of imaging for these purposes.
…the importance of the clinical picture, the radiographic findings, and the degree of prematurity in the differential diagnosis.
…paralytic ileus and spontaneous intestinal perforation (SIP) as relevant differential diagnoses.
…abdominal radiography (AR) as first choice modality for imaging.
…pneumoperitoneum (PP), pneumatosis intestinalis (PI), and portal venous gas (PVG) as important signs of NEC on AR.
…pneumoperitoneum on AR as a strong indication for surgery.

❖ There was moderate agreement on…
…the use of classifications of NEC.
…the importance of age at onset, laboratory findings, ultrasonographic findings, and feed volumes for the differential diagnosis.
…gastrointestinal malformation, obstructive ileus, and Hirschsprung’s disease as differential diagnoses.
…the usefulness and availability of ultrasound (US) and the suitability of increasing its use, although almost 90% thought that it would at least sometimes be useful.
…the importance of a persistent intestinal loop on sequential radiographs as a diagnostic sign or indication for surgery.
…complex (focal or turbid) intraabdominal fluid on US as an indication for surgery.
There was lack of agreement on…

...imaging as an adjunct in the decision to resume feeding.
...viral enteritis/gastroenteritis and cow’s milk protein allergy as relevant differential diagnoses of NEC.
...the importance of gas distribution patterns, intestinal dilatation and separation of intestinal loops as diagnostic signs on AR,
...PVG - if on AR or on US - as a possible indication for surgery.
...PP on US as a strong indication for surgery.
...the perceptions of US being time consuming or disturbing for the infant.
There was also great variation in the routines of imaging in terms of examination frequency, the use of fixed schedules for imaging intervals, and the use of AR with horizontal beam, as well as in the use of US for NEC.

The use of ultrasound
58% of all respondents worked in hospitals where US was used for NEC.
The signs most commonly evaluated were the occurrence of turbid or echoic intraabdominal fluid, PVG, and thickening of the intestinal wall.
This group of respondents agreed to a high extent on the use of US in suspected perforation with inconclusive AR.
There was moderate agreement on the use of US in mild cases with inconclusive AR, and on the evaluation of PI, clear intraabdominal fluid, PP, and intestinal motility with ultrasound.
There was less agreement, around 60% of respondents from involved centres, on using US in any child with suspected NEC, as first choice modality, or for monitoring known NEC. Lack of consensus was also noted for the evaluation of bowel thinning with US and assessment of bowel perfusion and mesenteric circulation with doppler.
Differences between subgroups

Imaging for confirmation of the NEC diagnosis was perceived more important by clinicians than by radiologists. Clinicians used some kind of classification of NEC, e.g. Bell’s criteria, Gordon’s classification or an informal clinical assessment of suspected-, definite medical- or surgical NEC, more often than radiologists. Radiologists rejected the finding of a persistent loop on sequential radiographs as a possible indication for surgery more often than surgeons. Complex intraabdominal fluid as a possible indication for surgery, on the other hand, was rejected by more surgeons than radiologists but accepted more often where US was used for NEC than where it was not.

Clinicians reported that they read abdominal radiographs by themselves to a greater extent than radiologists thought they did. Radiologists reported more influence on the choice of modality than clinicians thought they had. Further analysis showed one difference within radiology, American radiologists reporting less influence on the decision than other radiologists, and another between specialties outside America.

US was thought to be useful (response alternative “yes”) by 65% (56–73%) of respondents from hospitals where US was used for NEC compared to 25% (17–36%) from hospitals where it was not, and should be used more according to 59% (50–68%) and 31% (22–42%) respectively.

Clinicians, doing US themselves or not, thought to a lesser extent than radiologists that ultrasound was time consuming. It was perceived readily available significantly more often by clinicians from hospitals, where clinicians do US, than where it is done for NEC but not by clinicians, the alternative “yes” indicated by 71% (52–84%) and 36% (22–52%) respectively.

Clinicians showed a more positive attitude than radiologists to the idea of clinicians learning to do US. As for screening for PVG, 8% of all were positive, without significant differences between specialties, but only 8% (4–17%) of radiologists thought clinicians should learn to do a full abdominal ultrasound, to be compared to 54% (45–62%) of all clinicians and 82% (65–92%) of clinicians from hospitals where clinicians do US in NEC. Of all 74 radiologists, however, only three worked in such hospitals.
Discussion

Incidence and mortality
The epidemiology of necrotising enterocolitis (NEC) in Sweden 1987–2009, compared to other study populations, was characterised by a low over-all incidence, low proportion of surgical treatment and low mortality. The latter two may partly be explained by the inclusive case definition of NEC in our studies, using the ICD diagnose codes without differentiation between suspected and verified cases. Population based epidemiological studies including all stages of NEC and all gestational ages and birthweights are rare. Holman et.al, also using discharge diagnose codes, described an overall NEC incidence of 1.1 in 1,000 live births in the US in the year 2000, which is almost twice the Swedish incidence rate during the last sub-period, 2005–2009. They reported 27% surgical treatment and a case mortality rate of 15%, which corresponds well to our findings of 24% early surgery and 15% NEC related mortality. Rees et.al also included Bell stage I–III in their survey of NEC in the UK during two winter months in 2005 and two summer months in 2006. Their reported incidence of 2% is, however, based on 10,946 admissions to neonatal units, rather than on live births in the population. The cross sectional nature of their study disconnects reported incidence, frequency of surgical interventions and NEC related mortality on the level of individuals, impairing direct comparison to our population based results, but mortality with NEC in their study population seems to be in parity with our finding. A bit surprising, we found no difference in mortality between surgically treated and medically managed NEC cases, but mortality was considerably higher in lower gestational ages, with birthweight as the decisive factor.

Other population based studies are restricted to certain groups of gestational ages and/or birthweights. From the Vermont-Oxford Network, Hull et.al. reported 9% NEC, defined according to the VON criteria, in 188,703 infants with birth weights 401–1500 g during 2006–2010. Youn et.al. reported 6.4% NEC stage II or more according to Bell’s criteria in very low birthweight (VLBW) infants (<1500 g) in South Korea in 2013–2014. Despite a more inclusive case definition, the average incidence in the corresponding subgroups of our population was only 2.7%.91
Variations over time and between populations

The main result of paper I was an increasing incidence of NEC over time in all subgroups, so that the incidence rate towards the end of the study period approached that of other populations. The results of others have been contradictory in this respect. Some claim that the incidence is probably unchanged, and that variations depend on the confusion over how to define NEC, which, with its multifactorial aetiology, is rather the common result of several pathophysiological pathways than one disease entity.

Apart from discrepancies in case definition and the inclusion or exclusion of mild cases, possible explanations for variations of NEC incidence within and between populations may be differences in the composition of populations in terms of gestational ages, distribution of risk factors, protective factors, and comorbidities, as well as clinical practices.

Increased survival of infants at risk of developing NEC apparently contributes on a population level. The proportion of term infants in the Swedish NEC cohort was comparatively high, but decreased towards the end of the study period. This development reflects a relatively low initial frequency of premature births in Sweden, followed by an increasing proportion of premature infants in the general population and an earlier and more rapid rise in NEC incidence rates in the premature groups compared to later gestational ages.

Heida et.al. found increasing incidence rates of NEC in the most preterm and low birthweight infants in three centres in the Netherlands, when guidelines changed towards active treatment at lower gestational ages. In contrast to our results, no increase was seen in the term, near term or normal birthweight groups, and the proportion having surgery diminished. The latter is opposed to the observation by Snyder et.al. that very low birth weight (VLBW) infants are more likely to require surgery than non-VLBW. Stoll et.al. reported an increasing trend among gestational ages 22–28 w for the years 1993–2008 but a decreasing trend 2009–2012. The dynamic suggested by Holman et.al. with advances in neonatal care, causing an initial reduction in NEC incidence followed by an increase as the early survival in the population at risk improved, may eventually transition to a phase of stabilised or even decreasing incidence, when some of the prophylactic measures learned along the way gain effect.
Seasonal variation and clustering
There are many reports on outbreaks, sometimes termed clusters, and epidemics of NEC and, as Gordon et al. put it, “there is almost no known neonatal pathogen … that has not been associated with NEC.” Nevertheless, previous evidence on the occurrence of clustering and seasonal variation on a population level is scarce. A few others report on seasonal variation, although with slightly different months for peaks and troughs. We found a peak in November and a trough in May, in Snyder’s study from the US 1992–2005 the peak was in December and the trough in June, and Lu et al. reported January and August respectively in China 2010–2015. The common denominator is a cyclicity with about half a year between the higher incidence in winter and the lower incidence in summer. This could correspond to viral infection as a precipitating cause in a sufficient number of NEC cases to result in a measurable seasonal variation. Gordon et al. suggested in 2007 that what occurs with seasonal variation and in clusters would not be NEC but viral enteritis of infancy, which is a matter of definition. In a more recent article, a couple of the same authors suggested that microbial contagions may rather act as triggers for the pathophysiological pathway, leading to one of the subsets of NEC. The distinction may be important in defining future study populations, but clinically the difference is hard to tell and probably has little influence on management, although prognosis may differ. Among neonatologists and paediatric surgeons responding to the questionnaire described in paper V, viral enteritis was not regularly considered among the differential diagnoses of NEC.

In our population, as shown in paper II, space-time clustering was seen, when delivery hospital was used to define space, but could not be associated with the residential municipality of the mother, suggesting a contagious precipitating cause in the hospital environment. Knox space-time analysis showed a greater occurrence of pairs of NEC cases, appearing close in space and time, than expected from merely sporadic cases during the first part of the study period, but not enough to reach statistical significance during the second one. This may reflect improved hygiene or some other change of routines in neonatal intensive care units. According to the Kulldorff’s space-time permutation scan, however, significant clustering did not disappear during the second part of the study period. The disconnect between an increase of overall NEC incidence and the
signs suggesting a diminishing influence of one possible precipitating cause, once again illustrates the multifactorial nature of the disease and contradicts any suspicion that the increase in NEC incidence would be due to increasing misclassification of viral enteritis as NEC.

Risk factors

The epidemiological literature on NEC is no longer scarce, but still very heterogeneous. The immaturity of the neonate, expressed as low gestational age and low birthweight, is the most consistent risk factor. Apart from that, a great number of observational studies have suggested other predisposing, precipitating, and co-variating factors, often varying between populations and subgroups, depending on the selection of gestational ages and birthweights. Many of them are restricted to one or a few institutions, certain gestational ages, or a limited number of risk factors. Out of a total of 43 statistically significant risk factors identified by 14 prognostic studies on NEC included in a recent systematic review, only eleven were identified by more than one study.\textsuperscript{224} We analysed an extensive number of potential risk factors in cases and matched controls of all gestational ages, although stratified to correct for potential differences in pathogenesis between subgroups. Since temporal relationships cannot be discerned, and clinical information such as feeding and medication is missing altogether, detected associations need to be interpreted with care.

Like in many previous studies, risk factors in our population, as described in paper III, were more obvious in the more mature groups than in lower gestational ages. One possible explanation is differences in pathophysiological pathways depending on the maturity of the individual in accordance with the perception that NEC is not one disease entity but many.\textsuperscript{12,18} A simpler assumption would be that risk factors in mature individuals do not show in lower gestational ages, because the vulnerable immature neonates do not survive them. One apparent reflection of this is the early survival among NEC cases compared to controls in the most immature subgroup. Some controls, dying within one week of birth, probably would have developed NEC if they had survived. This may be true also for vulnerable individuals in higher gestational ages, explaining why increased early survival seems to lead to increased NEC incidence in all gestational ages, as shown in paper I.\textsuperscript{91} In this context, although not shown in our study, it is interesting to note that congenital
cardiac heart disease, usually regarded as a risk factor confined to term and near-term infants, has been identified as risk factors also in VLBW infants.\textsuperscript{87,145} This does not contradict that the pathophysiology differs between the most common NEC subset in highly premature infants and the subset presumably precipitated by disturbed mesenteric perfusion from congenital heart disease and asphyxic events in term and near-term infants. It only underlines the complexity of the disease and suggests that the overlap between subsets of NEC may increase with increasing survival of vulnerable neonates of all gestational ages. The latter may be supported by the occurrence of early onset NEC, previously regarded as a characteristic of NEC in term infants,\textsuperscript{9} also among infants of low gestational ages.\textsuperscript{27}

Among the results in paper III, the negative associations with maternal urinary infection and premature rupture of the membranes (PROM), are somewhat surprising, especially as PROM, leading to chorioamnionitis, has been suggested as a risk factor of NEC by several investigators, including one meta-analysis,\textsuperscript{131–134} but contradicted by others.\textsuperscript{225,226} Infected amniotic fluid, e.g. in chorioamnionitis or prolonged rupture of membranes, is also believed to play a role in the “two-hit hypothesis”.\textsuperscript{19} Sharma et.al. reported two cases of NEC with portal venous gas in unfed infants born to mothers with chorioamnionitis, and suggested that ingestion of infected amniotic fluid might have been the cause.\textsuperscript{23} We found no association with a diagnosis of chorioamnionitis.

Our findings could be dismissed as statistical artefacts, assuming that, since urinary infection and premature rupture of the membranes are both associated with pre-term birth, and therefore common among controls, the cause of prematurity in infants susceptible to NEC would be some other pregnancy related factor not investigated in this study. On the other hand, there are a couple of conceivable mechanisms that may contribute to a protective effect. Cytokine and immunoglobulin content of maternal milk may be affected by the microbial environment of the pregnant mother.\textsuperscript{185,227,228} Immunoglobulins are transported over the placenta, beginning as early as gestational week 13, even if the greatest amounts are transferred during the third trimester.\textsuperscript{16,228} We speculate that low grade antenatal exposure to bacteria, possibly balanced by a short course of antibiotics, may speed up this process. A meta-analysis from 2013 of treatment of mothers for premature rupture of membranes, found a
tendency towards lower risk for NEC with a shorter course of antibiotics. The difference between study populations, and whether the exposure to premature rupture of the membranes or maternal urinary infection promotes or prevents NEC could possibly be influenced by how it is handled clinically, alternatively by the presence or absence of some contributing risk factor tipping the balance of the cross-talk between host defence and microbiota in the direction of exaggerated inflammatory response.

A theoretical connection can be made to the positive association caesarean section (CS) with NEC except in GA<28 w, even though there is an obvious risk of selection bias in higher gestational ages, with some antenatal or perinatal problem, not included in the statistical model, predisposing for NEC rather than the delivery mode itself. Earlier results on the impact of delivery mode on the risk for NEC have been mainly inconclusive.

CS is associated with higher incidence of respiratory problems at birth, which is generally thought to be caused by the by-stepping of the normal physiological adaptations to postnatal life triggered by vaginal delivery. It is plausible that this could influence intestinal maturation as well, which, to my knowledge, has not been studied. Probably more important, lower diversity of the gut microbiota of the new-born and differences in dominant species have been demonstrated after CS compared to infants born by the vaginal route. These alterations may predispose to NEC. In vaginal delivery, colonisation of the infant gut is expected to result from the direct exposure to maternal vaginal and intestinal flora, but it was also found in a small study, that the composition of the microbiome in breast milk differed after elective CS compared to normal labour, indicating a complementary mechanism for colonisation. Moreover, CS has been shown to have a negative impact on breast feeding, which could theoretically also contribute to the risk of NEC in term and near-term infants.

In contrast to cardiac malformations, which in this population, like in many other studies, was associated with NEC in term and near-term infants only, the association with persistent ductus arteriosus (PDA) was positive in all sub-groups, albeit statistically significant only for term and moderately premature infants. Unlike some earlier reports, surgical treatment did not further enhance the association, but whether the patent ductus itself, treatment
with indomethacin, or perhaps a combination of both, accounted for the enhanced risk is not possible to discern. A hemodynamically significant PDA results in reduced blood flow to the mesenteric vascular bed and so does medical treatment with indomethacin.\textsuperscript{146} Both have been suggested as risk factors of NEC in earlier studies.\textsuperscript{82,237}

Our data do not reveal whether the association of NEC with isoimmunisation is linked with the condition itself or with its treatment. Case reports and retrospective studies have suggested that treatment of hemolytic anemia with intravenous immunoglobulins may precipitate NEC,\textsuperscript{156,158} which is also counted among complications of exchange transfusion\textsuperscript{106,238} but Roig and Burchfield reported two cases of NEC in infants with isoimmunisation before exchange transfusion.\textsuperscript{239} Isoimmunisation is likely to predispose to general vulnerability and can be speculated to contribute to imbalance of the developing immune system, which might precipitate NEC.

Other associations that escape interpretation are red cell transfusion and bacterial infection including sepsis, where the temporal relationships with the onset of NEC are unknown.

Evidence on the role of transfusion is equivocal.\textsuperscript{240} It may rather mirror the general vulnerable state of infants susceptible to NEC or, as Hay et.al. suggest, even treatment given for prodromal symptoms of NEC\textsuperscript{240} or for sepsis secondary to NEC. There could, however, be a subset of infants, where red blood cell transfusions, possibly in connection with feeding, do induce great fluctuations in oxygen saturation in the splanchnic circulation, causing intestinal injury which precipitates NEC, as investigated in a very small study in VLBW infants examined with near infrared spectroscopy (NIRS).

The suggested mechanism for transfusion induced intestinal injury through immunologic influence on the mesenteric arterial reactivity and nitric oxide pathways,\textsuperscript{173} maybe could also be at work in isoimmunisation, immunoglobulin treatment and/or exchange transfusion. It would be interesting to see larger, clinical studies using NIRS in this context.

Sepsis is a well-known manifestation of NEC, but has also been suggested as a contributing factor in the pathogenesis.\textsuperscript{21,88,112} Without information on the temporal relationships of the diagnoses, the interpretation of our finding is unclear.
The most likely explanation of the negative association of NEC with neonatal icterus, despite a positive association with isoimmunisation, is that uncomplicated neonatal icterus is very common, whereas icterus caused by e.g. isoimmunisation and haemolysis does not result in an additional diagnosis.

**Intestinal failure**

6% of NEC cases developed intestinal failure (IF), compared to 0.4% of controls. Apart from a history of NEC, abdominal surgery and a later birth year were the only predictors of IF. Neither in NEC cases, nor in controls does lower gestational age or birthweight emerge as a risk factor for IF. This is probably explained by the small numbers and, in controls, the matched case-control study design.

The positive trend for IF over time is apparently due to a significant rise in IF incidence rates during the last sub-period compared to the first one. One suggested cause is increased survival of individuals with more serious NEC, although the increase is seen in controls as well and there was no decrease of mortality in NEC cases during the study period. An increasing occurrence of abdominal surgery early in life may also have an influence, at least in cases, where a weak but significant trend was seen over time. Finally, the possibility of registration bias must be considered. During the first two sub-periods, none of the IF cases has a registration of total parental nutrition, but during the third sub-period, 6 out of 18 received TPN, according to the patient register, and during the last 30 out of 35. Counting only those with a discharge diagnosis of postoperative or non-specified intestinal malabsorption, there was however still a significant difference between the first two sub-periods on the one hand, and the last two on the other. Using only diagnose codes, and adjusting for sex, gestational age, birthweight, NEC, and early surgery there was still a positive trend over time with an overall annual IRR of 1.10 (1.03–1.18), p=0.007 and no significant difference between cases and controls. A strong, positive association with early, abdominal surgery remained in this model.

The comparatively low frequency of IF in our NEC cohort may be influenced by the use of discharge codes for identification of NEC cases including not only both medical and surgical NEC but possibly also suspected cases. On the other hand, the 3% among medically treated cases is in parity with previously reported rates.216 It is the proportion of IF in surgically treated patients that is
A possible explanation is that some of the operated patients may have had SIP and not NEC, which may lower the risk of IF. Kelleher et al., also including both diagnoses, found 12-14% IF among patients after laparotomy for NEC or intestinal perforation. Excluding those with double diagnoses in our study population, however, only increased the rate of IF from 14 to 15% of NEC patients after early surgery. 5 patients with a discharge code of SIP also had codes indicative of IF. A few cases of IF might be lost because of the exclusion of hospitalisations with procedural codes defining IF starting less than 2 weeks after birth, but there were only two such hospitalisations, one belonging to a NEC case, and one to a control. Except for uncertainties in the definition of IF and lack of relevant diagnose codes, which may lead to cases of IF not being reported to the register at all, the reasons for this relatively low incidence of IF in surgically treated NEC is unclear.

The conclusions that can be made are thus limited, but, apart from confirming NEC as a strong risk factor for IF, our results show that IF does occur after premature birth also without a history of NEC. Given the increased incidence of IF in the last years of the study period, there should be prospects of future studies, preferably with clear definitions and a prospective design, to characterise the risk factors and consequences of IF, so that the increasing trend can be turned around.

**Strengths and limitations of the register studies**

The comprehensive health care databases held by the Swedish National Board of Health and Welfare offer good opportunities for epidemiological research. Despite a small population and, from an international perspective, low incidence rates of NEC in Sweden, the study population, on which papers I–IV are based, represents a large number of subjects over a period of 23 years, in which major advances have been made in ante- and perinatal care, including the transition from the pre-surfactant to the surfactant era and new national guidelines on centralisation of neonatal services and individualised management of the extremely premature. As a result, a significant growth of the population at greatest risk for NEC has occurred.

On evaluation, the registers used have been found to hold high quality. Nevertheless, as is the case in all register studies, the data were routinely collected for administrative reasons rather than specifically for research, which
implies that the information collected cannot be adapted to the objective of the studies, but the study design and research questions must be conformed with the available information. The definition of a case being the presence of a discharge diagnosis, diagnostic criteria for NEC in each case are unknown. This should be kept in mind when interpreting the results, especially when comparing them to the results of others.

The issues with defining NEC cases is, however, not only a problem when using discharge data. What criteria to use and how to subclassify this multifactorial condition and avoid “contamination” of datasets, is an ongoing discussion. In this study population, there were 64 cases and 14 controls with a diagnosis of spontaneous intestinal perforation (SIP).

The occurrence of SIP among cases may reflect an increasing awareness of this diagnosis, without separating it from NEC, revised diagnoses after surgery for presumed NEC, misclassification of perforated NEC, or even the development of NEC after surgical recovery from SIP, which may occasionally occur. The decision to keep NEC cases, who also were diagnosed with SIP, was supported by the fact that the mortality among cases with a SIP diagnosis was higher than among those without one. Since SIP is supposed to be a more benign condition than perforated NEC, this would indicate that SIP represents the misclassification. According to a recent report, however, this may not be an undisputable truth. Nevertheless, the awareness of SIP and its distinction from NEC can be assumed to have increased over time, so that the occurrence of SIP is more overt towards the end of the study period, without really having increased, which would lead to bias, if NEC cases with double diagnoses of SIP and NEC were to be excluded.

Missing personal identification numbers in new-born infants is another problem in using the registers. The number is given to all Swedish residents at birth or immigration, but during the study period, there was a delay of a few weeks before new-borns received their number, resulting in a substantial proportion of discharge registrations with missing identification number, impeding linkage between individual hospitalisations belonging to one individual as well as between registers. Attempts to compensate for this problem, were the manual identification of NEC patients from data in the PTR and MBR for the incidence studies (paper I and II) and the including of
procedural codes describing stoma reversal to identify individuals, whose primary surgery was registered with a hospitalisation lacking identity information. When comparing diagnoses of prematurity, occurrence of abdominal surgery, mortality, and sex distribution between subjects and hospitalisations with complete and incomplete identification, the datasets used for the studies were perceived as representative, and the risk of bias sufficiently reduced through the matched case-control design. The lack of eligible matched controls unfortunately reduced the size of the control group and introduced an asymmetrical distribution of cases and controls in the lowest gestational ages. Apart from issues with power, this should not bias the results, since the matching variables were included in all regressions.

It is well known that NEC is a very complex, multifactorial and heterogeneous disease entity with different pathophysiological pathways and subpopulations, presumably with some risk factors in common and some that differ. Many studies are limited to certain gestational ages, birthweights, or specific risk factors. We investigated the entire Swedish NEC cohorts of 23 years and analysed a great number of potential risk factors. Doing so, the risk of overfitting the statistical model is obvious, and the results need to be interpreted in the context of previous studies and current pathophysiological knowledge. At the same time, findings contrasting to the results of others may give rise to the most interesting reflections and, at its best, to further research impulses.

**Classifications**

Register studies and imaging meet at the level of case definitions. Bohnhorst et al. suggested a classification system should provide a proper definition of the disease, help to make an early diagnosis, help decisions on treatment, e.g. clarifying indications for surgery, and create a reference standard for scientific studies.34 

There is, however, some confusion over classification systems and their use for case definition, staging or subdivision. The so-called “Bell’s criteria” were initially intended as a staging system to guide clinical decisions in patients with NEC,7,8 and not designed as a reference standard for scientific studies, although frequently used as such. In contrast, “Gordon’s classification”,34,243 is originally rather a system for differential diagnosis of NEC against SIP and other acquired neonatal intestinal diseases (ANIDs),9 which has evolved into a classification
system, still excluding SIP but else aiming, not so much at narrowing the definition of NEC, as at dividing it into subgroups, focusing on aetiology and susceptible sub-populations. The latter may pose a problem where aetiology is multifactorial and sometimes unknown, and the windows of susceptibility change with changing characteristics of the population at risk.

Imaging, especially abdominal radiography (AR), is an integrated part in the definition as well as the management of NEC. Corresponding to the multifactorial nature of the disease, however, there is a broad spectrum, not only of clinical presentations, but also of radiographic signs, and not even intramural gas/pneumatosis intestinalis, traditionally regarded pathognomonic for NEC, is consistently or exclusively found in NEC.9,22

The respondents in our survey, described in paper V, largely preferred a clinical assessment of “suspected”, “definite medical” or “surgical” NEC to formal Bell’s staging system or Gordon’s classification. The “VON Criteria”, used to define NEC in the Vermont-Oxford Network, were unknown to us at the time the questionnaires were constructed, and, probably due to the lack of North American clinicians among the respondents, it was not mentioned in free text by any of the respondents.

The propensity score, lately proposed by Battersby et.al., is designed to decide whether NEC, regardless of severity, is present or not. It is attractive in being somewhat less dependent on radiographic signs than other diagnostic classifications, but illustrates several of the problems in accurately defining a case of verified NEC and evaluating diagnostic criteria in the absence of an independent reference standard other than surgical or post-mortem findings.

The role of imaging in the management of NEC

In their conclusion from 1978 Daneman et.al pointed out that the radiologist might be the first to suspect the diagnosis of NEC and the first to predict or detect its complications.22 Since then the awareness of NEC has increased, and the question is rarely missing when a neonate is referred for AR.

It is well known that patients with suspected NEC are often treated as having NEC, even if the diagnosis is not supported by imaging, and may as well be operated on, if their clinical state is severe enough. Among our respondents, the clinical picture was also almost unanimously regarded as the most important aspect in differential diagnosis, closely followed, however, by
radiographic findings. The importance of interpreting the radiographic findings in the light of the clinical situation, as pointed out by Kogutt in 1979, was stressed in multiple free text answers to the concluding question about the role of imaging – what it is and what it should be.

Once the diagnosis is suspected, the priority in clinical practice, unlike research, seems to be to distinguish NEC in need of surgery from less serious NEC and NEC-like conditions.

Apart from the interpretation of the subtler signs on AR, and the importance of some clinical aspects, such as degree of prematurity, gestational age and feed volumes, for differential diagnosis, the areas in need of clarification, as they appeared in the survey, were mainly related to imaging routines, the use of ultrasound, and indications for surgery.

❖ Imaging routines

Imaging routines varied in terms of examination frequency, the use of fixed schedules for imaging intervals, and the use of AR with horizontal beam, much in keeping with the results from a survey on NEC management by Zani et al. Since we did not ask for the examination frequency of AR and ultrasound (US) specifically, any influence of the use of US on the frequency of AR, and thereby the exposure to ionising radiation, could not be assessed.

The early recommendation of AR every 6th hour was motivated by the fact that radiographic signs may precede clinical deterioration, and a high examination frequency aimed at avoiding delay of adequate treatment. The introduction of new methods for monitoring, such as biomarkers and NIRS, may influence the role of imaging in this context.

Studies designed to evaluate outcome and complication rates with different protocols for examination frequency and choice of modality would be valuable, ideally with the goal to develop guidelines to support decision making based on individual assessment, rather than fixed algorithms.
Ultrasound

75% of clinicians would attribute at least some importance to ultrasonographic findings in differential diagnosis, which was a greater proportion than had access to US for NEC. On the other hand, as many as 22% stated that such findings would be of no importance at all.

Almost 60% of survey respondents worked at hospitals where ultrasound was used for NEC, and some of the others expressed that they would like it to be used more. It is, however, rarely used as the first-choice modality or as a part of the routine work-up, as suggested in 1994 but rather for gathering more information when AR is inconclusive, i.e., as stated by Silva et al., “a problem-solving modality only in those neonates suspected or known to have NEC and in whom it is thought that more information provided by [abdominal US] might facilitate management decisions”. It seems infrequently used for monitoring NEC, but there are studies suggesting that some ultrasonographic signs are highly sensitive for the need of surgical intervention.

Although most survey respondents thought that US would, at least sometimes, be useful, the often repeated objections of availability and operator dependency were expressed, as were concerns about the validity of ultrasonographic findings. Both may possibly be overcome by systematic examination protocols and saving of pictures and cine-loops for re-evaluation by experienced radiologists. Almost half of the respondents from departments where clinicians do ultrasound had such support. The problem with “operator dependency” in NEC imaging does however not only apply to US. Mata and Rosengart go so far as to suggest that the reported variation in NEC incidence may be attributed to interobserver variability in AR.

With AR as an integrated part of current classifications and diagnostic criteria for NEC, it is obvious that studies to validate US as a potentially more sensitive modality are difficult to design. The same problem applies to AR itself, which, however, is more established in the clinical tradition. For both modalities, available studies are heterogeneous in design, selection of evaluated signs, and reference standards, impairing comparison and conclusive interpretation of results.

As shown in table 4 of paper V, ultrasonographic signs used by over 90% of survey respondents acquainted with US for NEC were focal and turbid fluid,
portal venous gas (PVG), and bowel thickening, followed by pneumatosis intestinalis (PI) and clear fluid at 86% each, all of which have been well studied, some for early diagnosis, others for detection of complications. PVG is the most extensively studied ultrasonographic sign, reported on in 14 out of 20 studies published 1984–2016, followed by PI and turbid fluid, reported on by 10 and 9 studies respectively, see table 5 in the Background section. Concluding a review article, including most of those studies, Bohnhorst recommended US for early detection of PVG, evaluation of fluid in the abdominal cavity, and assessment of bowel wall perfusion, of which bowel wall perfusion seems less commonly used than the others.

Silva et al. suggested that US and AR evaluate different manifestations of underlying pathology. Therefore the question for future studies should not be which modality to choose for NEC imaging but how to use them together, possibly involving clinical aspects, other diagnostic methods and presumptive classification systems as well.

❖ Indications for surgery

The decision to operate is an act of balance between early intervention, when needed, and avoidance of unnecessary surgery, ideally timed when intestinal necrosis is demarcated but prior to perforation and/or progressive physiologic derangement. Pneumoperitoneum (PP) on AR is often stated to be an “absolute indication” for surgery, but only 88% of our survey respondents, stated that it would “always” prompt surgical intervention. More problematic, it is known to be missing in a great proportion of infants with perforated NEC. The distribution of opinions on persistent loop on sequential radiographs and portal venous gas on AR as indications for surgery is similar to the equivocal results in the literature. Reported results on the potential of US to bridge the lack of sensitivity of AR for intestinal perforation and bowel necrosis are promising. There is no contradiction between the reports on the ability of some radiographic and ultrasonographic findings, other than PP, to predict the need for surgery and the effect of timing surgery according to a combination of metabolic parameters (MD7) on outcome. Both may contribute to the improvement of NEC surveillance.
The spontaneous impression of table 5 of paper V may be that signs on US, suggesting bowel necrosis or perforation, were not taken as seriously as the corresponding signs on AR. The different understanding of PP on US compared to AR may, however, be adequately motivated by the high sensitivity of US for gas artefacts, requiring only a very small and potentially self-sealing perforation to be detected. In that perspective it is rather surprising that PVG on US, once reported as an early sign of NEC,\textsuperscript{44,80,54,55} is regarded a possible indication for surgery as often as PVG on AR. Persistent loop on sequential AR and turbid or localised fluid on ultrasound may partly mirror similar pathology,\textsuperscript{72} and are considered possible indications for surgery by similar proportions of respondents.

\begin{itemize}
\item Concluding remark
\end{itemize}

Progress on the role of imaging in clinical decision making may have been slow, but recent studies, often with a multimodal design, have delivered promising results. The close interaction between involved specialties, called for by some of the respondents to our questionnaire, is needed not only clinically but in designing and implementing effective scientific studies as well.

**Strengths and limitations of the survey**

This survey collected a large number of respondents with an even distribution between specialties. Unfortunately, however, the respondents from different specialties were very unevenly distributed geographically. Because of the open invitation to participate, no response rate could be calculated, and there is no guarantee against double responses. Hence, the respondents represent only themselves, and the results must be interpreted as they are: the perceptions and opinions of 202 professionals with an interest in and, presumably, experience of the management of necrotising enterocolitis. The questions were constructed with guidance from literature studies, interviews, and a pilot survey, but no validation was done of the questionnaires. Calculating and describing proportions may be criticised as a quantitative approach to a qualitative question, but with all the mentioned reservations, it gives an idea of which issues need to be clarified, which may serve as a base for further discussion and planning of studies on the optimal use of medical imaging in NEC management.
Conclusions

Register studies:
I. Alongside a dramatically improved early infant survival, especially in extremely preterm and low birthweight infants, the incidence rate of necrotising enterocolitis (NEC) in Sweden increased during the study period 1987–2009. There were no convincing regional differences in NEC incidence, but seasonal variation suggests an influence of environmental factors.

II. The occurrence of space-time clusters, associated with the delivery hospital but not with the residential municipality of the mother, suggests that contagious environmental factors encountered after birth may precipitate NEC. The decrease of clustering over time may indicate that improved routines in neonatal care units have been effective in reducing the transmission of precipitating agents between patients.

III. A complex pattern of associations between NEC and numerous factors related to the mother, the pregnancy, the infant, and perinatal events supports the notion that NEC is a common pathophysiological pathway of multifactorial etiology, rather than a uniform disease entity. Some of the risk factors identified in the study seem to be primarily related to an unspecific vulnerability, others may have more direct pathophysiologic associations with NEC, and some may affect both.

IV. The risk of intestinal failure (IF) was increased sevenfold in NEC cases compared to matched controls, and the incidence was strikingly higher towards the end of the study period. Abdominal surgery, extreme prematurity, and fetal growth restriction seem to contribute to the risk of IF. Further studies are needed to understand the causes of the increasing incidence and how it may be prevented.
Multispecialist survey

V. Despite great consensus on the purposes of imaging in NEC and the most important radiographic signs of the disease, there is considerable diversity in routines, especially regarding examination frequency and the use of ultrasound. Important objectives for future studies include continuing validation of ultrasound, definition of the supplementary roles of both imaging modalities in relation to other diagnostic parameters, and evaluation of imaging routines in relation to complications and timing of necessary interventions.
Sammanfattning på svenska

Nekrotiserande enterokolit, förkortat NEC, är en tarminflammation som drabbar nyfödda, oftast för tidigt födda barn. Samspelet mellan tarmbakterier och det medfödda immunförsvaret spelar en viktig roll i utvecklingen av NEC, men det finns många olika mekanismer och utlösende orsaker till sjukdomen. Förloppet kan vara lindrigt och lätt att behandla, men det kan också vara mycket allvarligt med blodförgiftning och hög dödlighet. I allvarliga fall krävs att man opererar bort skadad tarm, men det gäller att följa förlöpet noga, så att man varken väntar för länge eller opererar i onödan. Bilddiagnostik, såsom röntgen- och ultraljudsundersökningar, spelar därför en viktig roll i omhändertagandet.

I efterförloppet, kan barn som har haft NEC få förträngningar på tarmen eller försämrad förmåga att ta upp näringsämnen, så kallad tarmsvikt. De har också ökad risk att drabbas av utvecklingsförsening och neuropsykiatriska funktionshinder.

I den här avhandlingen har Socialstyrelsens register använts för att beskriva NEC i Sverige under åren 1987‒2009. Under den perioden drabbades i genomsnitt 34 av 100 000 levande födda barn av NEC. Andelen var mer än dubbelt så stor under de sista fem åren som under de första sex. Samtidigt överlevde allt fler nyfödda sin första vecka, inte minst bland de mest för tidigt födda som löper störst risk att få NEC. Bland dem som vägde mindre än 750 g vid födelsen drabbades 100‒160 gånger fler än genomsnittet.

Under första delen av studieperioden förekom fler ansamlingar av NEC på försöksnära omständigheter än förväntat, om sjukdomsfallen hade inträffat helt slumpmässigt. Det kan ha berott på att något i omgivningen utlöste NEC. Att man inte på samma sätt såg ansamlingar under den senare delen av studieperioden kan tyda på att förbättrade rutiner i vården minskade risken för att smittämnena överfördes till de nyfödda.

När barn som fått NEC jämfördes med barn som var födda samma år och efter samma graviditetslängd, ”kontroller”, såg man ett komplicerat mönster av samband med olika tänkbara orsaker. Vilka av dessa som verkligen har betydelse för uppkomsten av NEC kan man inte säkert avgöra genom att studera registren, men resultaten kan ligga till grund för framtida forskning.
En knapp fjärde del av NEC-patienterna blev opererade under spädbarnstiden. 3 av 20 – nästan dubbelt så många som bland kontrollerna – dog före en månads ålder. Drygt en av 20 fick tarmsvikt i efterförloppet av NEC.

Bilddiagnostikens roll, så som den uppfattas av läkare som arbetar med NEC, undersöktes med en enkät. 202 barnläkare, barnkirurger och röntgenläkare från olika länder svarade. De var överens om betydelsen av röntgen i omhändertagandet av NEC och om hur man ska tolka de viktigaste fynden. Sådant som kan behöva forskas mera omkring, är hur ofta barn med NEC behöver röntgas, hur man bäst använder ultraljud och vilka undersökningsfynd som talar för att man behöver operera.

Nekrotiserande enterokolit är en komplicerad sjukdom som har blivit vanligare i takt med att de allra sköraste spädbarnen överlever. Att förstå vilka faktorer som utlöser NEC och påverkar förloppet, och i vilka situationer kirurgi behövs, är viktigt för att kunna förebygga sjukdomen och minska risken för bestående men.
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