Band-to-band transitions, selection rules, effective mass, and excitonic contributions in monoclinic $\beta$-Ga$_2$O$_3$
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We employ an eigenpolarization model including the description of direction dependent excitonic effects for rendering critical point structures within the dielectric function tensor of monoclinic $\beta$-Ga$_2$O$_3$, yielding a comprehensive analysis of generalized ellipsometry data obtained from 0.75–9 eV. The eigenpolarization model permits complete description of the dielectric response. We obtain, for single-electron and excitonic band-to-band transitions, anisotropic critical point model parameters including their polarization vectors within the monoclinic lattice. We compare our experimental analysis with results from density functional theory calculations performed using the Gaussian-attenuation-Perdew-Burke-Ernzerhof hybrid density functional. We present and discuss the order of the fundamental direct band-to-band transitions and their polarization selection rules, the electron and hole effective mass parameters for the three lowest band-to-band transitions, and their excitonic contributions. We find that the effective masses for holes are highly anisotropic and correlate with the selection rules for the fundamental band-to-band transitions. The observed transitions are polarized close to the direction of the lowest hole effective mass for the valence band participating in the transition.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Single crystalline group-III sesquioxides are currently at the forefront of research for applications in electronic and optoelectronic devices due to unique physical properties. Such conductive oxides, including tin doped In$_2$O$_3$ or Ga$_2$O$_3$, can be utilized as transparent thin film electrodes for various devices such as photovoltaic cells [1], flat panel displays [2], smart windows [1,3], and sensors [4]. The highly anisotropic monoclinic $\beta$-gallia crystal structure ($\beta$ phase) is the most stable crystal structure among the five phases ($\alpha$, $\beta$, $\gamma$, $\delta$, and $\epsilon$) of Ga$_2$O$_3$ (Fig. 1) [5,6]. It belongs to the space group 12 and has base centered monoclinic lattice. Ga$_2$O$_3$ shows potential for use in transparent electronics and high-energy photonic applications due to its large band-gap of 4.7–4.9 eV [7–10].

Precise and accurate knowledge of the band-gap energies, band-to-band transitions, their polarization selection rules and energetic order, and the resulting anisotropy in the dielectric function are important physical properties in low-symmetry materials. Electronic band-to-band transitions cause critical point (CP) features in the joint density of states, which result in CP structures in the dielectric function [11]. Parameters of an appropriately selected physical model dielectric function (MDF) yield access to CP parameters such as band-to-band transition energies and polarization selection rules, which allow for direct comparison with results both from experiment, e.g., optical absorption and reflectance measurements, as well as from theory, e.g., density functional theory (DFT) band structure calculations [11]. A suitable and precise technique to determine the complex dielectric function tensor from arbitrarily anisotropic materials is generalized spectroscopic ellipsometry (GSE) [12–24]. MDF approaches were used successfully to quantify anisotropy and band-to-band transitions for many different types of materials [12,15–19,21,25].

Fundamental band-to-band transitions in $\beta$-Ga$_2$O$_3$ have been investigated using density functional theory (DFT) calculations [9,10,26–28], optical absorption [29], reflection [29,30], and ellipsometry [8,9]. Due to monoclinic symmetry, the polarization of a given band-to-band transition may not necessarily align with any of the high-symmetry crystal axes. The formation of excitons upon the optical excitation of a band-to-band transition strongly modifies the frequency dependence of the dielectric response in semiconductors [11]. Thus, in order to accurately determine the transition energies, the excitonic contribution must be accounted for. For $\beta$-Ga$_2$O$_3$, there has been significant discrepancies in reported properties of the fundamental band-to-band transitions. Ricci et al., ignoring excitonic effects, recently showed optical absorption anisotropy in $\beta$-Ga$_2$O$_3$ with the lowest onset of absorption occurring with polarization in the a-c plane at 4.5–4.6 eV [26]. For polarization along the crystal axis b, the absorption onset was unambiguously shifted by 0.2 eV towards shorter wavelength. Onuma et al. investigated polarized transmittance and reflectance spectra [30]. As a result of their investigations, an indirect gap band-to-band transition around 4.43 eV and a direct gap transition around 4.48 eV parallel to the c axis were proposed, without considering excitonic effects.

∗Electronic mail: amock@huskers.unl.edu
interband excitations. In their analysis, Sturm et al. assumed that the exciton binding energy parameter is the same for all band-to-band transitions, and its value was assumed as well rather than determined by experiment. In this present work, we perform a different CP-MDF analysis and determine the excitonic contributions for the lowest transitions independently. Our results for the band-to-band transition parameters differ in detail from those reported by Sturm et al. We provide additional information on band-to-band transitions into the VUV range not previously reported. We further provide and discuss effective mass parameters determined in our DFT analysis for the topmost valence and lowest conduction bands.

II. THEORY

A. Mueller matrix generalized ellipsometry

Optically anisotropic materials necessitate the application of generalized ellipsometry [12,18,19,39–41]. Multiple $\beta$-Ga$_2$O$_3$ samples cut at different angles from the same crystal are investigated using Mueller matrix generalized ellipsometry (MMGE) at multiple angles of incidence and multiple sample azimuthal angles, and all data are then analyzed simultaneously. For model calculations we use the substrate-ambient approximation, where the single crystalline $\beta$-Ga$_2$O$_3$ samples correspond to the half-infinite substrate [14]. We assign coordinate relations between laboratory coordinate axes ($\hat{x}, \hat{y}, \hat{z}$) and crystallographic axes ($\hat{a}, \hat{b}, \hat{c}$) [23]. We choose the $\hat{z}$ axis of the laboratory coordinate system to be normal to the sample surface, thereby defining the sample surface as the laboratory $\hat{x}$-$\hat{y}$ plane. By our choice, the ($x$, $y$, $z$) system is described in Fig. 1 with respect to the crystal structure. Euler angles ($\phi$, $\theta$, and $\psi$) are then determined to describe angular rotations necessary to relate ($x$, $y$, $z$) with ($\hat{x}$, $\hat{y}$, $\hat{z}$). Effects of finite roughness always present on the nanoscale when measuring polished crystal surfaces must be accounted for. An effective medium approximation (EMA) approach is commonly used to mimic the effect of a very thin effective layer with thickness much smaller than all wavelengths for data analysis [42]. Rigorous treatment of the combination of roughness and anisotropy has not been investigated yet, hence, an isotropic averaging approach was employed here. Thus our roughness layer model was calculated by averaging all four dielectric tensor elements and then added together in the EMA approach assuming 50% void.

B. Monoclinic dielectric tensor description

For materials with monoclinic symmetry four independent dielectric tensor elements are needed [23,43]. With the coordinate choices in Fig. 1, we select the dielectric tensor cross-term element $\varepsilon_{xy}$ as the fourth independent element:

$$\varepsilon = \begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon_{xx} & \varepsilon_{xy} & 0 \\ \varepsilon_{yx} & \varepsilon_{yy} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \varepsilon_{zz} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (1)$$

C. Eigenpolarizability critical point model

We adopt the concept of the Born and Huang model and consider electronic contributions to the dielectric response of monoclinic $\beta$-Ga$_2$O$_3$ as the result of eigendielectric displacement.
processes. Each individual contribution \( l \) is characterized by a CP model function, \( q_l(\omega) \) and its eigendielectric polarizability unit vector, \( \hat{e}_l \). The same approach was adopted by Schubert et al. [23] and Sturm et al. [8] for analysis of GSE data for FIR-IR and NIR-VUV spectral regions, respectively, as follows:

\[
\varepsilon(\omega) = \sum_{j=0}^{N} q_j(\omega) \hat{e}_j \otimes \hat{e}_j.
\]

(2)

When \( \omega \to \infty \), the high frequency dielectric tensor dyadic \( \varepsilon_{\infty} \) is determined. For monocrinic materials the tensor can be described according to

\[
\varepsilon_{xx} = \varepsilon_{\infty,xx} + \sum_{j=1}^{m} q_j \cos^2 \alpha_j,
\]

(3a)

\[
\varepsilon_{yy} = \varepsilon_{\infty,yy} + \sum_{j=1}^{m} q_j \sin^2 \alpha_j,
\]

(3b)

\[
\varepsilon_{xy} = \varepsilon_{\infty,xy} + \sum_{j=1}^{m} q_j \cos \alpha_j \sin \alpha_j,
\]

(3c)

\[
\varepsilon_{zz} = \varepsilon_{\infty,zz} + \sum_{k=1}^{n} q_k,
\]

(3d)

\[
\varepsilon_{xz} = \varepsilon_{yz} = 0,
\]

(3e)

with \( \alpha_j \) equal to the angle of the shear projection into the a-c plane and \( m \) and \( n \) equal to the number of CP contributions in the a-c plane and b direction, respectively.

1. Fundamental band-to-band transitions

We use photon energy \((\hbar \omega)\) dependent functions described by Higginbotham, Cardona, and Pollak [44] (HCP) for rendering electronic contributions at three-dimensional Van-Hove singularities (“M\(_0\)”-type CP in Adachi’s CP composite approach [45]):

\[
\varepsilon(E) = AE^{-1.5} \left[ x^{-2} \left[ 2 - (1 + x)^{0.5} - (1 - x)^{0.5} \right] \right],
\]

(4)

with \( x = (\hbar \omega + i B) / E \), and \( A \), \( E \), and \( B \) are, respectively, amplitude, transition energy, and broadening parameters, and \( i^2 = -1 \). Our choice is directed by inspection of the symmetry and band curvatures for the lowest band-to-band transitions observed in our DFT calculations.

2. Excitonic contributions at fundamental band-to-band transitions

The contributions to the dielectric function due to exciton absorption arise from two parts, one from bound states and another from continuum states [11,46,47]. For Wannier-type excitons, Tanguy developed model functions for parabolic bands taking into account bound and unbound states [31,33,48]. These functions, strictly valid for parabolic bands and isotropic materials only, were used by Sturm et al. [8] for analysis of GSE data from \( \beta\)-Ga\(_2\)O\(_3\). In their work, Sturm et al. did not determine the exciton binding energy parameter from using the Tanguy model [31,33,48] approach. In our present work, and because the dominant contribution to exciton absorption processes in direct band-gap semiconductors is the ground-state (\( n = 1 \)) transition [11,46], we employ a single Lorentz oscillator with nonsymmetric broadening to account for, and to spectrally locate the ground-state excitonic contribution, thereby further following Adachi’s CP composite approach [45]:

\[
\varepsilon = \frac{A^2 - i B \hbar \omega}{E^2 - (\hbar \omega)^2 - i B \hbar \omega},
\]

(5)

with \( A \), \( E \), \( B \), and \( b \) are, respectively, amplitude, energy, broadening, and asymmetric broadening parameter, respectively.

3. Above-band-gap band-to-band transitions

At photon energies far above the band-gap, multiple transitions originating at multiple points in the Brillouin zone (BZ) often overlap, and CP features due to individual transitions cannot be differentiated by experiment. Hence broadened Lorentzian or Gaussian oscillators are often used to account for broad CP features typical for above-band-gap spectra [11,45,49]. Here, we use the same anharmonic broadened functions as in Eq. (5).

4. Higher energy band-to-band transitions

Transitions above the spectral range investigated here contribute to the overall line shape of the dielectric functions at wavelengths within the investigated spectral range. Such higher energy contributions are usually accounted for by Gaussian broadened oscillator functions [11,45,49]:

\[
\varepsilon_2(\hbar \omega) = A \left[ e^{-\left(\frac{\hbar \omega - E}{\sigma}\right)^2} - e^{-\left(\frac{\hbar \omega + E}{\sigma}\right)^2} \right],
\]

(6)

where amplitude \( A \), center energy \( E \), and broadening \( B \) are adjustable parameters. The real part \( \varepsilon_1 \) is obtained by the Kramers-Kronig integration [50]:

\[
\varepsilon_1(\xi) = \frac{B}{2 \sqrt{\ln(2)}} \int_0^\infty \frac{\xi e_\xi(\xi)}{\xi^2 - \xi^2} d\xi.
\]

(7)

Note that each nontrivial sum in Eq. (3) satisfies the Kramers-Kronig integral condition [23,37,51] and which can be set as additional side condition during the CP-MDF analysis.

III. METHODS

Bulk single crystalline \( \beta\)-Ga\(_2\)O\(_3\) was grown by Tamura Corp., Japan by the edge-defined film fed growth process as described in Refs. [52–54]. Samples were then cut at different orientations to the dimensions of 650 \( \mu \text{m} \times 10 \text{ mm} \times 10 \text{ mm} \), and then polished on one side. In this paper, we investigate a (010) and a (021) surface. The samples are Sn doped with a free electron density of 3.5 \( \times 10^{18} \text{ cm}^{-3} \).

A. Generalized spectroscopic ellipsometry

Mueller matrix generalized spectroscopic ellipsometry data were collected from 133 to 1690 nm. The vacuum-ultra-violet (VUV) measurements were obtained using a rotating-analyzer ellipsometer with an automated compensator function (VUV-VASE, J.A. Woollam Co., Inc.). Data were acquired at three angles of incidence (\( \Phi_\theta = 50^\circ \), 60°, 70°), and at several azimuthal angles by manually rotating the sample about the sample normal in steps of \( \approx 45^\circ \). Note that in the VUV range,
due to limitations of the instrument, no elements in the 4th row of the Mueller matrix are available. Measurements from the near-infrared to near ultraviolet (NIR-NUV) were performed using a dual-rotating compensator ellipsometer (RC2, J.A. Woollam Co., Inc.) allowing for the determination of the complete \(4 \times 4\) Mueller matrix. Measurements were taken at three angles of incidence (\(\Phi = 50^\circ, 60^\circ, 70^\circ\)), and at different orientations by autorotating the sample by steps of \(4^\circ\) beginning at the same azimuthal orientation as in the VUV measurements. All model calculations were conducted using \(\text{WVASE32}^\text{TM}\) (J. A. Woollam Co., Inc.).

**B. Density functional theory**

Density functional theory (DFT) code QUANTUM ESPRESSO (QE)[55] was used for calculations of the band structure and band-to-band transitions. The primitive cell of \(\beta\)-Ga\(_2\)O\(_3\), with vectors \(p_1 = (a - b)/2\) and \(p_2 = (a + b)/2\), consisting of six oxygen and four gallium atoms was used, and the initial atomic positions and parameters of the unit cell were taken from Ref. [56]. The atoms were represented by norm-conserving pseudopotentials from the QE library; the pseudopotential for gallium did not include the 3d electrons in the valence configuration. Structure relaxation was performed to force levels less than \(10^{-5}\) Ry/bohr using the exchange-correlation functional of Perdew, Burke, and Ernzerhof (PBE) [57]. A \(4 \times 4 \times 4\) regular shifted Monkhorst-Pack grid was used for sampling of the Brillouin zone [58]. A convergence threshold of \(10^{-12}\) was used to reach self-consistency with a large electronic wave-function cutoff of 100 Ry. The resulting lattice parameters obtained are shown in Table II in comparison with results from previously reported studies using GGA-DFT methods. We find very good agreement between our values and those reported previously. The structure fully relaxed at the PBE level was used for electronic structure calculations employing the hybrid Gau-PBE functional [59,60]. This calculation was performed with a \(6 \times 6 \times 6\) \(\Gamma\)-centered Monkhorst-Pack grid (after testing the convergence with respect to the grid of \(k\) points up to \(8 \times 8 \times 8\)), and with otherwise the same parameters as for the preceding PBE calculations. The converged Gau-PBE wave function was used to analyze the band structure.

Figure 2 shows the Brillouin zone corresponding to the primitive cell used in the present study. Example coordinates for a high-symmetry path to sample the Brillouin zone are given in Table I. The band structure along the high-symmetry path was plotted using the band interpolation method based on the maximally localized Wannier functions [62,63] as implemented in the software package \textsc{wannier90} [64]. We used \(s\) and \(p\) orbitals on both Ga and O atoms and performed disentanglement of the bands in a frozen energy window from \(-5\) to \(22\) eV. The disentangled bands were also used for calculating the effective masses of the carriers. The bands were sampled in the range \(\pm 0.005~\text{Å}^{-1}\) from the \(\Gamma\) point parallel to the crystal directions \(a\), \(b\), and \(c\). Parabolic curves were used to fit the dispersions of the respective energy bands and the quadratic terms of the parabolas were converted to inverse effective mass tensor parameters as follows:

\[
(m^{r-1})_{ij} = \frac{1}{h^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial k^2} E(\mathbf{k}),
\]

**TABLE I.** Example coordinates of the high-symmetry points in the Brillouin zone. Note that one can draw four symmetry-equivalent paths, i.e., one for each irreducible BZ.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Coordinates for BZ in Fig. 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(\Gamma)</td>
<td>[0,0,0]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Y)</td>
<td>[1/2,1/2,0]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(F)</td>
<td>([1 - \zeta, 1 - \zeta, \eta - 1])</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(L)</td>
<td>[1/2,1/2,−1/2]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(I)</td>
<td>([\phi, 1 - \phi, -1 - 1/2])</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(I_{1})</td>
<td>([1 - \phi, \phi, 1 - 1/2])</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Z)</td>
<td>[0,0,−1/2]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(F_{1})</td>
<td>([\zeta, \zeta, -\eta])</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(X_{1})</td>
<td>([\psi, 1 - \psi, 0])</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(X)</td>
<td>([1 - \psi, \psi, -1, 0])</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(N)</td>
<td>[1/2,0,0]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(M)</td>
<td>[1/2,0,−1/2]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Variables: \(\zeta = (2 + (a/c) \cos(\beta))/[4 \sin^2(\beta)] = 0.39715\), \(\eta = 1/2 - 2\zeta(c/a) \cos(\beta) = 0.58937\), \(\psi = 3/4 - b^2/[4(a/c)^2 \sin^2(\beta)] = 0.7336\), \(\phi = \psi - (3/4 - \psi)(a/c) \cos(\beta) = 0.74181\)

**TABLE II.** Comparison between the experimental and theoretical lattice constants (in \(\text{Å}\)).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(a)</td>
<td>12.214</td>
<td>12.233</td>
<td>12.287</td>
<td>12.27</td>
<td>12.31</td>
<td>12.438</td>
<td>12.289</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(b)</td>
<td>3.0371</td>
<td>3.038</td>
<td>3.0564</td>
<td>3.03</td>
<td>3.08</td>
<td>3.084</td>
<td>3.0471</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(c)</td>
<td>5.7981</td>
<td>5.807</td>
<td>5.823</td>
<td>5.80</td>
<td>5.89</td>
<td>5.877</td>
<td>5.8113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\beta)</td>
<td>103.83</td>
<td>103.82</td>
<td>103.73</td>
<td>103.7</td>
<td>103.9</td>
<td>103.71</td>
<td>103.77</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(\text{Exp.}^a\) Reference [56].
\(\text{Exp.}^b\) Reference [65].
\(\text{Exp.}^c\) This work, PBE.
\(\text{Calc.}^d\) B88(exchange)+PW(correlation), Ref. [66].
\(\text{Calc.}^e\) PBE, Ref. [67].
\(\text{Calc.}^f\) PBE, Ref. [68].
\(\text{AM05}, \text{Ref.}^g [10].

---

FIG. 2. The primitive cell and corresponding Brillouin zone used for plotting the band structure of \(\beta\)-Ga\(_2\)O\(_3\), \(p_1\), \(p_2\), and \(p_3\) denote vectors of the primitive cell (\(p_1\) not labeled for better clarity of the diagram); \(k_1\), \(k_3\), \(k_5\) denote vectors of the first Brillouin zone in the reciprocal space. Labeling of high-symmetry points as proposed by Setyawan and Curtarolo [61].

---

For the preceding PBE calculations. The converged Gau-PBE wave function was used to analyze the band structure.

Figure 2 shows the Brillouin zone corresponding to the primitive cell used in the present study. Example coordinates for a high-symmetry path to sample the Brillouin zone are given in Table I. The band structure along the high-symmetry path was plotted using the band interpolation method based on the maximally localized Wannier functions [62,63] as implemented in the software package \textsc{wannier90} [64]. We used \(s\) and \(p\) orbitals on both Ga and O atoms and performed disentanglement of the bands in a frozen energy window from \(-5\) to \(22\) eV. The disentangled bands were also used for calculating the effective masses of the carriers. The bands were sampled in the range \(\pm 0.005~\text{Å}^{-1}\) from the \(\Gamma\) point parallel to the crystal directions \(a\), \(b\), and \(c\). Parabolic curves were used to fit the dispersions of the respective energy bands and the quadratic terms of the parabolas were converted to inverse effective mass tensor parameters as follows:
FIG. 3. Experimental (dotted, green lines) and best match model (solid, red lines) Mueller matrix data obtained from $\beta$-Ga$_2$O$_3$ (010) surface at three different azimuthal orientations [P1: $\phi = 38.5(1)\degree$, P2: $\phi = 77.4(1)\degree$, P3: $\phi = 130.42(1)\degree$]. Data were taken at three angles of incidence ($\Phi_1 = 50\degree$, 60\degree, and 70\degree). Vertical lines indicate energies at which CP transitions were suggested by the lineshape analysis. For color code and line styles of vertical lines, refer to Fig. 11. Euler angle parameters $\theta = -0.04(1)\degree$ and $\psi = 0.0(1)\degree$ are consistent with the crystallographic orientation of the (010) surface. Note that angles of incidence in element $M_{22}$ are not labeled as they are indistinguishable from each other.

where derivatives are taken along directions $\mathbf{k} = k_j\hat{\mathbf{j}}$ with unit vector $\hat{\mathbf{j}}$, for example, parallel to $\mathbf{a}$, $\mathbf{b}$, or $\mathbf{c}$.

Significant band-to-band transitions contributing to the dielectric tensor are identified by analyzing the matrix elements $|M_{cv}|^2$ of the momentum operator between conduction and valence bands at the $\Gamma$ point (Tables V and VI). The signatures (parallel or antiparallel) of the projections of $|M_{cv}|^2$ along the crystal directions $\mathbf{a}$ and $\mathbf{c}^\ast$ were obtained from inspecting the complex argument of $M_{cv}$. Transition matrix elements with parallel (antiparallel) arguments were respectively plotted in the first (second) quadrant of the Cartesian ($\mathbf{a}$-$\mathbf{c}^\ast$) plane.

Renderings of the unit cells in Figs. 1 and 2, and the Brillouin zone in Fig. 2 were prepared using XCrysDen [69].

IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A. Wavelength-by-wavelength analysis of the dielectric function tensor

Experimental and best match model calculated Mueller matrix GSE data is summarized in Figs. 3 and 4 for the (010) and (201) surfaces, respectively. Selected data, obtained at three different sample azimuthal orientations 45\degree apart, and three angles of incidence (50\degree, 60\degree, and 70\degree) are displayed. Panels with individual Mueller matrix elements are shown separately and arranged according to their indices. All Mueller matrix data are normalized to element $M_{11}$, therefore all GSE data have no units. For nonmagnetic and nonchiral materials, in general, and as can be seen in the experimental and calculated data, Mueller matrix elements with symmetric indices can be obtained from simple symmetry operation, thus only the upper diagonal elements are presented. Data are shown for energies 0.75–9 eV except for $M_{44}$, which only contains data from approximately 0.75–6.2 eV due to instrumental limitations of the VUV-VASE system. Data gathered from additional azimuthal orientations are not shown.

Each data set (sample, azimuthal orientation, angle of incidence) is unique, however, characteristic features are shared between them all at energies indicated by vertical lines. While we do not show all data in Figs. 3 and 4, we note that all data sets are identical when samples are measured at 180\degree rotated azimuth orientation. Most important to note in the experimental Mueller matrix data is the clear anisotropy shown by the nonzero off-diagonal block elements ($M_{13}$, $M_{14}$, $M_{23}$, $M_{24}$, $M_{34}$, $M_{43}$, $M_{44}$).
FIG. 4. Same as Fig. 3 except for $\beta$-Ga$_2$O$_3$ ($\overline{2}01$) surface [P1: $\varphi = 184.3(1)^\circ$, P2: $\varphi = 228.9(1)^\circ$, P3: $\varphi = 266.7(1)^\circ$]. Euler angle parameters $\theta = 89.97(1)^\circ$ and $\psi = -52.9(1)^\circ$ are consistent with the crystallographic orientation of the ($\overline{2}01$) surface. Note that angles of incidences are labeled wherever they are distinguishable.

$M_{24}$ and strong dependence on sample azimuthal orientation in all Mueller matrix elements. All data gathered by the measurement of multiple samples, with multiple orientations, and at multiple angles of incidence were analyzed simultaneously using a best-match model data regression procedure (polyfit). For each energy, up to 144 independent data points were included from two samples, three angles of incidence, and as many as 24 different azimuthal orientations. Only eight independent model parameters for real and imaginary parts of $\varepsilon_{xx}$, $\varepsilon_{yy}$, $\varepsilon_{xy}$, and two sets of energy-independent Euler angles describing the sample orientation and crystallographic structure and two roughness layer thickness parameters were fit for. The thickness parameters for the roughness layer of the (010) and ($\overline{2}01$) samples were determined to be 1.78(1) nm and 1.61(1) nm, respectively. The best match model calculated Mueller matrix elements from the polyfit procedure are shown in Figs. 3 and 4 as red solid lines. We obtain an excellent agreement between model calculated and experimental Mueller matrix data. Euler angle parameters noted in the captions of Figs. 3 and 4 are in agreement with anticipated orientations of the crystallographic axes of each of the samples. The dielectric function tensor elements, $\varepsilon_{xx}$, $\varepsilon_{yy}$, $\varepsilon_{xy}$, and $\varepsilon_{zz}$ determined from the wavelength-by-wavelength polyfit procedure are shown in Figs. 5–8, respectively, as dotted green lines.

B. CP model analysis

We identify 11 differentiable contributions in $\varepsilon_{xx}$, $\varepsilon_{yy}$, and $\varepsilon_{xy}$, and five in $\varepsilon_{zz}$. Distinct features can be seen, e.g., in the imaginary part of each tensor element in Figs. 5–8. Vertical lines are drawn corresponding to the results from our CP analysis at the respective CP transition energy model parameters. Note that while vertical lines are identical for $\varepsilon_{xx}$, $\varepsilon_{yy}$, and $\varepsilon_{xy}$, a different set is seen for $\varepsilon_{zz}$, which corresponds to the difference between the monoclinic a-c plane and the axis parallel to b [70].

I. a-c plane

Eleven CP features are needed to match the tensor elements. Functions described in Sec. II C are used to model individual CP contributions as projections in the x-y plane with angular parameters $\alpha_{CP,j}$. The lowest band-to-band transition, CP$_{0}^{e}$, was modeled with the HCP CP function [Eq. (4)] with an excitonic contribution determined by an asymmetrically broadened Lorentzian oscillator [Eq. (5)]. It was assumed that excitonic and band-to-band transition contributions share the
FIG. 5. (a) Dielectric function tensor element $\varepsilon_{xx}$, approximately along axis $\mathbf{a}$ in our coordinate system, obtained from wavelength-by-wavelength (polyfit) analysis (green dotted lines) and best match MDF analysis (red solid lines). Vertical lines indicate CP transition energy model parameters obtained from MDF analysis. Data from analysis by Sturm et al. are included for comparison (Ref. [9]; open symbols). (b) Imaginary part of the individual CP contributions to the MDF used in this work are shown. For color code and line styles refer to Fig. 11.

same unit vector (angular parameter $\alpha_{\text{CP},0}$). The excitonic CP contribution is labeled $\text{CP}_{0x}^\text{ac}$. We identify a second pair of CP contributions ($\text{CP}_{1x}^\text{ac}$, $\text{CP}_{2x}^\text{ac}$, $\alpha_{\text{CP},1}$) using the same functions. A Gaussian oscillator was used to model a very small CP contribution at 5.64 eV, which could not be further differentiated ($\text{CP}_{2}^\text{ac}$, $\alpha_{\text{CP},2}$). Above-band-gap CP contributions ($\text{CP}_{2}^\text{acc}$, $\alpha_{\text{CP},3}^{2-4}$) were identified at higher photon energies, which were modeled by asymmetrically broadened Lorentzian oscillators [Eq. (5)]. We were unable to differentiate between excitonic and band-to-band transition contributions associated with these higher energy CPs. Contributions due to higher-energy transitions, outside the investigated spectral region, were accounted for by a Gaussian function CP with projection along $z$ ($\text{CP}_{3}^\text{ac}$). The resulting best-match CP-MDF parameters are listed in Table IV, and are shown as solid red lines in Figs. 5–7. An excellent agreement between our GSE wavelength-by-wavelength obtained and CP-MDF calculated data is noted. We also note close agreement with the GSE wavelength-by-wavelength obtained data reported by Sturm et al.

FIG. 6. Same as Fig. 5 for $\varepsilon_{yy}$ approximately along axis $\mathbf{c}^*$.

2. $b$ axis

Six CP features are needed to match the dielectric tensor element $\varepsilon_{zz}$. Functions described in Sec. II C are used to model individual CP contributions projected along axis $\mathbf{b}$. The lowest band-to-band transition, $\text{CP}_{b}^\text{0}$, was modeled with the HCP CP function [Eq. (4); $\text{CP}_{b}^\text{0}$] with an excitonic contribution ($\text{CP}_{b}^\text{ac}$) determined by an asymmetrically broadened Lorentzian oscillator [Eq. (5)]. Above-band CP contributions ($\text{CP}_{1}^{b-2}$) were identified and modeled by functions in Eq. (5). Here again, we were unable to differentiate between excitonic and band-to-band transition contribution. Contributions due to higher-energy transitions, outside the investigated spectral region, were accounted for by a Gaussian function CP with projection along $z$ ($\text{CP}_{3}^\text{ac}$). The resulting best-match CP-MDF parameters are listed in Table IV, and are shown as solid red lines in Fig. 8. Again, an excellent agreement between our GSE wavelength-by-wavelength obtained and CP-MDF calculated data is noted. We also note close agreement with the GSE wavelength-by-wavelength obtained data reported by Sturm et al.

C. DFT analysis

1. Band structure

Figure 9 shows plots of the band structure at the DFT (PBE functional) and hybrid HF-DFT (Gau-PBE functional) levels of theory. The major difference between the two plots is the expected opening of the energy gap between the valence and
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FIG. 7. Same as Fig. 5 for $\varepsilon_{xy}$ within the $a$–c plane.

Conduction bands by about 2 eV. The most important feature, common to both plots, is the lowest conduction band, which dominates the Brillouin zone center. A direct comparison between our band structures and previously published data is rather difficult as the majority of the authors only considered some of the high-symmetry points in the first Brillouin zone. A band structure plotted using a comparable set of high-symmetry points to the one used in the present work and also at the hybrid HF-DFT level was published by Peelaers and Van de Walle [71]. They used the HSE density functional with the fraction of HF exchange adjusted to reproduce an assumed value of the band-gap. Their valence bands are very similar to ours, whereas their conduction bands are slightly shifted to higher energies and steeper than ours, most likely due to the effect of the higher amount of HF exchange included into the calculations (35% versus 24% in Gau-PBE).

The character of the band-gap in $\beta$-Ga$_2$O$_3$ can be obtained from the band structure. The broad valence band maximum (VBM) has been reported previously along the L–I line of the Brillouin zone [71,72], slightly off the L point. Note again that in the current manuscript we use the nomenclature and

TABLE III. CP-MDF parameters for polarization within the $a$–c plane of $\beta$-Ga$_2$O$_3$ obtained in this work from MMGE wavelength-by-wavelength data analysis of (010) and (201) surfaces of single crystalline bulk $\beta$-Ga$_2$O$_3$. $\varepsilon_1$ offset values for the $\varepsilon_{xx}$, $\varepsilon_{yy}$, and $\varepsilon_{xy}$ tensor elements were found to be 1.05(9), 1.20(3), and $-0.07(1)$, respectively.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\alpha$ (°)</th>
<th>$A$ (eV)</th>
<th>$E$ (eV)</th>
<th>$B$ (eV)</th>
<th>$b$ (eV)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$CP_{\text{ac}}^0$</td>
<td>115.1(1)</td>
<td>1.35(1)</td>
<td>4.92(1)$^a$</td>
<td>0.40(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$CP_{\text{ac}}^0$</td>
<td>25.2(1)</td>
<td>1.50(1)</td>
<td>5.17(1)$^a$</td>
<td>0.43(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$CP_{\text{ac}}^1$</td>
<td>25.2(1)</td>
<td>28.8(5)</td>
<td>5.40(1)</td>
<td>0.09(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$CP_{\text{ac}}^2$</td>
<td>174.2(2)</td>
<td>0.19(1)</td>
<td>5.64(1)</td>
<td>1.05(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$CP_{\text{ac}}^3$</td>
<td>50.4(1)</td>
<td>0.85(1)</td>
<td>6.53(1)</td>
<td>0.34(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$CP_{\text{ac}}^4$</td>
<td>114.6(1)</td>
<td>0.88(2)</td>
<td>6.94(1)</td>
<td>0.56(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$CP_{\text{ac}}^5$</td>
<td>105.4(1)</td>
<td>4.60(5)</td>
<td>8.68(1)</td>
<td>1.94(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$CP_{\text{ac}}^6$</td>
<td>29.2(1)</td>
<td>1.45(4)</td>
<td>8.76(1)</td>
<td>0.97(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$CP_{\text{ac}}^7$</td>
<td>106.4(1)</td>
<td>2.34(1)</td>
<td>10.91(1)</td>
<td>8.28(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$CP_{\text{ac}}^8$</td>
<td>17.6(1)</td>
<td>3.56(1)</td>
<td>12.54(1)</td>
<td>8.28(1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$Energy calculated from binding energy model parameter.

$^b$Denotes 3D $M_0$ Adachi function.

$^c$Denotes Gaussian oscillator used in this analysis.

FIG. 8. Same as Fig. 5 for $\varepsilon_{zz}$ approximately along axis b.

zone. A band structure plotted using a comparable set of high-symmetry points to the one used in the present work and also at the hybrid HF-DFT level was published by Peelaers and Van de Walle [71]. They used the HSE density functional with the fraction of HF exchange adjusted to reproduce an assumed value of the band-gap. Their valence bands are very similar to ours, whereas their conduction bands are slightly shifted to higher energies and steeper than ours, most likely due to the effect of the higher amount of HF exchange included into the calculations (35% versus 24% in Gau-PBE).

The character of the band-gap in $\beta$-Ga$_2$O$_3$ can be obtained from the band structure. The broad valence band maximum (VBM) has been reported previously along the L–I line of the Brillouin zone [71,72], slightly off the L point. Note again that in the current manuscript we use the nomenclature and

TABLE IV. Same as for Table III but for transitions polarized parallel to axis b. $\varepsilon_1$ offset values for the $\varepsilon_{zz}$ tensor element was found to be 0.64(1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$A$ (eV)</th>
<th>$E$ (eV)</th>
<th>$B$ (eV)</th>
<th>$b$ (eV)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$CP_{\text{ac}}^0$</td>
<td>0.97(6)</td>
<td>5.46(3)$^a$</td>
<td>0.54(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$CP_{\text{ac}}^1$</td>
<td>64(2)</td>
<td>5.64(1)</td>
<td>0.11(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$CP_{\text{ac}}^2$</td>
<td>1.24(6)</td>
<td>5.86(1)</td>
<td>0.50(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$CP_{\text{ac}}^3$</td>
<td>0.59(7)</td>
<td>7.42(3)</td>
<td>0.95(5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$CP_{\text{ac}}^4$</td>
<td>1.37(3)</td>
<td>9.53(1)</td>
<td>0.47(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$CP_{\text{ac}}^5$</td>
<td>3.50(1)</td>
<td>13.82(1)</td>
<td>8.86(1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$Energy calculated from binding energy model parameter.

$^b$Denotes 3D $M_0$ Adachi function.

$^c$Transition outside investigated spectral region with limited sensitivity modeled with a Gaussian oscillator.
100 meV than the direct band-gap energy. and obtained the indirect band-gap energy smaller by nearly L shift-Gau-PBE level show the VBM near the GW, the quasiparticle self-consistent version of /Gamma1, meV higher than the top valence band at /Gamma1. Interestingly, at /Gamma1 level (quasiparticle bands) the band-gaps are com-

10 eV. We find ten and eight such transitions, summarized in Tables V and VI, with polarizations within the a-c plane and along axis b, respectively. The transitions are labeled according to the indices of the bands involved, indexed from the band-gap, i.e., the numbering starts from the top for the valence bands and from the bottom for the conduction bands. The matrix elements of the momentum operator are obtained from the overlap of the wave functions for the respective energy bands. Hence their values represent the probabilities of the transitions, i.e., transition amplitudes, which can be compared to experimental ones. In the case of a-c plane transitions, which do not have any pre-defined orientation within the plane, the transition probabilities along the crystallographic directions a and c* constitute Cartesian components of the corresponding transition vectors, thereby defining the polarization direction of the band-to-band transition in space. Their orientations are shown in Fig. 12(b), and can be compared to the eigendisplacement vectors obtained from GSE analysis. The fundamental (lowest energy) band-to-band transition is polarized nearly parallel to the crystallographic axis c. It is closely followed by a second transition polarized at a small angle from the crystallographic axis a. The lowest transition along the crystallographic axis b occurs about 0.6 eV above the

2. Band-to-band transitions
We analyze band-to-band transitions by identifying all allowed transitions, i.e., transitions with nonzero matrix elements of the momentum operator between conduction and valence bands, and whose transition energies are less than

| Label | E (eV) | \(|M_{\gamma\gamma'}|^2\) | c | v |
|-------|-------|-----------------|---|---|
| \Gamma_{1-1} | 5.350 | 0.06036769 | 1 | 4 |
| \Gamma_{1-6} | 5.636 | 0.14341762 | 1 | 6 |
| \Gamma_{1-13} | 7.472 | 0.00012693 | 1 | 13 |
| \Gamma_{2-5} | 6.860 | 0.02112952 | 2 | 5 |
| \Gamma_{4-4} | 9.626 | 0.00457146 | 4 | 4 |
| \Gamma_{4-5} | 9.685 | 0.00327158 | 3 | 5 |
| \Gamma_{4-6} | 9.912 | 0.00129364 | 4 | 6 |
| \Gamma_{2-9} | 9.991 | 0.08355157 | 2 | 9 |

a) Transition with small transition matrix element and disregarded in this work for CP model analysis comparison.
fundamental transition in the a-c plane. This tendency agrees well with GW results shown in Table VIII of Ref. [10].

3. Conduction and valence band effective mass parameters

Figure 10 shows the vicinity of the Γ point of the Brillouin zone, with top valence bands and the first conduction band. The four lowest transitions are schematically shown as vertical arrows. The first conduction band is clearly parabolic, and rather symmetric, indicating a nearly isotropic electron effective mass, which is consistent with many previous studies [10,72]. It has been assumed previously [8] that due to the valence bands being generally flat, the hole effective masses are expected to be large, and that the electron effective mass parameter hence dominates the carrier reduced masses for the zone center band-to-band transitions. As can be inferred from Fig. 10, however, the valence band structure is far from isotropic. For example, the curvature of the second valence band in the direction Γ- Y, and the curvature of the fourth valence band in the direction X- Γ are similar to the curvature of the first conduction band. The general shape of these bands in different directions reveals a strong anisotropy.

4. Electron effective mass

The electron effective mass for β-Ga2O3 has been studied previously, both by computation and experiment. Computational results consistently predict a very small anisotropy, but span a relatively wide range of values: from (0.12–0.13)m_e (GGA-DFT) [66], through (0.23–0.24)m_e (local density approximation DFT) [72], to 0.39m_e [76]. At the hybrid HF-DFT level the reported values are more consistent: (0.26–0.27)m_e [10], (0.27–0.28)m_e [27,71] with the HSE functional, and 0.34m_e for the B3LYP functional [73]. Our results (Gau-PBE) are presented in Table VII, which fall within this broad range of reported values, but exhibit a slightly higher anisotropy than found in previous studies.

5. Hole effective mass parameters

We have analyzed the effective mass parameters for the three valence bands involved in the lowest band-to-band transitions, and data are presented in Table VII. It is obvious that the hole effective mass anisotropy cannot be neglected for these bands. To our best knowledge, hole effective mass parameters at the Γ point have not been reported for β-Ga2O3 thus far. Yamaguchi [72] presented values of the top valence band effective mass parameter at point labeled “E” away from the zone center and thus not relevant for zone center transitions.

We note an interesting observation from our analysis here: the lowest values of the hole effective mass for each valence band occurs in the approximate polarization direction of the transition that connects this particular valence band and the lowest conduction band, and which we observe and identify from our GSE and DFT analyses. For the first and topmost valence band, the lowest value of the effective mass occurs along axis c, and the transition Γ1−1 is polarized nearly along axis c as well. For the second valence band, the lowest effective mass is along axis a and the transition Γ1−2 is polarized near axis a. For the fourth valence band, the lowest hole effective mass is along b and the transition Γ1−4 is polarized along b.

We thus observe here a clear correlation between the transition selection rules for electronic band-to-band transitions and the values of the carrier effective masses for these transitions. In contrast to previous studies, we find that not only do the hole effective mass parameters matter, but due to their very large anisotropy these parameters may play a decisive role for the polarization of the band-to-band transitions as well.

D. Comparison of DFT, GSE, and literature results

Figure 11 summarizes energy levels below 10 eV determined by CP-MDF analysis and calculated by DFT in our work. Data from Sturm et al. are included for comparison. Overall, the agreement between our GSE and DFT results is excellent, in particular in the near-band-gap transition region, where number of observed transitions (four in a-c plane, three along b) and their energy levels agree very well. At higher energies, individual transitions identified from DFT cannot be differentiated by GSE analysis, and appear as combined CP contributions.

| Table VII. Effective mass parameters for conduction (c) and valence (v) bands as indexed for lowest transition along directions j in units of m_e. |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| j | m_{v,1,jj} (m_e) | m_{v,3,jj} (m_e) | m_{v,2,jj} (m_e) | m_{v,4,jj} (m_e) |
| a | 0.224 | 1.769 | 0.466 | 6.649 |
| b | 0.301 | >10^4 | 2.37 | 0.566 |
| c | 0.291 | 0.409 | 5.617 | >10^4 |

aBand very flat in this direction.
FIG. 11. Transition energies determined by CP-MDF analysis and calculated by DFT in our work, in comparison with data reported by Sturm et al. (Ref. [8]). Short-dashed (Sturm et al.) and dashed lines (this work): excitonic contributions; solid lines: near-band-gap band-to-band transitions; dash-dotted lines: above-band-gap transitions; dotted lines: higher energy transitions. For respective CP-MDF contributions, see Sec. II C. DFT levels all refer to band-to-band transitions (solid lines). Color code for DFT a-c plane data are intended to match with order of energy levels identified in GSE CP-MDF analysis.

1. a-c plane

CP-MDF and DFT transition energies are listed in Tables III and V, respectively. In Fig. 11, we indicate a small contribution (CPac2 at 6.53 eV) for which we do not observe an equivalent transition in our DFT results. This energy is close to a strong contribution identified along axis b, and it appearance in the a-c plane may originate from lattice defects or from slight experimental misalignment. Figures 12(a) and 12(b) depict CP transition vectors multiplied with their respective CP transition amplitude parameters, or transition matrix element, obtained from GSE and DFT, respectively. Colors and line styles are as in Fig. 11, for convenient guiding of the eye. As one can see, the agreement between eigendielectric displacement unit vectors in our CP-MDF approach and the polarization selection conditions obtained from DFT is remarkably good, in particular for the first two band-to-band transitions. None of the identified contributions are purely polarized along either axis a, c, or ĉ. At higher energies we see a considerable shift between GSE and DFT. We attribute this to an increase in error associated with both the experimental results and the calculations at higher energies. The higher energy transitions predicted by DFT calculations which cannot be resolved from our GSE investigation are shown all in dark blue, the remaining colors correspond to the associated transitions identified by our GSE analysis. Previous work assumed transitions were independently polarized along crystallographic axes. We find here that the lowest two transitions are indeed polarized close to crystal axes c and a, respectively. Matsumoto et al. (Ref. [29]) describe the onset of absorption at 4.54 eV and 4.56 eV for polarization along c and for polarization perpendicular to both c and b, respectively, also significantly lower than those found in this work. Ricci et al. (Ref. [26]) reported absorption measurements and found the lowest onset occurring with polarization in the a-c plane at 4.5–4.6 eV, which is

TABLE VIII. Energies and polarization vector directions of the three lowest near-band-gap CP transitions including excitonic contributions determined for monoclinic β-Ga2O3 in this work, in comparison with literature data. The polarization angle α in the a-c plane is defined between axis a and the respective transition dipole polarization direction.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Eac,0 (eV)</th>
<th>Eac,1 (eV)</th>
<th>α</th>
<th>Eac,0 (eV)</th>
<th>Eac,1 (eV)</th>
<th>α</th>
<th>Eac,0 (eV)</th>
<th>Eac,1 (eV)</th>
<th>α</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>This work</td>
<td>4.92(1)</td>
<td>5.04(1)</td>
<td>115.1(1)</td>
<td>5.17(1)</td>
<td>5.40(1)</td>
<td>25.2(1)</td>
<td>5.46(3)</td>
<td>5.64(1)</td>
<td>b-axis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ref. [9]a</td>
<td>4.88</td>
<td>5.15b</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>5.37b</td>
<td>17b</td>
<td>4.57</td>
<td>4.72</td>
<td>5.68-6.02b</td>
<td>b-axis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ref. [29]a</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>4.54</td>
<td>e-axis</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>c-axis</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>4.90</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>b-axis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>This work DFT</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>4.740</td>
<td>100.504</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>4.969</td>
<td>7.498c</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>5.350</td>
<td>b-axis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ref. [10]d</td>
<td>4.65</td>
<td>5.04</td>
<td>Mainly c</td>
<td>4.90</td>
<td>5.29</td>
<td>Mainly a</td>
<td>5.50</td>
<td>5.62</td>
<td>b-axis</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

aEllipsometry.
bA fixed exciton binding energy parameter of 0.27 eV common to all 3 CP transitions listed here was assumed.
cRoom temperature absorption edge.
dTheory.
2. b axis

CP-MDF and DFT transition energies are listed in Tables IV and VI, respectively. Figure 11 summarizes transition energies obtained in this work, in comparison with data reported by Sturm et al. Matsumoto et al. (Ref. [29]) using reflectance measurements describe the onset of absorption around 4.9 eV with an absorption edge at 5.06 eV, slightly below our GSE value. Ricci et al. (Ref. [26]) reported the onset of absorption occurring at approximately 4.8 eV. Energies reported by Sturm et al. (Ref. [8]) are shifted in detail, which could be explained by the set binding energy constraint.

V. CONCLUSIONS

The eigendielectric displacement model was applied for an analysis of β-Ga2O3 expanding into the vacuum ultraviolet spectral region. We differentiated nine critical point contributions in the a-c plane, the lowest two of which were modeled with excitonic contributions. Additionally, we observed five critical point contributions in the b direction, with an excitonic contribution associated with the lowest transition. Additionally, transitions in the monoclinic plane, which does not contain any nontrivial symmetry operation, were found to be distributed within the plane and none aligned with major crystal directions a or c. Our experimental analysis compares well with results from density functional theory calculations performed using a Gaussian-attenuation-Perdew-Burke-Ernzerhof density functional. From the analysis of the dielectric function, we observe the same number of optical transitions in the energy range below 8 eV (below the continuum) as expected from DFT calculations in the same energy range for the Gamma point alone. Even though high-symmetry points away from the Brillouin zone center give rise to additional Van-Hove singularities and potentially additional phonon-assisted band-to-band transitions, we can see no experimental evidence of additional transitions contributing to the measured dielectric function. As far as ellipsometry is concerned, β-Ga2O3 is effectively a direct band-gap material. We find that the effective masses for holes are highly anisotropic and correlate with the selection rules for the fundamental band-to-band transitions. The observed transitions are polarized close to the direction of the lowest hole effective mass for the valence band participating in the transition. The MDF approach and parameter set for β-Ga2O3 presented here will become useful for ellipsometry analysis of heterostructures, and may be expanded for description of alloys with monoclinic crystal symmetry.
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again at much lower energy than observed in this work. In these previous reports, the excitonic contributions were not considered. The closest comparison can be made with the CP-MDF analysis performed by Sturm et al. (Ref. [8]). We find that the energy levels of the lowest excitonic contributions agree very well with those found in our work. However, because Sturm et al. imposed the constraint of fixed and uniform exciton binding energy parameters, we find in detail different band-to-band transition energy parameters is our work. Table VIII summarizes energy and polarization vector directions of the near-band-gap transitions determined in this work in comparison with previous reports.

FIG. 12. Colors and lines styles as in Fig. 11. (a) MDF-CP transition vectors, \( \mathbf{j} = \cos \alpha \mathbf{x} + \sin \alpha \mathbf{y} \), multiplied with their respective CP transition amplitude parameter, \( A \). The amplitudes of the transitions have been normalized to the amplitude of the first transition, and the CP-MDF unit vectors have been rotated by \( \approx 17^\circ \). Small transition amplitude parameters are multiplied for convenience, as indicated. Labels as given in Table III. (b) DFT calculated transition matrix elements presented as vectors, \( |M_{cv}|^2 \mathbf{x} + |M_{cv}|^2 \mathbf{y} \). Labels as given in Table V.
Foundation is also acknowledged. DFT calculations were performed using the computing resources at the Center for Nanohybrid Functional Materials and at the Holland Computing Center at the University of Nebraska-Lincoln.


[70] Note that separating a dielectric response into contributions from individual CP line shapes can result in limited spectral regions with some individual model functions revealing negative imaginary parts. This observation is a consequence of coupling between individual processes, such as between band-to-band transitions and exciton formation. Such observations are often made in line shape model approaches for the infrared optical properties in materials with coupled phonon modes [77]. Energy conservation is valid for the sum of all present physical processes only.


