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Abstract

OBJECTIVE
To evaluate longitudinal serum IGF-I in a large cohort of children and adolescents with type 1 diabetes in relation to HbA1c, age, diabetes duration, and BMI, its association to height and retinopathy, and in comparison with healthy subject references.

RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODS
A total of 2,683 serum IGF-I values were obtained from 806 children and adolescents with T1DM, from annual blood samples for up to 6 consecutive years.

RESULTS
In a multiple regression analysis IGF-I values were negatively correlated to HbA1c and diabetes duration, and positively correlated to BMI (p<0.001, p<0.001, and p<0.001 respectively, adjusted r^2=0.102). Children and adolescents with T1DM had lower mean IGF-I levels and reference interval limits compared to healthy subjects. In boys, mean (SD) IGF-I SDS levels were -1.04 (±1.3) calculated from the healthy reference. IGF-I peaked at 15 years of age, similarly to healthy controls, but with markedly lower levels in late puberty. Girls were more affected at later stages of puberty but with a slightly less depressed overall mean IGF-I SDS of -0.69 (±1.2). In a subgroup of 746 subjects with fundus photography, a negative correlation was seen between individual mean IGF-I SDS and preproliferative retinopathy (p=0.004, adjusted r^2=0.021). In another subgroup of 84 adolescents, no correlation was seen between individual mean IGF-I SDS and target height SDS or distance to target height SDS.

CONCLUSION
Poor metabolic control and diabetes duration impact negatively on serum IGF-I levels. A low individual mean IGF-I level was associated with progression of retinopathy independently of HbA1c, age, gender and diabetes duration. Disease, sex and age related IGF-I SDS may become clinical helpful as a supplement to HbA1c in predicting the long-term outcome for children and adolescents with T1DM.
Introduction

Type 1 diabetes mellitus (T1DM) is characterized by loss of the insulin-producing β-cells in the pancreatic islets, and subcutaneous administration of insulin results in portal insulin deficiency, poor Growth Hormone (GH) receptor signalling and low circulating Insulin-Like Growth Factor-I (IGF-I) (1, 2). Modern insulin treatment regimens cannot restore normal portal insulin (3) (4) and low circulating IGF-I and GH hypersecretion (5) can only be normalized with experimental treatments which delivers insulin directly into the portal vein (6), or intra-peritoneally (7, 8). Another approach that normalizes circulating IGF-I and GH, lowers HbA1c and improves insulin sensitivity is intensified insulin treatment combined with a single daily IGF-I injection (9, 10).

The elevated GH secretion causes insulin resistance in children with type 1 diabetes which is particularly pronounced during puberty (11). Consequently, insulin doses have to be increased to maintain euglycemia, resulting in tissue hyperinsulinemia. The assumption that tissue hyperinsulinemia and GH hypersecretion increase tissue IGF-I production are supported by the finding of normal linear growth in children and adolescents with type 1 diabetes, despite of their markedly subnormal circulating IGF-I (12, 13). It is well established that increased tissue activity of IGF-I is involved in progression of nephropathy, atherosclerosis and proliferative retinopathy (14-16). Thus, low circulating IGF-I reflecting high tissue IGF-I may have direct implications on the development of long-term complications (17-20). Longitudinal serum IGF-I concentrations have been studied in smaller numbers of children and adolescents with type 1 diabetes (29). In a slightly larger study, low levels of serum IGF-I collected longitudinally from diagnosis were associated with early markers of diabetic nephropathy, supporting the concept that circulating and tissue levels of IGF-I diverge in type 1 diabetes (21, 22). In children and adolescents with type 1, support for
an association between low serum IGF-I and retinopathy is lacking while it was reported in a mixed population of adult type 1 and 2 (20) but not in adult patients with type 2 (23). The establishment of diabetes related normal reference levels for serum IGF-I provide a potential tool which may facilitate clinical management of individual patients and may become a complement to HbA1c by independently predicting the long-term outcome for children with type 1 diabetes.
**Research Design and Methods**

Data from all children and adolescents with type 1 diabetes attending the diabetes clinic at Astrid Lindgren Children’s Hospital at Karolinska University Hospital in Stockholm since 2006. Between February 2006 and March 2013, a total of 2,683 IGF-I values were obtained from 806 patients, aged 1-18 years, with a diabetes duration of at least 6 months. Annual blood samples for up to 6 consecutive years were obtained from each individual.

**Assay procedure**

Immulite® 2000 IGF-I immunoassay (Siemens Healthcare Diagnostics) was used and the samples were analysed centrally by the chemistry department. The intra- and inter-assay CV based on four internal calibrators were 2.3-3.9 % and 3.7-8.1%, respectively.

**Data analysis and Statistics**

Disease-specific IGF-I reference ranges:

IGF-I values were transformed (cubic root) to obtain normal (Gaussian) distribution before calculation of mean and standard deviation which were back transformed into the corresponding mean, upper +2 Standard Deviation (SD) and lower -2 SD limits for each one-year age range (e.g. 14 - < 15 years). The IGF-I values were compared to the normal reference material of 2,555 IGF-I values from healthy children and adolescents (934 girls and 618 boys) produced by Sørensen et al (23, 24) using the same commercially available immunoassay. In this report we present this reference material as mean ± 2 SD, using the same cubic root transformation and based on values for each one-year age range.

The formula for calculating a diabetes, sex and age related z score for a given IGF-I level is

\[ z = \left( y^{\frac{1}{3}} - \text{mean} \right) / \text{SD} \]

where \( y \) is the given IGF-I level, \( \text{mean} \) is the age and sex related mean \( \sqrt[3]{\text{IGF-I}} \), and \( \text{SD} \) the SD \( \sqrt[3]{\text{IGF-I}} \), both of the latter found in Table 1.
For the investigation of correlations of IGF-I Standard Deviation Score (SDS) with HbA1c, age, diabetes duration, and BMI SDS, we only used IGF-I values measured on the same day as HbA1c, height and weight, providing a total of 1,630 simultaneous values (from the same day), 932 from boys and 698 from girls.

In a subgroup of 746 patients (423 male and 323 female, aged 14.6 ± 3.4 years, mean diabetes duration at the time of the last IGF-I measurement 6.7 ± 4.0 years, mean HbA1c 63.5 ± 10.8 mmol/mol, and mean IGF-I SDS of -0.81 ± 1.1) who had at least one fundus photography registered, a multiple regression analysis was performed to evaluate the correlation of preproliferative retinopathy to age, gender, diabetes duration, HbA1c and IGF-I SDS. Mean levels of IGF-I SDS and HbA1c from February 2006 to March 2013 for each patient were calculated and used, and results from fundus photography up to 18 years of age were used. Of these 746 subjects, there were 175 diagnosed with simplex retinopathy, 2 with macular pathology, 7 with preproliferative retinopathy, and 0 with proliferative retinopathy. The mean time from the last IGF-I measurement to the fundus photography revealing preproliferative retinopathy was 56.7 ± 60.5 weeks.

In another subgroup consisting of 84 adolescents aged 17.4 ± 0.8 years, who had reached their adult height, further statistical analysis was performed comparing mean IGF-I SDS to distance to target height SDS and target height SDS. An average of 3.8 IGF-I values per individual were used for this analysis.

Data of HbA1c, weight, height, occurrence of retinopathy and parental heights was obtained from the Swedish Childhood Diabetes Registry SWEDIABKIDS.

Statistical analysis was performed with SPSS Statistics version 22 (IBM SPSS, Armonk, NY, USA).

The study was approved by the local ethical committee of Stockholm, Sweden (approval number Dnr 2010/1552-31/1).
Results

Type 1 diabetes associated IGF-I reference values

Mean values and reference ranges (+/-2 SD) for serum IGF-I according to age in girls and boys, with and without type 1 diabetes, are shown in Fig 1A (girls) and 1B (boys). In boys with type 1 diabetes, a mean peak of just above 300 µg/l was observed at 15 years of age. The mean IGF-I of the healthy controls peaked at the same age with a value approximately 140 µg/l higher. In girls with type 1 diabetes, a mean peak value of almost 350 µg/l was observed at 13 years of age. In healthy girls the mean peak was approximately 100 µg/l higher and occurred 1 year later.

Reference values (mean and ± 2 SD) of serum IGF-I in girls and boys with type 1 diabetes are shown in Table 1.

IGF-I SDS relationship with HbA1c, gender, age, diabetes duration, and BMI

The mean IGF-I in children and adolescents with type 1 diabetes was -0.88 ± 1.3 SDS, p < 0.01 (-0.69 ± 1.2 SDS in girls and -1.04 ± 1.3 SDS in boys). The mean ± SD HbA1c was 63.5 ± 12.9 mmol/mol (8.0 ± 3.3 %): 64.1 ± 12.6 mmol/mol (8.0 ± 3.3 %) in girls and 63.1 ± 13.0 mmol/mol (7.9 ± 3.3 %) in boys. The mean BMI SDS was 0.44 ± 1.1 (0.56 ± 0.94 in girls and 0.35 ± 1.1 in boys). A multiple regression was performed to assess the correlation of HbA1c, gender, age, diabetes duration, and BMI SDS to IGF-I SDS. A clear negative correlation between IGF-I SDS and HbA1c was found (unstandardized coefficient b=-0.015, p<0.001), meaning that a difference between 50 and 100 mmol/mol (6.7-11.3%) in HbA1c corresponds to a difference of 0.75 SDS in IGF-I. In patients with an HbA1c ≤ 42 mmol/mol (6.0 %), IGF-I SDS was -0.42 ± 1.6.

A negative correlation was also seen between IGF-I SDS and diabetes duration (b=-0.052, p<0.001) (Fig 2).
Girls had somewhat higher IGF-I SDS ($b=-0.33$, $p<0.001$) than boys, as can be seen in Fig 2, and concluded from Fig 1. BMI SDS ($b=0.22$, $p<0.001$) was positively correlated to IGF-I SDS.

Age ($b=0.014$, $p=0.137$) was not significantly correlated to IGF-I SDS (Fig 2).

A linear multiple regression analysis was also performed to assess the correlation of HbA1c, diabetes duration, and BMI SDS to the diabetes associated IGF-I reference values established in this study. In consistency with the previous multiple regression analysis, HbA1c (coefficient $b=-0.010$, $p<0.001$) and diabetes duration ($b=-0.030$, $p<0.001$) were negatively correlated, and BMI SDS ($b=0.19$, $p<0.001$) positively correlated to the diabetes specific IGF-I SDS.

**IGF-I SDS and growth**

In the subgroup of 84 adolescents who had reached their adult height, this adult height was not significantly different from their mid-parental height ($p=0.768$) and the distance to mid-parental height was -0.021 SDS. IGF-I SDS was not correlated to distance to target height in SDS (unstandardized coefficient $b=0.020$, $p=0.822$, adjusted $r^2=-0.012$), or target height in SDS (unstandardized coefficient $b=-0.077$, $p=0.478$, adjusted $r^2=-0.006$).

**IGF-I SDS and relation to retinopathy**

Of the 746 patients who had a fundus photography, 7 patients had preproliferative retinopathy (2 male and 5 female, mean age 16.5 ± 1.2 years, mean diabetes duration 11.0 ± 4.2 years, mean HbA1c 74.4 ± 9.6 mmol/mol and mean IGF-I SDS -2.27 ± 2.0, no concurrent autoimmune or other diagnosis).

Preproliferative retinopathy was significantly associated with a lower IGF-I SDS ($p=0.004$, adjusted $r^2=0.021$) although the effect was small, while there was no association with HbA1c,
Table 2 and Figure 3. The presence of lower grades of retinopathy was not associated with IGF-I SDS.

Conclusion

This study demonstrates that IGF-I deficiency in children and adolescents with type 1 diabetes, using a widely used commercially available IGF-I assay, is of the magnitude of approximately 1 SDS relative to their non-diabetic peers.

Poor metabolic control is a negative predictor of IGF-I SDS in accordance with previous studies (13, 25-28). The children and adolescents with an HbA1c within the normal reference had a subnormal IGF-I at about -0.5 SDS, in contrast to the subjects with the highest HbA1c in which IGF-I was markedly decreased to approximately -1.5 SDS.

The IGF-I SDS were markedly lower during ages of late puberty, in agreement with earlier studies. Clayton et al (29) found a relative IGF-I deficiency mainly from pubertal stage 4 in girls and stage 3 in boys. Zachrisson et al (12) found a steep and pronounced decline in IGF-I SDS from pubertal stage 3 in girls, and a downward trend as puberty progressed in boys with diabetes. The assay used in this study has been shown to overestimate IGF-I levels compared to other assays (30), which may suggest that the current study underestimate the severity of IGF-I deficiency in type 1 diabetes. Apart from assay differences, the lack of Tanner stage related IGF-I SDS, as well as a lower mean HbA1c, in our present study may explain this less pronounced IGF-I deficiency.

Ahmed et al (26) reported reduced IGF-I SDS in both sexes during peak height velocity, with markedly low IGF-I SDS in late and post pubertal boys, in accordance with our findings.
Interestingly, the peak in mean IGF-I in boys with type 1 diabetes occurred at the same age as in healthy boys suggesting that the age at onset and the progression of puberty was average while girls demonstrated a one year earlier peak in mean IGF-I suggesting that girls with diabetes has an earlier onset and/or faster progression of puberty.

Even in patients diagnosed during the first years of life, complications to type 1 diabetes are rarely seen before puberty, which may reflect the more pronounced IGF-I deficiency seen from the onset of puberty. Our subjects with diabetes and preproliferative retinopathy had a markedly lower mean IGF-I SDS than the average observed in patients without. This finding supports the hypothesis that in type 1 diabetes, a low circulating IGF-I reflects increased local tissue level of IGF-I, possibly via GH hypersecretion and tissue hyperinsulinemia. In mice, intraocular but not systemic IGF-I has been shown to trigger the breakdown of blood-retinal barrier (31). Furthermore, the role of IGF-I in the progression of later stages of proliferative retinopathy of the premature is well established (32). The pathophysiology of circulating and local IGF-I, and development of retinopathy as well as other long-term complications in type 1 diabetes is yet to be fully understood. Our observed correlation is limited by the low number of subjects with preproliferative retinopathy, which is expected in children and adolescents with relatively well controlled type 1 diabetes in an international perspective and relatively short diabetes duration. Further studies of childhood longitudinal IGF-I with adult follow-up is of interest to increase the number of cases of retinopathy. In such an extended study it would also be possible to study the correlation of IGF-I levels to markers of diabetic nephropathy. Our current study was limited by a low reporting of the status of urinary albumin excretion.

The close to normal IGF-I SDS observed in subjects with type 1 diabetes and the highest BMI SDS is remarkable. Since a high BMI is often associated with poorer HbA1c (33),
insulin resistance, high insulin doses and presumably tissue hyperinsulinemia in adolescent girls it is possible that extrahepatic tissues contribute to circulating levels. This may in turn impact on pubertal onset/progress. In a study by Rogers et al(27), BMI was positively correlated to IGF-I in prepubertal but not in pubertal subjects with type 1 diabetes mellitus. In studies of children without diabetes no obvious difference in IGF-I levels has been shown between obese and non-obese children and adolescents (34-37).

Evaluation of circulating IGF-I levels in girls and boys with type 1 diabetes may become of interest as a compliment to HbA1c in predicting individual risk of long term diabetic complications. Given that IGF-I levels are lowered by approximately 1 SDS depending on gender, age, BMI and metabolic control, the reported disease related reference values should be helpful in the management of individual patients.
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## Tables

**Table 1** – Reference values of IGF-I in girls and boys with type 1 diabetes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Mean ( \sqrt[3]{\text{IGF-I}} )</th>
<th>SD ( \sqrt[3]{\text{IGF-I}} )</th>
<th>Mean IGF-I</th>
<th>-2SD</th>
<th>+2SD</th>
<th>Mean ( \sqrt[3]{\text{IGF-I}} )</th>
<th>SD ( \sqrt[3]{\text{IGF-I}} )</th>
<th>Mean IGF-I</th>
<th>-2SD</th>
<th>+2SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2 -&lt;3</td>
<td>4.05</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>3.54</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 -&lt;4</td>
<td>4.32</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>3.64</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 -&lt;5</td>
<td>4.43</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>167</td>
<td>4.07</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>122</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 -&lt;6</td>
<td>4.69</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>213</td>
<td>4.28</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>161</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 -&lt;7</td>
<td>5.05</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>268</td>
<td>4.57</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>178</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 -&lt;8</td>
<td>5.03</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>237</td>
<td>4.77</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>213</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 -&lt;9</td>
<td>5.28</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>274</td>
<td>4.92</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>225</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 -&lt;10</td>
<td>5.46</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>298</td>
<td>5.15</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>258</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 -&lt;11</td>
<td>5.80</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>195</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>376</td>
<td>5.25</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>275</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 -&lt;12</td>
<td>6.47</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>271</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>558</td>
<td>5.50</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>307</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 -&lt;13</td>
<td>6.91</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>329</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>595</td>
<td>5.90</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>205</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>481</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13 -&lt;14</td>
<td>7.03</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>347</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>641</td>
<td>6.40</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>263</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>571</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14 -&lt;15</td>
<td>6.91</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>330</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>569</td>
<td>6.73</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>305</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>584</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 -&lt;16</td>
<td>6.72</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>304</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>526</td>
<td>6.72</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>303</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>332</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16 -&lt;17</td>
<td>6.50</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>274</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>503</td>
<td>6.70</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>301</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>517</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17 -&lt;18</td>
<td>6.50</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>275</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>496</td>
<td>6.35</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>256</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>483</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18 -&lt;19</td>
<td>6.40</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>262</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>482</td>
<td>6.19</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>237</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>443</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2 – Multiple regression analysis demonstrating the association of preproliferative retinopathy to age, gender, diabetes duration, IGF-I SDS and HbA1c (adjusted $r^2=0.021$).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Unstandardized β</th>
<th>Standardized β</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>7.637E-5</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.948</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>0.070</td>
<td>0.063</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.050</td>
<td>0.244</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IGF-I</td>
<td>-0.010</td>
<td>-0.115</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HbA1c</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.034</td>
<td>0.418</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figures

**Figure 1a** – Mean and ±2SD of IGF-I in girls
black circles and solid lines = girls with type 1 diabetes; dashed line = healthy controls

**Figure 1b** – Mean and ±2SD of IGF-I in boys
black triangles and solid lines = boys with type 1 diabetes; dashed line = healthy controls

**Figure 2** – HbA1c, age, diabetes duration, and BMI SDS in children with type 1 diabetes correlated with their IGF-I SDS calculated from the healthy references.
black squares = both genders with 95% CI; black circles = girls; black triangles = boys

**Figure 3** – IGF-I SDS and HbA1c in children with type 1 diabetes, and with preproliferative retinopathy = triangles, and without preproliferative retinopathy = circles.
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