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Dear Editor,

After correspondence with Editor-in-Chief Dr Gailen D Marshall, I wish to resubmit this letter to the editor as a shorter version of the previous submitted original research article entitled “Intralymphatic allergen immunotherapy against pollen allergy. A 3-year open follow-up study of 10 patients” for consideration by Annals of Allergy, Asthma & Immunology.

I confirm that this work is original and has not been published elsewhere, nor is it currently under consideration for publication elsewhere. The manuscript has been read and approved by all the authors. The requirements for authorship have been met. The authors certify that they have (collectively) personally written at least 90 percent of the manuscript. The manuscript has not been published previously in print/electronic format (except in the form of an abstract or as part of a published lecture) or in another language and that the manuscript is not under consideration by another publication or electronic media.

In this letter, we report on a novel form of immunotherapy against pollen allergy, intralymphatic immunotherapy (ILIT), meaning only 3 injections with 4 weeks interval. This is significant because nearly 30% of the adult population report allergic rhinitis. Allergen immunotherapy (AIT) is an opportunity to treat these patients. Conventional AIT with subcutaneous injections is effective, but consumes time and resources there is a need for a more convenient, faster and less resource-consuming way to induce tolerance in allergy.

We believe that this manuscript is appropriate for publication by Annals of Allergy, Asthma & Immunology because this pilot study shows, statistically significant clinical efficacy sustained for at least 3 years accompanied by corresponding immunological changes. This study adds to the hitherto positive studies and suggests that ILIT is effective and safe as treatment for pollen allergy of which one is previously published in Annals of Allergy, Asthma & Immunology.

The study was funded by Region Östergötland, the Allergy Center in Linköping, the Medical Research Council of Southeast Sweden (FORSS), the Th Bergh Foundation, and the Asthma and Allergy Association.

Please address all correspondence concerning this manuscript to me at

Lars Ahlbeck
Allergy Center
Linköping University Hospital
58185 Linköping
Sweden

Phone +46-702871309
Fax +46-101034773
lars.ahlbeck@regionostergotland.se; lars.ahlbeck@telia.com

Thank you for your consideration of this manuscript.
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To date, allergen immunotherapy (AIT) is the only treatment that affects the long-term development of allergic rhinoconjunctivitis and induces clinical tolerance primarily by stimulating regulatory T (Treg) cells, attenuating T helper 2 (Th2) responses and synthesis of blocking antibodies. Conventional AIT with subcutaneous injections, sublingual tablets or drops is effective, but consumes time and resources.

In 2008 Swiss researchers published a study in which they gave only three monthly injections directly into the inguinal lymph nodes. The patients were followed 3 seasons after treatment. They found enhanced efficacy and safety, and faster relief of symptoms. This study has been followed by a few smaller studies whereof one with contradictory results, all only one season after treatment.

We report a 3-year open follow-up study of 10 patients recruited 2012. The patients were 22-47 years old and had seasonal allergic symptoms to grass or birch, verified by skin prick test and elevated specific IgE. The patients received 3 doses of 0.1 ml of ALK-Abello’s birch or 5-grass allergen extracts (1,000 standardized quantified units per dose) at 4-week intervals. Ultrasound-led technique was used. The study was approved by the Regional Ethics Committee in Linköping (2012/286-31). Clinical Trial Registration: EudraCT (2012-004088-38). Informed signed consent was obtained from the participants before inclusion in the study.

8 patients were available at follow-up 3 years after treatment, 4 of whom were treated against birch and 4 against grass.

The symptoms were significantly reduced as measured by Rhinoconjunctivitis Total Symptom Score (RTSS) from 14.0 to 7.6 (p<0.01) from the beginning to the end of the study.
(Table). The minimal important difference (MID) of RTSS is 1.1-1.3. The use of medication was slightly but not significantly reduced. The impact on quality of life measured by Juniper’s Rhinoconjunctivitis quality of life questionnaire (RQLQ) was reduced from 3.42 before treatment to 1.34 3 years after (p<0.01, Table). MID is 0.49. (Table)

Mostly mild/moderate adverse events were noted such as local reactions at the allergen injection site, tiredness after the injections, and mild rhinitis. One patient experienced itch without hives on her neck and trunk and a fall in PEF 40 minutes after her first injection. The reaction was judged as severe but disappeared within 15 minutes after intramuscular epinephrine injection. She had shown poor adherence to her asthma treatment was excluded from more injections.

Levels of allergen-specific IgE were slightly elevated from the first visit to 2 years after treatment (p<0.01) and returned to baseline after 3 years (Table). Levels of allergen-specific IgG4 were increased but not significantly. Skin prick tests were slightly, but not significantly, reduced (p=0.20). Conjunctival challenge tests showed a trend toward a higher threshold for tolerance, but the number of tests performed was low (p=0.11, Table).

Flow cytometry was used to evaluate whether the treatment affected different Th cell subsets in peripheral blood. The proportion of Th2 cells, defined as CD3+CD4+CD45RA- GATA3+ cells, decreased in proportion between screening and 1 year after treatment had finished (median and IQR 7.32, 3.8-9.16 and 0.39, 0.06-1.06, respectively, p<0.001). The decrease was observed 4 weeks after the first injection. The proportion of activated Treg cells, defined as CD3+CD4+CD45RA FoxP3++ cells, increased between screening and 4 weeks after the second injection (median and IQR 0.77, 0.55-1.03 and 1.18 0.7-1.4, respectively, p<0.05).
The proportion of resting Treg cells, defined as CD3+CD4+CD45RA+Foxp3+, increased between screening and 4 weeks after the first injection (median and IQR 0.5 0.4-0.7 and 0.7 0.55-0.9, respectively, p<0.05). Spontaneous and allergen-induced cytokine and chemokine secretion was analyzed with ELISA or Luminex after 24 hours or 6 days of culture. Spontaneous secretion of IL-10 after 24 hours increased significantly between screening and 4 weeks after the first injection (median and IQR 0.5 0.4-0.7 and 0.7 0.55-0.9, respectively, p<0.05). However no significant differences were observed over time for allergen-induced IL-4, IL-5, IL-10, IL-13, IFN-γ, CXCL10 and CCL17 secretion.

The changes in RTSS and Medical Score were calculated with one-way ANOVA, and further comparisons between the visits were calculated with paired Student’s T-test. RQLQ scores, IgE and IgG4 levels were not normally distributed and were calculated instead with Friedman’s test. Further comparisons between the visits were calculated with Wilcoxon signed rank test. Normally distributed flow cytometry data was calculated with paired student’s T-test, otherwise Wilcoxon signed rank test was used for comparisons. The cytokine and chemokine data was not normally distributed. Thus, Kruskal-Wallis test was used to analyze whether the spontaneous and allergen-induced cytokine and chemokine levels changed between the different time points of blood sampling. Data that passed the test was further analyzed with Wilcoxon signed rank test to investigate at what time point the change occurred. GraphPad Prism version 7.03 (GraphPad software, Inc., La Jolla, CA, USA) was used.

This pilot study shows statistically significant clinical efficacy accompanied by corresponding immunological changes. The improvements in symptoms and quality of life measured by RTSS and RQLQ appeared immediately after treatment and were sustained throughout the
following 3 seasons. This suggests that efficacy is not due to placebo effects. The results of this study add to the hitherto positive studies and suggest that ILIT is effective and safe as treatment for pollen allergy. However, an open follow-up study is too small to confirm this hypothesis. Therefore, we are conducting two randomized double-blind placebo controlled studies that will be evaluated during 2018.
We would like to thank Dr Camilla Janefjord and Dr Anna Forsberg for outstanding support and performance of laboratory analyses and Gun Johansson for skillful monitoring. We would also like to thank our research nurses Agneta Carlsson, Ulrica Wikner, Erika Hallberg, Kicki Helander and Totte Togö for excellent assistance, Dr Helene Zachrisson for providing ultrasound and Dr Robert Glavas for performing the injections, as well as Prof Lennart Nilsson, Dr AKM Munir, Dr Pavlos Retsas, Dr Patrik Nordenfelt, Dr Lars Bernfort and Annie Berggren Sjöblom for support and discussions. Region Östergötland, the Allergy Center in Linköping, the Medical Research Council of Southeast Sweden (FORSS), the Th Bergh Foundation, and the Asthma and Allergy Association are acknowledged for financial support.


Table. Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>RTSS</th>
<th>MS ARC</th>
<th>RQLQ</th>
<th>CCT</th>
<th>IgE</th>
<th>IgG4</th>
<th>SPT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Before</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>treatment</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>3.42</td>
<td>1900</td>
<td>24.83</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>8.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(13-16)</td>
<td>(9.5-10)</td>
<td>(2.8-4.3)</td>
<td>(325-1000)</td>
<td>(3.93-44.00)</td>
<td>(0.00-0.16)</td>
<td>(6.13-9.50)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>After 1st</strong></td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>7750</td>
<td>37.48</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>7.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pollen season</td>
<td>(6-10)</td>
<td>(3.3-5.5)</td>
<td>(0.6-1.3)</td>
<td>(3250-10000)</td>
<td>(5.00-90.50)</td>
<td>(0.12-0.44)</td>
<td>(5.75-8.38)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>with treatment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>After 2nd</strong></td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>2.02</td>
<td>36.99</td>
<td>37.48</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>6.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pollen season</td>
<td>(8-12)</td>
<td>(7.3-10)</td>
<td>(1.2-3.2)</td>
<td>(5.58-87.25)</td>
<td>(5.13-0.43)</td>
<td>(6.30-8.25)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>with treatment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>After 3rd</strong></td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>1.34</td>
<td>40262</td>
<td>29.54</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>7.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pollen season</td>
<td>(4-11)</td>
<td>(4.0-13)</td>
<td>(0.7-1.9)</td>
<td>(1000-10000)</td>
<td>(4.15-68.50)</td>
<td>(0.15-0.44)</td>
<td>(6.13-8.00)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>with treatment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All values presented as mean, median and IQR in parenthesis (Inter Quartile Range, 25-75 percentile)

a RTSS=Rhinoconjuntivitis Symptom Score.
b MS-ARC=Medical Score excluding ICS and beta-2-antagonists for asthma, but including Montelukast.
c RQLQ=Rhinoconjuntivitis Quality of Life Questionnaire.
d Conjunctival Challenge Test. Concentration in SQ-U giving symptoms of birch extract for patient treated with birch or timothy extract for patient treated with 5-grass.
e Conjunctival challenge performed with birch only due to lack of timothy extract
f Specific IgE and IgG4. Values expressed as kU/L (birch for patient treated with birch and timothy for patient treated with 5-grass).
g SPT=Skin Prick Test. Values expressed as millimeter (birch for patient treated with birch and timothy for patient treated with 5-grass)

*p values from Students t-test calculated before treatment to after 3rd pollen season with treatment
**p values from Wilcoxon signed rank test calculated before treatment to after 3rd pollen season with treatment
***p value from Friedman’s test calculated before treatment to after 3rd pollen season with treatment