The Effect of Enzymatic Digestion on Cultured Epithelial Autografts
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Abstract
Severe burns are often treated by means of autologous skin grafts, preferably following early excision of the burnt tissue. In the case of, for example, a large surface trauma, autologous skin cells can be expanded in vitro prior to transplantation to facilitate the treatment when insufficient uninjured skin is a limitation. In this study we have analyzed the impact of the enzyme (trypsin or accutase) used for cell dissociation and the incubation time on cell viability and expansion potential, as well as expression of cell surface markers indicative of stemness. Skin was collected from five individuals undergoing abdominal reduction surgery and the epidermal compartment was digested in either trypsin or accutase. Trypsin generally generated more cells than accutase and with higher viability; however, after 7 days of subsequent culture, accutase-digested samples tended to have a higher cell count than trypsin, although the differences were not significant. No significant difference was found between the enzymes in median fluorescence intensity of the analyzed stem cell markers; however, accutase digestion generated significantly higher levels of CD117- and CD49f-positive cells, but only in the 5 h digestion group. In conclusion, digestion time appeared to affect the isolated cells more than the choice of enzyme.
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Introduction
In the care of a severely burnt patient, the damaged epithelial tissue needs to be repaired to maintain a barrier to microbial infections and to reduce loss of fluids. In a partial thickness burn the epidermis will be recovered through healing, largely by stem cells residing in the hair follicles1,2. In the event of a full-thickness burn, however, per definition the hair follicles are no longer capable of repairing the epidermium, which necessitates a surgical intervention both in terms of debridement and also to replace the epidermis by autologous skin grafts3. The cultured epithelial autografts (CEA) approach is sometimes used when widely meshed autologous grafts, or similar solutions, are not sufficient to cover the wound4,5. The use of CEA is, however, controversial, since no conclusive evidence has been presented that proves the efficacy and longevity of the procedure6-8. The use of in vitro expanded cells, either as such or in combination with a scaffold, has become an integral part of modern engineered tissue substitutes9. Within this field of research, there has been very little focus on optimizing the isolation procedure and exploring how different types of tissue-dissociation solutions affect the cell populations.

It has long been suspected that the most common procedure for isolation and expansion of the cells has a negative effect on the cells that ultimately leads to de novo ulceration9-14. Recent reports are also indicating that serial cultivation of skin cells enriches rapid dividing cells, possibly increasing the risk for skin cancers in the patients following autologous transplantation15.

Here we show that the isolation procedure using two common procedures, involving trypsin and accutase (STEMPRO® ACCUTASE), indeed have implications on
the performance of the isolated cells, affecting both crude cell counts and viability but not stem cell markers. Cells isolated with the frequently used enzyme trypsin failed to recover the seeded cell number even after 7 days of subsequent culturing. The loss of cell surface proteins due to enzymatic degradation could affect the fate of stem cells in general and basal keratinocytes in particular. Since basal keratinocytes are dependent on niche extracellular matrix (ECM) for their continued stemness, it would not be far-fetched to believe that trypsin therefore could affect the fate of skin keratinocytes. Our findings indicate that the incubation time rather than choice of enzyme has the largest impact on how well the isolated cells will proliferate and what they express on their cell surface.

**Materials and Methods**

The study included 10 skin biopsies for each tested enzyme, taken from five volunteers undergoing abdominal reduction surgery in the Hand and Plastic surgery department at Linköping University Hospital. The research was carried out in accordance with the declaration of Helsinki on the ethical principles for medical research involving human subjects after obtaining permission from the regional ethics board in Linköping (2015/177-31). Verbal informed consent was obtained from the patients for their anonymized information to be published in a scientific article. Biopsies were used to study the effect of trypsin and accutase on keratinocyte cell counts, viability, and expression of stem cell markers. Two biopsies were taken from each individual and a mean value was calculated to reduce the inter-individual variance. A total of four skin biopsies from four volunteers were used to study the effect of trypsin and accutase on keratinocyte stem cell marker expression. All underlying research material is stored at Linköping University and can be accessed upon request.

**Enzymatic Digestion**

Skin was obtained from abdominoplasty surgeries after informed consent and with ethical permission from the regional ethics board in Linköping (2015/177-31), and cut into 1 cm² pieces in the operation room. The skin pieces were placed in a sterile container with Dulbecco Modified Eagles Medium (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA, USA) supplemented with 10% Fetal Calf Serum (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA, USA) and 1% Penicillin and Streptomycin (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA, USA). Two 1 cm² pieces of skin were used for each sample and placed dermal side down in 0.5% Dispase (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA, USA) for 15–17 h at 37°C, 95% humidity, and 5% CO₂. The epidermis was subsequently peeled off of the dermis and used for further digestion in either 0.05% Trypsin (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA, USA) or 1X (STEMPRO® Accutase (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA, USA) for 15 min, 1 h, 5 h or 24 h at 37°C, 95% humidity, and 5% CO₂. The isolated cells were cultured in Keratinocyte Serum Free Medium (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA, USA) supplemented with Epidermal Growth Factor (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA, USA) and Bovine Pituitary Extract (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA, USA) according to the manufacturer’s instructions at 37°C, 95% humidity, and 5% CO₂ for 7 days.

**Cell Counts and Viability**

The resulting cell suspensions were analyzed immediately after isolation and after 7 days of culture with respect to crude cell counts and viability (viable percentage of total count). Cell counts and viability measurements were performed with a Luna automatic cell counter (Logos Biosystems Inc, Annandale, VA, USA), based on trypan blue staining per the manufacturer’s instruction.

**Flow Cytometry**

Forward scatter (size) and side scatter (granularity) as well as antibody-labeled cell surface and intracellular markers were analyzed immediately after isolation using fluorescence assisted cell sorting (FACS). Analysis was performed on a BD FACS Aria II flow cytometer (Becton Dickinson, San Jose, CA, USA) using the following protocol: 100,000 cells were transferred to individual flow cytometry tubes and were fixed and permeabilized in TF Fix/Perm Buffer for 40–50 min on ice followed by further permeabilization in TF Perm/Wash Buffer according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Transcription factor Buffer Set, BD Pharmingen, San Jose, CA, USA). Unconjugated mouse IgG1 anti-human cytokeratin 19 (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) was then added to each tube followed by incubation for 45 min and washing in FACS staining buffer (0.5% bovine serum albumin (Sigma Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) in phosphate buffered saline (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA, USA). Secondary antibody, V450-conjugated rat anti-mouse IgG1 (BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA, USA), was then added to each tube followed by incubation for 1 h at 4°C and washing in FACS staining buffer. Finally, APC-conjugated mouse anti-human CD29 (BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA, USA), PE-CF594-conjugated rat IgG2a anti-human CD49f (BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA, USA) and PerCP-Cy5.5-conjugated mouse IgG1 anti-human CD117 (BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA, USA) were added followed by incubation for 20 min and washing in FACS staining buffer. Unspecific binding of directly conjugated antibodies was evaluated using corresponding isotype control antibodies (BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA, USA). Unspecific binding of secondary antibodies was evaluated by omission of primary antibodies. Unstained cells were used as control. Optimal antibody concentration was determined by titration (data not shown). Prior to analysis, cells were re-suspended in FACS staining buffer and analyzed within 24 h. Analysis of flow cytometry data was performed in Kaluza software v1.3 (Beckman Coulter,
Brea, CA, USA). Both the percentage of positive cells as well as the median fluorescence intensity (MFI) was analyzed.

**Statistical Analysis**

Data are presented as median and 10th to 90th centiles or median and range. The significances of differences between enzymes at each incubation time were assessed using the Mann–Whitney U-test. Differences depending on incubation time were analyzed by Kruskal–Wallis ANOVA with Dunn’s test as post hoc. Differences over time were assessed by the Wilcoxon matched pairs signed-rank test. GraphPad Prism v.6 (GraphPad Software Inc. La Jolla, CA, USA) was used for statistical analysis. Probabilities of less than 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

**Results**

**Cell Counts and Cell Viability**

Skin was obtained from abdominoplasty surgeries after informed consent. The epidermis was isolated and subjected to proteolytic digestion using either trypsin or accutase in order to isolate the cells. The number of cells was determined both immediately after isolation and after 7 days of culture. The highest cell counts obtained directly after isolation of keratinocytes were obtained using trypsin treatment for 15 min. Longer incubation times with trypsin did not improve the cell count. Accutase only generated more cells than trypsin after an incubation period of 24 h (Fig. 1). Regardless of the incubation times, trypsin generated cells with higher viability than accutase, albeit the difference was not significant (Fig. 2).

Interestingly, however, whereas cells isolated by trypsin failed to recover the number of cells obtained directly after isolation after 7 days of culture, accutase-treated cells showed slightly higher cell counts after 7 days of culture than directly after isolation (Fig. 1). This was, however, only seen when using the shorter accutase treatment times (15 min and 1 h). After 7 days of culture, cells isolated using accutase for 1 h generated the highest number of cells but not significantly different from trypsin for any of the four incubation times (Fig. 1). In addition, when considering the viability of the isolated cells no significant differences were observed between any of the groups (Fig. 3).

**Effect on Cell Surface Markers**

The detachment and dissociation of the cells using trypsin or accutase treatment will result in hydrolysis and loss of extracellular membrane proteins, which can consequently affect cell interactions with ECM proteins and be detrimental for stem cell homeostasis, which is dependent on the correct niche being maintained. Flow cytometric analysis was employed to elucidate the relative difference in loss of keratinocyte stem cell markers of cells isolated using trypsin or accutase. The difference in MFI and the
A significant difference in MFI was found within the trypsin between the enzymes in terms of which enzyme was used. No significant differences in MFI were observed CD117.

Median Fluorescence Intensity

**CD117**. Kruskal–Wallis analysis revealed a significant difference in percent CD117-positive cells over time within each enzyme group (trypsin $p = 0.0003$, accutase $p = 0.0163$). Dunn’s test identified the differences to be between 15 min and 5 h, 15 min and 24 h, 1 h and 5 h, and 1 h and 24 h in the trypsin group ($p = 0.0286$), and between 24 h and all other incubation times for the accutase group ($p = 0.0286$). Pairwise comparison between the enzyme groups using Mann–Whitney test revealed a significant difference at the 5 h time point (Fig. 5).

**Cytookeratin 19**. Kruskal–Wallis analysis revealed a significant difference between the incubation times within the trypsin group ($p = 0.0292$), but not the accutase group ($p = 0.8991$). Post hoc analysis localized the difference to 1 h and 5 h, and 1 h and 24 h ($p = 0.0286$). No significant difference was found between the enzyme groups (Fig. 5).

**CD29**. No significant difference was found either between the different incubation times in any of the enzyme groups or between the enzyme groups (Fig. 5).

**CD49f**. Kruskal–Wallis analysis revealed a significant difference within each enzyme group over time (trypsin $p = 0.0005$, accutase $p = 0.0053$). Dunn’s test localized the difference to 15 min and 5 h, 15 min and 24 h, 1 h and 5 h, and 1 h and 24 h for the trypsin group ($p = 0.0286$), and 15 min and 24 h, 1 h and 24 h, and 5 h and 24 h in the accutase group ($p = 0.0286$). Pairwise comparison between the enzyme groups using Mann–Whitney revealed a significant difference between trypsin and accutase at 5 h incubation (Fig. 5).

**Discussion**

The results show that there were no significant differences in cell viability or cell count for trypsin and accutase-treated tissue, either immediately after isolation or after 7 days of subsequent culture. However, in all cases, trypsin treatment resulted in a lower number of cells after 7 days of culture compared with the cell count immediately after isolation. In contrast, tissue treated with accutase for either 15 min or 1 h generated more cells after 7 days of culture than immediately after isolation. Accutase also generated more cells than trypsin after 7 days of culture irrespective of the incubation time, although this difference was not statistically significant. These findings clearly indicate that the isolation procedure of keratinocytes for CEA has an effect on the viability and performance of the cells, and that the treatments have a detrimental effect on the viability of the cells for both enzymes investigated in this study. In particular, our findings clearly indicate the necessity to reduce the dissociation time as much as possible. The choice of enzyme might also affect the outcome of the CEA expansion process regardless of

![Fig 3. Number of viable cells generated by each tissue-dissociation solution at different incubation time directly after cell isolation and after 7 days of culture. Wilcoxon’s matched pairs signed-rank test was used to analyze significant difference over time. No significant differences were observed between any of the groups.](image-url)
how many cells were obtained immediately upon isolation, although this needs to be investigated more thoroughly. To further investigate the hypothesis that the choice of enzyme and treatment time will affect the presence of stem cells in the CEA population, flow cytometric analysis of the stem cell markers CD29, CD49f, CD117, \( \Delta p63 \), and CK19 was performed on the cells immediately after isolation. A significant difference was found between trypsin and accutase in CD29\(^{+}/\)CD49f-positive cells and \( \Delta p63 \)-positive cells, where trypsin generated a higher number of \( \Delta p63 \)-positive cells while accutase generated a higher number of CD29\(^{+}/\)CD49f-positive cells. The treatment time had a noticeable effect on the amount of stem cells, where longer incubation times significantly reduced the levels of CD29\(^{+}/\)CD49f-positive cells, CD117\(^{+}, \) and \( \Delta p63 \)-positive cells, irrespective of the enzyme used. Albeit only a few significant differences between trypsin and accutase isolated keratinocytes could be confirmed due to the large deviations between samples, cells isolated by accutase digestion had a clear tendency to both generate a higher number of cells after 7 days of culture and also to contain a higher number of cells expressing the stem cell markers CD29 and CD49f \( (p = 0.03) \) and CK19 \( (p > 0.05) \) as compared with keratinocytes isolated by trypsin. Trypsin, on the other hand, tended to generate a higher number of cells immediately after isolation and with a higher percentage of cells expressing CD117 and \( \Delta p63 \).

Our work supports the finding by Jeschke and Herndon that the isolation process affects the quality of CEA, as the

Fig 4. Effect of the two different enzymes and incubation time on the median fluorescence intensity. No significant difference was observed between the enzyme groups at any time point in any of the analyzed markers (A–D). A significantly lower MFI was, however, observed over incubation time in the case of CD117 (A) and CD29 (C) in the trypsin group and both trypsin and accutase in the CD49f (D) group.
process affects cell viability and has a detrimental effect on the expression of stem cell markers\(^{10}\). It is widely accepted that binding of integrins to certain ECM proteins is a key trigger for keratinocyte stem cells to maintain their stem cell phenotype. Loss of integrins during isolation may thus reduce the efficacy of the CEA treatment\(^{12–14}\). Some authors further advocate avoiding expanding the cells, as this has a negative effect on the cells and decreases their ability to proliferate, and have instead focused on optimizing procedures to isolate minced skin pieces containing cells both epidermis and dermis, including skin appendices such as hair follicles, sweat glands, and sebaceous glands, with a high viability which they use directly on wounds of various etiology\(^{18–20}\). The findings presented here support this strategy.

**Conclusions**

In summary, few significant differences were observed between the cells isolated using the two different enzymatic tissue-dissociation solutions trypsin and accutase. However, longer treatment times clearly resulted in fewer cells, and the treatment time also reduced the concentration of stem cell markers, strongly indicating that the isolation process indeed can affect the quality of the CEAs. No significant differences were seen between the two tissue-dissociation solutions in terms of cell numbers or cell viability, and only a few significant differences were observed between the two enzymes in terms of level of expression of stem cell markers or percent of cells expressing stem cell markers (Figs 1–5). This indicates that the choice of enzyme has less impact than
treatment time on the quality of the isolated cells. However, it cannot be excluded that other factors can affect the outcome of the clinical use of CEA's. In particular, the conditions for expansion of the cells prior to transplantation and how the transplant is handled post-transplantation are most likely of great importance, and are therefore currently being explored by our research team at the burn unit at Linköping University Hospital.
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