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"Para la familia aquí y donde se encuentren"

“To my family here and wherever they are"
Abstract

Cancer and its treatments can cause a variety of symptoms. Some of these symptoms are related to the disease and others are seen as a consequence of the treatment. Since patients experience side effects to different degrees despite undergoing the same treatment, it is hypothesized that there is a genetic factor. The individual variation that exists between different patients regarding nausea triggered by chemotherapy, radiotherapy induced skin reactions as well as sleep disorders associated with cancer could partly be explained by genetic differences. We have in these studies confirmed these individual differences. Previous nursing research has mainly focused on the symptoms themselves. The focus in this thesis are the following three main symptoms; nausea and vomiting related to chemotherapy, acute skin inflammation following radiotherapy and sleep problems associated with cancer diagnosis and -treatment.

The aim of this thesis was to find biological markers that can identify the risk of and/or protective factors for nausea and/or vomiting (CINV) as well as understand its heterogeneity (Study 1 and 2). It also aimed to understand the individual factors behind acute radiation skin reactions (ARSR) (Study 3) and sleeping disturbances in patients treated for cancer (Study 4), permitting a more individualized care and optimized health-related quality of life (HRQoL).

In Study 1 and 2 the patients themselves had to document in a diary their experience of nausea and vomiting and well-being. Well-being was considered as synonymous with quality of life. We found a variability and heterogeneity of those symptoms (Study 1). Three genetic markers, FAS/CD95, RB1/LPAR6 and CCL2 that could explain the individual
differences and assess the risk of chemotherapy-induced nausea were found in Study 2.

Acute radiation skin reactions (ARSR) along with itching and burning sensation associated with radiotherapy (RT) was assessed by the patients themselves (Study 3) with help of the VAS- and RTOG scales, scoring for visible redness. We found two possible genetic markers, XRCC2 and IFNG. Also, individual differences in symptoms behavior were found.

Sleep disturbances were common and were reported with obvious individual differences [1]. For data collection were used a sleep questionnaire, the Medical Outcomes Study Sleep Scale (MOS), open ended questions and EORTC QLQ- C30 questionnaire of quality of life. Sleep, which is important for all primary body functions, is often affected in connection with cancer diagnosis and -treatment.

Through collaboration between nursing staff and specialists in basic science, we have found that biological markers can help in creating individualized care. Knowledge of individual variations in the severity of chemo- or radiotherapy-induced side effects is important in order to better personalize the treatment and care, improve the treatment results and alleviate or prevent the side effects of oncological treatments. By linking symptoms to biological markers, it will hopefully be able to increase the patients' total health-related quality of life, this being the main goal of this thesis.
Sammanfattning på svenska


Syftet var att hitta biologiska markörer som kan identifiera risk för eller skydda patienter mot illamående och/eller kräkningar (CINV) som uppstår på grund av cytostatikabehandling och förstå dess heterogenitet (studierna 1 och 2). Och att bättre förstå de enskilda faktorerna bakom akuta hudreaktioner från strålbehandling (ARSR) (Studie 3) och sömnstörningar hos patienter som behandlats för cancer (Studie 4).

I studierna 1 och 2 fick patienterna själva dokumentera sin erfarenhet av illamående och kräkningar och välbefinnande i en dagbok. Välbefinnande betraktades som synonymt med livskvaliteten. Vi hittade en variabilitet mellan patienterna (Studie 1). Tre genetiska markörer i generna FAS/CD95, RB1/LPAR6 och CCL2 identifierades som kan förklara skillnaderna i
upplevelse mellan patienterna och utvärdera risken för cytostatika inducerat illamående (studie 2).

Akuta hudreaktioner vid strålbehandling hopräknat med klåda och brinnande känsla i samband med strålbehandling självrapporterades av patienterna (studie 3) med hjälp av VAS-skala och RTOG-skala för synlig rodnad. Vi fann att patienterna drabbades individuellt olika. Två möjliga genetiska markörer i generna XRCC2 och IFNG relaterade till risken att uppleva dessa biverkningar identifierades.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviations</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ABCA1</td>
<td>ATP-binding cassette transporter A member 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANV</td>
<td>Anticipatory Nausea and Vomiting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARSR</td>
<td>Acute Radiation Skin Reactions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATM</td>
<td>Ataxia telangiectasia mutated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BC</td>
<td>Breast cancer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRCA1</td>
<td>Breast cancer 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRCA2</td>
<td>Breast cancer 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Casp 8</td>
<td>Caspase 8, apoptosis-related cysteine peptidase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Casp 9</td>
<td>Caspase 9, apoptosis-related cysteine peptidase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCL2</td>
<td>Chemokine (C-C motif) ligand 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCL4</td>
<td>Chemokine (C-C motif) ligand 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCL5/RANTES</td>
<td>Chemokine (C-C motif) ligand 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCND3</td>
<td>Cyclin D3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDKN2A</td>
<td>Cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor 2A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CI</td>
<td>Confidence interval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CINV</td>
<td>Chemotherapy Induced Nausea and Vomiting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRP</td>
<td>C-reactive protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRF</td>
<td>Case Report Form</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyp2D6</td>
<td>Cytochrome P450, family 2, subfamily D, polypeptide 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyp19A1</td>
<td>Cytochrome P450, Family 19, Subfamily A, Polypeptide 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DNA</td>
<td>Deoxyribonucleic acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DNAPK</td>
<td>DNA-activated protein kinase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EC</td>
<td>Epirubicin+ Cyclophosphamide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECOG</td>
<td>Toxicity and Response Criteria of the Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDTA</td>
<td>Ethylene diamine tetra acetic acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EGF</td>
<td>Epidermal growth factor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EGFR</td>
<td>Epidermal growth factor receptor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EORTC</td>
<td>The European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estrogen R</td>
<td>Estrogen receptor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FAS</td>
<td>Apoptosis-mediating Surface antigen FAS (CD antigen CD95)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEC</td>
<td>Fluorouracil+ Epirubicin+ Cyclophosphamide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acronym</td>
<td>Name</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FGFR2</td>
<td>Fibroblast growth factor receptor 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FGFR4</td>
<td>Fibroblast Growth Factor Receptor 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-FU</td>
<td>Fluorouracil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GASC1</td>
<td>Gene amplified in squamous cell carcinoma 1 protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GCSF</td>
<td>Granulocyte colony stimulating factor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GSPTP1</td>
<td>Glutathione S-transferase gene class P1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GZB</td>
<td>Granzyme B (natural killer cell protease)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HER-2</td>
<td>Human Epidermal Growth Factor Receptor 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HRQoL</td>
<td>Health Related Quality of Life</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IFNg</td>
<td>Interferon, gamma, Cytokine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL2</td>
<td>Interleukin 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL6</td>
<td>Interleukin 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL12RB</td>
<td>Interleukin 12 receptor, beta 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ku70</td>
<td>ATP-dependent DNA helicase 2 subunit KU70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MDR</td>
<td>Multidrug resistance protein 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCP1(CCL2)</td>
<td>Monocyte Chemoattractant Protein-1 (MCP-1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MMP2</td>
<td>Matrix metalloproteinase-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MOS</td>
<td>Medical Outcomes Study Sleep Scale</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mRNA</td>
<td>Messenger-RNA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRP5</td>
<td>Multidrug resistance-associated protein 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTHFR</td>
<td>Methylene tetrahydrofolate reductase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OR</td>
<td>Odds ratio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P16</td>
<td>Cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor 2A, multiple tumor suppressor 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P53</td>
<td>Cellular tumor antigen p53 (tumor suppressor p53)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Porferin1</td>
<td>Perforin 1 (lymphocyte pore-forming protein)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PS</td>
<td>Performance Status</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PUL</td>
<td>Personal Data Act</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QLQ-C 30</td>
<td>The EORTC core quality of life questionnaire</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QoL</td>
<td>Quality of life</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RT</td>
<td>Radiotherapy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RTOG</td>
<td>Radiation Therapy Oncology Group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RadS2</td>
<td>DNA repair protein RAD52 homolog</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rb1</td>
<td>Retinoblastoma-associated protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SNP</td>
<td>Single Nucleotide Polymorphism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TNFa</td>
<td>Tumour necrosis factor-α</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acronym</td>
<td>Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TNM</td>
<td>Tumour, node, metastasis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VAS</td>
<td>Visual Analogue Scale</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHO</td>
<td>World Health Organization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XRCC1</td>
<td>X-ray repair cross complementing protein 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XRCC2</td>
<td>X-ray repair cross complementing protein 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XRCC3</td>
<td>X-ray repair cross complementing protein 3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Preface

I started my nursing studies in 1994, four years after I arrived in Sweden. I already had three years of education in psychology. So, why study to be a nurse? It was an easy decision since I wanted to work with people. I could not speak Swedish very well then. I completed my nursing degree in 1997 and the same year started my clinical work at an oncology ward. Since then I have completed specialist training in oncology nursing and worked in a variety of cancer services such as in-patient care, radiotherapy and now at an out-patient unit. I like the oncology work.

Working within oncology provides a good knowledge base about the human being, his/her strengths and weaknesses. To gain knowledge of what we can do to alleviate the burden related to the disease is important. Many advances in oncological care have been achieved recently, increasing survival rates. The management of symptoms related to treatment and/or the disease has also improved but these new treatments are also associated with new and sometimes difficult side effects. There are also individual aspects to consider, especially when it comes to symptom relief. I am interested in the aspect of quality of life in connection with the disease. I got the opportunity to start my PhD studies thanks to the contact with Freddi Lewin and his wife Nongnit Lewin, both senior researchers. They noticed my curiosity and together with a group from the medical laboratory, molecular biology, we started our translational collaboration. We studied the problem among our patients, took it to the experimental level with a wish that the results would be used to shape the care of cancer patients in the future.
Having a good supervisor is a prerequisite for conducting research. Support from the clinic and the closest colleagues are also important factors influencing and improving research.

What it means to be an Oncology nurse, in my experience?

Oncology nurses follow the patient throughout the disease process from basic care to tumor-specific care and treatment. We plan and deliver the cancer treatment, share information and knowledge to ensure the right competences and skills are present within the rest of the care team. Cancer nurses contribution to patient care are essential [4, 5] as it involves patient safety promotion, the optimization of health and abilities, the prevention of illness and injury, the facilitation of healing, the alleviation of suffering throughout the stages of diagnosis and treatment as well as advocacy in the care of individuals and their families. The aim of nursing is to make sure that the person who requires care receive and benefit from the best health care. It also aims to eliminate patient health problems or alleviate them and meet the needs of the patient in terms of life and health [6-8]. In conditions when the patient is missing physical capacities, knowledge, will and motivation, the nurse can try to compensate this lack of resources with a helping hand and look for the right person in the rehabilitation chain for the person in question. Nursing care implies much more. Some important skills are to do systematic daily assessments, to give self-care advice and symptom management in the best way and also find new paths with the help of research [9].
Introduction

Cancer is one of the major public health problems today and a main cause of health-related fear and anxiety for people in Sweden [10, 11]. One major reason for fearing cancer is due to the negative effects of the disease, but another is the fear of the treatment and its secondary effects. Most people lack knowledge of what a cancer patient looks like, how they feel and how it affects their quality of life (QoL) [12-14].

In this thesis I will present our findings as related to the predictors of side-effects and toxicity from cancer treatment and provide insights into how this new knowledge can be used to reduce said toxicities and improve the quality of life for cancer patients undergoing treatment.

From a nursing viewpoint the first part explains cancer in general terms, followed by a general description of breast cancer (BC) since three of the four papers in the thesis deal with BC patients. Translational work and the implementation of genetics predictors in order to individualize the symptom relief are explained with a focus on the patients' health-related quality of life (HRQoL) [15].

Cancer

The incidence of cancer is increasing in general with contributing factors being an aging population and lifestyle factors such as smoking, alcohol habits, poor diets, inactivity and heredity factors. In Sweden, more than 60,000 cases per year is reported [10]. Common treatments for cancer are surgery, chemotherapy (CT), radiotherapy (RT), endocrine therapy, immune therapy and targeted therapies, depending on the form of the cancer and the
stage of the disease. For most types of cancer, improved treatment results allow many patients to live longer and to be under treatment for longer periods of time thus increasing the prevalence of the disease. Therefore, many cancer forms have become chronic diseases and patients die of other causes of death, e.g. stroke, heart failure or old age. But for the patient the cancer diagnosis itself may give stress and lead to depression as well as existential anxiety in their daily lives [16]. In addition, the treatment-related side effects are often significant for the patient’s well-being resulting in a reduced quality of life for example hair loss, fatigue and cognitive problems as well as insomnia. The most common acute side effects related to CT are nausea [17] and a decreased production of white blood cells [18]. Anxiety is a major concern for many patients and might result in delayed treatment [19-21].

**Breast cancer**

BC is the most common form of cancer among women and a common cause of death among women worldwide [22, 23]. In Sweden the incidence is approximately 9000 new cases per year. About 1 % of the BC cases are men. The median age at diagnosis is 66 year. Relative 5 years survival is 85-92 % and 10 years 73-86 %. There are multiple factors known to be associated with BC; menstrual age (both onset and ended), menopause age, obesity and alcohol consumption [24, 25]. About 5-10 % of BC cases have a heredity cause and 2,5-5 % have mutations in the BRCA1 or BRCA2 genes [26-28]. The neoadjuvant and adjuvant medical treatment of BC is based on the biological properties of the cancer and the risk of relapse, for example estrogen receptor (ER-α= nuclear receptor pathways), antiestrogen therapy; progesterone receptor (PR) progesterone antagonist therapy. Cytostatic
agents are key elements of the treatment of primary BC with these drugs reducing the risk of recurrence. Every seventh woman with BC has a HER-2 (human epidermal growth factor receptor 2, a protein that is encoded by ERBB2 gene, an oncogene that has the possibility to generate cancer) positive cancer and therefore anti-HER-2 drugs are prescribed and combined with cytostatic drugs as a standard treatment in addition to surgery and RT [29, 30].

The care of these women includes assisting in the various problems that cancer carries on. Nursing involvement on emotional care has shown to increase the sense of control for the women throughout the period of diagnosis and primary treatment [31]. Amongst other things the women undergo a life crisis and the psychological factor that a cancer diagnosis gives plays a significant role in the life of the individual. They need to experience meaningfulness and joy in their present situation. Some women need more support than others, not just from caregivers; some need professional help [32]. They need information adapted to the situation and they need to know what is expected of the treatment, its side effects as well as about rehabilitation. They also need to know what they can do themselves to manage the disease and the side effects. And not least a trust between health people and patient has to be established [33].

**Chemotherapy**

Systemic adjuvant/neoadjuvant chemotherapy for BC often includes anthracycline regimens [34]. These drugs are known to give significant chemotherapy-induced nausea and vomiting (CINV) in various degrees. Acute CINV appear in general within 24h after treatment in 40-60% of patients and delayed CINV appear within 5-10 days after the start of
treatment in 40-80% of the patients [35, 36]. First line CT treatment for BC is often FEC (Fluorouracil, Epirubicin and Cyclophosphamide) or EC (Epirubicin and Cyclophosphamide) which are medium to high emetogenic regimens [34].

Epirubicin

Epirubicin is an anthracycline (extracted from Streptomyces bacterium) and activates by intercalating DNA strands, inhibiting DNA- and RNA synthesis. It results in mechanisms that lead to cell death and generates free radicals that cause cell- and DNA damage [37].

Cyclophosphamide

Cyclophosphamide is a nitrogen monosaccharide derivative. The drug is inactive and must be metabolized in the liver into 4-hydroxycyclophosphamide to give rise to an alkylating substance. The resulting substance is an alkylating cytostatic that creates double bonds and breaks in the DNA strands resulting in cell apoptosis [38, 39].

Fluorouracil (5-FU)

5-FU works in several ways. The principal is interrupting the action of the enzyme thymidylate synthesis that is necessary for DNA replication [40].

Until 2016 FEC was the main treatment for BC in the most cancer centers in Europe. The treatment was then modified to EC, after a large randomized phase III study showing that no difference in disease-free survival exist using 5-FU. The study also showed no difference in toxicity of neutropenia, incidences of fever and nausea as well as vomiting compared with FEC [34]. FEC is administered nowadays only in selected cases.
Side effects of treatment

BC is a heterogeneous disease. There is no unique therapy fit for all tumors of the breast [41]. The neoadjuvant/adjuvant systemic treatments aim is to prevent the recurrence of BC by eliminating micro-metastatic tumors that are present at the time of diagnosis or to decrease the size of the tumor before surgery. Patients receiving CT are prone to suffer from many adverse drug reactions [23]. On occasion these side effects can be triggered by underlying symptoms of anxiety, depression and a poor adherence to the medications used to prevent those symptoms [42, 43]. Clinical experiences show that patients treated with CT or RT in an adjuvant or palliative setting may experience very different side effects on the individual level despite receiving similar treatment. This has raised the hypothesis that the genetic background of the patient could influence the intensity of side effects and thus also the amount of patients suffering as a result of treatment [44, 45].

Reasons for interrupting cancer treatment (even if it successfully leads to tumor regression) include high-intensity side-effects of any kind.

Nausea and episodic vomiting are estimated as a primary burden for patients who are undergoing CT [17, 46]. On the other side, patients who are treated with RT as the first oncological treatment after surgery may present other symptoms like acute radiation skin reaction (ARSR) [47-49]. Patients treated with RT like those treated with systemic CT, may also suffer from similar symptoms but these will be local (from the irradiated area).

Nausea

The intensity and frequency of CINV are useful to know how serious these side effects are [50]. CINV can lead to anorexia, metabolic problems, gastritis and/or problems with the esophagus such as fissures [18, 51, 52].
Evidence exists that a woman's age (<50 years) is a predictor of CINV. Physical activity, morning sickness and the consumption of alcohol are other well-known predicting factors [18, 36]. CINV includes both acute and delayed nausea and vomiting and the term "anticipatory nausea and vomiting" (ANV) includes conditioned nausea and vomiting [53-55]. Conditional nausea and vomiting can be affected by previous experiences. For example, if a patient is unwell and/or vomited during previous treatments, only the smell of the hospital can induce nausea and vomiting [56]. Nausea and vomiting that occur within 24 hours after chemotherapy are referred to acute while nausea and vomiting that occurs after 24 hours is called delayed [55, 56]. The delayed nausea usually peaks on the third day following the initiation of CT and may persist for up to a week or more [56].

**Mechanism of nausea**

Nausea and emesis are commonly used concepts [46]. Nausea is an unpleasant sensation from the pharynx and the gastrointestinal tract that gives rise to the feeling that you will soon need to vomit. Nausea is something that happens before vomiting. Usually, nausea does not lead to vomiting and it has many different metaphors like a diffuse unpleasant sensation from the stomach or feeling of anxiety, disgust, exhaustion and pallor [52]. The degree of nausea can only be assessed by the affected person herself. The physiological causes of nausea are not fully understood but it is known that among other things, cytostatic drugs damage cells in the intestinal wall. This damage leads to the secretion of serotonin which stimulates the 5-HT3 receptors of the vagus nerve and further impulses to the vomiting center in extended marrow [56] (Figure 1).
Figure 1. Pathophysiology of Nausea and vomiting related to chemotherapy. 5-HT3 denotes 5-hydroxytryptamine type 3, and NK1 neurokinin-1 (Reproduced with permission from (N Engl J Med 2016; 374:1356-1367), Copyright Massachusetts Medical Society).
Nausea also has a protective function activated when a toxic substance is administered. The idea is that the nausea should prevent the individual from taking more of the toxic substance. The body is prepared with detectors that trigger the need to vomit. The main impulses can come from one or more of the following: the gastrointestinal tract, an area of the brain called the chemoreceptor trigger zone (CTZ), the inner ear, and higher brain centers that take care of "emotional" stimuli such as the desire to vomit [56]. The CTZ stimulates the CINV center by enterochromaffin cells within the gastrointestinal mucosa via the receptors: serotonin (5-HT), dopamine (D2) and neurokinin (N1) [17].

The new antiemetic accessible drugs are effective and stop the vomiting reflex in different ways. The recommendation is to give to all patients who obtain highly emetogenic CT regimens a combination of three-drugs containing a neurokinin 1 receptor antagonist, a 5-hydroxytryptamine-3 (5-HT³) receptor antagonist as well as dexamethasone. Antiemetic management is stated by a guideline from the Southeastern health region in Sweden based on the American Society of Clinical Oncology antiemetic guideline [57]. Despite all new drugs, nausea remains a clinical problem [58, 59] (Table 1).
Radiotherapy-induced skin reactions

RT induces DNA damage leading to a cell cycle arrest followed by cell death [60]. If a cell population is irradiated with 2 Gy, nearly 50% of the cells will survive without evident damage. This is because the cells can repair DNA damage regardless of which toxic mediator induced them [61]. On the other hand, this could be particularly toxic to normal tissue (skin) since the timing of damage expression can vary widely between different normal tissues and the tumour [62]. In the skin, this normal tissue damage appears as different reactions with examples ranging from redness to flaking, eruptions and inflammation. The individual variations in ARSR suggest that genetic differences in combination with other risk factors such as the dose and volume of irradiation, the RT technique and type of surgery as well as other personal characteristics as high body mass index (BMI), breast size, skin type, might be one explanation of the individual variations [48, 60, 63, 64].
Conventional adjuvant RT after BC surgery requires 5 weeks of daily treatments (Monday to Friday), 50 Gy in 25 fractions (2 Gy per fraction) [65]. During the last decade a more hypofractionated treatment has been introduced (2.66Gy x 16 fractions= 42.56 Gy) [66]. The treatment has some implications on QoL because the patients experience a larger variation in normal tissue toxicity [67]. The ability to predict a patients risk of developing severe ARSR is difficult in patients treated with RT even with the last decades of gained knowledge on ARSR risk factors [48, 64]. Most patients experience mild ARSR with different degrees of erythema being prevalent [68]. It is indispensable that any injury is minimized as far as possible by guaranteeing that interventions are grounded upon best practice and supported by evidence-based guidelines like the Radiation Therapy Oncology Group-scoring assessment [69]. A smaller proportion (20%) of patients experience more severe ARSR with dry and/or moist desquamation which is often associated with discomfort, itching, pain and/or disturbed sleep patterns [70, 71]. ARSR normally appear one to two weeks into the course of RT, accelerating during the treatment period reaching a peak approximately ten days after the completion of RT [47, 48].

**Sleep**

As the approximately 24h cycle of light and darkness was present even before the first living organisms, the circadian clock has been integrated into almost every aspect of physiology both at the cellular level (metabolic control, management of reactive oxygen species, DNA synthesis and cellular replication) as well as at the systemic level (regulation of heart rate, blood pressure, muscle activity, sympathetic and immune system activation) [72, 73]. The mechanism starts in the eyes that perceive the light or darkness
and send signals up to the hypothalamus which receives signals via the retinohypothalamic path to the suprachiasmatic nucleus making the person feel tired. The brain then sends a signal through the cervical sympathetic chain to the pineal gland and melatonin starts to become produced and secreted to the organism. Slowly, one falls asleep [72, 73].

**Sleep disturbance**

Poor sleep is a common symptom reported by cancer patients undergoing medical treatment [74]. Poor quality of life (QoL) can lead to sleep disturbances. In the same way, poor sleep quality can have a negative influence on the QoL [74] (Figure 2). The patients experience difficulty working and coping with family life and daily living [75] Some of the changes that occur in the body when the circadian rhythm is disturbed can be detected in the blood or in the urine. For example, cortisol and melatonin are found mainly in the blood and/or urine during the day and at night respectively [76, 77] and increased levels of inflammatory factors IL-6 and TNFα are linked to sleep disorders [78]. In blood cells, the circadian rhythm is mainly regulated via the genes Bmal1 and Period2 [79]. However, if these genes can be used as a measure of sleep disorders in cancer patients and the relationship between sleep disorders and life-threatening medical treatment is still poorly investigated.
Translational cooperation in research

To do research you need to work together with others. Collaborative practice and research occurs when disciplines from more than one health profession work together with the aim of creating new knowledge that can bring increased quality to patient care. Within the healthcare sector it is common to cooperate with different professions to achieve a desired result [80]. In medicine and in care the term “translational” is used to indicate cooperation between pre-clinical researchers and professions involved with the care of the patients. The term came into use in the 2000s but the working method as such is not new. The word “translational” comes from the Latin "translatio" = transmission and is a school for medical research based on the close and mutual exchange of knowledge on specific healthcare cases. The research is therefore based on the health status of the patient and aims to
quickly achieve results that can lead to a better diagnosis and treatment of the patient [81].

In present times, translational research is a well-established method globally. This is a common method to implement new knowledge through collaborative research in the medical world [82]. The aim is to “translate” the knowledge of mechanisms and techniques within basic scientific research into new methods of diagnosis and treating diseases. Translation in the opposite direction is also emerging as a highly relevant research area, namely the translation of clinical observations to new hypotheses within basic scientific research. This two-way process is often referred to as “triple B” or “from Bench to Bedside and Back again” [82, 83]. The research in this thesis is partly translational (papers II and III). As an oncology nurse, I realized that women with BC who received the same CT and RT experienced toxicity differently. Having mapped the differences, collaboration with a physician and a biologist was established to see what could explain these individual differences. The studies found differences in the gene sets between the different individuals where we identified three variations in the genome that were found to be associated with the risk of nausea as well as two variations found to be associated with ARSR.

The evidence-base in medicine and nursing is large and growing. No profession alone can manage the knowledge required to conduct translational research. Thus, research networks and projects such as described in this thesis, could contribute to improvements in cancer care.
Health-Related Quality of Life

For health-related quality of life (HRQoL) the absence of disease is important but it is the feeling of complete physical, mental and social well-being that determines QoL [84] (see Figure 3). HRQoL is a term defined by the individual depending on the situation in which the individual is in. The term is subjective and describes what is studied at a specific time [12]. According to Naess [85], QoL consists of four parts within the individual: experiencing dynamics, satisfaction in relation to others, self-respect and joy in what you do while maintaining a holistic perspective.

A sick person has a different determination of QoL with the difference depending on the individual valuation of the impact of the disease as well as

Figure 3 Perspectives of quality of life (figure by Delmy Oliva)
the treatment on the physical, psychological and social domains of activity and well-being [3]. Health is a transformation between the limits of well-being and disease, suggesting that it is present for the whole life centering on the patient’s personal capacity and other possibilities who contribute indirectly. Positive health results as the patient/person can appreciate their imperative goals under habitual situations and the patient has the accuracy to define and to choose what health means to them. Many patients have cognitive complaints or no strength to take care of themselves and family with health professionals sometimes needed to act as mediators and find out possibilities to increase their HRQoL [86]. Patients living with cancer may experiences ill health from both the acute and, more often from the prolonged side effects of the disease and/or the treatment. This may influence their entire life situation and thus their HRQoL. There are several ways to measure HRQoL and the European organisation for research and treatment of cancer (EORTC) has various QoL questioners for almost each type of cancer and a general questioner (EORTC QLQC-30) which measures QoL regardless of the type of cancer. The questioners are translated into different languages [87-89].

**Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group (ECOG- Performance Status)**

The patient Performance Status (PS) is a reliable indicator of a patients’ general situation. In clinical practice, it is a necessary prognostic factor and a suitable tool while creating prognostic models for the prediction of survival and determining the choice of treatment. A more accurate PS assessment results in a better prediction [90-92].
Major contributors to individual variations in genetic profiles are Single Nucleotide Polymorphisms (SNPs) (Figure 4). An SNP is defined as a variation of one nucleotide in which one allele is present in more than 1% of the studied population [93, 94]. SNP is the most common variation in DNA and may result in an altered gene expression with different results found in protein production and responses to external factors such as drug metabolism.

SNP-analysis can be done before treatment and with certainty identify patients with an increased risk for developing the studied side effects and thus could be helpful to personalize treatments, information and self-care advice [58, 95].
**FAS/CD95 (Apoptosis-mediating Surface antigen FAS (CD antigen CD95))**

The gene is a member of the TNF-receptors; it includes a death derivation and assist in immune homeostasis, the elimination of transformed and infected cells and plays a fundamental role in surface tolerance as well as T cell stimulation. It is also responsible for avoiding inflammation in certain tissues. The gene plays a central role in the functional regulation of programmed cell death and is involved in the pathogenesis of several alterations and diseases of the immune system [96-98].

**RB1/LPAR6 (Riboblastom 1)**

The first tumor-suppressor gene recognized. Their functions are to control the cell cycle by adjusting the grade of phosphorylation and by this contribute in the regulation of cell growth and differentiation. It is expressed in all body cells [99-101].

**CCL2 (Chemokine (C-C motif) ligand 2)**

A chemokine-producing gene involved in the regulation of the immune system and inflammatory processes. This chemokine present chemotactic activity for monocytes and basophils and plays a key role in host protection as these cells guard potential places of microbial invasion and rapidly locate areas of tissue damage [102-104].

**IFNg (Interferon gamma)**

This gene encodes a cytokine. It is a protein involved in the adaptive immune systems (natural killer, NK and Natural Killer T, NKT cells). The active protein is composed of two identical polypeptide cuffs that bind to the interferon gamma receptor which produces a cellular response to viral and microbial toxicities. [105, 106].
**XRCC2 (X-ray repair cross complementing 2)**

This gene participates in particular to maintain chromosome stability and to repair DNA damage such as DNA double-strand breaks after radiotherapy [107, 108].
Aims of the thesis

Overall purpose
The purpose of this thesis was to find biological markers that can identify risks or protective factors for CINV and to understand the possible heterogeneity. The purpose was also to understand the individual factors behind ARSR and sleeping disturbances in patients treated for cancer permitting a more individualized care and optimized HRQoL. The specific aims are to characterize and understand:

I Variations in self-reported nausea, vomiting and well-being during the first ten days post-chemotherapy in women with BC
II If single nucleotide polymorphisms might influence CT induced nausea in women with BC
III Whether individual genetic background predicts ARSR in women undergoing adjuvant BC RT?
IV How sleep disturbances affect cancer patients who are undergoing systemic adjuvant and/or palliative oncological treatment
Patients

The thesis is based on four different studies. The table below (Table 2) presents their designs and the number of the patients included in the four papers and the time when they were executed.

Table 2. Summary of the included papers on the thesis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Paper I</th>
<th>Paper II</th>
<th>Paper III</th>
<th>Paper IV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Design</td>
<td>Longitudinal quantitative study with repeated measurements for ten days</td>
<td>Longitudinal quantitative study with repeated measurements for ten days</td>
<td>Longitudinal quantitative study with two different measurement during five weeks</td>
<td>Cross-sectional cohort study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Participants</td>
<td>39 women undergoing CT</td>
<td>114 women undergoing CT</td>
<td>119 women undergoing RT</td>
<td>90 consecutive patients with different diagnoses of cancer</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Methods

Setting

Jönköping’s county hospital has a Department of Oncology with 12 beds, 130 co-workers, and a radiotherapy ward. The county hospital at Ryhov is responsible for all 356 000 inhabitants within the county. About 40 patients are treated at the outpatient treatment unit every day. The treatment modalities are radiotherapy, chemotherapy, targeted drugs, immunotherapy, endocrine treatment and associated follow-up and care.

In paper II Växjö Hospital, Department of Oncology also contributed as a center of investigation. Växjö Hospital is placed in the county of Kronoberg.

Paper I

• Consecutive inclusion was used.

• A structured diary was used to record nausea, vomiting and well-being. The patients reported into the diary every morning and evening over a period of ten days (Appendix 1).

• A telephone interview was done after ten days to recover information about degree of nausea using a VAS scale (Appendix 2) (the patients was given a scale in the inclusion and was informed of the call). The other question was to indicate which of the ten days was considered the worst day (Appendix 3).

• The patients were treated with first-line chemotherapy FEC

• Svenssons method were used as statistical analyze (see statistics page 44)
Paper II

- Self-reported nausea and vomiting was recorded in a structured diary over the ten days following treatment.

- Blood samples were collected before treatment (30 ml)

- 48 SNPs in 43 genes were used in this study. For the analyses the following methods were used: High molecular weight DNA was extracted from the blood using MagNa Pure LC2.0 (Roche Diagnostic, Switzerland). The quality and quantity of DNA were determined using the Nanodrop and Pico Green ds DNA assay. DNA (250ng) from each patient was used as the template for the SNP analysis. The identification of the SNPs was done by the Illumina Golden Gate Genotyping assay at the SNP&SEQ technology platform, Uppsala University, Sweden (http://www.genotyping.se).

- The SNPs were selected out of those that are commonly known in opioid-related nausea, inflammation and toxicity conditions.

- The hypothesis has been that individual differences in toxicity might in part depend on differences in genes involved in cell cycle progression, cell death, DNA repair, cell functions and inflammation.

Paper III

- Consecutive inclusion was used.

- The whole breast was treated with two parallel opposing tangential fields using the Varian Linacc 2100 CD, (Varian medical systems, Inc, Palo Alto, CA USA). 6 MV or 6 MV combined with 15 MV
photons in some fields, were chosen depending on the size of the treated breast. The treatments were prescribed to the 95% isodose according to ICRU (International Commission on Radiation Units and Measurements, 2014)-standards in a 3-dimensional treatment planning system (Oncentra masterplan v 4.3, Elekta AB, Stockholm, Sweden). Areas receiving 90-105% of the dose were accepted. The absorbed dose was 50 Gy in 25 fractions given as one fraction per day, five days per week with an overall treatment time of five weeks. Neither gating nor boluses were used. However, four patients were treated with a hypofractionated RT-schedule of 42.56 Gy in 16 fractions, 1 fraction per day, 5 fractions per week.

- Itching, burning and irritation were self-reported twice over the five weeks of treatment using the VAS-scale as measurement.

- The RTOG-scale (Radiation Therapy Oncology Group) scoring system for acute RT was used for the assessment of the irradiated skin. The scoring generally used for objective assessment of skin reactions is the Radiation Therapy Oncology Group (RTOG) grading system [69]. This system is a very simple guide which can help health care providers facilitate a constant approach to skin assessment (Appendix 4 with Swedish translation). The RTOG grading system is indispensable, helping ensure that the right support is applied at the correct time in response to constant assessments and evaluations.

- Blood-based SNP analysis was performed using peripheral blood samples obtained before treatment and analysed in the same way as described in paper II.
Paper IV

The measurements were done twice, before the start of treatment and three months after ongoing treatment.

- The MOS Sleep Scale was used to measure sleeping patterns.

The MOS sleep scale consists of 12 items to measure with examples including the time to fall asleep, hours of sleep each night, sleep longevity, respiratory problems, perceived adequacy and somnolence. The two first questions are recorded with specific numbers and the ten others have a five-point Likert scale where “1” means “all the time” and “5” signifying “none of the time”. The time-frame of responses cover the previous 4 weeks (Appendix 5 with Swedish translation) [109].

- Open-ended questions

- The EORTC QLQ-C30 questionnaire was used to measure HRQoL.

It’s a questionnaire-core established to assess the quality of life of cancer patients using 30 questions. The questions are built on five function domains e.g. physical-, emotional-, social-, role- and cognitive values. It includes eight symptoms such as fatigue, pain, nausea/vomiting, constipation, diarrhea, insomnia, dyspnea and the loss of appetite. Questions from 1 to 28 were structured with four alternatives from “not at all” to “very much”. Furthermore, the questionnaire also inquired about global health/quality of life and financial impacts (questions 29 and 30) with these questions are scored from 1 to 7, where 7 are the best score and 1 the worst. The questionnaire is very usefully to understand current QoL in all positions.
of the treatment. The information was collected over a period of four weeks following treatment (Appendix 6 with Swedish translation) [88, 110].

- Svenssons method were used as statistical analyze (see page 44, statistics).
**Ethical considerations**

All four papers have been approved by The Regional Ethical Review Board which follows the Helsinki declaration of ethical principles for medical research involving humans.

Paper I, II and III

The Regional Ethical Review Board in Linköping approved the study (Dnr 2010/331-31, December 2010).

Paper IV

The Regional Ethical Review Board in Linköping approved the study (Dnr 2016/379-31).
**Statistics**

Descriptive statistics, numbers, medians and percentages were used to describe the patient population. The genotypes and allele frequencies were quality checked. For SNPs where no genotypes were found to fulfill the Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium\(^1\) (HWE, Chi2 test, \(p<0.05\)) as well as a minor allele frequency (MF) <5 % these were discarded from the analysis. Odds-ratios and 95% confidence intervals were calculated to evaluate if the SNPs were associated with a change in the risk for nausea. Confidence intervals (95%) and significance levels were calculated. A significance level of 5% was considered as statistically significant; however this must be valued by clinical relevance. When data collection was made over time, repeated measurement analysis was used where appropriate to decrease the risk of false positive results.

**Svenssons method as statistical analyse in paper I and IV**

The outcome from the patient-reported instruments consisted of paired ordered categorical data, that is, response categories can be ranked, but do not have a numerical property, as for instance a blood pressure. For paired ordinal categorical data (patient-reported), the responsiveness of treatment

\(^1\) Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium principle states that allelic frequencies will continue the same from generation to generation if the population is constant and in genetic equilibrium. This is significant to give biologists a regular from which to measure alterations in allele frequency in a cohort.

\(\begin{align*}
p + q &= 1 \\
p &= \text{frequency of dominant allele} \\
q &= \text{frequency of recessive allele} \\
p^2 + 2pq + q^2 &= 1 \\
p^2 &= \text{frequency of homozygous dominant genotype (AA)} \\
2pq &= \text{frequency of heterozygous genotype (Aa)} \\
q^2 &= \text{frequency of homozygous recessive genotype (aa)}
\end{align*}\)

and nausea and sleep disturbance were analyzed using Svenssons method which is developed especially for this kind of data. This method characterizes the direction and size of differences in self-reported effects between baseline and follow up, as well as variation in response pattern and heterogeneity in the eventual change within or between groups. This method is suitable for getting insights into the patient pattern of response to interventions or different treatments and could be used to individualize the management of the patient care. The Svensson method is developed for both Likert-type and Visio-Analogous (VAS) responses.

Paper I and paper IV were analysed using the Svensson method, which is becoming a more widely used statistical method [112, 113]. The calculation is easy to perform (Excel macros with instruction manuals are available for downloading [114] in order to analyze changes within patient groups. The method reveals if patients either improves, deteriorates or stay stable on an individual level due to treatment. The algorithm assumes an ordered structure of the data and is suitable for all types of information that have this single property. The use of other non-parametric methods, as McNemars Test, only reveals if there is a change but reveals nothing else, and that is insufficient for our purpose in these studies.

For analyzing change on an individual level, it is important to consider that the data consists of paired observations, two values (or more) per individual. The distribution of the data pairs in the contingent table or scatter plot offers an excellent description of the change pattern and the marginal dispersion provide extra information on the frequency distribution of the assessments at each time.
Thanks to the special ranking method with paired responses, it is possible to statistically measure the group-related change separately from the individual deviations. The group change is measured by two parameters, partly the degree of change in the Relative Position on the scale (RP, the difference between the probability of improvement and deterioration, varies between -1 and +1) and the Relative Concentration (RC, varies between -1 and +1, a systematic shift in the concentration of ratings to the middle of the scale, as the difference between two probabilities similar to RP). A positive RC is a sign that the responses are more concentrated towards the center at follow up whereas a negative RC indicates a more concentration in the middle at baseline. The percent agreement (PA) reveals the proportion of individual that remains unchanged. The individual variability is estimated by the Relative Rank Variation (RV, varies between 0 and +1, whereas it should exceed 0.20 to be of an indication individual change from a common pattern of change).

High values of RP and / or RC and low values of RV indicate homogeneity in change patterns for the studied group.

High values of RV indicate individual deviations from a common pattern of change, thus the presence of a heterogeneous, individual pattern of change [115, 116].

The parameters PP, RC and RV are presented with standard errors and 95% confidence interval. Since the standard error is calculated it is possible to compare the results from two or more patient groups.
Results

The first three papers study BC and CINV related to CT and ARSR. The results show clinical outcomes and the possible role of biomarkers in individualizing treatment for symptom relief thus effectively preserving the HRQoL of the treated patients. The fourth paper studies sleep disturbances for patients in systemic oncological treatment, the influence of the problem and how the HRQoL is affected.

Paper I

We found a variation in estimations of nausea and less variation in actual vomiting. Women older than 50 years experienced more delayed nausea with 5 women experienced vomiting. Women younger than 50 years experienced more acute nausea. The change in nausea between the two measurements points exhibits no uniform pattern of change in any direction. Thus, the experience of nausea was very heterogeneous as seen in Figure 5.

For 74 % of the women the day assessed as the worst was the day they felt ill, experienced nausea, vomited or felt bad for any other reason for.
Figure 5: Arrow diagram showing the changes in the estimated nausea between baseline (evening of day one) and the morning of day three and day five. The numbers in circles indicate the number of women who have moved from the response at baseline to the follow-up. Arrows without numbers show the result from a single woman.

Regarding well-being, the experiences varied and were dependent on the absence of nausea and vomiting. Among the thirteen women who scored low (bad or very bad) on well-being at baseline, estimations of well-being varied for the morning of day three. More than half of these women experienced that well-being improved from day one to days three or five.
Paper II

CINV was reported by 84% (n=96) of the patients. Two groups (stratified by age) young women (n=34) ≤ 50 years old, reported more nausea than the older women (n=80) > 50 years old (Table 3).

Table 3 Reported nausea during the first 10 days after the start of chemotherapy.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>No nausea</th>
<th>Acute nausea</th>
<th>Acute and delayed nausea</th>
<th>Delayed nausea</th>
<th>Age</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 (2)</td>
<td>7 (21)</td>
<td>22 (65)</td>
<td>4 (12)</td>
<td>27-50</td>
<td>(30)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17 (21)</td>
<td>6 (8)</td>
<td>32 (40)</td>
<td>25 (31)</td>
<td>51-83</td>
<td>(70)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18 (16)</td>
<td>13 (11)</td>
<td>54 (47)</td>
<td>29 (25)</td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>114</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SNPs associated to nausea

Statistical significance for nausea was found with the limitation for an OR ≥ 2.0 and a p-value ≤0.05 in three SNPs: CCL2 rs 2530797, FAS/CD95 rs2234978 and RB1/LPAR6 rs 2854344.
The worst day in terms of well-being was associated with the day of the highest reported VAS score for CINV (Figure 6).

![Figure 6: Self-reported days of the most intense side effects during the first 10 days following the start of chemotherapy. Twenty three women reported no special day. This is marked in day 0 in the figure.](image)

**Paper III**

The women were treated with RT for BC with standard RT, 50 Gy in 25 fractions, 1 fraction per day, 5 fractions per week. Four of the 119 patients were treated with 42.56 Gy totally in 16 fractions, 1 fraction per day, 5 fractions per week.

**Assessment of acute radiation skin reactions (ARSR) and related symptoms**

During the first week of treatment none of the women reported any symptoms related to RT. During the fifth week of RT all patients were assessed to have ARSR, scored as RTOG 1, 2 and 7.5% (n=9) as RTOG 3.
At the same point in time, the women reported itching (n=97, 82%), irritation (n=96, 81 %) and burning (n=64, 53 %) from the irradiated skin area (Figure 7). Neither smoking nor having had CT before RT did not have any influence on the acute toxicity of the skin, nor were high BMI-values associated with ARSR measured by the VAS-scores for related symptoms or the RTOG-score.

Figure 7 Self-reported symptoms during radiotherapy (last week of treatment): burning, itching and irritation reported on a ten-graded Visual Analogue Scale among 119 treated females. The side-effects are irrespective of treatment with moisturizer or corticosteroid cream.

As a standard recommendation for patients undergoing RT in the Department, 77 women (68%) were prescribed a topical corticosteroid cream (Betamethasone 0,1%) at different occasions during RT. The remaining 37 women (32 %) were recommended only the moisturizing cream (Essex ®) throughout the RT period (Figure 8).
SNP and ARSR

Two SNP were found, one associated with itching in gene IFNg rs2069705 and the other one was associated with burning and/or irritation in gene XRCC2 rs2040639.

Paper IV

Sleeping habits

Ninety patients undergoing treatment for a variety of cancer diagnoses were included in the study. At the inclusion 18 of 72 patients (25%) stated that they had sleeping problems. The results from the MOS sleep scale showed that 58% of the patients reported sleeping problems. In the open-ended questions 30% of patients were found to experience insufficient sleep both at baseline and follow-up (Table 4).

Figure 8 Shows starting day during radiotherapy for corticosteroid cream treatment of Acute Radiations Skin Reactions (ARSR).
Table 4: Patients reported sleep quality in the open-ended questions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Describe how you experience the quality of your sleep?</th>
<th>n (%)</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Follow-up</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Good/well</td>
<td>46 (58)</td>
<td>35 (48)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Good sleep but only after using sleep pills</td>
<td>5 (6)</td>
<td>6 (8)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Insufficient</td>
<td>24 (30)</td>
<td>22 (30)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Missing data</td>
<td>5 (6)</td>
<td>10 (14)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>80 (100)</td>
<td>73 (100)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

What you think may be the cause of any sleep problems?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>What you think may be the cause of any sleep problems?</th>
<th>n (%)</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Follow-up</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Nocturia</td>
<td>6 (7.5)</td>
<td>13 (18)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Disease/cancer</td>
<td>20 (25)</td>
<td>7 (10)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Anxiety</td>
<td>18 (22.5)</td>
<td>16 (22)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Pain</td>
<td>1 (1)</td>
<td>1 (1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Missing data</td>
<td>35 (44)</td>
<td>35 (49)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>80 (100)</td>
<td>72 (100)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Describe your sleep pattern?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Describe your sleep pattern?</th>
<th>n (%)</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Follow-up</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Sleeps mostly at night</td>
<td>76 (95)</td>
<td>61 (84)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Sleep mostly at day</td>
<td>1 (1)</td>
<td>1 (1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. At night some days and at day some days on the week</td>
<td>1 (1)</td>
<td>3 (4)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Missing data</td>
<td>2 (3)</td>
<td>7 (11)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>80 (100)</td>
<td>72 (100)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sleeping time (hours of sleep) was not remarkably different between baseline and follow up, with the mean change being nine minutes more at follow up according to the MOS sleep scale. This is not statistically significant.

The reported reasons for sleeping disturbances were nocturia, cancer disease and anxiety. Ninety-five percent (n=76) of patients reported sleeping during the night at baseline and 84 % (n=61) at follow-up. Anxiety as the cause of sleeping disturbances was equal between the first and second measurements.
Nocturia increased at follow-up. Living with the disease as the cause of sleeping problems decreased from 25 % to 10 %.

**Health-related quality of life (HRQoL)**

HRQoL measured by the EORTC QLQ C-30 questionnaire showed that fatigue was increased at follow-up when compared to baseline. Patient reported a need to sit or stay in bed more often, experienced limitations in social activity levels both in terms of family- and social life. HRQoL fluctuated from very poor to excellent with individual variability. The HRQoL was thus heterogeneous. In the two symptoms scales (the MOS sleep scale and EORTC QLQ- C30) fatigue increased. The patients reported that they became more tired and needed to rest more during the day.
Discussion

The main purpose of the studies we have conducted was to describe individual variations as well as possible relationships between symptoms and genetics with the ultimate objective of minimizing the side effects of the oncological treatments that patients undergo. The central interests are to personalize the treatment of the side effects with help of biomarkers as we know that individuality also exists in the reaction to oncological treatments.

The first (I) and second (II) papers are studies about individual variation of nausea, vomiting and well-being related to CT treatment. In study I we found an individual variation when reporting nausea. Delayed nausea was more common among older women while acute nausea was more common among younger women, even if variability occurred in each group. These findings agree with previous studies [43, 117, 118]. These differences may be explained by the fact that the younger women were more often treated with other types of antiemetics than the older women experienced. An interesting result from our study is that the day following CT that the women scored as “the worst day” was also the day they scored highest on CINV. This indicates the impact CINV had on the daily life of these women. The study showed that most women estimated CINV with great variety and some of the women did not follow the pattern from other studies [58, 118].

In the second paper (II) the 33 patients included in the first paper were also included. A wide variety in CINV was found with the CT regimen used being FEC which was the first-line treatment at the time of the studies. We found similar results as in paper I in as much as the younger women
experienced more acute nausea while the older experienced more delayed nausea. Vomiting was only reported by a minority (16%) of the patients. 

An interesting finding in paper II was that we could link the data from the diaries to the different SNPs. Our analysis (48 SNPs in 43 genes) showed an association to three SNPs in three genes indicating a significant risk for nausea. The SNPs and genes associated to CINV were rs2530797 in CCL2, rs2234978 in FAS/CD95 and rs2854344 in RB1/LPAR6 with those genes having important roles for cellular stability control. One (FAS/CD95) is associated to inflammatory processes. Another (CCL2) mediates apoptosis and the third (RB1/LPAR6) is associated with cell cycle control pathways. Many other genes and SNPs were tested but even those who have showed association with nausea related to opioid use showed no association in our study.

The third paper (III) is about the side effects in the skin after RT in women who were treated for BC. Other studies have indicated an individual genetic variation which could be linked to ARSR and related symptoms after RT [60, 119, 120]. The women in this study reported symptoms related to ARSR (itching, burning and irritation related to irritated skin) twice (during the first and last week of RT). Measurements at the last week of treatment were linked to the results reported and these results were then associated with genetic analyses from blood samples taken before the start of the treatment. There are several studies on different risk factors for ARSR. These risk factors include breast size, Body Mass Index-values, smoking and the modality of RT used [64, 121-127]. There are also some conflicting results, especially concerning smoking and skin type. Even if these previous studies add important knowledge on the treatment- and patient-related risk factors for ARSR, these factors do not explain the individual variations in
ARSR. We found 2 possible SNPs in 2 out of 28 genes to be associated to ARSR and related symptoms. The association of the symptoms of burning and itching were found in genes XRCC2 SNP rs2040639 and IFNg rs2069750 respectively. According to the skin care protocol at the RT Department, a moisturising cream was recommended during the entire RT period with a corticosteroid cream being recommended only after the onset of ARSR. We observed that the redness continued throughout the treatment even after initiating corticosteroid treatment when the ARSR was already established. The anti-inflammatory effect of steroids is complex with the effect and mode of action when used early in the inflammation process is well documented. However, the mechanism when used late in the inflammatory process is not fully understood [128-130]. This observation implies that more research and confirmation on the effects of corticosteroids for the treatment of ARSR is needed.

In the fourth paper (IV) sleep disturbances were studied with the purpose of describing the extent of the problem among cancer patients undergoing systemic treatment as well as how the HRQoL in patients was affected. There may be many factors influencing sleep disturbances among cancer patients. Co-existing symptoms such as depression, anxiety, pain and/or diarrhoea may impact sleep. Interestingly, some patients that scored disturbed sleep (measured by MOS) responded in the open-ended questions that they slept well. The use of validated instruments to obtain information about sleeping patterns is of importance in order to gain accurate information about the problem studied. We noted that more information about sleep pattern and QoL was obtained from the validated questionnaires MOS [131] and EORTC QLQ C-30 than from the open-ended questions.
Another important issue is the role of coping strategies for patients suffering disrupted sleep patterns.

The results of the studies in this thesis provide evidence that genetic factors are involved in how different side effects are experienced by each individual. Based on the nursing perspective and on the basis of the results we have obtained, it is of importance to be able to adjust the individual treatment of the people who undergo cancer treatment. The strategies can help the patients to make the disease a part of their life, thus experiencing a better HRQoL.
Strengths and limitations

The self-reported data gives power to the results of the four papers with another advantage being that the genetic techniques are well established. The results indicate a possible genetic impact on the development of nausea, both in the acute and the delayed form, post CT. The same conclusion can be drawn regarding RT patients in relation to ARSR.

From the perspective of data analysis, the strength of the studies is that we, when possible, used different validated instruments to collect data: for observing sleep quality the MOS sleep scale was used and for examining the HRQoL the EORTC QLQ-C30 questionnaire was used. Furthermore, the VAS scale was used in combination with open-ended questions and telephone calls. In addition, a custom-made diary was developed to gather patient-recorded data. The use of those instruments is important to gain knowledge about how the patients themselves experience their health situation and the care provided.

One weakness is the fact that only a selected number of possible SNPs were investigated. Exploring the entire genome would possibly identify other interesting SNPs. Thus, the results must be interpreted with great caution and should be validated in other patient groups.

The populations in our studies were relatively small; a larger study would more accurately determine the association between genetic background and CINV after CT as well as for ARSR after RT. Other weaknesses of the studies are that we used few measurement points, having more measuring points could possibly deliver other results.
A limitation of paper IV could be that we did not collect details on one of the known risk factors for sleep disturbance; alcohol consumption was not quantitatively reported. This could have impacted the results as high alcohol consumption may affect sleep patterns [132]. However, none of the women reported that they were heavy drinkers. We also relied on self-reported data on smoking status which have been proven to not be completely reliable in other studies [121]. Another limitation was that many patients did not respond to the open-ended questions. With a higher response rate for the study-specific questions the results might have been different.

From a statistical point of view, the methods used are strong and validated [133]. The Svenssons method analyzes changes between baselines and follows up while also describing the size and direction of change, similarities and differences among the groups [114, 116].
Conclusions

Paper I

The women experienced nausea and some experienced vomiting associated with chemotherapy with the number and severity of these episodes being very individual. The experienced change of well-being was heterogeneous and did not move in any certain direction. This requires an individualized treatment approach to better meet the individual needs of the women studied.

Paper II

SNPs in the FAS/CD95, RB1/LPAR6 and CCL2 genes were associated with nausea among women treated with adjuvant FEC for BC. SNPs analysis is fast and of low cost and can be done prior to any cancer therapy. The association between individual SNPs and severe side effects from FEC may contribute to a more personalized care of patients with BC.

Paper III

The possibility of using these specific SNPs in the genes XRCC2 associated to itching and IFNg associated to burning in the clinical situation would be helpful to personalize treatment and self-care advice. The biomarkers are stable and could easily assist to identify patients with an increased risk of ARSR. However further investigations of the validity of those biomarkers in ARSR are needed.
Insufficient sleep is a problem for the cancer patients in this study. The perception of sleep showed a heterogeneous pattern. The cancer treatment does not seem to further worsen the perception of sleep disturbances. However, nocturia was more pronounced while living with the disease was less significant at follow up. As disturbed sleep is a problem this should be of concern in the clinical care for the cancer patients and an individualized approach should be used.
Clinical implications and future research

Paper I and II
The studies showed that there are significant individual variations for nausea and vomiting. This applies both to intensity and over time in women treated with CT for BC. This also applies to well-being. Personalized treatments of nausea and vomiting related to chemotherapy based on biomarkers could be a step in a positive direction. SNPs could in the future be some of these biomarkers.

Paper III
The results could be used to develop individualized care for the side effects from the RT treatment. Future studies could be directed to explore the clinical relevance of blood-based SNPs as the prognostic biomarkers for ARSR.

Paper IV
Sleep disturbances is a common problem among cancer patients. It would be of importance to alleviate the problem as we know that sleep is something the body needs to recuperate energy as well as being an important component of HRQoL. More research is needed as it is important to produce a robust evidence base to better understand sleeping problems in cancer patients and their possible impact on anti-cancer treatment results. A proper and dynamic intervention or managing program personalized to cancer patients would be helpful in the care of patients with sleep disturbances.
Future research

Translational research including nursing perspectives could improve future clinical research. The collaboration between the different disciplines and professions is important. If the results in this thesis are confirmed they could possibly improve and better personalize the treatments of the antiemetics. To validate the findings in the above studies, further investigation is warranted.

At the present, a randomized multicenter clinical trial concerning the biomarkers found as well as CINV is being conducted. The approach is multifactorial with acupressure being tested in a randomized setting. The purpose is to test the clinical value of the biomarkers found in paper II and to find out if a complementary device (acupressure) would add in the management of CINV.

In the future we want to see if we, using individualized treatment based on genetic analysis of the individual patients’ blood, can provide an optimal evidence-based treatment against some side effects. This could be done through a large randomized trial where both clinical and preclinical research is equally important. That is, to go from bed to bench and back again.
Ongoing study on sleep pattern

In a further study the association of the clinical outcomes to the hormones melatonin and cortisol in urine will be performed. We are testing if the quantity of the hormones in the urine is abnormal and see changes over time. Genetic variations and gene expression in relation to circadian rhythms and thus to the HRQoL will be studied. The possibility of an association between sleeping patterns during and the outcome after the anticancer treatment is interesting and will be investigated.
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Appendix 1
Diary after chemotherapy, during 10 days

Appendix 2

**VAS scale used in connection with chemotherapy**

Mätning av illamående med hjälp av VAS-skala (Visuell Analog Skala)

0 – 10, där 0 är ingen illamående och 10 är värsta tänkbbara illamående.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ingen</td>
<td>mätligt</td>
<td>värsta tänkbara</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


– Du kommer att bli kontaktad via telefon efter behandlingsstart, cirka tio dagar efter.
– Om du ska få 6 behandlingar, kommer jag att kontakta dig efter behandling nr 1, behandling nr 3 och behandling nr 6.
– Om du ska få 3 behandlingar, kommer jag att kontakta dig efter alla behandlingar.
Appendix 3

Phone follow-up 10 days after chemotherapy

Kod: ____________
Behandling nr.________
Datum___________

Dag 10
Telefonuppföljning

Frågor som ställs vid telefonuppföljning behandlingstillfälle 1, 3 och 6; alt behandlingstillfälle 1, 2, 3 dag 10

Har du mått illa       ja       nej

Om du har mått illa, kan du uppskatta intensiteten med hjälp av VAS skalan, som du blev undervisad, i en skala från 1 till 10, där 1 är ingenting och 10 är värsta tänkbara.

Har du kräks       ja       nej

Om ja.   Hur många gånger ____________

Vilken dag var värst?   1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10 _____________
Appendix 4

VAS -skin reactions measurement

Patientkod…………………………………..

Hur känns huden på det strålade brösten?

0= Inga besvär avseende kläda, irritation, sveda
10= Värsta tänkbara besvär avseende kläda, irritation sveda

Kryssa på den punkt på linjen som bäst motsvarar dina besvär

Kläda

0 10

Sveda

0 10

Irritation

0 10

KONTROLL 1+ 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RTOG</th>
<th>JA</th>
<th>NEJ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Grad 0</td>
<td>Ingen hudreaktion.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grad 1</td>
<td>Lätt hudrodnad, lindrig värmeöknning och stramande känsla i hud</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grad 2</td>
<td>Mätlig till kraftig hudrodnad. Torr fjällning.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grad 3</td>
<td>Kraftig hudrodnad med partier av fuktig Hudlossning.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grad 4</td>
<td>Ulcererande, blödande hud och nekros</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RTOG= the Radiation Therapy Oncology Group

Datum……………………….. Sign………………………………..

Datum……………………….. Sign………………………………..
Appendix 5

Din Sömn

För var och en av nedanstående frågor ska Du markera ett ☐ in den ruta som bäst beskriver Ditt svar.

1. Hur lång tid tog det Dig vanigen att somna under de senaste 4 veckorna?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0-15 minuter</th>
<th>16-30 minuter</th>
<th>31-45 minuter</th>
<th>46-60 minuter</th>
<th>Längre än 60 minuter</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☐ 1</td>
<td>☐ 2</td>
<td>☐ 3</td>
<td>☐ 4</td>
<td>☐ 5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. Hur många timmar per natt har Du i genomsnitt sovit under de senaste 4 veckorna?

Skriv antal timmar per natt: ☐ ☐

3. Hur ofta under de senaste 4 veckorna har Du...

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Hela dygnet</th>
<th>Största delen av dygnet</th>
<th>En del av dygnet</th>
<th>Lite av dygnet</th>
<th>Ingentil av dygnet</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a) känna att Du haft en osäker somn (vänt och vridit på Dig, känt Dig spänd, svettat i sömnens och?)</td>
<td>☐ 1</td>
<td>☐ 2</td>
<td>☐ 3</td>
<td>☐ 4</td>
<td>☐ 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) fått tillbaka med somn för att kunna Dig utent där Du vaknat på morgonen?</td>
<td>☐ 1</td>
<td>☐ 2</td>
<td>☐ 3</td>
<td>☐ 4</td>
<td>☐ 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c) voreat armför eller med huvudsåret?</td>
<td>☐ 1</td>
<td>☐ 2</td>
<td>☐ 3</td>
<td>☐ 4</td>
<td>☐ 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d) känt Dig diktag eller sömnig under dagen?</td>
<td>☐ 1</td>
<td>☐ 2</td>
<td>☐ 3</td>
<td>☐ 4</td>
<td>☐ 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e) haft svårigheter att somna?</td>
<td>☐ 1</td>
<td>☐ 2</td>
<td>☐ 3</td>
<td>☐ 4</td>
<td>☐ 5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Translation Copyright © 1995-2002, 2010 QualityMetric Incorporated. All rights reserved.
(Sweden (Swedish) MOS 12-Item Sleep Scale-Revised)
SÖMQL-studie

Hur ofta under de senaste 4 veckorna har Du...

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hela tiden</th>
<th>Största delen av tiden</th>
<th>En del av tiden</th>
<th>Lite av tiden</th>
<th>Inget av tiden</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

1. vaknat under sömn och haft svikt att somna om?........................................... 1 2 3 4 5

2. haft svikt att hålla slipsen under dagen?...................................................... 1 2 3 4 5

3. senkat under sömmens?.................................................................................. 1 2 3 4 5

4. tagit en tupplur (5 minuter eller längre) under dagen?................................... 1 2 3 4 5

5. fått du sömn som Du behöver?........................................................................ 1 2 3 4 5

Beskriv hur du upplever kvaliteten av din sömn:..................................................................................................................

Vad du tror kan vara orsaken till eventuella sömnpåverkan:....................................................................................................

.........................................................................................................................................................................................

Beskriv det sömmunsödes:

Sover du mest på natten..........................................................................................................

mest på dagen......................................................................................................................

På natten några dagar och på dagen några dagar i veckan
eller både på dagen och på natten.....................................................................................

.........................................................................................................................................................................................

.........................................................................................................................................................................................

.........................................................................................................................................................................................

Datum: ........................................

Translation Copyright © 1995 2002, 2010 QualityMetric Incorporated. All rights reserved.
(Sweden (Swedish) MOS 12-Item Sleep Scale–Revised)
Appendix 6

EORTC QLQ-C30 (version 3)


Fyll in din identifier:

När är du född (dag, månad, år):

Dagens datum (dag, månad, år):

1. Hur ofta ser du att göra ansiktsbehandlingar, som att bryta en tunt kasse eller vindö?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inte alls</th>
<th>Lite</th>
<th>En hel del</th>
<th>Mycket</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. Hur ofta ser du att göra ansiktsbehandlingar?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inte alls</th>
<th>Lite</th>
<th>En hel del</th>
<th>Mycket</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. Hur ofta ser du att göra ansiktsbehandlingar även?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inte alls</th>
<th>Lite</th>
<th>En hel del</th>
<th>Mycket</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. Måste du simra eller ligga på dagens?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inte alls</th>
<th>Lite</th>
<th>En hel del</th>
<th>Mycket</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5. Behöver du hjälp med att ans öga, locka, röra dig eller på på tecknet?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inte alls</th>
<th>Lite</th>
<th>En hel del</th>
<th>Mycket</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Under veckorna som gått:

6. Hur det varit be轱 nei (eller sittet i en möjlighet att ansöka en sittsökat en fysisk och/eller en sitt fysiskt aktivitet)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inte alls</th>
<th>Lite</th>
<th>En hel del</th>
<th>Mycket</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

7. Hur det varit be轱 nei (eller sittet i en möjlighet att ansöka en sittsökat en fysisk och/eller en sitt fysiskt aktivitet)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inte alls</th>
<th>Lite</th>
<th>En hel del</th>
<th>Mycket</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

8. Hur det varit be轱 nei (eller sittet i en möjlighet att ansöka en sittsökat en fysisk och/eller en sitt fysiskt aktivitet)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inte alls</th>
<th>Lite</th>
<th>En hel del</th>
<th>Mycket</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

9. Hur det varit be轱 nei (eller sittet i en möjlighet att ansöka en sittsökat en fysisk och/eller en sitt fysiskt aktivitet)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inte alls</th>
<th>Lite</th>
<th>En hel del</th>
<th>Mycket</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

10. Hur det varit be轱 nei (eller sittet i en möjlighet att ansöka en sittsökat en fysisk och/eller en sitt fysiskt aktivitet)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inte alls</th>
<th>Lite</th>
<th>En hel del</th>
<th>Mycket</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

11. Hur det varit be轱 nei (eller sittet i en möjlighet att ansöka en sittsökat en fysisk och/eller en sitt fysiskt aktivitet)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inte alls</th>
<th>Lite</th>
<th>En hel del</th>
<th>Mycket</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

12. Hur det varit be轱 nei (eller sittet i en möjlighet att ansöka en sittsökat en fysisk och/eller en sitt fysiskt aktivitet)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inte alls</th>
<th>Lite</th>
<th>En hel del</th>
<th>Mycket</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

13. Hur det varit be轱 nei (eller sittet i en möjlighet att ansöka en sittsökat en fysisk och/eller en sitt fysiskt aktivitet)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inte alls</th>
<th>Lite</th>
<th>En hel del</th>
<th>Mycket</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

14. Hur det varit be轱 nei (eller sittet i en möjlighet att ansöka en sittsökat en fysisk och/eller en sitt fysiskt aktivitet)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inte alls</th>
<th>Lite</th>
<th>En hel del</th>
<th>Mycket</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

15. Hur det varit be轱 nei (eller sittet i en möjlighet att ansöka en sittsökat en fysisk och/eller en sitt fysiskt aktivitet)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inte alls</th>
<th>Lite</th>
<th>En hel del</th>
<th>Mycket</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

16. Hur det varit be轱 nei (eller sittet i en möjlighet att ansöka en sittsökat en fysisk och/eller en sitt fysiskt aktivitet)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inte alls</th>
<th>Lite</th>
<th>En hel del</th>
<th>Mycket</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fortsätt på nästa sida
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Under veckan som gått:</th>
<th>Inte alls</th>
<th>Lite</th>
<th>En del</th>
<th>Mycket</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>17. Har du haft diarri?</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18. Har du varit trött?</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19. Har du haft dagliga aktiviteter påverkats av sämre?</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20. Har du haft svårt att koncentrera dig på saker som att läsa en tidning eller titta på TV?</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21. Har du fått dig sjuk?</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22. Har du orrat dig?</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23. Har du fått dig inte roligt?</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24. Har du fått dig Ödlat</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25. Har du haft svårt att konsumera daglig saker?</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26. Har det fysiska tillstånd eller den medicinska behandlingen stort att medförtutfall?</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27. Har det fysiska tillstånd eller den medicinska behandlingen stort att medfört utbildningsnöden?</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28. Har det fysiska tillstånd eller den medicinska behandlingen gjort att du fått ekonomiskt problem?</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sätt en ring runt den sifra mellan 1 och 7 som stämmer bäst in på dig för följande frågor:

29. Hur skulle du vilja beskriva din hela totalt set under den vecka som gått?
   | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
|------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
Mycket dålig | Utnämndt

30. Hur skulle du vilja beskriva din tidiga återkomst under den vecka som gått?
   | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
|------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
Mycket dålig | Utnämndt
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