COVID-19 and ANCA-associated vasculitis: recommendations for vaccine preparedness and the use of rituximab
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One year into the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) epidemic, data regarding a more detailed risk profile and treatment aspects of patients with immune-mediated kidney diseases and anti-neutrophil cytoplasmic antibody–associated vasculitis (AAV) have remained scarce. The International Registry of COVID infection in glomerulonephritis reported 40 patients with primary glomerulonephritis including AAV. Compared with 80 hospitalized controls, mortality and acute kidney rates were significantly higher in patients with glomerulonephritis [1]. A UK and Ireland Vasculitis Society analysis of 65 vasculitis patients found associations between comorbid respiratory disease and prescription of glucocorticoids with severe outcomes (Supplementary data, Table S1) [2].

Some treatment data are available from rheumatology registry studies. Immunosuppression and outcomes of 694 COVID-19 patients with inflammatory rheumatic and musculoskeletal diseases was reported from France. Older age, comorbidities including chronic kidney disease (CKD) and the use of glucocorticoids ≥10 mg/day or equivalent (prednisone) or rituximab were associated with COVID-19 severity [3]. In a recent publication from the COVID-19 Global Rheumatology Alliance of 3729 severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2)-infected patients, older age, male sex, CKD and other comorbidities were associated with COVID-19-related death, which occurred in 10.5%. Disease-specific factors such as moderate/high disease activity, a diagnosis of vasculitis and drugs such as rituximab were associated with mortality (Supplementary data, Table S1) [4]. In neither of these studies were differences between induction or maintenance treatment reported. In a real-life setting, rituximab has frequently been postponed during the pandemic to mitigate the risk of severe SARS-CoV-2 infections. Twenty-one of 206 studied patients with AAV had their rituximab maintenance treatment postponed. Relapses or flares of disease occurred in 12 of these cases. The disruption of care was highlighted, as this may have contributed to delayed relapse diagnosis [5]. These recent findings underline that rituximab-treated patients are at risk of developing severe COVID-19, but effective treatment needs to be maintained to reduce the risk of disease relapse in AAV.

RITUXIMAB AND INDUCTION/MAINTENANCE THERAPY

The impact of the pandemic has varied between countries and regions. Risk profiles of patient groups and therapies have emerged and become more apparent with cases of COVID-19 prior to and after AAV diagnosis, and in some cases concurrently. How to best treat new or relapsing AAV needs consideration since the pandemic is not over. Glucocorticoid therapy as induction should preferably be dosed in the range of 0.5 mg/kg/day or equivalent (prednisone) and reduced to <10 mg/day as soon as possible. Rituximab may also constitute an additional risk. However, it is essential to not delay effective treatment of new AAV and rapidly progressive glomerulonephritis and to treat relapses adequately. Cyclophosphamide or mycophenolate mofetil induction, or even methotrexate, depending on kidney function, may be alternatives [6].

Whether patients with rituximab maintenance therapy without or on a low dose of corticosteroids have lower COVID-19-associated risks needs to be studied further.
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Concurrently, rituximab has been discussed as a potential therapy in severe COVID-19. Some patients produce antibodies that functionally block the production of interferon-stimulated gene-expressing cells, which are associated with milder disease forms [7].

RITUXIMAB AND COVID-19 VACCINES

COVID-19 vaccines are the most promising approach to rein in the current pandemic. It is nevertheless possible that COVID-19 vaccine efficacy and response rates are lower in patients with immunosuppressive therapy and CKD than in the pivotal studies published so far [8]. There may be a concern of vaccines provoking disease relapses, but immunization against influenza does not appear to increase the relapse rate in patients with AAV [9]. The use of anti-CD20 monoclonal antibodies such as rituximab is of particular concern, as it induces rapid and prolonged B-cell depletion, abrogating humoral immunity and thereby vaccine response. In rheumatoid arthritis (RA) patients, influenza vaccination 1–2 months after rituximab did not exhibit an immunoglobulin M (IgM) or IgG response compared with a measurable IgG response in rituximab-treated RA subjects 6–10 months before vaccination [10]. Similar concerns have been raised by the haematology and neurology communities, leading to suggestions for an optimal time window to vaccinate individuals treated with B-cell-depleting therapies that will allow immunity to new infections [11].

‘Vaccine readiness’ needs to take two scenarios into account: induction of remission in patients presenting with a new diagnosis or relapse and maintenance of remission. In patients with a new diagnosis or relapse of AAV, an alternative therapeutic approach to rituximab may be chosen to achieve remission. During the maintenance phase, we suggest that the time window before SARS-CoV-2 vaccine administration should be a minimum of 6 months after rituximab. AAV patients have been shown to have a considerably longer time to B-cell repopulation after rituximab when compared with RA and other connective tissues diseases [12]. In addition, previous investigations have indicated that the production of neutralizing antibodies and specific vaccination responses are blunted until B cells repopulate (Figure 1), which may argue for measurements of B cells before vaccines are scheduled, as it may vary between individuals. Again, the time frame after the last vaccine dose should be 4 weeks before B-cell-depletion therapy is again given. We also suggest that antibody response and potentially cellular immunity should be monitored regularly with an appropriate assay and that booster doses should be considered if serology responses decline or SARS-CoV-2 variants of concern with reduced effectiveness for current vaccines emerge.

Vaccine ‘rollout’ to this vulnerable patient group has been slow in a number of countries, including those of the European Union. Most will tailor maintenance treatment and likely delay additional doses of rituximab. Again, temporary application of less-effective maintenance agents (e.g. azathioprine) might be considered, with a potential switch to rituximab once an appropriate immune response is ensured. Finally, little is known about SARS-CoV-2 immunity in AAV patients after having experienced COVID-19, and even less if this will be affected by rituximab. Repeated serology monitoring and a readiness to administer booster doses may be a reasonable approach.
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