MANAGING SURGERY IN HEMOPHILIA WITH RECOMBINANT FACTOR VIII Fc AND FACTOR IX Fc: DATA ON SAFETY AND EFFECTIVENESS FROM PHASE 3 PIVOTAL STUDIES
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Abstract

Background: Surgical procedures impose hemostatic risk to people with hemophilia, which may be minimized by optimal factor (F) replacement therapy.

Methods: This analysis evaluates the efficacy and safety of extended half-life factor replacement recombinant FVIII and FIX Fc fusion proteins (rFVIIIFc and rFIXFc) during surgery in phase 3 pivotal (A-LONG/Kids A-LONG and B-LONG/Kids B-LONG) and extension (ASPIRE and B-YOND) studies. Dosing regimens were determined by investigators. Injection frequency, dosing, blood loss, transfusions, and hemostatic response were assessed.

Results: Forty-five major (n = 31 subjects) and 90 minor (n = 70 subjects) procedures were performed in hemophilia A; 35 major (n = 22) and 62 minor (n = 37) procedures
Complications, including arthropathy. 1–3

Surgical procedures are a significant hemostatic challenge in hemophilia, with patients at risk for serious intraoperative and postoperative complications, including bleeding and infection, if not properly managed. 1–3 Factor (F) replacement remains the standard of care for the perioperative management of patients without inhibitors to establish effective bleed resolution and hemostatic control. 4 Sufficient hemostatic coverage may also serve to facilitate healing and reduce infection risk. 2–4

Because of their extended half-life (EHL), recombinant FVIII and FIX Fc fusion proteins (rFVIIIFc and rFIXFc) can maintain adequate, stable factor levels during surgical periods, supporting lower dosing frequency and more sustained protection compared with standard half-life (SHL) products. 5 EHL therapies also encourage greater long-term adherence to prophylaxis, which may facilitate continuation of physiotherapy following hospital discharge. Functional rehabilitation, facilitated by adequate hemostatic coverage, is key for restoring joint motion and maintaining the benefits of orthopedic surgery, such as total knee arthroplasty. 4,6,7 Such procedures are typically required for people with hemophilia to ameliorate musculoskeletal complications, including arthropathy. 1,4,8,9

EHL factor replacement was well tolerated and effective across major and minor surgeries. 20–25 Previous analyses of the surgical experience with rFVIIIFc and rFIXFc include data from pivotal studies and interim data from ASPIRE. Data from 23 major and 52 minor surgeries in hemophilia A studies, and 14 major and 15 minor surgeries from subjects enrolled in pivotal hemophilia B studies have been reported previously. 26,27

Here, we summarize the full collated surgical experience with rFVIIIFc and rFIXFc in adult, adolescent, and pediatric patients across all phase 3 pivotal and extension studies (A-LONG/Kids A-LONG [pivotal] and ASPIRE [extension] for rFVIIIFc; B-LONG/Kids B-LONG [pivotal] and B-YOND [extension] for rFIXFc) with up to 6.5 years of cumulative treatment duration. 20–25 The efficacy and safety of these products was evaluated in phase 3 pivotal and extension studies (A-LONG/Kids A-LONG [pivotal] and ASPIRE [extension] for rFVIIIFc; B-LONG/Kids B-LONG [pivotal] and B-YOND [extension] for rFIXFc) from subjects enrolled in pivotal hemophilia B studies have been reported previously. 26,27

Conclusions: rFVIIIFc and rFIXFc were efficacious and well tolerated for the management of perioperative hemostasis across a wide spectrum of major and minor surgeries in hemophilia.
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2 | METHODS

2.1 | Study design and participants

This study reports prospectively collected surgical data from subjects enrolled in open-label, phase 3 pivotal (A-LONG/Kids A-LONG or B-LONG/Kids B-LONG) and extension (ASPIRE or B-YOND) studies who underwent major or minor surgery. A-LONG and B-LONG enrolled previously treated male subjects ≥12 years of age with severe hemophilia A (≤1 IU/dl [<1%] endogenous FVIII activity) or B (≤2 IU/dl [≤2%] endogenous FIX activity), respectively. Subjects aged <12 years were excluded from the corresponding pediatric studies (Kids A-LONG and Kids B-LONG). Subjects completing these studies were eligible to enter ASPIRE and B-YOND. Detailed study design and methods are described elsewhere.20,21,23,24,28,29

Following trial enrollment, and before major surgery, A-LONG subjects were required to have ≥12 rFVIIIFc exposure days (EDs) with a negative inhibitor (<0.6 BU/ml) and B-LONG subjects were required to have a negative inhibitor test after ≥4 rFIXFc EDs. Before major or minor surgery, Kids A-LONG and Kids B-LONG subjects required ≥5 rFVIIIFc EDs and ≥3 rFIXFc EDs, respectively, without surgery concerns.

Study protocols were approved by institutional review boards and/or ethics committees at participating institutions. Subjects, or their guardians, provided written informed consent before participation in the studies; if appropriate, adolescent/pediatric subjects also provided assent. All studies included in this analysis were conducted in accordance with the International Conference on Harmonization Guidelines for Good Clinical Practice30 and ethical principles that comply with the Declaration of Helsinki.31 and are registered with ClinicalTrials.gov (ClinicalTrials.gov identifiers: NCT01181128, NCT01458106, NCT01454739, NCT01027364, NCT01440946, NCT01425723).

2.2 | Surgical approach

A major surgery was defined as any surgical procedure, elective or emergent, that usually, but not always, involved general anesthesia and/or respiratory assistance in which a major body cavity was penetrates and exposed, or for which a substantial impairment of physical or physiological function was produced. Surgical procedures not meeting these criteria were classified as minor.

The surgical period began with the first preoperative rFVIIIFc or rFIXFc dose and ended immediately before the first regular prophylactic dose (or at midnight on the last day of the rehabilitation period for subjects receiving on-demand rFVIIIFc or rFIXFc). The surgical period consisted of intraoperative and postoperative care, and postoperative rehabilitation periods (up to 14 days).

During the surgical period, rFVIIIFc and rFIXFc were administered as bolus injections. Continuous infusion was not permitted.

Individualized treatment regimens were determined by the investigator according to local standard of care based on the type of surgery, subject’s pharmacokinet profile and clinical status in consultation with the sponsor medical monitor as required.

2.3 | Outcome measures

Efficacy outcomes for surgeries included rFVIIIFc or rFIXFc dosing, number of injections to maintain hemostasis during the surgical period, total estimated blood loss for major surgeries, and number of surgeries requiring blood transfusion. These outcomes were evaluated on the day of surgery (day 0; including loading dose) and postoperative days 1–14. Loading dose was defined as the first dose administered on the day of surgery. If there was no dose administered on the day of surgery, loading dose was defined as the dose administered 1 day before surgery.

Investigators/surgeons who performed the surgical procedures used a 4-point scale to assess the subject’s hemostatic response to treatment (i.e., excellent, good, fair, poor/none) in line with criteria defined by the Scientific and Standardization Committee of the International Society on Thrombosis and Hemostasis (see Supplementary Material for details).32

Safety endpoints were inhibitor development, measured in a central laboratory by Nijmegen-modified Bethesda assay (positive result defined as assay titer ≥0.6 BU/ml, confirmed on retesting within 2–4 weeks), and adverse events (AEs) for major surgeries reported during the surgical period.

2.4 | Statistical analysis

Efficacy outcomes were summarized using descriptive statistics (median and interquartile range). The investigator/surgeon assessment of hemostatic response was summarized as the number and proportion of surgeries achieving each rating on a 4-point scale.

3 | RESULTS

3.1 | Perioperative management with rFVIIIFc (A-LONG, Kids A-LONG, ASPIRE)

3.1.1 | Subjects

Demographics and baseline characteristics of subjects who underwent major or minor surgery in pivotal and extension studies were representative of a population with severe hemophilia A (Table 1). One subject (anterior transposition of the ulnar nerve) was treated with a non-study FVIII product instead of rFVIIIFc during the surgical period and was excluded from the analysis.
3.1.2 | Surgeries

Forty-five major ($n = 31$ subjects) and 90 minor ($n = 70$ subjects) surgeries were performed across hemophilia A studies. Nine major (all in A-LONG subjects) and 21 minor (14 A-LONG; 7 Kids A-LONG) surgeries occurred during pivotal studies; 36 major (34 in subjects from A-LONG; two in subjects from Kids A-LONG) and 69 minor (50 in subjects from A-LONG; 19 in subjects from Kids A-LONG) surgeries occurred during ASPIRE. The most common types of major surgery were joint replacement/revision ($n = 22$; Table 2), including 15 unilateral knee arthroplasties (Table S1). Refer to Table S2 for a full list of minor surgeries.

3.1.3 | rFVIIIfc dosing for major surgery

One major surgery (right shoulder replacement) of 45 did not have available data on factor consumption for the day of surgery. For the 44 remaining major surgeries with available data on rFVIIIfc administration on the day of surgery, most (86%, $n = 38/44$) reported one injection of rFVIIIfc to maintain hemostasis during surgery, defined as loading dose until the end of surgery (for one surgery, rFVIIIfc was administered on the day of surgery but time of rFVIIIfc injection in relation to surgery was not specified; Table S5). Forty-two major surgeries in A-LONG/ASPIRE subjects and two surgeries (arm K-wire replacement and dental extraction) in Kids A-LONG/ASPIRE subjects had administration data for the day of surgery (Table 3).

In orthopedic procedures ($n = 33$), median dose per injection on the day of surgery was 42 IU/kg (with a median of two injections) and between 26 and 30 IU/kg on postoperative days 1–14, for the procedures dosed on those days. In nonorthopedic ($n = 11$) procedures, median dose per injection on the day of surgery was 52 IU/kg (with a median of one injection), subsequently ranging between 26 and 50 IU/kg on days 1–14 (Table 4). Most surgeries required ≤1 injection/day between days 1 and 14 (Figure 1). A moderate correlation was noted between higher dose per injection and fewer injections after the first few days after surgery (data not shown).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic at pivotal study baseline</th>
<th>Subjects with surgery in A-LONG, Kids A-LONG or ASPIRE</th>
<th>Subjects with surgery in B-LONG, Kids B-LONG or B-YOND</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Major surgery ($N = 31$)</td>
<td>Minor surgery ($N = 70$)</td>
<td>Major surgery ($N = 22$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years), median (range)</td>
<td>40 (3–62)</td>
<td>26 (1–65)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;12, n (%)</td>
<td>2 (6.5)</td>
<td>23 (32.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12–18, n (%)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4 (5.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;18–40, n (%)</td>
<td>14 (45.2)</td>
<td>25 (35.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;40, n (%)</td>
<td>15 (48.4)</td>
<td>18 (25.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg), median (range)</td>
<td>75.5 (19–104)</td>
<td>63.5 (13–116.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>24 (77.4)</td>
<td>46 (65.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black or African American</td>
<td>1 (3.2)</td>
<td>8 (11.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian</td>
<td>6 (19.4)</td>
<td>14 (20.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2 (2.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Region, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Europe</td>
<td>15 (48.4)</td>
<td>23 (32.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North America</td>
<td>6 (19.4)</td>
<td>15 (21.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>10 (32.3)</td>
<td>32 (45.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family history of inhibitor, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥1 target joints</td>
<td>1 (3.2)</td>
<td>4 (5.7)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: N numbers indicate number of subjects. Subjects who underwent major and minor surgery were included in both cohorts.

Includes two subjects from Kids A-LONG who had major surgery (arm K-wire replacement and dental extraction).

Includes one subject from Kids B-LONG who had major surgery (tonsillectomy).

**TABLE 1** Demographics and baseline characteristics of subjects who underwent major or minor surgery in phase 3 pivotal and extension studies.
### 3.1.4 rFVIIIFc dosing for minor surgery

Of 84 procedures with available data on rFVIIIFc administration during surgery, 70 (83%) reported 1 injection to maintain hemostasis (Table S5). rFVIIIFc dosing regimen for the 84 minor surgeries with administration data on the day of surgery is shown in Table 3.

### 3.1.5 Assessment of hemostatic response to treatment with rFVIIIFc

Of 42 major surgeries assessed for hemostatic response to rFVIIIFc, most (93%, n = 39/42) were rated as excellent, defined as intraoperative and postoperative blood loss comparable to a subject without hemophilia. The remaining three surgeries (7%) were rated as good.

All minor surgeries with a hemostatic assessment (n = 65/90) were rated as excellent (85%, n = 55) or good (15%, n = 10).

### 3.1.6 Blood loss

Median (range) estimated blood loss during the total surgical period for major surgeries was 90 ml (0–1600). Overall, eight major surgeries reported a total blood loss ≥500 ml, including one bilateral knee arthroplasty (1600 ml), four unilateral knee arthroplasties (1260, 1000, 600 and 900 ml), one above-the-knee amputation (1200 ml), one unilateral ankle fusion (930 ml), and one unilateral hip arthroplasty (900 ml). Four of 45 (9%) major surgeries required transfusion of blood products (bilateral knee arthroplasty, above-the-knee amputation, unilateral knee arthroplasty, and unilateral hip arthroplasty), of which three reported a total blood loss ≥500 ml during surgery.

Potential correlations between individual half-life or incremental recovery obtained at pivotal study baseline and blood loss, as well as between dose regimen and blood loss, during the surgical period were assessed. However, no clear correlations were observed, potentially because of confounding factors such as type of surgeries, local practice for loading dose, and injection frequency (data not shown).

### 3.1.7 Thromboprophylaxis

Thromboprophylaxis (low molecular weight heparin or heparin) was administered according to local practice (Table S6) during nine (20%) major surgeries [six knee replacements, one hip replacement, one ankle fusion, and one arm fracture and fixation] in eight adult subjects (median [range] age 47 [26–57] years at A-LONG baseline). Of these subjects, one had a previous deep vein thrombosis (DVT). Median (range) duration of thromboprophylaxis was 7.5 (1–29) days (longest duration in subject with previous DVT). In all nine surgeries, hemostatic response to rFVIIIFc was rated excellent.

### 3.1.8 Hospitalization

Median (range) total duration of hospitalization for major surgeries in A-LONG/ASPIRE subjects was 5.0 (2–32) days for orthopedic

---

**TABLE 2** Types of major and minor surgeries performed

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Major surgeries</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Minor surgeries</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Major surgeries</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Minor surgeries</th>
<th>n</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Joint replacement/revision</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>Tooth extraction</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>Joint replacement/revision</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Tooth extraction</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abdominal</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Port placement or removal</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>Abdominal</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Eye surgery</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joint fusion</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Other dental</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Other orthopedic</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Oral surgery</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arthroscopy</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Other non-orthopedic</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>Fracture and fixation</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Incision and drainage</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other orthopedic</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Cystoscopy with/without Procedure</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Arthroscopy</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Vascular procedures</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spinal surgery</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Minor skin procedures</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Cranial/brain</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Minor orthopedic</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chest</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Endoscopy with/without Procedure</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Joint fusion</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Other non-orthopedic</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cranial/brain</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Incision and drainage</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Other nonorthopedic</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Other dental</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dental</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Oral surgery</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Spinal surgery</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Port placement or removal</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fracture and fixation</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Minor orthopedic</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Dental</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Minor skin procedures</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Vascular procedure</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Endoscopy with/ without Procedure</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: n numbers indicate number of surgeries.

*a* Oral surgery due to teeth abscess requiring hospitalization for extraction of four teeth (two incisors and two molars) performed in subject from Kids A-LONG.

*b* Surgical procedure under general anesthesia to incise and drain a dental abscess and remove two teeth.
surgery. If there was no dose administered on the day of surgery, the loading dose was defined as the first dose administered on the day of surgery (including pre-, during, and postsurgery).

**Safety**

No subjects developed inhibitors to rFVIIIFc or experienced anaphylaxis or serious vascular thromboembolic events resulting from treatment during the surgical period. One AE (postprocedural hemorrhage after knee arthroplasty resulting from dislocation) during the major surgical period was considered serious because of the requirement for hospitalization; the postoperative bleed was assessed as moderate in severity. Postoperative wound infections (nonserious) were reported for two major surgeries (one elbow replacement and one above-the-knee amputation). No AEs were deemed by investigators as related to treatment with rFVIIIFc and none resulted in any changes to study treatment.

### 3.2 | Perioperative management with rFIXFc (B-LONG, Kids B-LONG, B-YOND)

#### 3.2.1 | Subjects

Demographics and baseline characteristics of subjects who underwent major or minor surgery in pivotal and extension studies were representative of a population with severe hemophilia B (Table 1).

#### 3.2.2 | Surgeries

Overall, 35 major (n = 22 subjects) and 62 minor (n = 37 subjects) surgeries were performed in hemophilia B studies. Fourteen major (all in subjects from B-LONG) and 18 minor (15 B-LONG; three Kids B-LONG) surgeries occurred during pivotal studies, whereas 21 major (20 in subjects from B-LONG; one in a subject from Kids B-LONG) and 44 minor (42 in subjects from B-LONG; two in subjects from Kids B-LONG) surgeries occurred during B-YOND. Most major surgeries were orthopedic (n = 24; Table 2); unilateral knee arthroplasties were the most common procedure (n = 8; Table S3). Types of minor surgery are listed in Table 2 and Table S4.
TABLE 4  rFVIIIfc dosing on day of surgery and postoperative days 1–14 for orthopedic and nonorthopedic major surgeries

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Loading dose&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Day of surgery, including loading dose</th>
<th>Postoperative day</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Day 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orthopedic (n = 33)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>n = 33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of procedures</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dosed with rFVIIIfc&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>n = 33</td>
<td>n = 33</td>
<td>n = 30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dose per injection (IU/kg)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Median</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total dose (IU/kg)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Median</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonorthopedic (n = 11)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>n = 11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of procedures</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dosed with rFVIIIfc&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>n = 11</td>
<td>n = 11</td>
<td>n = 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dose per injection (IU/kg)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Median</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total dose (IU/kg)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Median</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: IQR, interquartile range; rFVIIIfc, recombinant factor VIII Fc fusion protein.

<sup>a</sup>Dose shown only for surgical events where dosing of rFVIIIfc was given in conjunction with the surgical intervention for a specific day/period; one major surgery did not have information on rFVIIIfc dosing on the day of surgery and is not included in this table.

<sup>b</sup>Loading dose was defined as the first dose administered on the day of surgery. If there was no dose administered on the day of surgery, the loading dose was defined as the dose administered 1 day before surgery.

<sup>c</sup>Based on mean dose per injection per day in the period of days 8–14 (on day[s] of injection) per surgery with ≥1 injections during this period.

<sup>d</sup>Based on mean total daily dose per day in the period of days 8–14 (on day[s] of injection) per surgery with ≥1 injection during this period.
3.2.3 | rFIXFc dosing for major surgery

Most major surgeries (83%, \( n = 29/35 \)) reported one injection of rFIXFc (including loading dose) to maintain hemostasis during surgery (Table S7). The 34 major surgeries in B-LONG/B-YOND subjects and one major pediatric surgery (tonsillectomy) all had administration data for the day of surgery (Table 5).

For the 24 orthopedic procedures, median dose per injection (for a median of two injections) was 96 IU/kg on the day of surgery and between 48 and 68 IU/kg on postoperative days 1–14; for nonorthopedic procedures \( (n = 11) \), median dose per injection (median of 1 injection) was 80 IU/kg and between 49–64 IU/kg (Table 6). Most surgeries required \( \leq 1 \) injection/day from days 1 to 14 (Figure 2). Similar to hemophilia A, there was a moderate correlation between higher doses per injection and fewer injections after the first few days postsurgery (data not shown).

3.2.4 | rFIXFc dosing for minor surgery

Of 62 minor surgeries, 46 (74%) had one injection of rFIXFc (including loading dose) during surgery (Table S7). Fifty-five minor surgeries had rFIXFc administration on the day of surgery (Table 5).

3.2.5 | Assessment of hemostatic response to treatment with rFIXFc

Hemostatic response to rFIXFc was assessed in 33 of 35 major surgeries; all were rated as excellent (88%, \( n = 29/33 \)) or good (12%, \( n = 4/33 \)).

Most minor surgeries with a hemostatic assessment \( (n = 38/62) \) were rated as excellent (84%, \( n = 32/38 \)) or good (11%, \( n = 4/38 \)). Remaining assessed surgeries (5%, \( n = 2/38 \)) were rated as fair (both were dental surgeries/extractions).
similar to themophilia studies, no clear correlations were observed (data not shown).

For any minor surgery.

Blood loss of ≥500 ml during surgery. No blood transfusions were required for the one major surgery (tonsillectomy) in a subject from Kids B-LONG/B-YOND, total duration of hospitalization was 6 days (5 days from day of surgery until discharge).

The highest consumption was reported for a malignant hepatic neoplasm surgery, with hepatectomy and cholecystectomy on the same day.

Individual values are listed.

### 3.2.6 | Blood loss

Median (range) estimated blood loss during the total surgical period for major surgery was 100 ml (0–5610). Seven major surgeries reported a total blood loss ≥500 ml; hemostatic response was rated as excellent (n = 4) or good (n = 3) in all cases. These included a liver transplant (5610 ml), total hip replacement with cement (1300 ml), posterior lumbar interbody fusion (910 ml), closure of rectal fistula (800 ml), knee replacement and femur implantation (600 ml), hepatic open-wedge resection cholecystectomy (568 ml), and a total knee replacement (500 ml). Three major surgeries required transfusion of blood products (liver transplant, closure of rectal fistula, and total knee arthroplasty), of which one (liver transplant) reported a blood loss of ≥500 ml during surgery. No blood transfusions were required for any minor surgery.

Potential correlations between individual half-life or incremental recovery obtained at pivotal study baseline and blood loss, and between dose regimen and blood loss, during the surgical period were assessed. Similar to hemophilia A studies, no clear correlations were observed (data not shown).

### 3.2.7 | Thromboprophylaxis

Thromboprophylaxis (low molecular weight heparin) was administered according to local practice (Table 58) during three (9%) major surgeries (two knee replacements and one spinal fusion surgery) in three adult subjects (aged between 30 and 65 years at B-LONG baseline). One subject had several risk factors for thromboembolic complications (including previous DVT and obesity). Duration of thromboprophylaxis was 54 days (subject with previous DVT), 15, and 6 days. The hemostatic response was rated as excellent in one surgery and good in two surgeries.

### 3.2.8 | Hospitalization

Median (range) total duration of hospitalization for major surgeries in subjects from B-LONG/B-YOND was 7.5 (1–21) days for orthopedic surgeries and 9.0 (2–41) days for nonorthopedic surgeries. Median (range) time from day of surgery until hospital discharge (i.e., excluding days of hospitalization before surgery) was 6.0 (1–20) days for orthopedic surgeries and 8.0 (2–32) days for nonorthopedic surgeries. For the one major surgery (tonsillectomy) in a subject from Kids B-LONG/B-YOND, total duration of hospitalization was 6 days (5 days from day of surgery until discharge).

### 3.2.9 | Total knee replacement

Of the eight unilateral knee arthroplasties (in eight subjects with an age span of 15 to 65 years at baseline), seven (88%) had one injection (including loading dose) of rFIXFc to maintain hemostasis during surgery; the remaining surgery required two injections. On the day of surgery, median (range) number of injections was two (one–two) and total factor consumption was 151 IU/kg (105–242). During the postoperative period (day 1–14), median (range) number of injections and dose per injection were nine (6–12) and 63 IU/kg (47–87), respectively.

Hemostatic response to rFIXFc was rated as excellent for six (75%) surgeries and good for the remaining 2 (25%) surgeries. Median (range) estimated blood loss (n = 8) was 125 ml (56–500) for the intraoperative period and 35 ml (0–300) for the postoperative period, which was comparable or lower than blood loss reported for the same type of surgery in subjects without bleeding disorders.

Median (range) total duration of hospitalization for unilateral knee arthroplasty was nine (6–21) days and median (range) time from day of surgery until hospital discharge was nine (4–20) days.

### 3.2.10 | Safety

No subjects developed inhibitors to rFIXFc, anaphylaxis, or serious vascular thromboembolic events during these studies, including during the surgical periods. Five serious AEs occurred during the...
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE 6</th>
<th>rFIXFc dosing on day of surgery and postoperative days 1–14 for orthopedic and nonorthopedic major surgeries</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Loading dose&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orthopedic (&lt;i&gt;n&lt;/i&gt; = 24)</td>
<td>n = 24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of procedures dosed with rFIXFc&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>n = 24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dose per injection (IU/kg)</td>
<td>Median 101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total dose (IU/kg)</td>
<td>Median 101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonorthopedic (&lt;i&gt;n&lt;/i&gt; = 11)</td>
<td>n = 11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of procedures dosed with rFIXFc&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>n = 11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dose per injection (IU/kg)</td>
<td>Median 83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total dose (IU/kg)</td>
<td>Median 85</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: IQR, interquartile range; rFIXFc, recombinant factor IXFc fusion protein.

<sup>a</sup>Dose shown only for surgical events where dosing of rFIXFc was given in conjunction with the surgical intervention for a specific day/period.

<sup>b</sup>Loading dose was defined as the first dose administered on the day of surgery. If there was no dose administered on the day of surgery, the loading dose was defined as the dose administered 1 day before surgery.

<sup>c</sup>Based on mean dose per injection per day in the period of days 8–14 (on day[s] of injection) per surgery with ≥1 injection during this period.

<sup>d</sup>Based on mean total daily dose per day in the period of days 8–14 (on day[s] of injection) per surgery with ≥1 injection during this period.
surgical period for major surgeries (bacterial sepsis [two events], tachycardia, anal sphincter atony, and epididymitis). No postoperative wound infections were reported after major surgeries. No AEs were deemed by investigators as related to treatment with rFIXFc and none resulted in any changes to study treatment.

4 | DISCUSSION

This analysis aimed to comprehensively assess the efficacy and safety of rFVIIIFc and rFIXFc during the surgical period in people with hemophilia using data from large, international phase 3 studies. To the best of our knowledge, this is the largest report of surgical procedures with EHL products to date.

Findings were consistent with previous analyses and interim data cuts of pivotal and extension studies in rFVIIIFc and rFIXFc, demonstrating that both EHL factor products were efficacious for the management of perioperative hemostasis across a wide spectrum of major and minor surgeries. Safety data also show that rFVIIIFc and rFIXFc were well tolerated for perioperative use; no major safety concerns related to study treatment were identified and no subjects developed inhibitors. Compared with previous analyses, this analysis reports data from an additional 22 major and 38 minor surgeries for hemophilia A and 21 major and 47 minor surgeries for hemophilia B. Outcomes pertaining to hospitalization and summary-level data for the thromboprophylaxis subgroup have not been reported previously. Furthermore, this is the first time that extensive data for unilateral knee arthroplasty procedures have been reported. Dosing and injection frequency analyses in the postoperative period are also more extensive.

In this analysis, all patients were treated with bolus injections of rFVIIIFc and rFIXFc, which maintained protective hemostasis with a low injection frequency throughout the surgical period. The majority of major procedures required ≤2 injections on the day of surgery (including loading dose) and ≤1 injection per day through days 1–14. Hemostatic response was rated favorably by investigators or surgeons in all major surgeries assessed, with most responses rated as excellent, defined as blood loss similar to that expected for subjects without hemophilia. The efficacy of continuous infusion with SHL products has previously been demonstrated for the management of
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**Figure 2** Number of injections of rFIXFc on day of surgery and postoperative days 1–14 in major orthopedic and non-orthopedic surgeries. Dose shown only for surgical events where dosing of rFIXFc was given in conjunction with the surgical intervention (and for a specific day/period). Includes loading dose. Loading dose was defined as the first dose administered on the day of surgery. If there was no dose administered on the day of surgery, the loading dose was defined as the dose administered 1 day before surgery. Includes one subject from Kids B-LONG (tonsillectomy). One rectal fistula closure and one liver resection. Abbreviation: rFIXFc, recombinant factor IX Fc fusion protein.
major orthopedic procedures. However, the findings of this analysis suggest that continuous infusion is not necessary for the perioperative management of hemostasis with EHL rFVIIIFc and rFIXFc.

The majority of major surgeries were orthopedic. Of these, the most common procedures were unilateral knee replacement or revision. This is expected, given the knee joint is associated with the greatest disability and patient burden in hemophilia. To our knowledge, this study is the most extensive report of surgical data for total knee arthroplasties, compared with other hemophilia studies in patients treated with factor replacement. The requirement for blood transfusion was comparable to or lower than that previously reported for patients without hemophilia undergoing total arthroplasty. Median duration of hospitalization for unilateral knee arthroplasties was generally within the expected range for people with hemophilia. However, comparisons between studies are limited by factors such as allogenic blood transfusion, hospital policies, and rehabilitation practices.

Studies comparing the efficacy and safety of EHL and SHL products are mostly limited to case reports (within patient comparisons) or comparative studies with historical controls. Differences in local practices, variability in study design, as well as types and severity of surgery, make it difficult to compare factor consumption with other studies. Therefore, comparisons should be interpreted with caution. However, in general, the average (mean/median) bolus dose required to maintain hemostasis throughout the surgical period with EHL rFVIIIFc and rFIXFc was similar to that previously reported for SHL products. Comparable hemostatic efficacy during the perioperative period has also been demonstrated for other EHL FVIII and FIX replacement therapies.

Real-world surveillance data from more than 7 years post-marketing experience confirms the risk–benefit profile of rFVIIIFc and rFIXFc established during clinical studies and further underlines the potential for their perioperative use. An intraindividual comparison of FIX products for orthopedic surgery showed a reduction in number of injections and total factor consumption with rFIXFc versus plasma-derived FIX. Similarly, an analysis of 21 subjects with hemophilia A who underwent major surgery with rFIXFc at a single center (provided through the WFH humanitarian aid program) demonstrated a lower median total factor consumption with rFIXFc during the surgical period than previously reported for SHL products. However, differences in factor consumption because of potential variability in local standards of care and surgical experience with EHL products should be considered.

Factor replacement therapies are the cornerstone of bleed prevention during surgery in hemophilia; they may be required to achieve protective hemostatic levels even in the presence of non-factor replacement products, which cannot completely prevent perioperative bleeding alone. Recommended pre- and postoperative plasma factor levels for major and minor surgeries are provided by the WFH and are generally well adopted in published studies. Factor activity levels are generally accepted as a surrogate marker for clinical efficacy. However, for FIX products, there are differences between products regarding the relationship between measured plasma FIX activity and clinical hemostatic efficacy, probably because of differences in their extravascular distribution.

Currently, optimal dosing of factor replacement products during surgery relies on the ability to accurately monitor plasma factor activity levels, ensuring patients remain protected against excessive blood loss. Both rFVIIIFc and rFIXFc can be accurately monitored by either the one-stage clotting assay or chromogenic assay using commercial assay reagents readily available in laboratories. This is important for securing both efficacy and safety, and serves to facilitate their implementation into real-world practice. However, assay reagents that use kaolin as an activator may result in an underestimation of FIX levels for rFIXFc.

Although individualized dosing regimens approximated real-world practice, treatment differences (from variation in practice between treating physicians, hospitals, or countries) may be considered a potential limitation of this analysis.

In summary, this analysis reports extensive data on the use of rFVIIIFc and rFIXFc in the perioperative setting, including a large subgroup of total knee arthroplasty procedures, and demonstrates the efficacy and safety of these products in a broad age range of patients undergoing a variety of major and minor procedures typical for a population with severe hemophilia.
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