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Abstract
In plasma-based deposition processing, the importance of low-energy ion bombardment during thin film growth can hardly be exaggerated. Ion bombardment is an important physical tool available to materials scientists in the design of new materials and new structures. Glow discharges and in particular the magnetron sputtering discharge have the advantage that the ions of the discharge are abundantly available to the deposition process. However, the ion chemistry is usually dominated by the ions of the inert sputtering gas while ions of the sputtered material are rare. Over the past few years, various ionized sputtering techniques have appeared that can achieve a high degree of ionization of the sputtered atoms, often up to 50% but in some cases as much as approximately 90%. This opens a complete new perspective in the engineering and design of new thin film materials. The development and application of magnetron sputtering systems for ionized physical vapor deposition (IPVD) is reviewed. The application of a secondary discharge, inductively coupled plasma
magnetron sputtering (ICP-MS) and microwave amplified magnetron sputtering, is discussed as well as the high power impulse magnetron sputtering (HIPIMS), the self-sustained sputtering (SSS) magnetron, and the hollow cathode magnetron (HCM) sputtering discharges. Furthermore, filtered arc-deposition is discussed due to its importance as an IPVD technique. Examples of the importance of the IPVD-techniques for growth of thin films with improved adhesion, improved microstructures, improved coverage of complex shaped substrates, and increased reactivity with higher deposition rate in reactive processes are reviewed.
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1. Introduction

Deposition of thin films by physical vapor deposition (PVD) techniques has found widespread use in many industrial sectors. State of the art magnetron sputtering processes allow the deposition of metals, alloys, ceramic, and polymer thin films onto a wide range of substrate materials. Therefore, it is used for a wide field of coating applications for, e.g., metal-working industry, biomedical applications, and optical or electrical components. There is an increasing demand for coatings with tailored and enhanced properties such as high hardness, wear and corrosion resistance, low friction, and specific optical or electrical properties as well as decorative colors and often complex combinations of those properties are requested. For high-quality coating on temperature-sensitive substrates such as polymers, there is room for improvements using versatile PVD techniques. Furthermore, magnetron sputtering offers the possibility to synthesize materials outside thermodynamic equilibrium. Thus, it enables the deposition of metastable phases. The field of applications includes integrated circuit (IC) manufacturing applications such as the formation of diffusion barriers, and adhesion and seed layers on the side and bottom of high aspect ratio vias and trenches. With the fast development of the microelectronics industry in which the circuit dimensions shrink steadily, increasing the aspect ratio, successful coverage using magnetron sputtering becomes increasingly more challenging. The increased requirements on coating materials and the synthesis technique as well as the opening of new fields, therefore drive the development of enhanced deposition techniques allowing direct control of the sputtered flux and further decrease in the deposition temperature, by enhancing the adatom mobility through the momentum transfer to the film, and an increased chemical reactivity. Glow discharge methods like magnetron sputtering have the advantage that the ions of the discharge are abundantly available to the deposition process. However, the ions available are mainly the ions of the inert sputtering gas and ions of the sputtered material are rare. Over the last few years various ionized sputtering techniques have appeared that can achieve a high degree of ionization of the sputtered atoms.

This review is focused on the recent development of ionized PVD (IPVD) processes and applications. When the deposition flux consists of more ions than neutrals or $\Gamma_{M^+} > \Gamma_M$ the process is referred to as IPVD [1]. The development of IPVD-techniques was initially mainly driven by the
need to deposit metal layers and diffusion barriers into trenches or vias of high aspect ratio IC structures [2-5], but has during the past years found a number of additional areas where beneficial properties are observed. The motion of neutral atoms is difficult to control but ions can be collimated by an electric field. A thin positively charged layer called sheath is formed near surfaces immersed in a plasma. The ions that enter the sheath region are thus accelerated towards the substrate and collimated due to the electric field across the sheath. Furthermore, the ion bombardment energy can be controlled by applying a bias voltage to the substrate. Because of the collimation, the ions may reach the bottom of deep narrow trenches or vias, while a neutral flux will tend to deposit on the upper part of sidewalls leaving the bottom with very little film coverage and possibly a void formation at the bottom of the trench. Thus, IPVD enhances step coverage, both bottom and lower sidewall coverage. Furthermore, ionizing the sputtered vapor has several advantages: improvement of the film quality, such as density and adhesion, especially for substrates of complex shape [6, 7], control of the reactivity, extending the metallic sputtering mode regime [8-10], decreasing the deposition temperature [11], and guiding of the deposition material to the desired areas of the substrate [12].

Many different IPVD-techniques are available today. This review focuses on the fundamental aspects of ionization, on magnetron sputtering techniques and, in particular, the high power impulse magnetron sputtering discharge (HIPIMS). A non-sputtering IPVD-technique is also included in this review, which is cathodic arc deposition. The importance of the IPVD-techniques for the growth of thin films is demonstrated with examples of improved adhesion, improved microstructures, improved coverage of complex shaped substrates, increased reactivity and higher deposition rate in reactive processes.

2. Metal ionization – a simple model

Processing plasmas consist of both charged and neutral particles, and are generally referred to as weakly ionized plasmas [13]. In such a plasma, collisions of the charged particles with neutral gas atoms are of great significance and ionization of neutrals sustains the plasma in steady state. The plasma is characterized by the density and temperature of each specie and the electrons are usually not in thermal equilibrium with the neutral species and ions. These discharges are electrically driven and the applied power preferentially heats the more mobile electrons. Therefore, the electron
temperature $T_e$ can be significantly higher than the ion temperature. In a weakly ionized discharge, the electron density $n_e$ and the ion density $n_i$ are generally a few orders of magnitude lower than the neutral gas density of the inert sputtering gas. To explore the plasma parameters and the ionization mechanism for highly ionized magnetron sputtering a simple global model was developed by Hopwood and Qian [2, 14]. Their model gives an estimate of the fractional ionization as well as the ionization process for various conditions in the IPVD process. The discharge is assumed to consist of electrons, Ar atoms in the ground state, metastable Ar atoms, Ar$^+$ ions, metal atoms, $M$, and metal ions, $M^+$. In the model, it is assumed that metal ions are generated by electron impact ionization $e + M \rightarrow M^+ + 2e$ and by Penning ionization by collision with a metastable excited Ar atom $Ar^* + M \rightarrow M^+ + Ar + e$ with the rate coefficients $k_{miz}$ and $k_p$, respectively. The metal ions are assumed to be lost by diffusion to solid surfaces such as the chamber walls. The steady state particle balance equation for the metal ions is thus

$$k_{miz} n_e n_M + k_p n_{Ar^*} n_M = \frac{n_{M^+}}{\tau_{M^+}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where $n_M$ is the neutral metal density, $n_{M^+}$ is the metal ion density, $n_{Ar^*}$ is the density of metastable Ar atoms and $\tau_{M^+}$ is the lifetime of the metal ions in the discharge and is determined by the ambipolar diffusion coefficient of the metal ions.

Penning ionization depends on the density of the metastable Ar atoms which are produced by electron impact excitation $e + Ar \rightarrow Ar^* + e$ with rate coefficient $k_{ex}$ and the loss of the metastable Ar atoms is due to deexcitation by Penning ionization, radiative decay, diffusion losses, and electron impact ionization $e + Ar^* \rightarrow Ar^+ + e$ with rate coefficient $k_{iz^*}$. The particle balance equation for generation and loss of metastable Ar atoms is, therefore,

$$k_{ex} n_e n_{Ar} = n_{Ar^*} \left[k_{iz^*} n_e + k_p n_M + \tau_{Ar^*}^{-1}\right] = \frac{n_{Ar^*}}{\tau}$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

where $\tau_{Ar^*}$ is the lifetime of excited Ar. From equations (1) and (2), the metal ion fraction in the discharge is given as

$$\frac{n_{M^+}}{n_M + n_{M^+}} = \frac{\left(k_{miz} + k_p k_{ex} \tau_{Ar^*}\right) \tau_{M^+} n_e}{1 + \left(k_{miz} + k_p k_{ex} \tau_{Ar^*}\right) \tau_{M^+} n_e}$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

The electron temperature $T_e$ is self-consistently determined by adding the quasi-neutrality condition

$$n_e = n_{Ar^*} + n_{M^+}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)
and particle balance for Ar ions

\[ k_{i\pi} n_e n_{Ar} + k_{i\pi} n_e n_{Ar} = \frac{n_{Ar}}{\tau_{Ar^+}} \]  

(5)

where \( k_{i\pi} \) is the rate coefficient for the single-step ionization process \( e + Ar \rightarrow Ar^+ + 2e \) and \( \tau_{Ar^+} \) is the lifetime of Ar ions in the discharge. The lifetime is determined by the loss of Ar ions to the wall. The rate coefficient for ionization of Ar is expressed in the Arrhenius form \( k_o \exp(-E_o/T_e) \). Since \( n_{Ar} \gg n_M \) the electron temperature is determined by the particle balance for the Ar plasma from Eq. (5) [14]. The set of equations is solved for the specified Ar pressure, neutral gas temperature, and chamber geometry. By applying this model, Hopwood [2] demonstrated that for low electron density \( (n_e << 10^{17} \text{ m}^{-3}) \), Penning ionization is the dominating process for metal ionization, whereas for high electron density \( (n_e >> 10^{17} \text{ m}^{-3}) \) the metal ions are generated by electron impact ionization. At high electron density, metastable Ar atoms are rapidly lost by collisions with electrons. A high level of metal ionization is to be expected in this case since the metal species commonly used (Cu, Ti, Al, Ta) have ionization potentials in the range 6 - 8 eV which is significantly lower than for the used inert sputtering gas atoms commonly used. The ionization potential of some metal atoms and gases are listed in Table I. The metal is thus efficiently ionized by the energetic electrons required to maintain the Ar plasma. The rate coefficient for electron impact ionization for some widely used, important metals is given in Table II. This model has also been extended to describe reactive sputtering of Ti in Ar/N₂ discharge [16].

In the application of plasmas for thin film deposition, the fluxes of the depositing species are of primary importance. The plasmas, which are quasi-neutral, are joined to wall surfaces across thin positively charged layers referred to as sheaths. The metal ion flux at the surface takes into account the acceleration of the ions across the sheath

\[ \Gamma_{M^+} \approx 0.61 n_{M^+} u_B \]  

(6)

where

\[ u_B = \left( \frac{k_B T_e}{m_{M^+}} \right)^{1/2} \]  

(7)

is the Bohm velocity and \( m_{M^+} \) is the metal ion mass. The flux of the neutral metal, on the other hand, is determined by the thermal velocity \( v_M \).
\[ \Gamma_{M+} = \frac{1}{4} \nu_M n_M \]  
(8)

where

\[ \nu_M = \left( \frac{8k_B T_g}{\pi m_M} \right)^{1/2} \]  
(9)

is the mean thermal velocity of the gas. Thus

\[ \frac{\Gamma_{M+}}{\Gamma_M} \propto \left( \frac{T_e}{T_g} \right)^{1/2} \]  
(10)

where \( T_g \) is the neutral gas temperature. In discharges that are not in thermal equilibrium, \( T_e \) is typically significantly larger than \( T_g \). Thus, the fraction of ionized metal flux at the substrate \( \frac{\Gamma_{M+}}{\Gamma_{M+} + \Gamma_M} \) is larger than the fraction of ionized metal in the plasma \( \frac{n_{M^+} M}{n_{M^+} M + n_M} \). Figure 1 shows the ionized flux fraction versus electron density for various elements. For low electron densities of \( \sim 10^{16} \text{ m}^{-3} \), the ion fraction is of the order of few percent as commonly observed in a conventional magnetron sputtering discharge. For a high electron density of the order of \( 10^{18} \text{ m}^{-3} \), as achieved in inductively coupled discharges and electron cyclotron discharges, the ionized flux fraction of Ti is \( \sim 80 \% \), Al \( \sim 65\% \), and for Cu \( \sim 35\% \). That the ionized flux fraction of Al is lower than for Ti is at a first sight surprising, but it is due to the relatively lower rate coefficient for Al. In summary, the dense background plasma is the key to achieve the highly ionized flux fraction, and the obtained fluxes for the different elements depend on ionization potential, mass, and ionization rate coefficient.

3. Magnetron sputtering

Direct current magnetron sputtering (dcMS) is a widely used technique for deposition of metal and compound layers for numerous technical applications. As an example, the microelectronic industry uses sputtering for deposition of metal or metal compounds as layers for diffusion barriers, adhesion or seed layers, primary conductors, etc. [4, 5]. dcMS is a plasma technique utilizing magnetic fields to enhance and confine the plasma close to the deposition source. The deposition species are vaporized by sputtering from the target (the cathode) by ion bombardment. In a conventional magnetron sputtering discharge, only a small fraction of the sputtered atoms are ionized, roughly of the order of one or a few \%. In dcMS, the vapor is considerable more energetic than
thermally evaporated atoms (a few eV as compared to about a tenth of an eV). Usually it is desirable to maintain this initial kinetic energy of the sputtered atoms, because of its effect on the film growth. Relatively low pressures are normally used to minimize scattering of the sputtered atoms. The sputtering process is, therefore, normally a line-of-sight process where the deposition flux, since it consists of neutral atoms, cannot be easily controlled.

In a conventional dcMS discharge, the cathode is kept at a constant negative voltage. Positive ions generated in the plasma are accelerated towards the cathode, sputtering atoms and molecules from the target surface. The main difference between a conventional glow discharge [17] and a magnetron discharge is the presence of an externally applied magnetic field in the latter [18, 19]. The magnetic field can be created by permanent magnets [18], electromagnets [20] or a combination of both [21]. This configuration acts to trap electrons. Thus, in a magnetron sputtering discharge, atoms are sputtered from the cathode target by a magnetically confined plasma. The target material is sputtered by the bombardment of high energy ions accelerated over the cathode sheath potential. Secondary electrons are emitted and accelerated away from the target surface as a result of the ion bombardment. These electrons play an important role in maintaining the plasma. A magnetic field confines the ionizing energetic electrons near the cathode allowing operation at high plasma densities and low pressures. The plasma is efficiently confined near the magnetron target, but the substrate usually lies in a weak plasma which can be enhanced by unbalancing the magnetic field to leak hot electrons [20, 22]. A typical direct current (dc) planar magnetron operates at a pressure of 1 - 10 mTorr with a magnetic field strength of 0.01 - 0.1 T and at cathode potentials 300 – 700 V. This leads to current densities below 100 mA cm⁻² and power densities of up to 50 W cm⁻² [19, 23]. For special designs, giving improved cooling, such as rotating cylindrical target with a fixed magnetic assembly [24] and rotating magnet assembly [25], or the use of increased cooling [26, 27], higher current densities can be achieved. The cathode current voltage characteristics are found to follow the relationship

\[ I_d = k_d V_d^n \]  

where \( I_d \) is the cathode discharge current and \( V_d \) the cathode voltage and \( n \) is in the range between 3 and 15 [23, 28]. The exponent \( n \) depends on the efficiency of the electron trapping in the plasma. The
higher the value $n$, the more efficient is the electron trapping. The lower values are found when operating at low pressures or by a weak magnetic field [28-30]. The constant $k_d$ depends on the target material, gas pressure, gas type, and the geometry of the discharge.

There is a general interest in developing the conventional magnetron sputtering processes to increase metal ionization, target utilization, avoid target poisoning in reactive sputtering, increase deposition rates, especially for dielectric and ferromagnetic materials, and to minimize electrical instabilities such as arcs. For a typical magnetron, there is an interdependence of the target voltage and target current. Increased deposition rate requires increased target voltage to achieve a higher plasma density. This leads to a higher ion flux, which in turn increases the target current. Furthermore, the plasma density increases with increased power. But increased power leads to overheating and eventually melting of the sputtering target. Thus, there is an upper limit to the power that can be delivered to the discharge target. Several sputtering systems have been designed to improve on the conventional magnetron sputtering. Some improvements have been alleviated by pulsing the applied voltage [31, 32], others by additional ionization by radio frequency (rf) [3, 33] or microwave power [34, 35], or by an increased magnetic confinement [36]. The conventional planar magnetron discharge has been discussed in reviews by Waits [19] and Rossnagel [37]. Recent developments in magnetron sputtering technology are reviewed by Kelly and Arnell [38] and by Schneider et al. [39].

Recently, magnetron sputtering discharges have been developed to generate highly ionized plasmas using essentially the conventional magnetron sputtering equipment. Ionized magnetron sputtering processes were initially based on the application of a secondary discharge to create a dense plasma between the source and the substrate so that a large fraction of the sputtered atoms are ionized in agreement with the processes described in section 2. The first sputter-based IPVD systems consisted of a magnetron cathode for physical sputtering of atoms and a secondary discharge which could be either inductively coupled plasma [3, 33] or microwave driven discharges [34, 40-42]. Other methods of creating highly ionized sputtered vapor include shaping the cathode target in a particular way in order to confine the electrons, referred to as hollow cathode magnetron discharge [43, 44] or the application of high powers. Here, the development of magnetron sputtering discharges for the creation of a high fraction of ionized sputtered species is reviewed. First, the application of a
secondary discharge between the target and the substrate is discussed, an inductively coupled
discharge in section 3.1, and a microwave amplified discharge in section 3.2. The main emphasis is
on the high power impulse magnetron sputtering discharge which is discussed in section 3.3. The
self-sustained sputtering (SSS) discharge is discussed in section 3.4, and the hollow cathode
magnetron (HCM) discharge in section 3.5.

3.1 Inductively coupled plasma magnetron sputtering (ICP-MS)

In order to generate a highly ionized discharge, an inductively coupled plasma (ICP) source
can be added in the region between the cathode and the substrate. A non-resonant induction coil is
then placed parallel to the cathode, in essentially a conventional dcMS apparatus, immersed or
adjacent to the plasma as seen in Fig. 2. The inductive coil is generally driven at 13.56 MHz using a
50 Ω rf power supply through a capacitive matching network \[45\]. The rf power is often coupled to
the plasma across a dielectric window or wall. Inductively coupled discharges are commonly
operated in the pressure range 1 – 50 mTorr and applied power 200 – 1000 W resulting in an electron
density in the range of $10^{16} – 10^{18}$ m$^{-3}$, generally found to increase linearly with increasing applied
power [45-47]. In a magnetron sputtering discharge the metal atoms are sputtered from the cathode
target using dc or rf power and transit the dense plasma, created by the rf coil, where they are ionized
[3, 33].

The plasma parameters and their dependence on rf power in an ICP-MS discharge have been
measured by several groups [14, 48-51]. In general, it is found that the ionization, and therefore the
ionization flux fraction, increases with increased rf power and pressure. Wang et al. [48, 49]
investigated the plasma parameters in an Ar discharge with a Cu target. Fig. 3 shows the ion density
versus the applied rf power. Generally it is found that at constant dc cathode power and constant
pressure the relative ionization increases and saturates as the inductively coupled rf power is
increased [3, 49]. The ionized flux fraction for Cu versus applied rf power for Ar discharge at 20 and
40 mTorr is shown in Fig. 4.

Li et al. [52] demonstrated that the ion energy distribution in an ICP-MS discharge is
relatively narrow and mainly determined by the plasma potential. This indicated that the ion flux and the ion energy could be controlled independently by varying the applied rf power and the substrate bias voltage independently. This is a great advantage over a conventional dcMS discharge where the ion flux and the ion bombarding energy cannot be varied independently without changing the magnetic configuration. The ion energy distribution at the substrate for Ar$^+$ and N$_2^+$ ions are shown in Figs. 5 (a) and (b), respectively, using a Ti target. When no power was applied to the induction coil a sharp, but relatively weak peak, appears at roughly 3.6 eV. As the discharge is enhanced by the additional rf power, the ion peak energy was shifted to a higher values of about 22 eV, mainly due to the increase in the plasma potential. With further increase in the rf power, the peak energy remained roughly constant. Thus, the sheath potential is determined by the plasma potential which only depends on the gas pressure and the gas type.

3.2 Microwave amplified magnetron sputtering

Another approach to increase ionization of the sputtered metal is to use a supplementary electron cyclotron resonance (ECR) discharge giving high plasma densities ($10^{17} - 10^{18}$ m$^{-3}$) commonly operated at low working pressures (0.1 - 10 mTorr) while maintaining low plasma potentials [45, 53]. The low plasma potential allows the possibility to control the ion energy. ECR discharges are typically operated at microwave frequencies (e.g. ~2.45 GHz) with a strong magnetic field. In an ECR discharge, a right-hand circularly polarized wave propagates along steady magnetic field lines to a resonance zone. There, the wave energy is absorbed by a collisionless heating mechanism. The introduction of a magnetic field leads to a resonance between the applied frequency $\omega$ and the electron cyclotron frequency $\omega_{ce} = eB/m_e$ within the discharge. Due to this cyclotron resonance, the gyrating electrons rotate in phase with the polarized wave.

Holberg *et al.* [54] used an ECR discharge to ionize Cu that was evaporated from a resistively heated source. They realized a Cu discharge which was nearly 100% ionized and demonstrated its usefulness in high aspect ratio metal filling. Magnetron sputtering discharges, where a microwave discharge is operated in ECR mode to increase the ionization of the metal species (ECR-MS), have also been developed [34, 35, 40, 41, 55, 56]. In general, an ECR discharge produces significantly
higher density plasma ($\sim 10^{17} - 10^{18} \text{ m}^{-3}$) than a dcMS discharge ($\sim 10^{15} - 10^{16} \text{ m}^{-3}$).

Fig. 6 is a schematic diagram of the apparatus used by Xu et al. [40] and Li et al. [55]. The two ECR discharge chambers are located at opposite sides of the main processing chamber. The magnetic coils are arranged around the periphery of each of the ECR discharge chambers and the currents in these coils flow in opposite direction generating a magnetic field of 875 G to satisfy the ECR condition in both ECR chambers. Thus, a highly ionized ECR plasma is created in the region between the target and the substrate.

A configuration described by Takahashi et al. [35] is shown in Fig. 7 and consists of a plasma chamber that operates as a microwave cavity resonator. Microwave power (2.45 GHz) is introduced through a rectangular waveguide and a fused quartz window. The magnetic coils are arranged around the chamber periphery to achieve ECR conditions. The sputtering target is placed at the plasma extraction window around the extracted plasma stream with a shield electrode. The target is connected to a dc power supply. The top and the bottom rings around the target are made of iron which effectively converges the magnetic field to create a local magnetic flux in front of the target giving the conditions for magnetron discharge and electron trapping. The ion energy transported to the growing film is 10 - 30 eV. They report a significant increase in deposition rate over a conventional reactive magnetron sputtering and the growth of high quality films of various oxides and nitrides. Yonesu et al. [56] also demonstrate an enhancement of the deposition rate by the applied microwave power in an ECR-MS with a cylindrical target. The deposition rate increases with increased microwave power and increased discharge gas pressure.

Microwave surface wave devices have also been utilized to ionize the sputtered metal species [42, 57]. Two microwave antennas consisting of a copper tube with a quartz outer tube connected to a microwave generator are placed between the cathode and anode of a dc magnetron discharge. Using this technique, an electron density of the order $1 \times 10^{17} \text{ m}^{-3}$ is achieved in the substrate vicinity.

3.3 High power impulse magnetron sputtering (HIPIMS)
Magnetron sputtering can be operated at high power levels to achieve high plasma densities. For conventional dc sputtering, the maximum power is limited by the thermal load on the target provided by bombardment of the positive ions. To avoid this limitation, the power may be applied in pulses. By decreasing the duty cycle (on-time divided by the cycle-time), a corresponding increase in power during the on-time can be achieved. In one example, the high power impulse magnetron sputtering (HIPIMS), the power has been brought to extremely high instantaneous levels of > 1000 W cm\(^{-2}\) [32, 58-60]. HIPIMS has been successfully developed to produce high plasma densities of the order of 10\(^{19}\) m\(^{-3}\) [32, 60-63] and obtain highly ionized metal plasmas [61, 64]. This technique has been demonstrated to be useful for high-aspect-ratio filling applications and improved target utilization [32] as well as enhancing substrate pretreatment improving adhesion of hard coatings [65, 66] and has been briefly reviewed by Helmersson et al. [67]. In the following sections, the design of the power supply, the electrical characteristics of the discharge, and the plasma parameters will be discussed. The spatial and temporal variation of the plasma density and chemistry as well as the degree of ionization and the deposition rate will be described.

3.3.1 Electrical parameters and power supply

The power supplies used for a high power impulse magnetron sputtering [68-71] are usually based on an artificial pulse-forming network and consist of single or multiple mesh LC networks as seen in Fig. 8 [72, 73]. These systems operate in a repetitively manner. The pulse generator consists of a discharge capacitor that is connected to be charged from a charging circuit through a thyristor switch. The charging circuit generally consists of a power supply and a charging element. The capacitor \(C_s\) is then discharged over the electrodes of the sputtering device through an inductor \(L\). The inductance coil is connected in series with the magnetron discharge in order to reduce the rate of current rise to protect components in the power supply. The size of the discharge capacitor is typically of the order of 1 - 50 \(\mu\)F and the inductor has an inductance of the order of 20 - 50 \(\mu\)H [68, 70]. The temporal variation of the cathode voltage can be seen in Fig. 9 (a). When the voltage of the capacitor \(C_s\) is discharged through the inductor, the output voltage rises rapidly to a sharp peak. Then the output voltage decreases to a level that is determined by the impedance of the power supply, the connections to the discharge, and the properties of the gas discharge itself. The charge voltage \(V_0\) is
varied from hundreds to thousands of volts. Resonance charging of the capacitor is most often accomplished from a dc voltage source through a thyristor switch [58, 75]. The power supply can also be a transformer that has its primary winding connected to line mains and its secondary winding connected to the discharge capacitor through a diode and a thyristor switch [68]. Depending on the design the pulses can be generated at the frequency of the mains supply [32], e.g. ~50 or 60 Hz, or generally varied from a single shot to 500 Hz [69, 76]. The pulse length is typically 50 - 500 μs.

The exact pulse shape is not only determined by the power supply but also by the load, the discharge formed in the sputtering device, and depends thus on the magnetron configuration, target material, magnetic field structure, gas type, and pressure. This is seen in Fig. 9 which shows how the voltage and current waveforms depend on the discharge gas pressure. The actual pulse-width decreases with increasing discharge gas pressure. It was observed that at lower gas pressure, the plasma ignition time was increased, and a delay of several μs was measured [74]. In some of the high power pulse systems, the plasma is pre-ionized [58, 75, 77-80]. An electric circuit diagram illustrating a pulse generator with a pre-ionizer is shown in Fig. 10. Here a dc power supply maintains a conventional dc magnetron discharge. The storage capacitor $C_s$ is charged through a thyristor switch from a charging circuit and a trigger circuit discharges the capacitor through a thyristor switch.

A number of power supplies have been developed for the HIPIMS process. Bugaev et al. [59] report on a pulsed power supply that operates at repetition frequency of 5 - 20 Hz and pulse duration of 2 - 10 ms giving a peak power of 400 kW. Higher powers are used by Kouznetsov et al. [32]. They use a power supply capable of peak power pulses nominally of up to 2.4 MW (operating a planar cylindrical magnetron with a diameter of 15 cm, the discharge conditions resulted in peak power at the cathode of 1.2 MW [81]) at a repetition frequency 50 Hz and pulse widths of 50 - 100 μs. Christie et al. [70] report on a power supply capable of peak powers of up to 3 MW operating at single shot to 500 Hz and pulse widths of 100 - 500 μs. Ehiasarian and Bugyi [69] demonstrate a pulse generator (HMP 6/16) that supplies up to 6 MW in 0 - 200 μs pulses at 0 - 100 Hz frequency. Bugaev and Sochugov [75] described a substrate bias power supply that is synchronized with the pulsed power supply of the magnetron discharge. Konstantinidis et al. [82] demonstrate a HIPIMS combined with an ICP discharge.
Christie et al. [70, 71] point out that arc handling is required for practical application of HIPIMS. Arcs can be detected in various ways [70]. One is to detect current rise. When the current increases and reaches a current threshold, an arc is detected. Similarly, a sudden voltage decrease can be used to detect an arc. When arc suppression is initiated, the current is stopped by disconnecting the capacitor from the inductor and disconnecting further the inductor from the discharge load. The inductor energy is then recycled into the capacitor. The reduction of the pulse length to only a few μs has been reported to avoid arcing [80, 83]. To be able to reduce the pulse length to this level, the breakdown delay need to be significantly reduced, which can be obtained by using a low-current dc preionization.

Fig. 11 shows the discharge voltage versus the peak discharge current density for a conventional planar dcMS discharge and a HIPIMS discharge. For the conventional dcMS the exponent $n$ in eq. (15) is roughly 8. The current-voltage characteristic for the HIPIMS discharge in Fig. 11 indicates two modes of operation. For low discharge current densities ($< 600$ mA cm$^{-2}$) the exponent $n$ in eq. (15) is roughly 7, indicating normal discharge operation. At higher current densities, the exponent is 1. This sudden increase in the resistance of the discharge has been suggested to be due to a reduced trapping of secondary electrons [61]. One possible reason for a reduced trapping is changes in the magnetic field due to the high current drawn through the plasma. However, it has been shown that the magnetic field change is only of the order of 10 % [84]. Therefore it is unlikely that this is the sole reason for the sudden increase in impedance.

3.3.2. Plasma parameters

By pulsing the magnetron discharge, very high plasma densities ($>10^{18}$ m$^{-3}$) have been obtained [60-62, 74, 85]. Fig. 12 shows the time-dependent electron density for different pressures 9 cm away from the target cathode surface [74]. The electron density increases initially to a peak value approximately 100 μs (depending on the neutral gas pressure) after initiating the pulse. The high density plasma is present several hundreds of μs after the pulse is turned off. The peak electron density is in the range $2 – 8 \times 10^{18}$ m$^{-3}$ and decreases with decreasing inert gas pressure. At higher
pressures (above 10 mTorr), the electron density curve shows two maxima. The spatial and temporal evolution of the electron density in the high pressure regime (at 20 mTorr) is explored further in Fig. 13 [63] showing the electron density in a plane perpendicular to the surface of the magnetron. A high-density doughnut shaped plasma forms above the race track (centered at y = 0 in Fig. 13) in the early stage of the discharge. Fig. 13 a-d demonstrates how the high density plasma expands away from the target surface (in the z-direction). The trajectory of the peak intensity of this expanding plasma is shown in Fig. 14 for three different Ar pressures. This peak travels away from the target with a fixed velocity, which decreases with increasing operating pressure [86].

The temporal evolution has also been measured for the ion species in the plasma [61]. Fig. 15 shows time-resolved optical emission spectroscopy (OES) of HIPIMS of Cr in Ar atmosphere (3 mTorr) taken 1 cm from the target. The Ar ion emission appears at roughly 10 µs after applying the voltage [61]. The peak in Cr⁺ density appears approximately 10 µs after the Ar ion peak. Flat probe measurements indicate that a high density plasma is produced during the first 40 µs. The peak in ion saturation current reaches a maximum between the Ar⁺ and Cr⁺ ion emission peaks and appears to be constituted of both species. The temporal evolution shows that the discharge undergoes two phases. The first phase is initiated immediately following the voltage pulse with strong emission from Ar neutrals. As the plasma density grows, the Ar neutral emission is replaced with Ar ions. At this stage, a Cr neutral signal is also starting to emerge indicating the initiation of sputtering. In the second stage of the plasma, the Cr neutral peak increases but is gradually overtaken by the fast-rising Cr⁺ and Cr²⁺ emission. Thus, the plasma transforms from Ar-dominated in the beginning of the discharge to a metal ion plasma at a later stage. Similar evolution has been observed for industrial size Ti targets [69].

The temporal behavior of the plasma density while varying the process conditions – i.e. sputtering gas, chamber dimension, distance to target, and applied power – is seen in Fig. 16 [87]. The electron saturation current was measured while varying the process conditions with the gas pressure maintained at 20 mTorr. At this pressure, two local maximum can be seen in the probe current, one immediately after plasma ignition and second at a later time, which depends upon the process conditions. In Fig. 16 (a) it can be seen that the lighter the gas atom, the faster is the initial current response. It can also be seen that decreasing the chamber radius resulted in a faster appearance
of the second peak. The second peak is due to a wave, formed by the initial peak, that reflects off the chamber wall back to the measurement position. To understand the relation between the mass of the sputtering gas and the wave propagation speed, it is assumed that the waves in the plasma are ion acoustic in nature and are dominated by the sputtering gas rather than ions originating from the sputtering target. The time delay between the two pulses is consequently dependent on chamber dimensions and sputtering gas composition.

The ion energy distributions for a HIPIMS and a dcMS discharge are shown in Fig. 17 and Fig. 18, respectively. These time average data for the HIPIMS case are taken over many pulsing cycles. There are distinct differences in the energy distributions observed for the two techniques. For HIPIMS, the metal ion energy distribution is broader, extending to the measurement limit of this spectrometer, 100 eV. About 50% of the Ti ions have energy higher than 20 eV [88]. Similar results have been observed by Erkens et al. [89]. Also, the Ar ion distribution is shifted to higher energies as compared to dcMS [88]. For HIPIMS, time resolved data were collected in steps of 20 μs to evaluate the changes in the energy of the species [88]. The results, shown in Fig. 19, exhibit a broad distribution extending from 0 to 100 eV during the active phase of the discharge and contain a strong signal from metal ions. After the pulse is shut off, the distributions become narrow and peak at a low energy. The reason for high ion energy observed for the HIPIMS discharge is at present time not fully understood.

3.3.3. Degree of ionization

A high degree of ionization has been verified in several measurements. Fig. 20 shows OES from dcMS and HIPIMS discharges, respectively. A careful analysis reveals that close to full ionization of the sputtered Ti atoms is obtained during the pulse [64, 90]. The fractional ionization of the sputtered Ti as a function of the applied pulse energy is shown in Fig. 21. The ion contribution to the recorded spectra increases with increased applied pulse energy and reaches values higher than 90% at a pulse energy of 2 J. Konstantinidis et al. [91] show that the ionization rate is higher than 50% and increases with increased applied power and increased pulse length for a Ti target. Ehiasarian et al. [61] recorded the optical signal from the HIPIMS discharge using a Cr target and Ar as sputtering gas. Strong emission from Cr ions is observed, both singly and doubly ionized.
Although the recorded spectra were not quantified, considering the transition probabilities of the observed spectral lines, it can be concluded that the temporal degree of ionization is very high also for Cr. Also, a comparison of the recorded HIPIMS spectrum with a spectrum recorded from an ICP-MS discharge, where the degree of ionization is known to be very high, was made. The comparison suggests an even higher ion to neutral ratio for the HIPIMS discharge.

Measurement of the ionized flux fraction has also been made using weight gain differences on a floating and a positively biased substrate. This way, the ionized flux fraction for Cu and Cr has been estimated to be roughly 70% [32] and 30% [92], respectively. The same group estimated the degree of metal ionization of the flux from a Ti$_{0.5}$Al$_{0.5}$-target to be around 40% [60]. Using a quartz crystal microbalance (QCM) mounted behind a two layer-gridded energy analyzer, the degree of ionization of C and Al during sputtering was measured as 4.5% and 9.5%, respectively [93]. These values are significantly lower than those reported by the other groups. For C, a lower ionization fraction is expected since it has a higher ionization potential and a smaller electron impact cross section as compared to metals where the obtained ion fractions are relatively high. This can be seen in Fig. 1, which is based on global model calculation described in section 2, and shows the ionized flux fraction for various metals and C as a function of electron density. Therefore, C exhibits a relatively low ionization flux fraction even at high electron densities ($> 10^{18}$ m$^{-3}$). However, this does not explain the low ionization flux fraction observed for Al.

Vlček et al. [94] investigated the ionization mechanism of sputtered Cu atoms and the energy distribution of Cu$^+$ and Ar$^+$ ions and their fractions in ion fluxes to the substrate. They see a significant increase in the Cu$^+$ ion density with increased peak power density during the pulse. For a peak discharge current of 50 A (300 - 500 W cm$^{-2}$), Cu$^+$ ions are the dominant ions in the discharge, 82% of the total ion flux to the substrate. At a lower discharge current of 5 A, 70% of the ion flux at the substrate was Ar$^+$ ions, closer to what is expected in a dcMS.

Quantitative measurements of the plasma composition as function of time have been obtained from mass-spectroscopy [88] and the results show that the HIPIMS discharge is highly metallic containing substantially more metal ions (50 % Ti$^+$, 24 % Ti$^{2+}$) than inert gas ions (23% Ar$^+$, and 3
% Ar\(^{2+}\)) during the active phase of the discharge. The time dependency is presented in Fig. 22. Higher orders of ionization were not detected.

The reported ionized flux fraction for metals spans the range from about 10 % to over 80 %. The reported values are often inconsistent which may be related to various magnetron configuration and/or applied power density. Some of the inconsistency may be explained by the difficulty of measuring the degree of ionization. This issue requires a thorough study.

### 3.3.4. Deposition rate

In the HIPIMS discharge, the deposition rate is generally found to be lower than in a conventional dcMS discharge at the same average power. The rates are typically of the order of 25 - 35% of the rates in conventional dc magnetron sputtering discharges at the same average power when the process parameters are comparable [95]. Several groups have reported on this lower deposition rate for HIPIMS, a factor of 2 lower deposition rate for Cu and Ti thin films [59], a factor of 4 - 7 lower deposition rate for reactive sputtering of TiO\(_2\) from a Ti target [96], a factor of 3 - 4 lower deposition rate for reactive sputtering of AlO\(_x\) from an Al target [71]. Konstantinidis et al. [91] find that the deposition rate depends on the pulse length and increases from 20 to 70% of dcMS values as the pulse length is decreases from 20 µs to 5 µs for the same average power. Vlček et al. [94] also observe a reduction of the Cu deposition efficiency using high-power pulses with a repetition frequency of 1 kHz and a duty cycle of 0.2. Although achieving a very high deposition rate of Cu films, the pulsed rate is approximately 55% of the hypothetical dc sputtering rate at the same average power [97].

One explanation for the reduction in deposition rate is that the sputtered material is ionized close to the target and many of the metallic ions will be attracted back to the target surface by the cathode potential [98]. In the presence of a confining magnetic field, as in magnetron sputtering, not all the applied voltage drops over the sheath region that forms in front of the target, but a fraction of the applied voltage will penetrate the bulk plasma and create a potential gradient inside the plasma, referred to as the plasma pre-sheath. If a sputtered atom is ionized inside this region, it must have enough kinetic energy to escape this potential in order to reach the substrate. However, if the ion is
attracted back to the target it will act as a sputtering particle. A reduction in the deposition rate is then expected to occur especially for metals with a low self-sputtering yield. The deposition efficiency for HIPIMS as compared with a conventional dcMS discharge plotted as a function of the metals self-sputtering yield $S_S$ divided with its Ar-sputter yield $S_{Ar}$ is shown in Fig. 23. This process has recently been described in a model [95]. Another possible explanation for the reduced deposition rate in HIPIMS is the low conductivity (or plasma density) in the target-to-substrate region of the discharge [91]. To increase the conductivity, an rf driven inductively coupled discharge can be placed between the target and the substrate [82]. It has also been reported that the reduction in deposition rate decreases with decreased magnetic confinement [59]. So it is possible that the deposition rate can be optimized by varying the magnetic confinement. Recent results [12] show that by applying a magnetic coil between the magnetron target and the substrate, the ionized metal can be focused (see section 5.2). In this way, some of the drawback of a lower deposition rate can be circumvented.

3.4 Self-sustained sputtering (SSS)

It is possible to deposit thin films by magnetron sputtering without the use of an inert sputtering gas. This technique is referred to as self-sustained sputtering (SSS) [25, 26, 99, 100] and makes use of an inert gas to ignite the plasma, after which the inert gas is removed and the sputtering continues with ions of the deposition (sputtered) material. The technique has found applications in semiconductor metallization and filling high aspect ratio holes and grooves with single [101] or dual [102] element content. The principle of the technique is similar to magnetron sputtering but the discharge is operated at very high power densities, 100 - 1000 W cm$^{-2}$ [103]. The power is applied continuously with no pulsing. This operation requires very effective target cooling. At these high power levels, the plasma densities reach levels of $6 \times 10^{17}$ m$^{-3}$ at 170 W cm$^{-2}$ and are expected to exceed $10^{18}$ m$^{-3}$ at 1000 W cm$^{-2}$ [103]. The optical emission spectra of the self-sustaining Cu discharges reveal a significant fraction of Cu$^+$ ions. This fractional ionization increases with increased discharge current. The SSS is initiated at inert gas pressure of approximately 1 mTorr as in conventional magnetron operation. However, the gas flow is then switched off. The technique is especially suitable for materials with high self-sputtering yield such as Cu and Ag. The condition for sustaining self-sputtering discharge is expressed as [98],
\[ \alpha \beta Y_s \geq 1 \] (12)

where \( \alpha \) is the ionization probability of sputtered atoms, \( \beta \) is the probability of the ion returning to the cathode target, and \( Y_s \) is the self sputtering yield.

The SSS technique has been extended to other materials such as Ni, Ta, brass, Pb, and Cd if inert gas at low pressure, in the range of \( 10^{-5} \) Torr, is present during sputtering [100, 102]. Targets with low thermal conductivity such as Ti can attain high temperatures that may lead to partial melting and evaporation of the target [103]. Such evaporation may support the discharge but also increase the risk of a transition to an arc [103]. The advantages of the SSS technique include a very high sputtering rate, the absence of inert gas particles in the deposited film, and the possibility to deposit films at very low pressures (< 0.4 mTorr) [99].

3.5. Hollow cathode magnetron (HCM) sputtering

The hollow cathode magnetron (HCM) is a high density plasma device developed for ionized physical vapor deposition [44, 104, 105]. In a hollow cathode magnetron, an intense glow discharge forms in a cup-shaped cathode or between two parallel plates held at the same potential. The two parallel plates confine the discharge both physically and electrostatically. The electrons between the plates are in an electrostatic mirror which forces them to oscillate until they are lost to the sides or make an ionizing collision [106-108]. This technique does not require an additional rf or microwave source for efficient generation of metal ions.

The HCM is capable of operating at an order of magnitude higher power densities than a conventional planar magnetron. Furthermore, they can be operated at very low pressures, a few mTorr, or lower [43]. This low pressure operation regime is desired for anisotropic deposition, long throw deposition and low gas incorporation. The most common hollow-cathode magnetron source employs a magnetron discharge confined in an inverted cup-shaped target [43, 44]. Fig. 24 shows a schematic diagram of the hollow-cathode magnetron IPVD source which consists of a cup shaped
target, permanent side magnets, and a rotating magnet. Very high-density plasma is generated by the hollow-cathode magnetron, both in the target region as well as in the vicinity of the substrate where densities of the order of $5 \times 10^{17} \text{ m}^{-3}$ have been reported [44]. Due to the target geometry, the electrons are electrostatically (target negatively biased) or magnetically confined within the volume of the source (see Fig. 24). Thus, losses are minimized and a high plasma density achieved. The current-voltage characteristics of a HCM discharge follows the relationship given by Eq. (11) at low power. However, depending on the Ar pressure, the exponent is in the range of 7.8 – 27.8 for an Al target over the pressure range 2 – 20 mTorr [104]. This is a higher value than reported for a conventional dcMS and indicates very good electron trapping by the magnetic cusp mirror. This is an indication of more efficient use of the electron energy due to improved electron confinement. At high power and low pressure, the discharge current shows a slower increase with discharge voltage. This is blamed on the reduced gas density due to gas heating. Furthermore, cathode target utilization is greatly improved [43]. At pressures above 10 mTorr, the cathode erosion profiles are similar to a conventional dcMS but at sub-mTorr pressures the erosion area is larger. This is due to a larger ionization volume at lower pressures.

Wang and Cohen [109] discuss the dependence of the operational parameters on the cathode target inner diameter $d$ and the length of the cylindrical hollow cathode $\zeta$. They find that only when $\zeta$ is greater than a critical length $\zeta_o$, the HCM is operable in the low pressure regime. The critical length scales as a magnetic confinement length $L = \min(\lambda_{mfp},d/2) > r_{ce}$ for the primary electrons. Here $\lambda_{mfp}$ is the mean free path for electron–neutral collisions and $r_{ce}$ is the electron gyroradius. They give a simple estimate for the minimum pressure required to sustain the discharge. Starting with the solution of the steady state diffusion equation,

$$n_e = n_{e0} \left( 1 - \frac{z}{\zeta} \right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (13)

where $n_{e0}$ is the electron density at the cathode surface, and $z$ the distance from the cathode surface. The average time for an electron to diffuse to $z = \zeta_o$ is $\tau = \zeta_o^2/2D$ where $D$ is the diffusion coefficient for electrons. The minimum operating pressure is set by

$$\tau = \zeta_o^2/2D > 1/(\gamma_{\text{eff}} \nu_{iz})$$  \hspace{1cm} (14)
where $\gamma_{\text{eff}}$ is the effective secondary emission coefficient and $\nu_{iz} = k_{iz} n_g$ is the ionization rate. $k_{iz}$, approximately $3 \times 10^{-13} \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$, is the ionization rate coefficient above roughly 40 eV [13] and $n_g$, approximately $3.250 \times 10^{16} \times p \text{ [Torr]}$, is the neutral gas density. Thus,

$$n_g > \frac{2D}{(k_{iz} \zeta_o^2 \gamma_{\text{eff}})}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (15)

So if $n_g D = 9 \times 10^{22} \text{ l/(ms)}$, $\gamma_{\text{eff}} = 3$ and $\zeta_o = 70 \text{ mm}$, one finds $p_{\text{min}} \sim 0.5 \text{ mTorr}$. At lower pressures the mean free path is larger due to fewer collisions with neutrals and the electron magnetic confinement reaches farther away from the cathode target surface. At the lowest pressure, the hollow cathode radius determines the confinement distance.

A magnetic cusp is created near the opening of the target. The cusp acts as an aperture to extract plasma from within the target (see Fig. 24). Beyond the magnetic null region, the magnetic field serves to guide and collimate the plasma beam to the substrate. The strength and the shape of the field can be varied to control the uniformity of the ion density across the substrate. Thus, the uniformity does not depend on the target shape or the target erosion profile like observed in a conventional planar dcMS as the high density plasma within the target region, as well as downstream, ionizes the sputtered atoms.

4. Filtered cathodic arc deposition

A cathode arc, vacuum arc, or metal-vapor arc is an arc that passes current between electrodes by means of vaporized electrode material of the arc itself [110]. Arc discharges are characterized with high currents in the range from the lower threshold current (the chopping current) [111, 112] of approximately 30 A up to kA. The discharge runs at a low voltage in the range typically 20-100 V, and depends linearly on the cohesive energy $E_{CE}$ of the cathode material

$$V = V_o + A E_{CE},$$  \hspace{1cm} (16)
where $V_o$ and $A$ are system dependent constants [113, 114]. The voltage may be higher when external magnetic fields that restrict the flow of electrons are present [115-117].

The mechanism of the arc discharge differs significantly from glow discharges used for conventional sputtering and ionized sputtering. The current in a vacuum arc discharge is often concentrated in a luminous area of a few square micrometers on the cathode termed the cathode spot [118, 119]. The timescale of ignition and explosion of the spot are $1 – 10$ ns and its residence time is of the order of $100$ ns. The plasma density in the cathode spots is of the order of $10^{26}$ m$^{-3}$ and the current density is as high as $10^{12}$ A m$^{-2}$ [120]. However, these values are approximate and the measured values depend strongly on the spatial resolution of the measurement method. When the current reaches a threshold of approximately $150$ A, a second spot may be ignited [121].

The ignition of a spot occurs most likely at sharp microprotrusions (asperities) on the surface of the cathode [122]. The asperities concentrate the electric field of the cathode and amplify it by a factor $\beta$, which lies in the range of $10^2$ to $10^5$ depending on the shape of the asperity. The field attracts ions existing in the plasma, which bombard and heat the asperity [123, 124]. The combined action of high field with heat can lead to some electrons being liberated from the asperity via tunneling across the binding energy barrier. If enough current is available from the power supply, the electron current leads to extreme heating of the asperity, sublimation and explosion producing hypersonic jets of highly ionized plasma. The plasma may be up to 100% ionized and typically contains multiply charged metal ions with the maximum observed charge state of 6 for Nb, Ta, W, and Hf [125]. The plasma densities near the cathode spot easily reach levels of $10^{26}$ m$^{-3}$ [118, 119, 126] while the electron temperature remains low – in the range of 2 - 4 eV, signifying that the arc plasma is in local thermal equilibrium [127] where the ionization is determined by balanced collision-recombination or excitation-deexcitation processes. The explosive event gives the generated ions an equal energy, which is not strongly dependent on the charge state but is related to the cohesive energy of the cathode material [113]. Typical ion energies range from 20-150 eV [113, 128-130]. Once the explosion shockwave is recovered, the ionization processes in the plasma stop and the plasma expands away from the cathode spot in a ‘frozen’ state preserving its ionization degree and charge state distribution (CSD) [124, 131].
The CSD is strongly influenced by external factors such as the presence of a working gas, magnetic field, and residual plasma which influences the medium in which the spot plasma expands. Even small pressures of inert (Ar, [117, 132, 133]) or reactive (N₂ [134, 135], O₂ [136]) gas result in dramatic loss of highly charged states - typically 3⁺ and higher, due to charge exchange with the working gas [134, 137] or three-body recombination at pressures exceeding the 1 mTorr range [137]. The magnetic field may influence the CSD and especially the ionization of the gas. For magnetic fields parallel to the cathode surface (planar magnetron type), the CSD is decreased due to recombination of metal ions from the cathode spot with free electrons from a residual working gas plasma confined near the cathode along the entire race track [117]. This situation is often encountered in industrial production units using steered cathodic arc for deposition processes. In cases where the magnetic field is normal to the cathode, and is downstream the plasma flux, the CSD can also be decreased while ionization of the working gas is very strong due to the increased probability of ionization along the helical path of electrons moving along the field lines [138, 139]. This magnetic field geometry is commonly used to collimate the plasma beam and sometimes to sweep the plasma beam over the substrate surface. Furthermore, it is applied in arc filters to remove undesirable droplets from the plasma beam, which is essential in some applications [140].

The cathodic arc suffers from generation of macroparticles (droplets) which can become incorporated into the growing film [141]. The droplets are often in the range 0.01 - 10 μm and, as a rough generalization, materials with high melting point generate smaller and fewer droplets that have a smaller probability of sticking to surfaces [142]. The droplets are emitted during the explosion of asperities in the cathode spot from material which is heated sufficiently to melt but not to sublime. When incorporated in thin films, they cause local shadowing and the formation of voids in their immediate vicinity as well as large scale defects overgrowing the film [143]. The elimination of droplets brings essential advantages to the performance of hard coatings in wear, corrosion and oxidation attack as well as for other functional coatings where conductivity and optical refractive index are important. Significant reduction in droplet emission can be achieved in reactive deposition processes by introduction of magnetic fields in the vicinity of the cathode. These fields can control the trajectory of the cathode spot on the target [144] (steering) and increase the speed of motion. These effects combine to reduce the size of droplets and improve coating quality (see below).
A drastic reduction of droplets is possible with filters that guide the plasma away from the path of the droplets and effectively allow placement of the cathode out of sight from the substrate [140, 141, 145]. Several designs of filters are available, most of which are based on electromagnetic plasma optics that rely on magnetic fields to shape distributions in the electric field within the plasma [146]. One of the first practical macroparticle filters was developed by Aksenov et al. [147] and consisted of a coil in the shape of a quarter torus as shown in Fig. 25. In this design, the plasma flow is confined within the torus by strong magnetic fields of the order of 0.01-0.1 T and is thus forced to go through a 90° bend. The neutral particles and droplets are not influenced by the field and thus propagate forward with the atomic flux having a cosine distribution while droplets are emitted most intensely at an angle of 15° from the cathode surface. Thus, the ion path is separated from that of the neutrals and droplets. A variation of the 90° bend is to bend the plasma into an S-shaped filter [148].

Another embodiment of the quarter-torus filter is a filter where the arc cathode is placed off the axis of symmetry of a large electromagnetic coil. The plasma is bent by virtue of the magnetic field lines looping around the sides of the coil. A different approach is utilized by Osipov et al. [149]. The substrate is then placed at the side of the cathode and facing in the same direction as the cathode. The plasma is confined and directed to the substrates by E×B barriers placed at the walls of the chamber by biasing the walls to create an E-field and introducing B-fields parallel to the walls.

Filtering is not completely efficient in removing macroparticles. The droplets that enter the filter may bounce through the filter and still be incorporated in the film. This is especially true for high melting point materials whose droplets solidify rapidly once they leave the cathode spot and hence do not stick well. In order to hamper propagation of bouncing droplets, a series of short lamellae (ribs and baffles) perpendicular to the filter wall can be introduced [140].

Many filtering geometries are difficult to scale up; however, specific designs are able to treat surfaces with length up to 1.5 m. One such design is the “magnetic island” filter where the source is placed at one end of a long coil, thus eliminating droplets emitted sideways. The direct line of sight is blocked by a coil with opposite polarity that causes the magnetic lines to bend and guide the plasma flow around it. Another example is a “Venetian blind” filter [150, 151] consisting of a series of lamellae at an angle to the source that prevents direct line of sight. The lamellae are electrically biased
to create an E-field and also carry current that creates a B-field. The combination of E and B fields bends the plasma flow around the lamellae and presents it to the substrates.

The properties of deposition flux generated in the filtered arcs are significantly different from those of a non-filtered arc and, indeed, of any other PVD method. It is obvious that the ion-to-neutral ratios are extremely high since neutrals are only produced in the filter by 3-body recombination or charge exchange between the working gas and the fully ionized plasma plume. The energy distribution of ions is also shifted to higher energies due to the high rate of reflection of low energy ions from the entrance to the plasma duct. Thus, deposition occurs under conditions of high ion-to-neutral ratios and high energy. This is observed to develop extreme residual stress in coatings with values routinely reaching 15 GPa. The stress can be controlled, however, by application of a pulsed bias utilizing low-duty high-voltage (kV) pulses that give the bombarding ions enough energy to induce thermal spikes that can anneal the material and relax residual stresses [152].

5. Applications of ionized magnetron sputtering

IPVD techniques already play an important role in several coating applications which utilize the guiding and aligning of charged deposition material. In this way, increased step coverage can be archived as well as conformal growth of films on non-flat substrates. With the IPVD techniques, the ion energy can easily be controlled over a wide range making it possible to tailor phases, microstructure, defect density, composition of growing film, or for high energies (above 400 eV) even to modify the structure and composition of the near surface region of the substrate by implanting metal via knock-on processes or by direct- and sub-implantation of ionized metal.

5.1. Microstructure control by low-energy ion bombardment

Thin film microstructure, and thus the resultant physical properties, depends on the deposition conditions. The dominant deposition parameters influenced by the process are the film surface temperature, the energy of incident ion and neutral fluxes, the deposition rate, impurities, and the
reactivity with reactive process gases. In numerous publications it has already been shown that ion-assisted PVD, using inert gas ions, gives the possibility to control and enhance the materials micro-/nanostructure and phase evolution through increased chemical reactivity and increased kinetics (surface diffusion and mobility) of the condensing particles as driving forces [153]. A key factor often stated for low-energy ion bombardment is the energy deposited per film atom. However, dramatically different results are obtained if the ion energy, $E_i$, is altered keeping the ion to neutral ratio, $J_i/J_n$ constant or by varying $J_i/J_n$ and keeping $E_i$ constant [154]. At low growth temperatures, it has been demonstrated that increasing $J_i/J_n$ at low $E_i$ resemble the same results as when the substrate temperature is increased [155, 156]. However, already for ion energies above 50 eV the collision cascades penetrate well below the surface, thus introducing discernable ion-induced defects which often degrade the film [157]. For conventional dcMS, a strong influence on the film structure and film properties has been observed through altering the flux ratio $J_i/J_n$ by changing the magnetic field configuration of the magnetron [158, 159], the $N_2$ partial pressure [160], or by adding an additional variable axial magnetic field [156, 161].

For ion-beam techniques, self-ion deposition has been investigated for more than 30 years and shown to provide beneficial effects such as film densification, low-temperature epitaxial growth, enhanced film-substrate adhesion, growth of metastable phases, and increased chemical reactions and control of film stoichiometry for compound materials [162]. For the sputter based IPVD techniques it is, in most cases, a combination of self-ion bombardment and gas ion bombardment having an effect on the film growth. Below, a number of examples are reviewed where significant effects are obtained from the use of IPVD-techniques.

It is well known that the incident energy of the depositing species is of fundamental importance in the growth of high-quality diamond-like carbon (DLC) and CN films [163]. Several IPVD techniques are also successfully adopted for growth of high quality films of these materials. Xu et al. [40] utilized ECR-MS to grow CN-films and obtained an extraordinarily high N-level close to the composition of $C_3N_4$. The same material was grown using ICP-MS by Tétard et al. [164] and they see that the addition of the rf-power results in an increased deposition rate and improved mechanical and chemical properties, but without affecting the composition. With HIPIMS, DeKoven et al. [93] obtained C-films with significantly higher density (2.7 g cm$^{-3}$) than films grown with
plasma enhanced chemical vapor deposition (2.1 g cm$^{-3}$) and with dcMS (< 2.0 g cm$^{-3}$). Today, arc techniques are commonly used in industry for growth of C-based hard films. Using a twisted S-shaped filter to remove the macroparticles typical for arc techniques, 3 nm thick, dense C-films could be produced fulfilling the required corrosion protection for the magnetic storage industry [165].

The effects of altering the ion flux density as well as the ion energy on microstructural evolution, and thereby also the mechanical and electrical properties of thin films, has been elegantly demonstrated by Tanaka et al. [166] using TiN as a model system. They show, using ICP-MS, that already at low ion energy (60 eV), the increase of the ion flux density by increasing the rf power on the coil significantly improves the microstructure, e.g. column size, density, and surface topography and the preferred orientation changed from (111) to (200). As a result, the resistivity is significantly decreased, approaching the intrinsic value. The results obtained by Tanaka et al. [166] are remarkably consistent with the results found for conventional dc MS using additional axial variable magnetic fields [156, 161] to increase the inert gas ion-to-neutral flux.

IPVD techniques have also been used for the deposition of TiAlN, a coating replacing TiN in many applications due its excellent oxidation resistance [167, 168]. The interesting phase of TiAlN is the metastable cubic phase, a phase that is increasingly more difficult to obtain as the Al content increases. Recently, Erkens et al. [89] succeeded with a pulsed IPVD technique to deposit nanostructured TiAlN thin films with Al content as high as 67% and to obtain films with superior mechanical properties compared to their standard PVD deposited Ti$_{0.46}$Al$_{0.54}$N coatings. The usefulness of IPVD techniques to improve the microstructure and properties of thin film in this material system has been demonstrated earlier by Prengel et al. [169] who grew coatings on carbide and cermet cutting tools and reported lower flank wear and therefore increased tool life.

The possibility of low-temperature growth using IPVD-techniques has been demonstrated in a number of publications. Phinichka et al. [170] demonstrate, for example, that ion bombardment induced phase segregation in nanocomposite TiN/amorphous-silicon nitride films make it possible to achieve high hardness at low growth temperatures. Another example is the use of ICP-MS for the formation of dense hard TiN and CrN decorative coatings on temperature-sensitive acrylonitrile butadiene styrene (ABS) [171]. This is due to the ability to achieve high and variable plasma
potentials during ICP-MS and thereby control substrate ion-bombardment. Usually intentional substrate biasing is needed which requires a metal interlayer on the non-conductive ABS substrates making the process design complicated. Another example is that ICP-MS can be utilized for the synthesis of high quality crystalline TiO$_2$ in the anatase phase at ambient temperature, even at low oxygen partial pressure, while with no rf-power on the coil, only amorphous TiO$_2$ films were obtained at low temperatures [172].

For wear and corrosion resistant coatings, a dense microstructure free of voids or macro-defects is of paramount importance. For example, Ehiasarian et al. [66] demonstrated that widely used CrN films can be greatly improved by deposition with HIPIMS. They showed that the porosity between columns was largely eliminated and the HIPIMS-deposited coatings exhibited a factor of three better sliding wear resistance compared to conventional dcMS coatings. The same group also demonstrated that dense HIPIMS coatings with thickness of 2 µm had a better corrosion resistance than 20-µm-thick electroplated hard chrome coatings [92]. Together with the advantage of affording thinner layers, HIPIMS is also an environmentally friendly technology in contrast to electroplating.

Finally, texture and microstructure control is also very important for the development of magnetic films for vertical storage. Okimura et al. [173] demonstrate that by using ICP-MS together with dynamic substrate bias control, -100 V for the first 1 min and -50 V for the remaining 2 min of film growth, they obtain 70 nm thick CoCrTa films with a perpendicular magnetic coercivity of 1030 Oe directly on Si(100) substrates.

### 5.2. Guiding of material flux and selective deposition

When using charged particles for thin film deposition, it is obvious that guiding the deposition flux and selective deposition is possible. One can divide these phenomena into guiding by electric or magnetic fields and into selective sticking or etching.

The use of IPVD for deposition on microelectronic structures such as trenches and vias has been reviewed by Rossnagel [174]. In this case, the alignment of the metal ions is obtained by the
electric field in the thin sheath between the plasma and the substrate. The degree of alignment depends on the potential drop across the sheath and the initial “random” speed of the ion. This is the case if operated at low pressures where collisions in the sheath can be ruled out and the structures on the wafer are small compared with the sheath width. As discussed by Rossnagel [174] and references therein, side-wall etching by the metal ions is of importance for the final result. To optimize the process for this application, independent control over the degree of ionization and on the ion energy is needed.

For structures larger than trenches in microelectronic devices (significantly larger than the plasma sheath width), the sheath will not align with the top surface but rather the plasma will penetrate into hollows. However, also in this case aligning the flux to arrive more or less perpendicular to the surface inside the hollows can be beneficial for the film quality. Grazing incidence of the deposition species often results in a porous, columnar film with inferior mechanical properties, numerous examples of which exist in the literature. See for example Yoshiya et al. [175] and Alami et al. [7] for recent Monte Carlo simulation studies and microscopy studies, respectively. By utilizing alignment of ion fluxes, the development of porous film can be avoided. This is demonstrated by Alami et al. [7] for growth of Ta thin films by the HIPIMS-technique on the sidewall of a 1 cm wide and 2 cm deep trench. Figure 26 shows as-deposited Ta films 1 cm down in a trench using conventional dcMS and HIPIMS, respectively.

Han and Lee [176] have looked at the effect by IPVD growth on a sharp tip. They find that as the ion-to-neutral ratio is increased, and thereby a larger fraction of the deposition flux arrives perpendicular to the side of the tip, the uniformity of the film increases. Increasing the incident ion energies decreases the film thickness on the apex of the tip more than it does on the sidewall due to field concentrations at the tip.

For guiding the deposition material on a larger scale, electric fields cannot be used because of the ability of the plasma to shield it. Magnetic fields can, however, be used to redistribute the plasma, since they penetrate the plasma. This is done for example by unbalanced magnetron sputtering (UMS), where the magnetic field trap close to the sputtering target is weakened to allow the plasma to come closer to the substrate position. As for IPVD, where the deposition material is a
considerable fraction of the ions in the plasma, this will also have effects on the material distribution. Bohlmark et al. [12] have studied the film thickness distribution using HIPIMS. A magnetic coil was placed between the magnetron target and the substrate. Samples were placed in the coil opening, but also on the inner walls of the coil. In this way the angular distribution of the deposition material could be studied as a function of the modified magnetic field. With appropriate coil current, an increase of 80% in deposition rate was observed for the sample placed in the central position (in the middle of the coil opening) and a significant decrease was observed for samples placed to the side of the magnetron (on the coil). Deposition using conventional dcMS discharge could not be affected at all. Without a current in the coil, the deposition distribution was identical for HIPIMS and dcMS. Ions with energies of a few eV will have a Larmor radius which is of the same order as the experimental setup, or greater. Therefore, the ions cannot be captured directly by the magnetic field. However, the magnetic field will capture the electrons in the plasma. Electric fields are then generated inside the plasma to maintain quasi-neutrality, which keeps the ions with the electrons.

Combined deposition and etching can also result in selective deposition. For example, Angleraud and Tessier [177] found that in depositing CN_x films using ICP-MS with the inductive coil on, a very high plasma potential (330 V) will result in such a high degree of ion bombardment that no film is grown. On insulating or electrically floating parts of the substrate, on the other hand, a film is formed. Similar results are also observed by Li et al. [178].

5.3. Interface engineering by substrate pretreatment

One of the properties that has been shown to benefit strongly from highly ionized plasmas is the adhesion of coatings to substrates. The enhancement of adhesion is a primary objective of all protective coating materials in order to ensure a long life cycle in wear and harsh environments. One example is the demanding application of dry high-speed milling. In this process, spindle speeds can exceed 20000 rpm and feed rates of > 500 mm min⁻¹ are commonly used when cutting hardened tool steels (e.g., A2 tool steel with a hardness of 58 Rockwell C). Under these ultrafast milling and ultrahard substrate conditions, the cutting edge of the tool is subjected to enormous stresses, which, combined with non-lubricated friction, lead to temperatures of up to 950 °C [179]. In these
conditions, tool life is a critical issue and it has been demonstrated by Schönjahn et al. [180] that enhancing the adhesion of the coating to the substrate is directly correlated to a longer lifetime of the tools. They show that tailoring of the interface can increase the adhesion to a cemented carbide tool by a factor of three (as measured with a scratch test) and observed a corresponding improvement in tool life.

Traditionally, engineering of the interface has been used to improve adhesion. One of the most common techniques is to utilize high energy inert gas ion bombardment of the substrates. This leads to sputter etching of the substrates and the removal of contamination and native oxides from the surface. In this case, substrates and films with matching lattice structures can grow with local epitaxy and significantly increase the adhesion [181-183]. An example of TiN growing epitaxially on metal carbide phase in a tool steel is shown in Fig. 27. Etching rates are determined by the energy of ion bombardment, the magnitude of the ion flux and the combined sputter yields of the bulk metal and surface contaminants such as oxides, carbides, and carbonitrides. From the point of view of etching, the highest rates are obtained at ion energies of ~10 kV where the sputter yields are the highest. However, at these energies, the ion implantation depth reaches significant values of 50 nm (Ar in steel) that may bring about unwanted modifications in the microstructure of the substrate. In practice, technological factors limit the bias voltages up to 1-2 kV.

When ion energies of 1 keV are used, a portion of the gas ions are implanted and remain in the substrate in the form of interstitials or lattice defects. Due to the intense bombardment, the number of lattice defects in the surface layer can increase substantially and may be detrimental to the adhesion for two reasons. Firstly, the gas incorporated in the substrate may merge into bubble-like formations [185] when annealed at moderate temperatures of 500 °C [186, 187]. Secondly, the internal stress at the interface surface may increase tremendously, thus pushing the material closer to its plastic deformation limit. If the limit is reached during operation, the interface becomes highly brittle and is not able to sustain intermittent high loads such as those encountered in interrupted cutting for example [188].

Bombardment with metal ions is known to provide cleaner interfaces [185]. The reason may well be that inert gas atoms do not have an affinity to form new bonds and are simply imparting their
kinetic energy into linear cascades. In contrast, upon arrival on the surface, metal ions may also change the chemistry of the surface making stable impurities more accessible for sputter removal.

A suitable source of metal ions should have a metal ion-to-neutral ratio that is high enough to ensure that sputtering prevails over deposition. One example of such a source is the cathodic arc discharge and its improved version – the filtered arc discharge. In the filtered arc case, the bombarding flux is comprised entirely of ions of the metal species (and gas if added). For this case, it is clear that the sputtering and surface cleaning of the substrate is highly efficient. For the case of the unfiltered arc, the flux to the substrates can contain up to 50% metal neutrals, depending on the material. However, it has been shown that efficient etching is possible even at moderate bias voltages of -1200 V and significantly improved adhesion has been observed on cemented carbide tools [189].

Håkansson et al. [185] found that the interface region for TiN on steel contains a significant amount of Ar and is underdense in cross-section transmission electron microscopy when Ar-ion etching of the substrate was utilized. In contrast, the use of Ti ions results in a dense and contamination-free interface between film and substrate. Earlier work by Hovsepian et al. [190] had shown that Ti arc pretreated interfaces are characterized by a Ti incorporation region that increased the adhesion of the coatings by providing a diffusion bond with the substrate. These results led to the development of the so-called arc bond sputtering technology where a cathodic arc and magnetron sputtering techniques are combined [191, 192]. The metal Ti ions produced by the arc discharge were used for etching of the substrate. The growth of the film however is performed by magnetron sputtering. In this way, one can minimize the negative effect of droplets produced by the arc while benefiting from the good adhesion typical for arc films and the high flexibility in the choice of target composition and film stoichiometry afforded by the magnetron sputtering technique.

Studies of the effect of etching voltage on the composition and structure of the interface have revealed some of the underlying mechanisms for enhanced adhesion. For instance, Schönjahn et al. [180] studied Cr arc etching of steel (M2 mild steel, not containing Cr). An implantation/diffusion layer of Cr in the steel was observed at bias voltages in the range of -800 to -1200 V. The thickness of the layer was 15 nm and the peak atomic concentration of Cr was 30%. This implantation layer appeared to have a nanocrystalline/amorphous structure formed under the influence of intense ion
bombardment by multiply charged metal species produced in the arc discharge. The ion energies are sufficient to enable shallow implantation into steel. For example, for a bias voltage of -1 kV, the energy of 1+ ions is 1 keV, while 2+ and 3+ ions have double and triple the energy, respectively. Already at 1 keV, the subplantation depth is ~5 nm and is deeper for the higher charge states.

The penetration depth of ions may be further enhanced via a diffusion process [193] that is promoted by two factors. First, the temperature at the surface increases during ion bombardment. Secondly, the introduction of defects in the steel lattice (Frenkel pairs) provide paths for diffusion. Thus, depths of up to 15 nm can be expected [180]. Furthermore, on selected grains of the substrate, this treatment promotes epitaxial growth of the coating. By comparing selected area diffraction patterns from the substrate and coating areas, it has been shown that the nitride layer grows in an orientation determined by the substrate grains. This templating or so-called localised (hetero) epitaxy has been observed to extend laterally over several micrometers. The epitaxial mode of coating growth on the substrate provides a very high adhesion bonding strength.

Other materials with higher mass and atomic radius and low sputtering yield such as Nb have been observed to be more difficult to implant [194]. At bias voltage, as high as -1 kV in a Nb arc environment, a deposited layer of pure Nb can be observed. In contrast, a layer is formed for Cr only at bias voltages less than -600 V. The Nb layer is 10 nm thick and has a nanocrystalline/amorphous structure. Practically no intermixing is observed between the Nb layer and the steel substrate. This layer disrupts the connection of the coating to the steel and ultimately eliminates the epitaxial growth. However, the degree of ionization in the deposition flux is still high enough to densify the layer fully. Studies have shown [195] that even such thin layers can be used as effective barriers. In the case of Nb, which is chemically stable and forms a dense protective oxide, the layer on its own can improve the corrosion resistance of the steel to levels comparable to bulk Nb.

One of the disadvantages of the use of cathodic arc discharges for etching is the production of droplets – molten metal particles with typical size of 100 nm produced at the cathode as part of the discharge [196]. When droplets are incorporated into a growing film, they cause shadowing and become the seeds for large-scale growth defects extending over a few micrometers in size [143]. These growth defects disrupt the microstructure of the coating and are detrimental to its wear [66,
corrosion [198] and oxidation [179] resistance. One technology that overcomes this disadvantage while benefiting from highly ionized metal flux is HIPIMS. The method produces plasmas where a large fraction of the Cr metal flux is ionized [61]. At the same time, high fractions are doubly ionized with 2+ species observed for Ti, Cr, Nb, and V.

The etching process by HIPIMS has been demonstrated to improve adhesion of layers to steel [65, 66]. Ehiasarian et al. [92] have recently demonstrated on industrial scale a technology combining HIPIMS etching and magnetron sputter deposition. The method provided even better adhesion than cathodic arc treatment. The chemistry of the interface is very similar to that discussed for the arc case, however the mechanisms for the enhancement are not well understood. For Cr etching of stainless steel (304 SS), an implantation/diffusion layer of Cr with a thickness of 15 nm has been observed. Due to the lack of droplets, the integrity of the film microstructure is very high and the performance of the films in corrosion and wear applications is enhanced.

5.4. Reactive sputtering

Sputtering discharges in reactive gases can be used for deposition of ceramics such as metal oxides and nitrides. Sputtering in reactive gas or a mixture of reactive gas and inert gas is referred to as reactive sputtering. Thus, reactive gas is added to the usual Ar discharge in order to deposit a compound film from a metallic target or to vary the compound film stoichiometry when sputtering from a compound target. The reaction product of the sputtered flux and the reactive gas leads to formation of a compound. It is well known that the discharge can be unstable due to hysteresis in the reactive gas consumption as a function of the in-flow of reactive gas. A mathematical model of the reactive sputtering has been developed [199-204].

High density plasma processes change reactive sputtering in at least two ways. First, the reactivity of the reactive gas may change as the gas excitation or ionization is altered. Secondly, ion bombardment of the growing film may change the reactivity of the film surface or of species on the surface. Of course, the growth of a compound phase may also be affected by factors that are not directly affecting the reactive processes as described in section 3.1.
In a metal-containing high density process, one would perhaps first assume a higher content of ionized reactive gas. However, the first ionization potential for N₂ and O₂ (as well as atomic N and O) is considerably higher than that for metals. For intense plasmas, where doubly ionized metal is observed [61], one would also expect to observe a large ionization fraction of these gases since the second ionization potential of metals are comparable with the first ionization potential of the gases. This is indeed the case for HIPIMS where a highly intensified ionization of N₂ has been observed [92] compared to the low density conventional sputtering case. Excitation of gases will also increase their reactivity. Mao and Hopwood [205] estimate that in their ICP-MS process, excited N₂ have a sticking probability of about one tenth of that of atomic N on a TiNx-surface which should be compared to a sticking probability for ground state N₂.

Schneider et al. [11] showed that the formation temperature for κ alumina can be drastically decreased using ICP-MS. κ alumina could be deposited at a substrate temperature of 430 °C as opposed to around 1000 °C by chemical vapor deposition. They claimed it to be due to the intense bombardment by Al-ions. Kurisu et al. [206] observed the formation of very-high-quality CuCl nanocrystals with a narrow size distribution using ICP-MS as opposed to the lower-quality particles obtained without the inductively coupled rf power. This is considered to be due to the generation of active copper and chlorine atoms and ions in the high density plasma.

An excellent example of the importance of an increased reactivity using high density plasma is the work by Matsuda et al. [9, 10]. They demonstrate that the reactive growth of MgO can be achieved at lower oxygen partial pressures and thus avoid oxidation of the Mg-target using ICP-MS. This allows for high rate deposition of MgO. They also showed that the deposition rate of MgO films was predominantly controlled by the power applied to the magnetron target and that the crystallinity of deposited MgO films was controlled by the power applied to the inductive coil [10].

6. Summary

The development of magnetron sputtering systems for highly ionized physical vapor
deposition (IPVD) has been reviewed. The first IPVD systems were based on a secondary plasma which was either an inductively coupled discharge or a microwave discharge. The main emphasis in this review was placed on the high power impulse magnetron sputtering (HIPIMS) discharge which provides high plasma densities and high ionization fraction while benefiting from the main advantages of conventional planar magnetrons such as flexibility in target material alloying, high target utilization, and wide range of target sizes from 20 cm$^2$ to > 400 cm$^2$. Furthermore, arc-deposition was discussed due to its importance as an IPVD-technique. The deposition conditions determine the thin film microstructure and thus the resultant physical properties. With the IPVD techniques, the ion energy as well as the ion flux can easily be controlled over a wide range making it possible to tailor phases, microstructure, defect density, and composition of growing film as well as utilizing metal ions for etching. IPVD techniques already play an important role in various coating applications. Among these are the guiding and aligning of deposition material enabled by the high ionization fraction. In this way, increased step coverage can be achieved as well as a conformal growth of films on non-flat substrates. Other applications include increased reactivity during reactive sputtering and substrate pre-treatment for improved adhesion.
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Tables

Table I. The first ionization potential of a few metal atoms and common gas atoms. [15]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$E_{iz}$ [eV]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Al</td>
<td>5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu</td>
<td>7.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ti</td>
<td>6.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ta</td>
<td>7.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ne</td>
<td>21.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ar</td>
<td>15.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kr</td>
<td>14.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O</td>
<td>13.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O$_2$</td>
<td>12.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>14.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N$_2$</td>
<td>15.58</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table II. The reaction rate coefficients for electron impact ionization of a few metal atoms and Ar (after Hopwood [2]).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reaction</th>
<th>Rate coefficient [m$^3$ s$^{-1}$]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$e + Ar \rightarrow Ar^+ + 2e$</td>
<td>$1.23 \times 10^{-13}\exp(-18.68/T_e)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$e + Al \rightarrow Al^+ + 2e$</td>
<td>$1.23 \times 10^{-13}\exp(-7.23/T_e)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$e + Ti \rightarrow Ti^+ + 2e$</td>
<td>$2.34 \times 10^{-13}\exp(-7.25/T_e)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$e + Cu \rightarrow Cu^+ + 2e$</td>
<td>$5.62 \times 10^{-14}\exp(-8.77/T_e)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$e + C \rightarrow C^+ + 2e$</td>
<td>$4.0 \times 10^{-14}\exp(-12.6/T_e)$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Figure captions**

Figure 1. The ionized flux fraction of the sputtered material as a function of the electron density as modeled by Hopwood [2].

Figure 2. Schematic diagram of ICP-MS in which a radio-frequency driven inductively coupled discharge is placed parallel to the cathode in the region between the cathode and the anode.

Figure 3. The ion density versus applied rf power for an ICP-MS Ar discharge at different pressures (from Wang et al. [49]).

Figure 4. The ionized fraction of sputtered Cu versus applied rf power for an ICP-MS Ar discharge at 20 and 40 mTorr (from Wang et al. [49]).

Figure 5. The ion energy distribution at the substrate in an ICP-MS discharge during sputtering from a Ti target in an Ar/N₂ mixture: (a) Ar⁺ and (b) N₂⁺ ions (from Li et al. [52]).

Figure 6. A schematic diagram (from Xu et al. [40]) of an ECR-magnetron sputtering apparatus. It consist of two ECR discharge chambers located at the opposite sites of the main processing chamber and the magnetic coils are arranged around the perimeter of each of the ECR discharge chambers such that the ECR condition is created in both chambers. A highly ionized plasma is created in the region between the target and the substrate.

Figure 7. A schematic diagram (from Takahashi et al. [35]) of an ECR-magnetron sputtering apparatus. It consists of a plasma chamber that operates as a microwave cavity resonator. Microwave power (2.45 GHz) is introduced through a rectangular waveguide and a fused quartz window. The magnetic coils are arranged around the chamber periphery to achieve an ECR condition. A sputtering target is placed at the plasma extraction window around the extracted plasma stream.
Figure 8. The basic single-mesh \( LC \) network between the charging HIPIMS power supply and the discharge load.

Figure 9. (a) Target voltage \( V_d(t) \) and (b) discharge current \( I_d(t) \) versus time from initiation of a HIPIMS pulse at different gas pressures (after Gudmundsson et al. [74]).

Figure 10. An electric circuit diagram (after Kouznetsov [79]) illustrating a HIPIMS pulse generator with a pre-ionizer. A dc power supply maintains a conventional dcMS discharge. The storage capacitor \( C_s \) is charged through a thyristor switch (T2) from a charging circuit and a trigger circuit discharges the capacitor through a thyristor switch (T1).

Figure 11. The target voltage versus the target current density for a conventional dcMS discharge and a HIPIMS discharge. The exponent \( n \) of the power law \( I_d = k_d V_d^n \) is indicated. The target is Cr and the Ar pressure is 3 mTorr. Ehiasarian et al. [61].

Figure 12. The electron density as a function of time following HIPIMS pulse initiation at different Ar pressures. Gudmundsson et al. [74].

Figure 13. Temporal and spatial variation of the electron density, measured by a Langmuir probe, above a planar cylindrical target. Data shown in graphs (a)–(d) was obtained 40, 160, 280, and 640 \( \mu \)s after the HIPIMS pulse ignition, respectively, in a plane perpendicular to the target surface. A doughnut shaped high-density plasma is expanding from the “race track” of the magnetron in an early stage of the discharge evolution (from Bohlmark et al. [63]).

Figure 14. The position of the initial density peaks, measured by a Langmuir probe, versus the time from HIPIMS pulse initiation. The Ar pressure was 5 mTorr, the target Ti, and the pulse energy 8 J. The peaks travel with a velocity of \( 5.3 \times 10^3 \) m s\(^{-1} \) at 1 mTorr, \( 1.7 \times 10^3 \) m s\(^{-1} \) at 5 mTorr, and \( 9.8 \times 10^2 \) m s\(^{-1} \) at 20 mTorr (from Gylfason et al. [86]).

Figure 15. Temporal evolution of optical emission from a HIPIMS discharge plotted together with the discharge current, \( I_d \) (from Ehiasarian et al. [61]).
Figure 16. Temporal and spatial variations of the electron saturation current $I_e$ in a HIPIMS discharge with a Ta target, varying (a) the sputtering gas, (b) the chamber radius, (c) the probe location, and (d) the pulse power (from Alami et al. [87]).

Figure 17. The energy distributions of $\text{Ar}^+$ and $\text{Ti}^+$ in a HIPIMS discharge with two different pulse energies at an Ar pressure of 3 mTorr (from Bohlmark et al. [88]).

Figure 18. The energy distribution for $\text{Ar}^+$ and $\text{Ti}^+$ ions in a conventional dcMS discharge (from Bohlmark et al. [88]).

Figure 19. Ion energy distributions recorded from a HIPIMS discharge (3 mTorr and 9 J pulse energy) at various time periods during the discharge. (a), (b), and (c) represent the distributions at $0 < t < 20 \mu$s, $40 < t < 60 \mu$s, and $140 < t < 160 \mu$s respectively (from Bohlmark et al. [88]).

Figure 20. Optical emission spectra from a dcMS discharge and a HIPIMS discharge. Sputtering was performed in Ar using a Ti target (from Bohlmark et al. [64]).

Figure 21. The fractional $\text{Ti}^+$ contribution of the sputtered Ti as a function of applied HIPIMS pulse energy calculated from optical emission spectra (from Bohlmark et al. [64]).

Figure 22. The relative composition of singly and doubly ionized Ar and Ti in a HIPIMS plasma as a function of time after pulse ignition (from Bohlmark et al. [88]).

Figure 23. Deposition efficiency for HIPIMS and conventional dcMS plotted for several metal targets: relative deposition rate vs. self-sputtering yield $S_S$ divided with its Ar-sputter yield $S_{Ar}$ (from Helmersson et al. [67]).

Figure 24. Schematic diagram of a hollow cathode magnetron (HCM) target. The cup shaped target and the magnetic field configuration confines the primary electrons inside the hollow cathode and a magnetic cusp near the target opening acts as an aperture for plasma extraction toward the substrates.
(from Klawuhn et al. [44]).

Figure 25. A schematic diagram of a cathode arc source with a 90°-duct filter (from Karpov [145]).

Figure 26. SEM images of Ta films grown by HIPIMS and dcMS near the opening of a 1 cm wide by 2 cm deep trench (a) and (b), and approximately half way down the wall of the trench (c) and (d). Both films were grown at room temperature with a substrate bias of -50 V (from Alami et al. [7]).

Figure 27. Cross-sectional transmission electron micrograph of a TiN film on a tool steel (high speed steel). The micrograph demonstrates local epitaxy between the TiN-film and a metal carbide (VC) phase in the steel (from Helmersson and Sundgren [184]).
(a) $0 < t < 20 \mu s$, (b) $40 < t < 60 \mu s$, (c) $140 < t < 160 \mu s$
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