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Abstract

Background/aim. The incidence of microvascular complications has been reported to be unchanged the last decades. However, in randomized clinical trials it has been shown that improved metabolic control can reduce the development of long term complications. It has been debated whether it is possible to achieve the same results in an unselected population. In a previous study we found a decreased incidence of overt nephropathy, but unchanged incidence of severe laser treated retinopathy in a population of patients with Type 1 diabetes diagnosed in childhood. The aim of the present study was to investigate the incidence 10 years later in the same population and to analyse the importance of possible risk factors. In another previous study we found a high prevalence of subclinical neuropathy among young diabetic patients despite intensive insulin therapy since diagnosis. The aim of the present study was to examine if intensive treatment is more effective in preventing early diabetic complications other than neuropathy. The incidence of Type 1 diabetes has doubled in Sweden the last decades. The reason must be environmental factors. These, as well as more intensive insulin regimens from onset of diabetes, might also lead to different disease process. We wanted to analyse if clinical characteristics at onset had changed the last 25 years and if there was any secular trend of C-peptide secretion. We also intended to investigate if longer persistence of C-peptide secretion could be of importance for prevention of long term complications.

Methods. The whole study population consisted of all 478 patients with Type 1 diabetes diagnosed before the age of 15 during the years 1961 – 2000, living in the catchment area of the Paediatric Clinic, University Hospital, Linköping, Sweden. For the statistical analysis the population was divided into five-year cohorts according to time of onset of diabetes. The cumulative proportion of severe retinopathy and overt nephropathy in 269 patients with onset of diabetes 1961 – 1985 was computed with survival analysis. Multivariable regression models were used to analyse the importance of metabolic control, diabetes duration, blood pressure, smoking, BMI, lipids and persisting C-peptide secretion. The prevalence of all grades of retinal changes, nephropathy and neuropathy, defined as abnormal nerve conduction, was estimated in the late 1990s in a subgroup of 80 children and adolescents with mean 13 years of diabetes duration. Clinical characteristics at onset, duration of partial remission and regularly measurements of fasting and stimulated C-peptide secretion the first five years after onset were analysed in 316 patients with onset of diabetes 1976 – 2000.

Results. The cumulative proportion of severe laser treated retinopathy showed a significant declining trend the last decades. The decrease was significant between the oldest cohort with diabetes onset 1961 – 1965 and the cohorts with diabetes onset 1971 – 1975 and 1976 – 1980. The cumulative proportion of overt nephropathy also declined with a significant decrease between the oldest cohorts and all the following cohorts. After 25 years of diabetes duration it was 30% and 8% in the two oldest cohorts respectively and remained largely unchanged after 30 years. Diabetes duration and long term HbA₁c were the only significant independent risk factors for both retinopathy and nephropathy. The risk of overt nephropathy increased substantially when HbA₁c was above 8.5%, while the risk of severe retinopathy increased already when HbA₁c exceeded 7.5%. The prevalence of neuropathy was 59%, of retinopathy 27% and of nephropathy 5% in the population of young patients after 13 years of diabetes duration. During the last 25 years the clinical characteristics at onset were unchanged as well as duration of partial remission and magnitude and persistence of C-peptide secretion.

Conclusions. In this unselected population the cumulative proportion of severe retinopathy and overt nephropathy decreased over the last decades. Diabetic nephropathy has probably been prevented and not just postponed. Good glycaemic control was the most important factor to avoid complications, with the necessity of a lower level of HbA₁c to escape retinopathy than nephropathy. Intensive insulin regimens from diabetes onset was not sufficient to entirely escape early diabetic complications after mean 13 years of diabetes duration, even if the prevalence of retinopathy and especially nephropathy was lower than usually reported. The clinical picture at onset of diabetes was unchanged the last 25 years. There was no secular trend of partial diabetes remission or C-peptide secretion during the first years after diagnosis.
Swedish summary / Svensk sammanfattning


Syfte: Syftet med de aktuella studierna har varit att ytterligare studera hur vanligt långtidskomplikationer är i en väl definierad oselekterad population efter lång tids uppföljning och att närmare analysera betydelsen av olika riskfaktorer, som skulle kunna förklara den förbättrade långtidsprognosen. Vi ville också studera om intensiv insulinbehandling bättre kan förebygga andra tidiga tecken på långtidskomplikationer än nervskador. I ytterligare en studie undersöktes om diabetesens varighetsgrad vid debuten förändrats de senaste 25 åren och om förekomsten av kvarvarande C-peptidsekretion ökat, vilket skulle kunna tänkas bidra till den observerade minskningen av långtidskomplikationer.

Förekomsten av alla grader av ögonförändringar, njurpåverkan och nervpåverkan undersöktes hos 80 unga vuxna, som i slutet av 1990-talet haft diabetes i medeltal 13 år. Dessa patienter var behandlade med intensiv flerdosbehandling med insulin redan från debuten av diabetes.


Förekomsten av nervpåverkan var 59 %, ögonskada 27 % och njurskada endast 5 % i gruppen av unga vuxna med diabetes. Endast 30 % hade inga tecken på komplikationer alls. Det fanns endast en fall av svårare former av långtidskomplikationer. Blodsockerkontrollen var, jämfört med andra studier, relativt god med medelHbA1c 7,3 %. HbA1c var högre för de patienter, som hade nerv- och ögonpåverkan.

Den kliniska svårigheten av diabetes vid insjuknandet har varit oförändrad de senaste 25 åren. Insjuknandet i diabetes har fördubblats och insulinbehandlingen har blivit mer intensiv med intravenöst insulin vid insjuknandet och flerdosbehandling med insulin redan från debuten som standard. C-peptidsekretionen under de första åren och förekomst och varaktighet av partiell remission var också oförändrad.
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Background

Classification of diabetes mellitus
Diabetes mellitus is a syndrome characterized by hyperglycaemia resulting from defects in insulin secretion, insulin action, or both. An actual etiologic classification suggested by the American Diabetes Association and based on our other present knowledge is presented in Table 1. Type 1 diabetes only accounts for 5 – 10% of those with diabetes, but is the most predominant form of diabetes diagnosed in childhood. Type 2 diabetes is strongly associated with obesity. In the United States the increasing rate of obesity the last decades has resulted in an increasing incidence of Type 2 diabetes even in adolescents, especially in some ethnic groups as Hispanics and blacks. The incidence of obesity is also increasing in Sweden, although not to the same degree as in other parts of the western world. However, until today there are no reports of increasing rate of Type 2 diabetes among young adults in Sweden. This study has focused on Type 1 diabetes diagnosed before the age of 15 years.

Pathogenesis of Type 1 diabetes mellitus
The combination of genetic susceptibility and environmental factors is proposed to lead to an autoimmune cellular and humoral mediated destruction of the beta cells in the pancreas. There is a strong association between certain HLA genes and risk for Type 1 diabetes, but the frequency of the high risk genotypes differs among children of different ages. Children with newly diagnosed diabetes have autoantibodies against glutamic acid decarboxylase (GADA), islet cells (ICA), insulin (IAA) or tyrosine phosphatase (IA - 2) in 85 – 98%, but the rate of antibody positivity varies among different age groups.

Table 1 Etiologic classification of diabetes mellitus

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>I</th>
<th>Type 1 diabetes mellitus (beta cell destruction, leading to insulin deficiency)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>a. Immune mediated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>b. Idiopathic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>Type 2 diabetes mellitus (different grades of insulin resistance with different grades of relative insulin deficiency)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>Other specific types</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>a. Genetic defects of beta cell function (e.g MODY Maturity Onset Diabetes of the Young)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>b. Genetic defects in insulin action</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>c. Diseases of exocrine pancreas (e.g. pancreatitis, cystic fibrosis)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>d. Endocrinopathies (e.g. Cushing’s syndrome)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>e. Drug- or chemical- induced (e.g. corticosteroids)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>f. Infections (e.g. congenital rubella)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>g. Uncommon form of immune-mediated diabetes mellitus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>h. Genetic syndromes associated with diabetes mellitus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>Gestational diabetes mellitus</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Virus infections in utero or the first years of life, early introduction of cow milk proteins, nitrosamine contents in food, cold environment, blood group incompatibility between mother and child, psychological stress and early weight gain are all risk factors for development of diabetes in childhood\textsuperscript{12-17}. However, the causal relationship remains uncertain and prospective or randomized controlled studies are necessary to further explore the associations. Until today there is few such studies, but a large ongoing randomized controlled multicentre study, TRIGR, will hopefully elucidate the importance of introdution of cow milk protein during the first six month of life\textsuperscript{18}. In the ABIS study (All Babies In south east Sweden)\textsuperscript{19}, which started in 1997, more than 17 000 children will be followed from birth onwards to make possible further investigation of environmental factors.

**Epidemiology**

The incidence rate of Type 1 diabetes varies between countries worldwide from 0.1/100 000 children below the age of 15 in low incidence countries as China and Venezuela to 32 – 40/100 000 in high incidence countries as Sweden, Sardinia in Italy and Finland\textsuperscript{20, 21}. The incidence rate has increased dramatically over the last decades in the western countries with an almost doubling of incidence in Sweden the last 20 years\textsuperscript{11}. In Eastern Germany and the Baltic States the incidence has increased even more steeply after the reunification and independence, where the countries went through major economical changes\textsuperscript{20, 22}. It has been proposed that the rapid increase of diabetes incidence must be explained by environmental factors and an association between modern western life style and development of diabetes\textsuperscript{23, 24}. The Swedish Childhood Diabetes Register has noted a shift to younger age groups, in part explaining the higher incidence the last decades\textsuperscript{11, 23}. On the contrary, the incidence of Type 1 diabetes in the age groups 15 – 34 years, registered in the Diabetes Incidence Study in Sweden (DISS), has instead decreased during the last decades. It thus seems that the total incidence of Type 1 diabetes has not increased, but there has been a shift to younger ages\textsuperscript{25}.

**Late complications**

**History**

Before the discovery of insulin, Type1 diabetes mellitus was a deadly disease with a median survival of 2.5 years after diagnosis. When Banting and Best managed to isolate insulin from the beta cells in pancreas 1922 and the first patients were successful treated, one thought that the disease was cured and all problems were solved. After a period of about ten years came the first reports of severe complications from kidneys and eyes and it was realized that the diabetes disease in spite of insulin therapy could cause damage to different organs in the body\textsuperscript{26}. This was named late complications in contrary to acute complications as diabetic ketoacidosis and hypoglycaemia. Interestingly late complications increased in frequency after the mid - 1930s, when the insulin regimens were changed from multiple injections of rapid acting insulin every 4 to 6 hours day and night to more convenient longer acting insulin preparations given only once or sometimes twice a day\textsuperscript{27}. At the same time the diet recommendations were altered from very strict regimens with restriction of sugar and carbohydrate continent in the food to a more free diet. The prevalence of late complications remained the same through the following decades\textsuperscript{28-30}. Damage to the small and great vessels was thought to be the common pathway behind complications from different organs. Insufficient metabolic control and hyperglycaemia was early suspected to be an important risk factor for the development of complications\textsuperscript{31}. However, everybody was not convinced about the importance of the relationship. It was not until the beginning of the 1990s that good metabolic control was generally accepted as an important risk factor. Intervention studies such as the Diabetes Control and Complication Trial (DCCT), the Oslo and the Stockholm
study had then showed a clear risk reduction of late complications with a good metabolic control. However, some patients with a good metabolic control seemed to get late complications and even patients with a bad metabol control could escape severe complications. The last decades research therefore has focused on other possible mechanisms explaining the occurrence of late complications.

Classification
Late complications are often classified in macrovascular and microvascular lesions (Table 2), since early in the course there are structural changes in the vascular system. The microangiopathy is proposed to lead to abnormalities in the eye, kidney and nerves, but mechanisms other than damage to the vessels may be of equal importance for the development of complications. The microvascular complications are pathognomonic for diabetes mellitus, while macrovascular complications are the same as in other patients with CVD. Microvascular complications have been the main objectives for this study.

Macrovascular complications
Macrovascular complications have become a more important cause of morbidity and mortality in Type 1 diabetes according to longer life expectancy, since the prevalence increases with age as well as in the general population. It is now the leading cause of death in Type 1 diabetes. In a Finnish study the cumulative incidence of cardiovascular disease (CVD) was 24% in patients with diabetic nephropathy and 7% in patients without nephropathy after 24 years of diabetes duration. A study from Pittsburgh in USA found a prevalence of CVD of 5% after corresponding diabetes duration. The prevalence of CVD in the background population has an impact of the prevalence in diabetic patients with higher prevalence in countries with higher rates of CVD.

There is a strong association between diabetic nephropathy, both microalbuminuria but especially overt nephropathy, and the occurrence of CVD, which is documented in many studies. The interrelationship with retinopathy is more controversial, especially after adjustment for coincident nephropathy.

The traditional risk factors for CVD as hypertension, overweight and dyslipidemia are the same in Type 1 diabetes as in the general population.

Table 2 Classification of late diabetic complications

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>I</th>
<th>Macrovascular complications</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a</td>
<td>Coronary heart disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b</td>
<td>Stroke</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c</td>
<td>Peripheral vascular disease</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>II</th>
<th>Microvascular complications</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a</td>
<td>Diabetic nephropathy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b</td>
<td>Diabetic retinopathy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c</td>
<td>Diabetic neuropathy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Peripheral polyneuropathy (sensory and/or motor nerves)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Autonomic neuropathy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mononeuropathy</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Microvascular complications
Diabetic nephropathy

**Definition** Diabetic nephropathy is defined in clinical practice as the occurrence of proteinuria when other causes of kidney disease are excluded. It is often divided into microalbuminuria and macroalbuminuria or incipient and overt nephropathy, since these conditions have different prognostic significance. Five clinical stages of diabetic nephropathy can be recognized. The diagnostic criteria and concomitant structural changes are presented in Table 3.

**Incipient nephropathy - microalbuminuria**

**Definition and diagnostic methods** Microalbuminuria is often defined as AER of 20 – 200 μg/minute in at least two of three consecutive urine samples collected over a period of 6 – 12 months (Table 3). Collection of urine over 24 hours could be difficult to accomplish in clinical practice, especially in children and adolescents, thus other methods have been suggested. There seems to be a rather good correlation between the different methods in use. Semi-quantitative strip tests have a sensitivity of 80 – 90% and can serve as a first screening method, if confirmed by laboratory quantitative methods.

**Epidemiology** The prevalence of microalbuminuria depends on the diagnostic criteria, which hampers comparison between different studies. With the most often used definition of AER of 20 – 200 μg/minute the prevalence varies from 10 to 20% after more than 10 years diabetes duration in different studies, even if some authors have found prevalence rate of more than 30%.

**Prognosis** Early studies reported that ~80 – 90% progressed to macroalbuminuria within ~10 years and that microalbuminuria was a useful predictor of subsequent overt nephropathy. Later studies have revised this opinion. About 30 – 60% reverse to normal, 20 – 40% remain microalbuminuric and only 15 – 25% progress to macroalbuminuria within 5 – 10 years. The prognosis of microalbuminuria also differs with age at diabetes onset and with diabetes duration, with a higher rate of progression to macroalbuminuria in adults than adolescents and a lower risk after very long diabetes duration. In adolescents four patterns of microalbuminuria have been described: normoalbuminuria, intermittent, transient and persistent.

**Table 3 Stages in the development of renal changes in diabetic nephropathy** (After Mogensen et al.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stages</th>
<th>AER</th>
<th>Blood pressure</th>
<th>GFR</th>
<th>Structural changes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 Early hyperfunction (at diabetes onset)</td>
<td>May be increased, but reversible</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>Elevated</td>
<td>Renal hypertrophy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Normoalbuminuria</td>
<td>Normal &lt; 20 μg/min</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>Elevated</td>
<td>Increase of BMT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Incipient nephropathy</td>
<td>Microalbuminuria 20 – 200 μg/min</td>
<td>May increase</td>
<td>Elevated</td>
<td>Further increase of BMT. Arteriolar hyalinosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Overt nephropathy</td>
<td>Macroalbuminuria &gt; 200 μg/min</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Decreasing</td>
<td>Pronounced abnormalities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 ESRD</td>
<td>Macroalbuminuria &gt; 200 μg/min</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>&lt; 10 ml/min/1.73 m²</td>
<td>Advanced glomerulosclerosis</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AER = Albumin excretion rate  
GFR = Glomerular filtration rate  
BMT = Basal membrane thickness  
ESRD = End stage renal disease
persistent microalbuminuria. Metabolic control was worse, BMT greater and GFR higher in the last groups. The prognostic value of current microalbuminuria in adolescents must be considered as unclear.

**Overt diabetic nephropathy - macroalbuminuria**

**Definition** Overt nephropathy was in previous studies often defined as persistent proteinuria, often measured as Albustix® 1+ (positive) in clinical practice. Today quantitative methods are normally used and overt nephropathy defined as AER > 200 μg/min (Table 3 and 4).

**Epidemiology** For patients with diabetes diagnosis during the 1930s a cumulative incidence of 40 – 50% after 25 – 30 years of diabetes duration was reported in several studies. The incidence decreased to about 30 – 40% for patients with diabetes onset in the 1940s, and then remained largely unchanged the following decades. However, Bojestig et al showed 1994 in the Linköping Diabetes Complications Study a dramatically declining cumulative incidence of nephropathy from 30% to 10% after 25 years of diabetes duration for patients with diabetes onset after 1966. The results were possible to achieve in an unselected population. The last years there have been reports of declining incidence from other centers also. Hovind et al in Copenhagen found a significant decreased cumulative incidence of 14% after 20 years of diabetes duration in patients diagnosed in 1979 – 1981 and a clear declining trend to 19% in the cohort diagnosed during the years 1975 – 79, 10 years later than in the Linköping study. A population study from Northern Sweden completed in 1999 reported also a cumulative incidence of macroalbuminuria of 12% after an average diabetes duration of 29 years. At a follow-up 1999 in Wales, Harvey et al found a cumulative prevalence of 20% after 15 – 29 years of diabetes duration. In the Eurodiab study in 1990 the prevalence was at the same level, 18% after 20 – 24 years disease duration. However, it is difficult to compare incidence data with prevalence data from cross-sectional studies, since the latter tend to give lower figures after long-term follow-up as a considerable proportion of the patients die prematurely.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 4 Methods for measurements of proteinuria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Spot test (morning urine or random)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Semi-quantitative test (strip test)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Albustix®, Combur®, Redia®, Micraltest®</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Quantitative methods</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Albumin/urine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Albumin/creatinine ratio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>24-hour urine collection</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Timed overnight collection</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>normal range</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Prognosis** When macroalbuminuria is persistent it leads inevitable to gradually declining glomerular filtration rate (GFR) and end stage renal disease (ESRD). Until the last two decades the prognosis was bad with 50% of the patients reaching ESRD within 10 years. More recently the prognosis has been improved, probably thanks to more aggressive antihypertensive therapy and better metabolic control. However, so far no therapy has shown to completely prevent ESRD, just retard the course.

**Diabetic retinopathy**

**Definition and diagnostic methods** There are numerous classification schemes in use, but a classification used in clinical practice is shown in Table 5. Background retinopathy is asymptomatic and not vision threatening, while proliferative changes and macular oedema can affect the visual acuity. Microaneurysm can be reversible even if a higher count is predictive of higher rates of proliferative retinopathy and macular oedema the next years. Some decades ago ophthalmoscopy was the only way to examine the patients and with dilated pupils it had a rather good correlation to fundus stereoscopic photography. However, this method is more sensitive with higher reproducibility and has in many countries replaced ophthalmoscopy as a standard method for screening. Flourescein angiography is an even more sensitive method and early retinal changes are possible to discover 4 years earlier on average than with fundus photographs.

**Epidemiology** The prevalence of background retinopathy is reported to be 50 – 60% after ~10 years of diabetes duration and nearly 100% after 20 years of diabetes duration. Until end of the 1990s no studies had managed to show a decreasing trend. Then a study from southeast Sweden 1997 found a cumulative incidence of 32% after 10 years of diabetes duration, which is lower than earlier reported. Bognetti et al in Italy also reported a lower prevalence of 23%. The cumulative incidence of proliferative retinopathy is reported to be 30 – 40% after 20 years of diabetes duration, increasing to more than 60% after 35 – 40 years of diabetes duration. There was no difference between patients with diabetes diagnosis between 1939 – 1959, despite decreasing incidence of nephropathy in the same population. In the Linköping diabetes complications study 1994 the incidence of severe retinopathy remained unchanged despite a declining incidence of nephropathy. However, Hovind et al found a decreased cumulative incidence of proliferative retinopathy after 20 years of diabetes duration from 31% in patients with diabetes onset 1965 – 1969 to 13% in patients with diabetes onset 1979 – 1981.

**Prognosis** Diabetic retinopathy is still the most common cause of acquired blindness in the Western world. The introduction of laser treatments have improved the prognosis substantially and can reduce the risk of vision loss both for proliferative retinopathy and macular oedema by 50%. Even if previous studies not have shown a significant prevalence reduction of sight-threatening retinopathy, the prognosis concerning visual

---

**Table 5 Stages of diabetic retinopathy**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stage</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Background retinopathy (simplex retinopathy)</td>
<td>Microaneurysm. Dot and blot haemorrhages. Hard exudates.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preproliferative retinopathy</td>
<td>Background retinopathy plus soft exudates, haemorrhages in all four quadrants, venous beading.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proliferative retinopathy</td>
<td>Neovascularisation, besides background retinopathy.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maculopathy</td>
<td>Macula oedema/macula ischemia.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---
function seems to have improved. Rossing et al found in Copenhagen a better preserved visual acuity after 15 years of diabetes duration in patients with diabetes onset after 197088.

**Diabetic neuropathy**

**Definition and diagnostic methods** The definition of diabetic neuropathy depends on which diagnostic procedures are used. It is important to distinguish if neuropathy is defined as subclinical without subjective symptoms or as clinical, which in turn can mean the presence of clinical symptom or clinical signs. Subclinical neuropathy can be diagnosed on the basis of tests, which can be performed in clinical settings, in the laboratory or with electrophysiological examinations (Table 6 and 7). There are a lot of different diagnostic procedures used for examination of neuropathy (Table 7)97. The reproducibility is quite low and there are few studies on healthy children and adolescents which makes the use of a control group necessary in epidemiological studies 97, 98. Many studies have used a combination of clinical signs, tests or electrophysiological examinations to classify a patient as having neuropathy. The DCCT study required 1 of 3 clinical symptoms (physical symptoms, peripheral sensation and decreased tendon reflexes) to classify a patient as “possible clinical neuropathy”, 2 of 3 symptoms as “definite clinical neuropathy” and 2 of 3 symptoms and abnormal nerve conduction/autonomic tests for the diagnosis of “confirmed clinical neuropathy”99. The San Antonio Conference on Diabetic Neuropathy recommended a combination of clinical symptoms, clinical examination, electrodiagnostic studies, quantitative sensory testing and autonomic function testing to fully classify diabetic neuropathy 97.

**Epidemiology** The prevalence of neuropathy depends on the diagnostic criterion used which varies between studies and makes comparisons difficult. The prevalence of peripheral neuropathy in young adults with inclusion of clinical criteria is ~ 20 – 30% after 10 – 20 years of diabetes duration with a wide variation between studies. The prevalence in children seems to be lower ~ 2 – 5%, but there are few studies so far. If only subclinical criteria are used, the prevalence is higher, in some studies reaching ~ 60% after just a few years of diabetes duration (Table 8). The prevalence of autonomic neuropathy also varies from just a few

---

Table 6 Clinical symptoms and signs of diabetic neuropathy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>I</th>
<th>Peripheral polyneuropathy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>•</td>
<td>Clinical symptoms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>•</td>
<td>Dyseaesthesia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>•</td>
<td>Numbness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>•</td>
<td>Pain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>•</td>
<td>Muscle weakness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>•</td>
<td>Clinical signs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>•</td>
<td>Absent tendon reflexes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>•</td>
<td>Abnormal vibration (tuning fork)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>•</td>
<td>Abnormal perception of pinprick</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>II</th>
<th>Autonomic neuropathy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>•</td>
<td>Clinical symptoms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>•</td>
<td>Constipation/ Diarrhoea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>•</td>
<td>Vomiting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>•</td>
<td>Postprandial bloating</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>•</td>
<td>Impotence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>•</td>
<td>Postural hypotension</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>•</td>
<td>Hypoglycaemia unawareness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>•</td>
<td>Clinical signs</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 7 Diagnostic methods regarding diabetic neuropathy

- **Peripheral polyneuropathy**
  
  Quantitative sensory testing
  - Vibration threshold (biothesiometry)-VPT
  - Tactile threshold
  - Thermal (cold/warm) threshold

- **Electrophysiological tests**
  - Nerve conduction velocity
    - Motor nerve conduction velocity (n.medianus, n.peroneus, n.ulnaris)
    - Sensory nerve conduction velocity (n.medianus, n.ulnaris, n.suralis)
  - Action potential amplitude
    - Compound muscle action potential amplitude (n.peroneus)
    - Sensory nerve action potential amplitude (n.suralis)

- **Autonomic neuropathy**
  
  Test of heart rate control (mainly parasympathetic)
  - HR deep breathing
  - HR E/I ratio
  - HR Valsalva ratio
  - HR at rest variation (ECG)
  - Spectral analysis of HR
  - HR lying-standing

  Test of blood pressure control (mainly sympathetic)
  - Postural change in systolic blood pressure
  - Change in systolic pressure sustained handgrip

  Test of sudomotor control
  - Temperature-induced sweating
  - Chemically induced sweating
  - Pupillometry

HR = Heart rate  E/I = Expire/inspire

percentages to more than 50% depending on diagnostic tests and study population (Table 9).

**Prognosis** There are few prospective follow up studies concerning the long term significance of early subclinical neuropathy. The findings seem in part to be reversible. Donague et al followed a group of adolescents with repeated assessments for 3 years and found very few cases of persistent abnormalities. Solders et al followed a group of children for 10 years after onset of diabetes. Low sensory nerve conduction and autonomic dysfunction which were common at onset, improved during the first 2 years, but deteriorated again after 10 years of diabetes duration. Studies concerning the interrelationship with other forms of diabetic complications have given conflicting results. Some authors have found a strong correlation, while others have failed to demonstrate a connection. For example, the association could be explained by an under-lying common factor, metabolic control, but Torbjörnsdotter et al suggested that autonomic dysregulation could be a pathogenic factor for the development of nephropathy. Adults with symptoms and signs of autonomic neuropathy have a higher mortality in CVD and sudden death.
### Table 8 Prevalence of peripheral polyneuropathy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Age Mean ± SD (range) years</th>
<th>Diabetes duration Mean ± SD (range) years</th>
<th>Diagnostic criteria</th>
<th>Prevalence %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Orchard</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>1989</td>
<td>&lt;29 ≥30</td>
<td>(20-24)</td>
<td>Definite clinical</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DCCT</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>1989</td>
<td>26 ± 7 27 ± 7</td>
<td>2.6 ± 1.4 8.6 ± 1.7</td>
<td>Definite clinical</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ziegler</td>
<td>Europe</td>
<td>1993</td>
<td>(11-69)</td>
<td>10 (0-55)</td>
<td>Clinical</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tesfaye</td>
<td>Europe</td>
<td>1996</td>
<td>33 ± 10 15</td>
<td>5 (0.5-17)</td>
<td>Subclinical (NC)</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Young</td>
<td>Scotland</td>
<td>1983</td>
<td>Teenagers (16-19) years</td>
<td></td>
<td>Subclinical (VPT, thermal threshold)</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Käär</td>
<td>Finland</td>
<td>1983</td>
<td>13 (5-19) &lt; 10 &gt; 10</td>
<td></td>
<td>Subclinical (NC)</td>
<td>22-26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chiunello</td>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>1989</td>
<td>(15-20) 10</td>
<td></td>
<td>Subclinical (NC)</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DCCT</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>1989</td>
<td>26 ± 7 27 ± 7</td>
<td>2.6 8.7</td>
<td>Subclinical (NC)</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Donaghue</td>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>1989</td>
<td>15 (13-16) 7 (4-10)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Subclinical (VPT)</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bognetti</td>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>1997</td>
<td>16 ± 4 (11-13)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Subclinical (NC)</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyllienmark</td>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td>1997</td>
<td>15 (7-20) 8 (3-17)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Subclinical (NC)</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solders</td>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td>1997</td>
<td>16 (9-21) 21 (14-26)</td>
<td>5 10</td>
<td>Subclinical (NC)</td>
<td>6-42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barkai</td>
<td>Hungary</td>
<td>1998</td>
<td>14 (6-18) 6 ± 3</td>
<td></td>
<td>Subclinical (CPT)</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bao</td>
<td>Hong Kong</td>
<td>1999</td>
<td>13 (4-21) 7</td>
<td></td>
<td>Subclinical (NC)</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Karavanaki</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>1999</td>
<td>13 (4-17) 3 (0.1-13)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Subclinical (VPT)</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olsen</td>
<td>Denmark</td>
<td>1999</td>
<td>21 (12-24) 13 (9-25)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Subclinical (VPT)</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NC = Nerve conduction examinations  VPT = Vibration perception threshold  CPT = Current perception threshold

### Table 9 Prevalence of autonomic neuropathy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Age Mean ± SD (range) years</th>
<th>Diabetes duration Mean ± SD (range) years</th>
<th>Diagnostic criteria</th>
<th>Prevalence %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Young</td>
<td>Scotland</td>
<td>1983</td>
<td>Teenagers (16-19)</td>
<td>5 (0.5-17)</td>
<td>CVT</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DCCT</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>1989</td>
<td>26 ± 7 27 ± 7</td>
<td>2.6 ± 1.4 8.6 ± 1.7</td>
<td>HR test and postural BP</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Donaghue</td>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>1989</td>
<td>15 (13-16) 7 (4-10)</td>
<td></td>
<td>CVT</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ziegler</td>
<td>Europe</td>
<td>1993</td>
<td>33 (11-69)</td>
<td>10 (0-55)</td>
<td>HR test</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solders</td>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td>1997</td>
<td>16 (9-21) 21 (14-26)</td>
<td>5 10</td>
<td>HR test</td>
<td>19-47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Karavanaki</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>1999</td>
<td>13 (4-17) 3 (0.1-13)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Pupillometry HR test</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CVT = Cardiovascular tests  HR = Heart rate  BP = Blood pressure
Table 10 Possible risk factors for late diabetic complications

- Duration of diabetes
- Metabolic control
- Gender
- Age at onset
- Puberty
- Lipid profiles
- Systolic or diastolic blood pressure
- BMI
- Smoking
- Genetic factors

Risk factors
Several factors have been suggested to be of importance for the development of long term complications in Type 1 diabetes (Table 10). Duration of diabetes and metabolic control are now well established risk factors, while the other associations have been more controversial with partly contradictory findings in different studies. The causal relationship between these factors and the development of complications is unclear and a better term would be risk markers. These vary partly with type of complications speaking in favour of different pathogenetic mechanisms in different organs. The importance of different risk factors also differs in patients with short or long diabetes duration. Diverging results in different studies probably reflect not only the heterogeneity of the study populations, but also different adjustment for confounding factors, since there is a complex interrelationship between risk factors.

Duration of diabetes
Practically all studies demonstrate increasing prevalence of severe complications after longer diabetes duration, but the pattern differs between nephropathy, retinopathy and neuropathy.

The incidence of overt nephropathy begins to increase after 10 years of diabetes duration with an incidence peak after 15 – 17 years and then declines to a constant low level after 25 – 30 years of diabetes duration. The connection between microalbuminuria and diabetes duration is not as well established with different results in different studies, probably explained by different study populations and different ages at diabetes diagnosis. However, the prevalence of microalbuminuria seems to rise after 5 – 10 years of diabetes duration and then remains at a fairly constant level after 15 – 20 years of diabetes duration.

On the contrary, the incidence rate of diabetic retinopathy begins to increase after 10 years of diabetes duration and after 20 years diabetes duration it is constant causing a steadily increasing prevalence during the following years of both background and proliferative retinopathy.

The relationship between neuropathy and diabetes duration is more unclear, perhaps partly due to different definitions. There is undoubtedly a positive correlation between severe neuropathy with clinical symptoms and longer diabetes duration, but subclinical signs of neuropathy are already detected in the first years after diabetes diagnosis and the prevalence in many studies then remains unchanged.
The prevalence of macrovascular complications also increases with duration and age as well as in the general population. These complications are unusual before 15 – 20 years of diabetes duration when diabetes is diagnosed in childhood\textsuperscript{35, 39}.

**Metabolic control**

A strong relationship between metabolic control and long term complications was found in many studies during the 1980s, when the introduction of the HbA\textsubscript{1c} method made it possible to objectively measure long term glycaemic control\textsuperscript{31, 79, 86, 89, 90, 105, 110, 111, 120, 122-127}. The utmost importance of good metabolic control to avoid long term microvascular complications was convincingly confirmed by intervention studies as the DCCT\textsuperscript{32}, the Oslo study\textsuperscript{33} and the Stockholm study\textsuperscript{128}. In the DCCT study 1,444 patients with Type 1 diabetes for 1 – 15 years and with absence of severe diabetic complications were followed in mean 6.5 years and randomized to conventional therapy with one or two daily insulin injections or to intensive therapy with multiple insulin injections or insulin pump. The mean HbA\textsubscript{1c} in the conventional therapy group was 9.1\% and in the intensive therapy group 7.2\%. Intensive therapy reduced the risk for progression of retinopathy 63\% and laser treated retinopathy 51\%. The risk of progression to all grades of albuminuria was reduced 39\%, of macroalbuminuria 54\% and the risk of progression to clinical neuropathy was reduced 60\%\textsuperscript{32}.

There has been debated whether there is a threshold for HbA\textsubscript{1c} and the risk of diabetic complications. In the DCCT study it was not possible to find a threshold value of HbA\textsubscript{1c} for retinopathy progression, microalbuminuria or clinical neuropathy. The relative risk reductions with 10\% lower mean HbA1c was the same for patients with HbA\textsubscript{1c} higher and lower than 8.0 \%. The recommended goal for treatment was "achieving normal glycaemia as early as possible in as many IDDM patients as is safely possible"\textsuperscript{129}, which also is the last recommendation from ADA\textsuperscript{130}. The EURODIAB Prospective Complications study group could not either confirm the existence of a glycaemic threshold for microalbuminuria\textsuperscript{117}. On the contrary, the Berlin Retinopathy Study found a continuous exponential relationship between glycaemic control and risk for background retinopathy, with a very low risk below HbA\textsubscript{1c} levels < 9.0\% arguing for considering it as a threshold value in clinical practice\textsuperscript{131}. Krolewski et al found an abruptly increased risk for microalbuminuria when HbA\textsubscript{1c} was higher then 8.1\%\textsuperscript{132}.

There is a high correlation between HbA\textsubscript{1c} and mean blood glucose the last 6 – 8 weeks\textsuperscript{133}. However, there is also a biological variation between individuals. Some individuals with the same mean blood glucose have consistently higher HbA\textsubscript{1c} and others consistently lower than expected, perhaps partly genetically determined\textsuperscript{134, 135}. In the DCCT study patients with higher than predicted HbA\textsubscript{1c} had a three times higher risk of retinopathy and six times higher risk of nephropathy compared with patients with lower than predicted HbA\textsubscript{1c}. Perhaps this can be one explanation why some patients with high HbA\textsubscript{1c} can escape complications and some patients with low HbA\textsubscript{1c} are affected\textsuperscript{136}.

The importance of metabolic control for the development of macrovascular complications is not equally well documented and many studies have failed to find an association\textsuperscript{43, 45, 137}.

**Gender**

The effect of gender on the risk of microvascular complications is partly controversial. Many studies have shown an increased risk for overt nephropathy in males\textsuperscript{30, 72}, but not in all studies\textsuperscript{138, 139} and the results seem not to be constant over duration and age-groups\textsuperscript{89}. On the contrary, the prevalence of microalbuminuria seems to be higher in females\textsuperscript{32, 119, 340}, but this
is not confirmed by other authors. The prevalence of retinopathy and neuropathy is most often reported as alike in both sexes, but a higher prevalence is found both in males and females in other studies. The conflicting results could possibly be explained by differences in metabolic control between sexes, which in turn differ between centres. Variations of age at onset, age at follow up, diabetes duration, medical care and other backgrounds factors in the community could certainly be of importance for the differences noted.

The risk for CVD in the general population is significantly higher for men. However, women with Type 1 diabetes seem to have an equal risk as men for this complication, abolishing the higher mortality rate in CVD for men and explaining higher relative mortality for women with Type 1 diabetes. The reason for this is not determined.

Age at onset and puberty
Severe complications almost never occur before puberty. For unknown reasons there seems to be a resistance to the development of late complications during the prepubertal period, even if less serious complications such as microalbuminuria, background retinopathy and subclinical neuropathy are reported. It was therefore a common conception, that the years before puberty did not contribute to the risk of long term complications. However, studies the last years with longer follow up have clearly shown that the duration of diabetes before puberty is of importance, but to a lesser degree than the years after puberty. That means that there is a prolonged time before onset of retinopathy or nephropathy when diabetes is diagnosed before puberty. Even the metabolic control during the prepubertal period seems to be of importance and Svensson et al showed that the metabolic control during the first five years is of independent significance for the development of complications. Some studies have even shown an increased risk for diabetes complications when diabetes is diagnosed during puberty compared to the prepubertal and postpubertal period. The reason is unknown, but both a direct damaging effect of the sexual hormones and disturbed IGF1-GH-system on the microvascularisation in the kidney and eyes and an indirect effect via increased insulin resistance and worse metabolic control have been suggested. Psychosocial factors could certainly also be of importance for the difficulties to achieve good metabolic control during the teenage period.

Lipid profiles
In general the lipid profiles seem to be the same in diabetics as in nondiabetic individuals. There is a well known association between dyslipidemia and overt diabetic nephropathy. Higher levels of triglycerides, total and LDL-cholesterol and lower levels of HDL-cholesterol and other aberrations of the lipoproteins are noted. The causality between dyslipidemia and microvascular diabetic complications remains still unclear. The same pattern of dyslipidemia is seen in patients with renal failure from other causes even if it is more pronounced in Type 1 diabetes, which should speak in favour of it being secondary to the kidney disease. However, alterations of lipid profiles are found in some studies to be already in the initial phases of renal involvement in microalbuminuric patients. Dyslipidemia is part of the metabolic syndrome and has been suggested to be a risk factor for the development of not only nephropathy, but also retinopathy and neuropathy. However, other studies have failed to demonstrate an association between dyslipidemia and development of microalbuminuria, retinopathy or neuropathy, when adjusting for confounding factors.
Dyslipidemia is a well established and important risk factor for development of CVD in Type 1 as well as in the general population\textsuperscript{168}.

**Blood pressure**

The importance of systolic and diastolic blood pressure for the development of microvascular complications remains controversial. Some studies support the importance of diastolic or systolic blood pressure level for development of microvascular complications\textsuperscript{54, 103, 163, 169}, while other studies fail to demonstrate an association\textsuperscript{65, 117, 138, 170}. Different studies have used different definitions of hypertension and blood pressure values are calculated for different time periods, which perhaps partly can explain the diverging results. The cause or consequence of the associations to raised blood pressure is also difficult to interpret from cross-sectional and even from prospective studies, at least concerning nephropathy. A prospective study in initial normoalbuminuric children found higher blood pressure values first after onset of microalbuminuria\textsuperscript{171}. On the contrary, it has been demonstrated that pathological 24-hour ambulatory blood pressure precedes the development of microalbuminuria\textsuperscript{106, 172}. But structural changes in the kidney are present already in the normoalbuminuric phase\textsuperscript{173, 174}, which could theoretically induce secondary hypertension before the impaired kidney function is possible to measure in clinical practice. It is convincingly demonstrated that aggressive blood pressure therapy can retard the progression of diabetic nephropathy, both in the microalbuminuric and the macroalbuminuric phase\textsuperscript{177, 175}. Intervention studies concerning the effect on the progression of retinopathy or neuropathy are lacking.

**BMI**

BMI is calculated as weight/heigth\(^2\) (kilogram/meter\(^2\)). Overweight has been internationally defined as BMI ≥ 25.0 kg/m\(^2\) and obesity as ≥ 30.0 kg/m\(^2\) for adults\textsuperscript{176}. BMI varies with age during childhood, but calculation of iso-BMI can make comparison between different ages possible\textsuperscript{177}. Calculation of iso-BMI is based on centile curves and is the corresponding BMI value if the individual is 18 years old. Central obesity is regarded as a part of the metabolic syndrome with a high correlation to cardiovascular morbidity and mortality\textsuperscript{178}. Other components are hypertension, dyslipidemia and insulin resistance\textsuperscript{179, 180}. BMI has been regarded as a satisfactory measure of obesity with correlation to insulin resistance, even if waist-to hip ratio now have been proposed to be a better risk marker\textsuperscript{181}. Both the metabolic syndrome\textsuperscript{160} and BMI have been found to have an association to diabetic nephropathy\textsuperscript{65, 117}, retinopathy\textsuperscript{162, 164} and neuropathy\textsuperscript{166}. Other authors have failed to find an association, when adjusting for metabolic control\textsuperscript{177}.

**Smoking**

The prevalence of tobacco use has declined substantially the last decades in Sweden, but still 19\% of the Swedish population are daily smokers (Official statistics, The National Board of Health and Welfare in Sweden). This is a lower proportion than in many other countries, where figures of 30 – 40\% are not unusual (WHO tobacco control database). Despite efforts to encourage non-smoking habits in diabetic patients the prevalence of smokers seems to be the same as in the general population\textsuperscript{183, 184}. There is a well known association between smoking and CVD in non-diabetic individuals, but smoking as a risk factor in Type 1 diabetes is not as well established\textsuperscript{4, 185, 186}. The connection between microvascular complications and smoking are also controversial, especially concerning retinopathy. Some authors have found a clear association between progression of nephropathy and smoking and even between retinopathy, neuropathy and smoking\textsuperscript{34, 138, 184, 187-194}. Others have failed to demonstrate this connection\textsuperscript{65, 184, 195, 196}. The diverging results could in part be explained by not adjusting for...
the possible confounding effect of metabolic control in some studies\textsuperscript{190, 197}. Psychosocial factors could speculatively affect both smoking habits and the possibility to achieve a good metabolic control\textsuperscript{190, 198}.

**Hereditary factors**

Several studies have found familial clustering of diabetic nephropathy with a 2 – 5 times higher risk for siblings and also a higher risk when the parents are affected\textsuperscript{199-202}. Shared environmental factors or a genetic susceptibility could account for the relationship, with most studies supporting the last explanation. The DCCT study found familial clustering not only in diabetic nephropathy but also in retinopathy\textsuperscript{203}. Studies concerning the importance of heredity for hypertension or CVD are more diverging with some studies supporting an increased risk for nephropathy\textsuperscript{204-208}, while others have failed to find a connection between these hereditary factors and nephropathy\textsuperscript{209} or retinopathy\textsuperscript{204}. The same is true for Type 2 diabetes with conflicting results in different studies. Fagerudd \textit{et al} found a three-fold increased risk for nephropathy\textsuperscript{210}, while Roglic \textit{et al} in the EURODIAB study found an increased risk only for albuminuria in women, but not for retinopathy, when the parents had Type 2 diabetes\textsuperscript{204}.

**C-peptide**

The proinsulin molecule is produced in the beta cells in pancreas. It consists of the A- and B-chains of the insulin molecule joined by the connecting peptide, often named C-peptide. When proinsulin is released from the beta cells, the C-peptide is split from the proinsulin molecule and secreted in equimolar amounts with insulin into the portal circulation. In contrast to insulin, it is not extracted by the liver but excreted by the kidney. It has a halftime in the circulation 2 to 3 times that of insulin\textsuperscript{211}. When Steiner first discovered it in 1967, it was not possible to find a biological activity of the molecule. Its function was only supposed to be facilitating the folding of the insulin molecule. It was regarded as an inert molecule, but a good marker of endogenous insulin secretion\textsuperscript{212}.

C-peptide can be measured in serum and in urine. Different studies have used different methods which makes comparisons difficult, even if there is a rather good correlation between urinary C-peptide, fasting and stimulated serum C-peptide values\textsuperscript{213-216}. C-peptide secretion can be stimulated by glucagon injection or more physiologically by a mixed meal. Both standardized breakfast and a liquid meal (Sustacal\textsuperset{®}) with fixed composition of fat, proteins and carbohydrates have been used in different studies. The ADA (American Diabetes Association) Workshop 2001 recommended measurement of C-peptide as the primary outcome in clinical trials to preserve beta cell function and that measurement of both fasting C-peptide and stimulated C-peptide has to be performed\textsuperscript{217}.

With help of C-peptide analysis, many studies in the 1970s and 1980s examined the natural history of insulin secretion after newly diagnosed diabetes. However, most of the C-peptide studies are cross-sectional or have followed the patients prospectively for a rather short period, seldom longer than one or two years. An astonishingly great part of the patients was found to preserve C-peptide secretion for many years. At diagnosis still 70 – 100% of the patients had measurable C-peptide in blood or urine\textsuperscript{218-221}. The highest values of C-peptide were often found after 3 months of diabetes duration and then gradually declined\textsuperscript{222-224}. This period coincided with the well-known clinical phenomenon of “honeymoon period” with a low insulin requirement and good metabolic control. After 2–3 years of diabetes duration still 30 – 40% have measurable C-peptide levels\textsuperscript{224-227}, but the prevalence is then rapidly declining to 10 – 15% after 5 – 10 years\textsuperscript{224, 227, 228}. However, it is difficult to compare results in different studies because of a great variation of C-peptide secretion depending on the study population.
Practically all studies have shown that adults and elderly children have higher values of C-peptide during a longer period\textsuperscript{6, 220, 222-224, 227-231}. The connection with gender remains controversial, some studies showing a longer persistence of C-peptide secretion in males\textsuperscript{229} than in females, while other studies have found the opposite\textsuperscript{219, 222}. From a theoretical point of view, one could expect an association between diabetic ketoacidosis (DKA) at diagnosis and lower C-peptide values also described by some authors\textsuperscript{220, 224, 232}, while others have failed to find a connection\textsuperscript{219, 222, 233}. The same is valid concerning DKA at diagnosis and length of persistent C-peptide secretion\textsuperscript{219, 220, 222-224, 232, 233}.

Some small early studies in the 1970s and 1980s showed a longer remission period with more intensive insulin regimens at diagnosis\textsuperscript{231, 234, 235}. However, other studies have not confirmed this influence on C-peptide secretion in a longer term by different mode of insulin delivery at onset of diabetes\textsuperscript{236-240}.

Several studies have demonstrated an association between higher values of fasting or stimulated C-peptide and better metabolic control measured as lower HbA\textsubscript{1c}. In the same studies there is also a connection between persistent C-peptide secretion and lower insulin doses\textsuperscript{218, 226, 241, 242}. However, Sochett \textit{et al} could not confirm these results\textsuperscript{219}. From cross-sectional studies the cause and consequence relationship remains unclear. In the DCCT study, patients with persistent C-peptide secretion at entry had lower HbA\textsubscript{1c}. The intensively treated group with HbA\textsubscript{1c} 7.2% had a higher probability of maintaining C-peptide secretion (57% risk reduction) compared with the conventionally treated group with HbA\textsubscript{1c} 9.1%. This speaks in favour of that intensive therapy helps to sustain endogenous insulin secretion, but the study cannot entirely exclude the possibility that persistent C-peptide secretion can contribute to a better metabolic control\textsuperscript{242}.

In recent years there has been an increasing interest in the research field of C-peptide secretion and the possibility that persistent C-peptide secretion could prevent long term complications. In rat and in vitro experiments there have been indications of the presence of a C-peptide receptor on the cell surface\textsuperscript{243}. C-peptide has been found to stimulate Na-K-ATPase activity\textsuperscript{244} and subsequent stimulation of eNOS (endothelial nitric oxide synthase) activity\textsuperscript{245}. In human experiments infusion of C-peptide during 2 hours in physiological amounts in 11 patients with Type 1 diabetes and glomerular hyperfiltration resulted in 7% decrease of GFR and 3% increase of renal plasma flow compared to controls. The glucose transport in skeletal muscle increased and the whole body glucose utilization rose 25\%\textsuperscript{246}. In another experiment infusion of C-peptide during 3 hours in 12 patients with autonomic nerve dysfunction improved heart rate variability 63\%\textsuperscript{247}. It has also been possible to demonstrate increase of nutritive skin microvascular blood flow\textsuperscript{248}. However, these experiments are short time effects of C-peptide administration. In a randomized controlled trial 21 patients with Type 1 diabetes and microalbuminuria received subcutaneous injection of C-peptide together with insulin during 3 months. AER decreased 40\%, from 58 μg/min to 34 μg/min, despite unchanged HbA\textsubscript{1c}. In a subgroup of patients with signs of autonomic nerve dysfunction, respiratory heart variability as well as temperature threshold improved\textsuperscript{249}. In another study 26 diabetic patients received C-peptide injections for 3 months and showed improved sensory nerve conduction and lower VPT, but unchanged motor nerve conduction velocity and thermal perception\textsuperscript{250}. These rats, in vitro and human studies show that C-peptide has a biological effect. However, these experiments are short term studies with few patients and with substitution of C-peptide in physiological amounts. They cannot answer the question of the clinical importance of residual C-peptide secretion at diabetes diagnosis. Only long term randomized controlled
trials with simultaneous injections of insulin and C-peptide can answer the question if C-peptide per se has a beneficial effect on the development of long term complications.

The importance of persistent C-peptide secretion on long term complications could hypothetically depend on a direct effect of C-peptide on endothelial cells and nerve cells or an indirect effect via endogenous insulin secretion. The latter could possibly promote a better metabolic control or have an influence via the IGF-1-system in the liver, since portal insulin delivery seems to be needed to normalize the IGF system. There are some studies which indicate that the IGF system influences the development of long term complications. There are just a few clinical studies demonstrating a beneficial effect of persistent C-peptide secretion on the prevalence of long term complications. Ludvigsson et al found a positive correlation between fasting C-peptide and sensory nerve conduction velocity and vibratory sensibility in children, but it was before the possibility to measure HbA1c. On the contrary, Hyllienmark et al found a weak negative correlation between nerve conduction velocity in the median nerve and duration of C-peptide secretion in children. Kernell et al found a negative correlation between abnormal vitreous body leakage and persistent C-peptide even when adjusting for HbA1c. A number of population studies have not confirmed a lower prevalence of diabetic retinopathy, nephropathy or neuropathy in patients with C-peptide secretion with the exception of a few studies which did not adjust for metabolic control.

In the DCCT study the patients in the intensive treatment group with C-peptide secretion ≥ 0.2 nmol/l at entry had a 50% risk reduction for retinopathy progression and 27% risk reduction for nephropathy progression during the following 6 years. When adjusting for HbA1c the differences were no longer statistically significant. The DCCT study concluded that intensive therapy helps to sustain insulin secretion which is associated with better metabolic control and consequently a lower risk for complications. However, the glycaemic control is probably a more important factor than the direct effect of the persistent C-peptide secretion per se. However, patients with persistent C-peptide secretion had a 62% risk reduction for hypoglycaemia, even after adjustment for HbA1c which could be of great clinical benefit for the patients.

**Mortality**

The mortality rate is increased in Type 1 diabetes and varies worldwide with higher standardized mortality ratios (SMR) in Eastern Europe and Japan, countries with a low incidence of Type 1 diabetes. There seems to be a positive correlation between the general mortality rate in different countries and excessive mortality due to diabetes. This is probably explained by lower socioeconomic conditions and lower standard of medical care, but perhaps in part also by lower incidence of diabetes in these countries. When diabetes is more infrequent, there could be a higher risk of incorrect therapy for acute complications.

The mortality rate has declined over the last decades, both during the first years after diagnosis and after longer diabetes duration. Still, the SMR is doubled in young people with diabetes and in many studies is 3 to 4 times higher than in the general population after longer diabetes duration. The most frequent causes of death in patients younger than 30 years are acute complications such as DKA and hypoglycaemia. Psychosocial and socioeconomic risk factors seem to be of importance for the mortality in these younger age groups, but are of lesser significance for mortality due to chronic complications in the elderly age groups. The strongest risk factor for premature death after longer diabetes duration is persistent proteinuria. The excessive mortality in Type 1
diabetes is mostly found among patients with macroproteinuria. Even microalbuminuria is a predictor of increased mortality, if not in the same degree as macroalbuminuria. Beside diabetic nephropathy, smoking and hypertension are well recognized risk factors for premature death as well as in the general population. The dominant causes of mortality in patients older than 30 years are CVD or ESRD. Some decades ago the prognosis in patients with overt nephropathy was very bad with a median survival time of 5 – 7 years after onset of persistent proteinuria. Even if uraemia was the main cause of death, cardiovascular deaths were also more frequent in these patients. The prognosis has steadily improved and Astrup et al reported in 2005 that the median survival time after onset of overt nephropathy during the last decades has increased to 22 years. The reason is probably a more aggressive treatment of hypertension. About half of the deaths were caused by ESRD and 40% by CVD.

**Ethical considerations**

When the study concerning C-peptide secretion (Study III) started with collection of data in 1975, it was performed according to the ethic codes of the Declaration of Helsinki, which first version was published 1964. The patients and their parents were verbal informed about the study and gave their consent to participate and the study was approved by The Research Ethics Committee of the Faculty of Health Sciences, Linköping University. During the last decade the participants were informed both in writing and verbally and gave a written consent to participate. The blood samples at diabetes diagnosis were taken in clinical routine and participation in the study meant no extra discomfort for the children. The blood samples for C-peptide determination were mostly taken together with clinically motivated blood samples and meant no extra venepuncture. However, the extra time of repeated blood samples for the determination of stimulated C-peptide tests were inconvenient for preschool children, and was why they were often excluded from this part of the study.

Most of the data concerning long term complications (study I, II and IV) were collected in the clinical routines and meant no extra blood samples or investigations for the patients. In study II the electrophysiological examinations could cause a light discomfort, but no pain. Since it is difficult to inform smaller children about this phenomenon, only children older than 7 years were asked to participate in this study. The inquiry to participate in a study concerning complications could possibly create alarm about the future, but on the contrary, the patients were already well informed about the risk of long term complications and the consciousness of participation in a study could help to motivate to take better care of the diabetes therapy. The patients, and for children their parents, were informed in writing about the studies and gave a written consent to participate and the Research Ethics Committee of the Faculty of Health Sciences, Linköping University approved the studies.
Aims of the study

A previous study from the Linköping area has shown a remarkable decreased incidence of diabetic nephropathy, but unchanged incidence of severe retinopathy, after 20 years of diabetes duration during the last decades. The insulin therapy during this period changed from conventional therapy with one or two insulin injections daily to intensive therapy with multiple insulin injections and also more intensive insulin regimens at diabetes onset. Despite intensive insulin treatment from onset since the midst of 1980s, in a previous study we found a high prevalence of subclinical neuropathy after the first ten years after diabetes diagnosis. The incidence of Type 1 diabetes in childhood has increased rapidly the last two decades. The reason must be environmental factors, which also might lead to different disease process and manifestation.

The aims of the studies were to

- Analyse if the decreased incidence of diabetic nephropathy persisted after 10 more years of follow up. Have we prevented or just postponed diabetic nephropathy?
- Analyse the incidence of severe retinopathy. It is still unchanged after longer follow up?
- Analyse the importance of possible risk factors for development of microvascular complications, which could explain the improved prognosis in our population.
- Analyse whether intensive treatment from diabetes diagnosis is more effective in preventing other microvascular complications than neuropathy.
- Investigate the relationship between subclinical neuropathy and diabetic nephropathy and retinopathy.
- Examine C-peptide secretion the first years after newly diagnosed diabetes and the relationship to clinical characteristic at onset, initial therapy, length of partial remission period and metabolic control.
- Investigate if there was any secular trend of C-peptide secretion and prevalence of remission period.
- Analyse if longer persistence of C-peptide secretion is of importance for prevention of diabetic nephropathy or retinopathy.
Methods

Study population
The whole study population consists of all 478 patients with Type 1 diabetes diagnosed before the age of 15 years during 1961 – 2000 living in the catchment area of the Paediatric Clinic, University Hospital, Linköping, Sweden. They represent a total unselected population as in Sweden all children with diabetes are treated at a paediatric clinic. For the analysis the patients were divided into eight five-year cohorts according to the year of diagnosis of diabetes. The groups were similar in mean age at onset, proportion diagnosed before puberty (defined as < 11 years for girls and < 12 years for boys) and sex distribution (Table 11). The incidence of Type 1 diabetes doubled during the last 25 years (Fig 1).

During the 1960s the standard regimen was a single dose of long acting insulin in the morning. The number of daily insulin injections increased gradually during the 1970s and 1980s to 4 or 5 with a combination of short acting and long acting insulin. In the 1990s several patients used insulin pumps. Multiple insulin injections therapy already from start was introduced in the late 1980s, even for young children (Fig 2a). Since the late 1980s a majority of patients was treated initially with intravenous insulin for at least 2 – 3 days irrespective of the severity of clinical presentation. The standard therapy before was repeated doses of subcutaneous insulin (Fig. 2b). In the 1970s a diabetes team with doctors, nurses, dietician, psychologist and social worker was introduced at the paediatric clinic. The patients were strongly recommended regular self-monitoring of urine glucose and after 1980 self-monitoring of blood glucose. After 1980 regular measurement of HbA1c gave additional possibility for the patient to optimise metabolic control, which was one of the main goals of the therapy. The same routines were followed when the patients at the age of 18 to 20 years were transferred to the Department of Internal Medicine. One fifth of the patients had moved one to several years after diabetes diagnosis. The insulin regimens and main goal of treatment have during the last decades been about the same in other hospitals in Sweden, even if there were minor differences in management of diabetes.

All 269 patients with diabetes onset 1961 – 1985 participated in study I and IV. Three patients moved abroad within 5 years after diabetes diagnosis. In total 25 patients have died.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Period of onset</th>
<th>Age at onset Mean (SD)</th>
<th>Male Mean (SD)</th>
<th>Onset before puberty</th>
<th>Patients followed until 1997 retinopathy</th>
<th>Patients followed until 1997 nephropathy</th>
<th>Long term HbA1c Mean (SD)</th>
<th>Participants in study number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1961-1965</td>
<td>56 8.5 (3.5)</td>
<td>41 71</td>
<td>52 (92.9)</td>
<td>55 (98.2)</td>
<td>7.5 (0.9)</td>
<td>I + IV</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1966-1970</td>
<td>50 8.0 (3.9)</td>
<td>48 76</td>
<td>43 (86.0)</td>
<td>48 (96.0)</td>
<td>7.4 (0.8)</td>
<td>I + IV</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1971-1975</td>
<td>55 8.7 (4.0)</td>
<td>53 60</td>
<td>52 (94.5)</td>
<td>52 (94.5)</td>
<td>7.4 (0.9)</td>
<td>I + IV</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1976-1980</td>
<td>51 8.7 (3.8)</td>
<td>39 69</td>
<td>47 (92.2)</td>
<td>49 (96.1)</td>
<td>7.3 (1.1)</td>
<td>I + IV, II, III</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1981-1985</td>
<td>57 8.9 (3.8)</td>
<td>56 63</td>
<td>50 (87.7)</td>
<td>51 (89.5)</td>
<td>7.1 (0.9)</td>
<td>I + IV, II, III</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1986-1990</td>
<td>53 8.9 (4.2)</td>
<td>62 70</td>
<td>– –</td>
<td>– –</td>
<td>7.0 (1.0)</td>
<td>II, III</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1991-1995</td>
<td>66 8.5 (3.8)</td>
<td>59 71</td>
<td>– –</td>
<td>– –</td>
<td>6.8 (0.8)</td>
<td>III</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1996-2000</td>
<td>90 8.5 (3.8)</td>
<td>51 74</td>
<td>– –</td>
<td>– –</td>
<td>6.4 (0.7)</td>
<td>III</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All periods</td>
<td>478 8.6 (3.8)</td>
<td>52 70</td>
<td>– –</td>
<td>– –</td>
<td>7.0 (1.0)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
twelve of them before developing retinopathy and seven before developing nephropathy. The population was followed until the end of the 1990s. The majority of the patients, 214 (80%), remained in the catchment area and information was collected from their medical records. For the other patients, who had left the area, information was collected by a questionnaire through their physicians. Of the total population 244 (90.7%) were monitored for retinopathy and 255 (94.8%) for nephropathy until at least 1997. The remaining patients were followed until their most recent clinical visit.

The population in study II consisted of all 82 patients with Type 1 diabetes more than 7 years old, attending the Paediatric Clinic in 1993, and who had diabetes duration more than 3 years. Two patients were excluded from the study, one with morbus Down and one with severe scleroderma. Five patients have moved to Linköping from other regions and thus did not belong to the other study population. The mean (SD) age at onset was 7.0 (3.6) years, range 1.0 – 16.1 years. Seventy-three patients (91 %) were diagnosed before puberty. Forty-seven (59 %) were male. All patients were treated with intensive insulin regimens from onset of diabetes. During the follow up period 12 (15 %) patients were treated with an insulin pump in median 5.1 years (range 0.3 – 8.3 years). The pump therapy began in median 9.4 years (range 2.9 – 14.2 years) after diabetes diagnosis. All patients were either in puberty or beyond when examined for eye and kidney complications.

All 316 patients with diabetes onset 1976 – 2000 participated in study III. The patients were followed in the study as long as they were seen at the outpatient paediatric clinic. They left the clinic at the age of 18 – 20 years, when they were transferred to the Department of Internal Medicine or previously if they moved out of the region.
Fig. 2 Initial insulin therapy in patients with Type 1 diabetes diagnosed in childhood during 1976 – 2000 a) Percentage of patients with different numbers of insulin doses per day the first 2 years after diabetes diagnosis b) Percentage of patients with intravenous insulin therapy at diagnosis
Design
The study design is an observational study starting in 1961. Data concerning severe retinopathy and overt nephropathy are registered prospectively as part of a clinical screening programme. Blood pressure, weight, insulin doses and, since 1980, HbA1c are registered at the clinical visits 3 – 4 times per year. Since 1976 C-peptide are analysed at least yearly if the patient still visits the paediatric clinic.

In study I and IV information about date of occurrence of severe retinopathy and overt nephropathy, CVD, long term blood pressure and long term metabolic control was collected from the patients’ records in the catchment area or through a questionnaire to their physicians if they have moved. Information about all grades of retinal changes and microalbuminuria was registered 1990 and at the last clinic visit in the end of the 1990s. Cross-sectional data for lipoproteins and BMI was also collected from the records. Of the 269 patients in the total study population 183 (68%) answered a questionnaire in the end of 1990s and reported smoking habits and heredity for diabetes and CVD.

In study II, 79 of 80 patients participated in at least one of two electrophysiological examinations in 1993/94 or 1997/98 (Fig 3). Cross-sectional data about all grades of diabetic retinopathy and nephropathy at the last clinic visit in the end of the 1990s were collected for all patients.

Clinical and laboratory characteristics at diagnosis were collected retrospectively from medical records in study III. HbA1c, insulin doses and weight were registered at the regular clinic visits at least three times a year.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Examination 1</th>
<th>Participants</th>
<th>Drop-outs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1993 – 94</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>65</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Examination 2</th>
<th>Participants</th>
<th>Drop-outs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1997 – 98</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>79</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 3 Number of patients participating in the neurophysiological examinations the years 1993 – 1994 and 1997 – 1998 respectively, in a population of young patients with intensively treated Type I diabetes
Definitions and laboratory methods

Retinopathy

The screening was performed up to the 1970s with ophtalmoscopy and later on with colour fundus photography. Three photos were taken nasally, on the macula and on the optic nerve of each eye with a photo angle of 45°. After 1995 the pictures were digitalized with 2 photos of each eye (macula and optic nerve). Additional 3 photos were taken if there was any abnormal finding. All photos were examined by ophthalmologists and in a standard protocol summarized into four classes on the basis of the worse eye, namely into normal, background retinopathy, preproliferative retinopathy and proliferative retinopathy. The presence of maculopathy was noted. The patients who had left the catchment area were examined by their local hospital. The same grading system was used, but the classification was done locally. The information was collected from the patients’ medical records or their physicians.

The onset of severe retinopathy was defined as the date of the first laser treatment. The indications were proliferative retinopathy or macular oedema.

The terms diabetic retinopathy or “any retinopathy” mean the presence of all grades of retinal changes.

Nephropathy

All patients were tested for proteinuria at their regular clinic visits with a semi-quantitative test strip (Albustix®, Combur®, Redia® or equivalent strips). During the last decades the patients, at least once every year, had a morning sample of urine analysed by a quantitative immunoturbidometric method at the local hospital laboratory, either as a timed over-night analysis or as a spot test. For patients moving away to other parts of the country, analysis was made at the local hospitals with similar quantitative methods, but in a few cases with a semi–quantitative method (Micral® test).

Overt diabetic nephropathy or macroalbuminuria (in study I named just diabetic nephropathy) was defined as persistent proteinuria, that is at least 1 + test result (which corresponds to an albumin concentration in the urine of > 300 mg/l) or with quantitative method AER > 200 μg/min or albumin concentration > 300 mg/l. The onset was defined as the first year during which proteinuria became persistent.

Microalbuminuria was defined as AER 20 – 200 μg/minute or an albumin concentration of 30 – 300 mg/l in the last available urine sample.

The term “any nephropathy” means the presence of macroalbuminuria or microalbuminuria.

Neuropathy

Electrophysiological examination was performed with a standard technique using surface electrodes and the results were compared with healthy control subjects. Motor nerve conduction velocity and compound muscle action potential amplitude were measured in the peroneal nerves bilaterally. Sensory nerve conduction velocity and sensory nerve action potential amplitude were measured in the sural nerves bilaterally. The legs of the patients were warmed with heat pads prior to the measurements and the skin temperature held constant at 33 – 34 °C during the investigations. Individual values were considered abnormal when they fell outside the interval ± 2 SD around the mean in the control group.
Table 12 Methods for analysing HbA1/HbA1c by the hospital laboratory in Linköping during different periods and conversions formula

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Period</th>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Formula</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 – 14 April 1982</td>
<td>HbA1, incl labile fraction (Ion exchange chromatography, Quicksep, Isolab)</td>
<td>HbA1c (ref) = 0.687 * HbA1 + 0.3322</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 April 1982 – 24 October 1984</td>
<td>HbA1, stabile fraction (Ion exchange chromatography, Quicksep, Isolab)</td>
<td>HbA1c (ref) = 0.687 * HbA1 + 0.3322</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 October 1984 – 27 April 1986</td>
<td>HbA1c (HPLC, Auto A1c HA 8810, Daichi)</td>
<td>HbA1c (ref) = 0.7554 * HbA1 + 0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28 April 1986 – 30 November 1996</td>
<td>HbA1c (HPLC, Mono-S, Auto A1c HA 8810, Daichi)</td>
<td>HbA1c (ref) = 0.982 * HbA1c + 0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 December 1996 – 30 April 2002</td>
<td>HbA1c (Immunological, Hitachi 917)</td>
<td>HbA1c (ref)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 May 2002 – 14 September 2004</td>
<td>HbA1c (Immunological, Advia 1650)</td>
<td>HbA1c (ref)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

HbA1c/HbA1c = actual laboratory value
HbA1c (ref) = reference value calibrated to the Swedish national standard Mono-S, normal range 3.6–5.0%

Diabetic neuropathy or nerve dysfunction was defined as abnormality in two or more nerves (of totally 4 nerves), as none of the controls had abnormal nerve conduction in more than one nerve.

Metabolic control

HbA1c was measured within one week of diagnosis and then regularly at the clinical visits at least 3 – 4 times per year. All blood samples were initially analysed by the central hospital laboratory. When the method was introduced in 1980 HbA1 was analysed with an ion exchange chromatography with a minicolumn. From 1984 a high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) method was used, first giving HbA1 values and from 1986 HbA1c. After 1996 the method was changed to an immunological method, which was calibrated against the Swedish national standard Mono-S and continuously controlled against the Swedish EQUALIS reference method (External Quality Assurance in Laboratory medicine in Sweden). The normal range is 3.6 – 5.0%. The values can be transformed to the corresponding DCCT values by adding 1.1%. From 1993 most of the blood samples on the paediatric ward were analyzed by a diagnostic chemistry analyser DCA 2000, first calibrated against the hospital laboratory and since 1997, recalibrated against the Mono-S method. From the analysing laboratory there were calculated inter-method calibrations and conversion factors every time when the methods were changed (Table 12 and 13). For many of the patients, who moved it has been possible to obtain their HbA1c values by their physicians and conversions factors to the EQUALIS reference method by the local laboratory. However, 94% of the HbA1c values are from laboratories in the catchment area.

Table 13 Methods for analysing HbA1c on the Paediatric clinic in Linköping during different periods and conversions formula

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Period</th>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Formula</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 January 1993 – 31 August 1997</td>
<td>DCA 2000</td>
<td>HbA1c (ref) = 0.982 * HbA1c + 0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 September 1997 –</td>
<td>DCA 2000</td>
<td>HbA1c (ref)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

HbA1c = actual laboratory value
HbA1c (ref) = reference value calibrated to the Swedish national standard Mono-S normal range 3.6–5.0 %
In study II the values were converted to the reference value for the period 1986 – 1996 with a normal range of 3.5 – 5.5%. As a measure of long term glycaemic control for each patient, the mean for each year and then the mean for the whole period until the latest nerve examination was calculated.

As a measure of long term glycaemic control for each patient in study III and IV, mean HbA1c was calculated and weighted for the time between the measurements. In study III mean HbA1c during 1, 3 and 5 years was calculated in the same way. In study IV all HbA1c values until the year of onset of severe retinopathy and overt nephropathy respectively, were included in the calculations. HbA1c values were not available for 17 (47%) of the 36 patients with overt nephropathy and for 17 (25%) of the 69 patients with severe retinopathy because the complications occurred before the introduction of the HbA1 measurements.

**Cardiovascular risk factors**

**Blood pressure** Systolic and diastolic blood pressure was measured regularly at the clinical visits in a sitting position after a few minutes rest. Long term blood pressure was calculated as a mean of all measurements until the year of onset of severe retinopathy and overt nephropathy respectively, and weighted for different time intervals between the measurements. Blood pressure before 18 years of age was excluded because of difficulties to compare values in childhood with adult values.

**Lipid profiles** Lipoproteins (total cholesterol and triglycerides) were analysed at the local hospital laboratories with an enzymatic method. The reference value was < 5 mmol/l for cholesterol and < 1.7 mmol/l for triglycerides. It was not analysed regularly until the late 1990s.

**BMI** Body mass index (BMI) was calculated as weight (kilogram)/length (meter)² and recorded at the last follow-up 1998/99.

**CVD** History of cardiovascular disease (stroke, myocardial infarction, cardiac failure or symptoms of arteriosclerosis) was collected from the patients’ medical records or their physicians.

**Smoking** History of smoking was defined as former or current smoking more than one cigarette per day as reported in the questionnaire in the end of 1990s.

**Heredity** Type 2 diabetes, hypertension and cardiovascular disease in the family were reported in the questionnaire.

**C-peptide secretion**

After 1976 serum-C-peptide was analysed at diabetes onset before the first insulin injection. Fasting serum-C-peptide before the morning insulin injection was then analysed at least yearly until the patients left the Paediatric clinic or C-peptide was not detected for 3 consecutive years. After diabetes duration of 3 months, 9 months, 1.5 years, 2.5 years and 4 years C-peptide was also analysed after stimulation with a mixed meal (standardised breakfast) without insulin injection. Blood samples were taken before eating and then at intervals of 30 minutes over a period of 120 minutes. Fasting and maximum value were registered. Because of low age or difficulties with venepuncture the participant rate varied between 81 – 93% on the different occasions. The presence of detectable C-peptide, C-peptide > 0.1 nmol/ or > 0.2 nmol/l after 1, 3 and 5 years of diabetes duration was stated. Data was not available for 5 – 10% of the patients at different times, but with the same distribution between cohorts. The serum was stored at – 20°C until analysis. C-peptide was determined until 2001 by a radioimmunoassay method. Detection limit was 0.03 nmol/l. The fasting reference range in non-diabetic children was 0.18 – 0.63 nmol/l. After 2001 a fluoroimmunometric assay was used (AutoDELFIA® C-peptide) with fasting reference range.
0.12 – 1.2 nmol/l and the same detection limit. For technical reasons the samples collected after 2001 were stored for a longer time before analysis.

**Partial remission**
Partial remission period was defined as the time period with insulin requirement < 0.5 U/kg/day under good metabolic control (HbA1c < 7.5%).

**Diabetic ketoacidosis**
Diabetic ketoacidosis (DKA) was defined as pH ≤ 7.30 and/or base deficit ≤ – 10.0. The blood sample was analysed at the hospital laboratory with conventional methods.

**Statistical analysis**
Student’s unpaired t-test (two-tailed) and one-way ANOVA, with Bonferroni adjusted pairwise comparisons, were used for comparisons of means between groups. Mann-Whitney U-test and Kruskal-Wallis test were used for skewed data. Chi-square or Fisher’s exact test was used for comparisons of proportions.

The cumulative proportion of severe retinopathy and overt nephropathy was calculated for one-year intervals with a life table method. Patients who have not developed any complications contributed to the follow up until the last clinic visit or to the year of death. For analysing the importance of year of diabetes onset the population was divided into five-year cohorts according to the year of diabetes diagnosis. For analysing the importance of metabolic control the patients were divided into four groups according to long term HbA1c. Differences between groups were tested using the Wilcoxon (Gehan) log-rank statistic test.

Logistic regression models were used in study III for analyzing the relationship between prevalence of C-peptide secretion and possible influencing factors. In study IV it was used for analyzing the relationship between any retinopathy and any nephropathy and different risk factors adjusting for the possible confounding effect of HbA1c and diabetes duration. Odds ratios (OR) with 95% CI were estimated. Cox proportional hazard analysis was used to analyse the influence of the different possible risk factors for the occurrence of severe retinopathy and overt nephropathy.

Relative risk (RR) was calculated in study I for comparing prevalence of non-proliferative retinopathy and microalbuminuria in 1990 and at the end of the 1990s. In study II it was used for comparing the prevalence of retinopathy or nephropathy in patients with and without neuropathy.

The calculations were performed using the SPSS Statistical Package for Social Science ver 10.0 – 12.0 and Epiinfo™ 2002. p< 0.05 was considered statistically significant.
Results

Incidence of diabetic retinopathy and nephropathy

The cumulative proportion of severe laser treated retinopathy showed a significant declining trend during the last decades. The decrease was significant between the oldest cohort with diabetes onset 1961 – 1965 and the cohorts with diabetes onset 1971 – 1975 and 1976 – 1980. After 25 years of diabetes duration it was 47%, 28% and 24% in the three oldest cohorts respectively. After 30 years of diabetes duration it had increased to 53% and 44%, respectively (Fig. 4a).

The cumulative proportion of overt nephropathy also declined with a significant decrease between the oldest cohorts and all the following cohorts. After 25 years of diabetes duration it was 30%, 8% and 13% in the three oldest cohorts respectively. After 30 years of diabetes duration the cumulative proportion remained largely unchanged at 32% and 11% in the cohorts 1961 – 1965 and 1966 – 1970 respectively (Fig. 4b).

Table 14 Possible risk factors in patients with Type 1 diabetes diagnosed in childhood with and without retinopathy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Risk factor</th>
<th>No retinopathy</th>
<th>Background retinopathy</th>
<th>Severe laser treated retinopathy</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes duration (years)</td>
<td>n = 64</td>
<td>n = 131</td>
<td>n = 69</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>19.7 (6.3)</td>
<td>24.3 (6.9)</td>
<td>31.7 (6.3)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long term HbA1c (%)</td>
<td>n = 62</td>
<td>n = 130</td>
<td>n = 52</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6.7 (0.8)</td>
<td>7.4 (0.8)</td>
<td>7.9 (1.0)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systolic blood pressure (mm Hg)</td>
<td>n = 60</td>
<td>n = 130</td>
<td>n = 54</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>120.4 (8.7)</td>
<td>123.8 (7.8)</td>
<td>128.5 (13.4)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diastolic blood pressure (mm Hg)</td>
<td>n = 60</td>
<td>n = 130</td>
<td>n = 54</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>74.6 (5.9)</td>
<td>76.7 (4.4)</td>
<td>80.8 (7.3)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History of smoking (%)</td>
<td>n = 44</td>
<td>n = 98</td>
<td>n = 41</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>36.4</td>
<td>40.8</td>
<td>68.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>n = 59</td>
<td>n = 128</td>
<td>n = 54</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>24.6 (3.7)</td>
<td>25.9 (3.5)</td>
<td>25.6 (3.5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total cholesterol (mmol/l)</td>
<td>n = 44</td>
<td>n = 114</td>
<td>n = 41</td>
<td>0.004†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4.5 (0.8)</td>
<td>5.0 (1.1)</td>
<td>5.1 (0.9)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triglycerides (mmol/l)</td>
<td>n = 44</td>
<td>n = 114</td>
<td>n = 41</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.1 (0.5)</td>
<td>1.4 (1.1)</td>
<td>1.4 (0.6)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History of CVD (%)</td>
<td>n = 62</td>
<td>n = 129</td>
<td>n = 65</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>24.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-peptide &gt; 0.2 mmol/l during 1st 5 years (%)</td>
<td>n = 45</td>
<td>n = 52</td>
<td>n = 5</td>
<td>0.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-peptide &gt; 0.1 mmol/l during 1st 5 years (%)</td>
<td>n = 45</td>
<td>n = 51</td>
<td>n = 5</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heredity hypertension (%)</td>
<td>n = 44</td>
<td>n = 98</td>
<td>n = 41</td>
<td>0.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>34.1</td>
<td>41.8</td>
<td>39.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heredity CVD (%)</td>
<td>n = 44</td>
<td>n = 97</td>
<td>n = 41</td>
<td>0.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>52.3</td>
<td>49.5</td>
<td>56.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heredity Type 2 diabetes (%)</td>
<td>n = 44</td>
<td>n = 98</td>
<td>n = 41</td>
<td>0.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>38.6</td>
<td>30.6</td>
<td>36.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are means (SD) or (%)

* Significant difference (p < 0.05) between all three groups in pairwise comparison
† Significant difference (p < 0.05) between severe or background retinopathy and no retinopathy
Number of patients with available data differs between risk factors and is indicated by n
Data for C-peptide are available only for patients with diabetes onset later than 1975
HbA1c can be transformed to the corresponding DCCT value by adding 1.1%
† p-value for overall comparison of all groups. The p-values between the oldest cohort (onset 1961–1965) and the following cohorts are also indicated.

Fig. 4 Cumulative proportion of a) severe (laser treated) retinopathy and b) overt diabetic nephropathy according to the year of onset of diabetes
The prevalence of background retinopathy was high in all groups, and after 25 years of diabetes duration more than 80% had retinal alterations. The cohort with onset 1971 – 75 deteriorated between 1990 to the last follow up and for the cohort 1976 – 80 the trend was similar (Fig. 5a). The prevalence of microalbuminuria remained at the same level between the two occasions and varied between 6–12% in the patients with diabetes onset 1966 – 1985. In the cohort 1961 – 1965 there was a trend of increasing prevalence from 9% to 21%, but the difference was not statistically significant (Fig. 5b).

Fig 5 Prevalence of a) background retinopathy and b) microalbuminuria in 1990 (□) and at the last follow up in 1997 (■)

RR = relative risk with 95% CI
Number of patients indicated in the bars
Risk factors for retinopathy and nephropathy

Diabetes duration was longer and HbA1c was significantly higher as well as blood pressure and cholesterol values in the patients with all grades of eye complications at the follow up in the end of the 1990s. The prevalence of CVD and smoking was higher among patients with severe retinopathy. In contrast, BMI, triglycerides, persistence of C-peptide secretion the first five years after diagnosis and heredity for CVD or Type 2 diabetes did not differ significantly between patients with and without eye complications (Table 14). The same pattern was seen among patients with overt nephropathy. However, for patients with microalbuminuria, there was a significant difference compared to patients with no complications only for CVD and smoking, which showed a higher prevalence and for lipids with higher values. There was no difference concerning duration, metabolic control or blood pressure (Table 15).

Table 15 Possible risk factors in patients with Type 1 diabetes diagnosed in childhood with and without nephropathy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Risk factor</th>
<th>No nephropathy</th>
<th>Microalbuminuria</th>
<th>Overt nephropathy</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes duration (years)</td>
<td>24.1 (7.3)</td>
<td>28.0 (7.8)</td>
<td>32.2 (5.6)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long term HbA1c (%)</td>
<td>7.2 (0.9)</td>
<td>7.6 (0.9)</td>
<td>8.6 (1.1)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systolic blood pressure (mm Hg)</td>
<td>n = 206</td>
<td>n = 19</td>
<td>n = 19</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daotolic blood pressure (mm Hg)</td>
<td>n = 205</td>
<td>n = 19</td>
<td>n = 31</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History of smoking (%)</td>
<td>40.3 n = 149</td>
<td>68.8 n = 16</td>
<td>72.2 n = 18</td>
<td>0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>25.3 (3.5)</td>
<td>25.6 (3.5)</td>
<td>27.1 (4.5)</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total cholesterol (mmol/l)</td>
<td>4.8 (0.9)</td>
<td>5.5 (1.4)</td>
<td>5.5 (1.0)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triglycerides (mmol/l)</td>
<td>1.2 (0.8)</td>
<td>2.0 (1.6)</td>
<td>1.6 (0.5)</td>
<td>0.001††</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History of CVD (%)</td>
<td>3.9 n = 206</td>
<td>20.0 n = 20</td>
<td>36.7 n = 30</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-peptide &gt; 0.2 nmol/l during 1st 5 years (%)</td>
<td>5.3 n = 94</td>
<td>16.7 n = 6</td>
<td>0.0 n = 2</td>
<td>0.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-peptide &gt; 0.1 nmol/l during 1st 5 years (%)</td>
<td>9.7 n = 93</td>
<td>16.7 n = 6</td>
<td>0.0 n = 2</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heredity hypertension (%)</td>
<td>40.9 n = 149</td>
<td>31.3 n = 16</td>
<td>33.3 n = 18</td>
<td>0.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heredity CVD (%)</td>
<td>53.4 n = 148</td>
<td>37.5 n = 16</td>
<td>50.0 n = 18</td>
<td>0.48†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heredity Type 2 diabetes (%)</td>
<td>35.6 n = 149</td>
<td>31.3 n = 16</td>
<td>22.2 n = 18</td>
<td>0.51</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are means (± SD) or %.

† Significant difference (p < 0.05) between overt nephropathy and no nephropathy
* Significant difference (p < 0.05) between overt nephropathy/microalbuminuria and no nephropathy
‡ Significant difference between microalbuminuria and no nephropathy
Number of patients with available data differs between risk factors and is indicated by n
Data for C-peptide are available only for patients with diabetes onset later than 1975
HbA1c can be transformed to the corresponding DCCT value by adding 1.1%
Table 16 Risk factors for any retinopathy and any nephropathy after adjustment for metabolic control (HbA1c) and diabetes duration

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Risk factor</th>
<th>Any retinopathy</th>
<th>Any nephropathy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OR (95% CI)</td>
<td>p value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systolic blood pressure (mm Hg)</td>
<td>1.06 (1.01-1.11)</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diastolic blood pressure (mm Hg)</td>
<td>1.10 (1.02-1.18)</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History of smoking</td>
<td>0.61 (0.25-1.50)</td>
<td>0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>1.0 (0.9-1.2)</td>
<td>0.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History of smoking</td>
<td>0.61 (0.25-1.50)</td>
<td>0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total cholesterol (mmol/l)</td>
<td>1.27 (0.77-2.08)</td>
<td>0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triglycerides (mmol/l)</td>
<td>1.06 (0.60-1.87)</td>
<td>0.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History of CVD</td>
<td>0.28 (0.04-1.9)</td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-peptide &gt; 0.2 nmol/l during 1st 5 years of diabetes</td>
<td>2.14 (0.28-16.18)</td>
<td>0.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-peptide &gt; 0.1 nmol/l during 1st 5 years of diabetes</td>
<td>3.79 (0.67-21.35)</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heredity hypertension</td>
<td>1.50 (0.63-3.54)</td>
<td>0.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heredity CVD</td>
<td>0.66 (0.28-1.56)</td>
<td>0.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heredity Type 2 diabetes</td>
<td>0.61 (0.25-1.45)</td>
<td>0.26</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Odds ratio (OR) for dichotomous variables has as reference group those patients without the respective factor.

The relation between possible risk factors and prevalence of any retinopathy and any nephropathy at follow up was analysed with the use of logistic regressions models, adjusting for the possible confounding effects of metabolic control and diabetes duration (Table 16). Of the univariate associations only systolic and diastolic blood pressure were still significant risk factors concerning retinopathy. Diastolic blood pressure and lipids were the only significant risk factors concerning nephropathy. Even these relations were abolished when all possible risk factors were tested in a multivariable model. Only diabetes duration OR (95% CI) 1.2 (1.1–1.3), p < 0.001 and HbA1c OR (95% CI) 4.1 (1.8–9.2), p = 0.001 showed a significant correlation to any retinopathy. All other factors were insignificant. The same pattern was seen concerning any nephropathy, where diabetes duration OR (95% CI) 1.1 (1.0–1.2), p = 0.016 and HbA1c OR (95% CI) 2.6 (1.3 – 5.1), p = 0.007 showed a significant association.

**Metabolic control**

The cumulative proportion of severe retinopathy and overt nephropathy for patients with different long term HbA1c is illustrated in Fig 6a and b. The risk of retinopathy is increasing significantly if long term HbA1c is higher than 7.5%. In contrast, the risk of overt nephropathy is increasing significantly first when HbA1c values increase above 8.5%.

39
p < 0.001 for overall comparison of groups. In pairwise comparison p < 0.01 between groups indicated in the figure **.

**Fig. 6** Cumulative proportion of a) severe retinopathy and b) overt nephropathy according to long term metabolic control.
Diabetic complications in patients with intensive insulin treatment from onset of diabetes

The prevalence of subclinical neuropathy was high, noted already at the first examination after mean diabetes duration of 8.1 years. It increased from the first to the second examination, but 4 patients improved and had normal results at follow up. In total 60% showed abnormal nerve conduction on at least one occasion. The prevalence of retinopathy was also relatively high, 28%, but there were just a few cases of more severe forms. On the contrary, the prevalence of nephropathy was low, 5%, and just one patient had macroalbuminuria (Table 17).

Only 24 (30%) did not have any complications at all. Neuropathy was not a significant risk marker for the other complications, even if there was a trend for higher prevalence of both nephropathy and retinopathy among the patients with neuropathy. Thirty-two (68%) of the patients with neuropathy had no other complications and 8 (33%) of the patients with retinopathy or nephropathy had no signs of neuropathy.

Mean HbA1c for the whole population was 7.3% (range 5.2 – 11.4%) and differed between subgroups of patients. The patients with complications had higher HbA1c than the patients without complications, but the difference was not statistically significant for the patients with Table 17 Prevalence of subclinical neuropathy, retinopathy and nephropathy and metabolic control in a population of young patients with intensively treated Type 1 diabetes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>n</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>HbA1c (%)</th>
<th>p value</th>
<th>Duration (years)</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mean(SD)</td>
<td>range</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nerve function</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>6.9 (0.8)</td>
<td>0.001†</td>
<td>11.4 (3.2)</td>
<td>7.1-18.9</td>
<td>0.7†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neuropathy</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>7.6 (1.0)</td>
<td>11.7 (3.8)</td>
<td>4.5-20.6</td>
<td>11.9 (3.7)</td>
<td>7.4-20.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Examination 1</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>39.2</td>
<td>8.1 (3.4)</td>
<td>3.7-17.4</td>
<td>11.9 (3.7)</td>
<td>7.4-20.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Examination 2</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>55.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>11.6 (3.8)</td>
<td>4.5-20.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Examination 1 or 2</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>59.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>11.6 (3.8)</td>
<td>4.5-20.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eye examination</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>7.2 (0.9)</td>
<td>0.04§</td>
<td>12.5 (3.5)</td>
<td>8.2-24.5</td>
<td>&lt;0.001‡</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retinopathy</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>27.5</td>
<td>7.7 (1.1)</td>
<td>15.8 (3.9)</td>
<td>9.9-22.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Background</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>22.5</td>
<td>15.7 (3.8)</td>
<td>10.7-22.8</td>
<td>16.0 (4.7)</td>
<td>9.9-21.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preproliferative/</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>11.5 (2.8)</td>
<td>8.9-14.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proliferative</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kidney examination</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>7.3 (1.0)</td>
<td>0.3§</td>
<td>13.2 (3.7)</td>
<td>7.5-22.7</td>
<td>0.9§</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nephropathy</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>7.8 (0.9)</td>
<td>12.9 (3.5)</td>
<td>8.9-16.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microalbuminuria</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>11.5 (2.8)</td>
<td>8.9-14.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macroalbuminuria</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>16.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All complications</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>6.8 (0.8)</td>
<td>0.004†</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Only neuropathy</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>7.5 (0.8)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retinopathy or nephropathy</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>7.6 (1.1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

HbA1c values are mean value of yearly mean for all individuals (SD). HbA1c can be transformed to corresponding DCCT value by adding 1.1%

† p-value for comparison between patients with and without complications

§ p-value for over all comparison. In pairwise comparisons the p-value was 0.02 between no complications and only neuropathy, 0.006 between no complications and retinopathy/nephropathy and 1.0 between only neuropathy and retinopathy/nephropathy.
Table 18 Clinical characteristic of patients at onset of Type 1 diabetes diagnosed in childhood 1976–2000

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>n</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>316</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of symptoms prior to diagnosis weeks (SD)</td>
<td>2.9 (2.4)</td>
<td>2.6 (2.8)</td>
<td>2.7 (2.2)</td>
<td>2.6 (3.3)</td>
<td>2.8 (2.9)</td>
<td>2.7 (2.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DKA at onset (%)</td>
<td>15.7</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>20.3</td>
<td>18.9</td>
<td>16.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HbA1c at onset % (95% CI)</td>
<td>9.4 (8.2-10.6)</td>
<td>8.9 (8.3-9.4)</td>
<td>8.6 (8.0-9.2)</td>
<td>9.3 (8.6-10.0)</td>
<td>9.3 (8.8-9.7)</td>
<td>9.1 (8.8-9.3)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| n = number of patients with available data DKA = diabetic ketoacidosis * p <0.01 compared to the other groups

Clinical characteristics of patients at onset of diabetes during 25 years

Age at onset, gender and onset before puberty didn’t differ significantly between the cohorts with diabetes onset during the time period 1976 – 2000. The duration of symptoms prior to diagnosis, prevalence of DKA and HbA1c at diagnosis was also the same in all cohorts (Table 11 and 18).

Secular trend of diabetes partial remission and C-peptide secretion

The prevalence of partial remission during the whole time period was 59%. The youngest cohort 1996 – 2000 had a significantly lower rate. Between the other cohorts there was no difference (Table 18). The median (range) duration of partial remission for the patients with a remission period was 0.9 (0.1–6.2) years and there was no difference between cohorts (Fig 7).

Fig. 7 Duration of partial remission for patients with a remission period

p = 0.22 for comparisons of groups.

nephropathy. The patients with only neuropathy did not differ from the patients with other forms of complications (Table 17).

The duration of diabetes was the same among the patients with and without complications besides the patients with retinopathy who had longer diabetes duration (Table 17).
The prevalence of detectable fasting C-peptide was 91.2% in the whole population after 1 year of diabetes duration and significantly lower in the cohort 1976 – 2000. After 5 years still 32.7% of the patients had detectable fasting C-peptide (Fig. 8a). If the cut off level was increased the prevalence was lower, 61.2% had C-peptide > 0.1 nmol/l after 1 year of diabetes, decreasing to 6.5% after 5 years (Fig. 8b). There was a significant difference between cohorts concerning detectable C-peptide, with the cohort 1996 – 2000 having the lowest prevalence at all durations. The same cohort also had the lowest prevalence of C-peptide > 0.1 nmol/l after 5 years. All other comparisons among cohorts were non significant.

Fig. 8 Prevalence of fasting serum-C-peptide after 1, 3 and 5 years of diabetes duration
a) detectable C-peptide ≥ 0.03 nmol/l. b) C-peptide > 0.1 nmol/l
C-peptide secretion, both fasting and stimulated maximum value, was highest after 3 months of diabetes duration and then declined successively (Fig. 9). The three latest cohorts had higher C-peptide values at onset (p < 0.05) and maximum value was significantly higher (p < 0.05) at 3 months in the cohort 1996 – 2000 compared to the two earliest cohorts. In contrast, fasting C-peptide was lower in the cohort with diabetes diagnosis in 1996 – 2000 (p < 0.05) after 1.5, 2.5 and 4 years. All other pairwise comparisons were non significant.

Fig. 9 C-peptide secretion after different diabetes duration. a) Serum-C-peptide at diagnosis and fasting serum-C-peptide b) Maximum C-peptide after stimulation with a mixed meal
C-peptide secretion and metabolic control

Long term HbA1c was higher for the cohort 1976 – 1980 during all years. The insulin dose was higher for the cohort 1996 – 2000 during 1 and 3 years of diabetes duration. During the whole 5 years period there was no difference between cohorts (Fig. 10). Both HbA1c and insulin dose were significantly lower in patients with persistent C-peptide secretion > 0.1 nmol/l during 1, 3 and 5 years (Fig. 11). If “detectable” C-peptide was used as cut off level it was still possible to demonstrate a significant difference between groups concerning insulin dose, but not concerning HbA1c after more than 1 year of diabetes duration (data not shown).

Fig. 10 a) Long term HbA1c and b) insulin doses in patients with Type 1 diabetes diagnosed 1976 – 2000 during 1, 3 and 5 years of diabetes duration

Error bar represent mean value and 95% CI

* indicate cohort, which significantly (p<0.05) differs from the other cohorts at the respective diabetes duration.
a) Bars show mean HbA1c and error bars SD.
*p < 0.05 ***p<0.001 for difference between groups.

b) Bars show mean insulin dose and error bars SD.
***p<0.001 for difference between groups.

Fig. 11 a) HbA1c and b) insulin dose during the first five years after diabetes diagnosis in relationship to prevalence of fasting C-peptide > 0.1 nmol/l

In a logistic regression model with C-peptide > 0.1 nmol/l after 5 years as dependent variable, the influence of sex, age at diagnosis, HbA1c at diagnosis, DKA at diagnosis, C-peptide at diagnosis and long term HbA1c and insulin dose during the first five years were analysed. The most obvious statistically significant associations was age at diagnosis, OR (95% CI) = 2.0 (1.3 – 3.1) p = 0.001; Hba1c at diagnosis, OR = 1.8 (1.2 – 2.8) p = 0.005 and insulin dose, OR = 0.002 (0 – 0.2) p = 0.005. But even male gender OR = 0.1 (0.02 – 0.6) p = 0.014 and DKA at diagnosis OR = 0.03 (0.02 – 0.6) p = 0.02 showed a significant association.
Table 19 Mortality in a population of patients with Type 1 diabetes diagnosed in childhood during 1961 – 1985

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Period of onset</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Uraemia</th>
<th>CHD*</th>
<th>Hypoglycaemia</th>
<th>Suicide</th>
<th>Ketoacidosis</th>
<th>Accident</th>
<th>Cancer</th>
<th>All causes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1961-1965</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4*</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1966-1970</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1971-1975</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>1*</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1976-1980</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1981-1985</td>
<td>57</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All periods</td>
<td>269</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\* CHD Coronary heart disease *2 of the patients had diabetic nephropathy
\* The patient had diabetic nephropathy

Mortality
The mortality rate was high in the oldest cohort (Table 19). Of the 25 deaths, 7 were directly caused by nephropathy and uraemia. The mortality was highest among the patients with nephropathy, 12 (33%) of 36 patients compared with 13 (6%) of 230 patients without nephropathy.
Discussion

In this unselected population with Type 1 diabetes diagnosed in childhood during the years 1961 – 1985 the cumulative proportion of overt nephropathy and severe retinopathy after 30 years of diabetes duration had declined during the last decades. Diabetes duration and long term HbA1c were the only significant risk factors for development of both retinopathy and nephropathy. Early microvascular complications were still common in a population of young adults with mean 13 years of diabetes duration, despite fairly good metabolic control and intensive insulin regimens from onset of diabetes. The prevalence of subclinical neuropathy was high and all grades of retinopathy relatively high, but lower than usually reported. On the contrary, the prevalence of microalbuminuria was low. The clinical characteristics at onset of diabetes have remained unchanged over the last 25 years despite the doubling of diabetes incidence and more intensive insulin regimens from beginning. The duration of partial diabetes remission and magnitude and duration of C-peptide secretion have also been unchanged.

Study population

We studied an unselected population of Type 1 diabetes in a well defined geographic area, since every child in Sweden with newly diagnosed diabetes is treated at a paediatric clinic. The patients were treated in routine care. We managed to trace nearly all the patients and had very few drop-outs. Of the 269 patients in study I and IV, 91 % were monitored for retinopathy and 95% for nephropathy until at least 1997. In study II, 79 of 80 patients participated in at least one electrophysiologival examination of nerve conduction velocity and all patients were monitored for nephropathy or retinopathy. This makes the results reliable and possible to apply in clinical practice. In study III the participant rate varied between 81 – 93% on different occasions. The drop-outs were even distributed between cohorts and we have no reason to believe that they were biased with time. Because of difficulties with repeated blood sampling in preschool children, they are to a lesser extent represented in the results of stimulated C-peptide secretion, but the proportion of younger children was alike in the different cohorts.

Statistical methods

Survival analysis was used for investigation of cumulative proportion of severe retinopathy and overt nephropathy. This method requires exact time for the events, but even information from patients not monitored until the end of the study period can contribute to the calculations. That means a better possibility to discover differences between groups of patients. The cumulative proportion (or probability) calculated with life table method is mathematically not the same as cumulative incidence, but if there are not too many drop outs the figures are roughly comparable.

The results of cross-sectional prevalence studies are difficult to compare with our study, since prevalence studies tend to give lower figures after long term follow up of severe complications as a considerable proportion of the patients with overt nephropathy die prematurely. However, we were also forced to use cross-sectional data for some variables. Background retinopathy and microalbuminuria are sometimes reversible, less well defined and were not regularly measured during the whole study period, which makes it inappropriate to use survival analysis. We used instead prevalence rate for these complications. The same is valid for subclinical neuropathy, which was not screened for in the clinical routine.
Only intervention studies can give a definite answer whether associations between risk factors are causal. In this type of observational study, there is a complex interrelationship between different risk factors and it is necessary to use statistical methods such as logistic regression models and Cox proportional hazard analysis to adjust for confounding factors. However, introduction of several variables in the analysis can diminish the possibility to really discover significant associations, especially if the population is quite small as in our study. We can’t exclude that lack of association in some cases could partly be explained by too low statistical power.

Information about smoking and hereditary factors was collected from a questionnaire sent to the patients in the end of the 1990s. The responding rate of 68% in the whole study population was acceptable and at the same level as in other comparable studies. However, the responding rate was slightly lower in the two oldest cohorts, partly because of the higher mortality in overt nephropathy in these cohorts. That means that data is lacking for a rather great part of the patients with severe complications and the same is true concerning HbA1c values, since HbA1c values were not available for 47% of the patients with overt nephropathy and for 25% of the patients with severe retinopathy, who developed complications before the method was introduced in 1980. These circumstances can diminish the power of the statistical analysis. In spite of this, we found a strong association between metabolic control and microvascular complications.

Definitions of long term complications
The long follow up time in study I and IV means difficulties, since laboratory methods, examination procedures and definition of complications could have changed during the period. We defined severe retinopathy as laser treated retinopathy as it is more definite than just proliferative retinopathy, especially in the early period when the eyes were examined with ophthalmoscopy. It is possible that the indications have changed into a more liberal direction the last years. However, this would tend to overestimate the cumulative proportion in the younger cohorts compared with the older ones. In study II and IV all grades of retinal changes were included, but at that time all patients were examined with fundus photographs. Even if the photos were examined by different ophthalmologists, there is a general consensus about the classifications of retinopathy (Table 5). Other studies from the same region have shown a good agreement between the ophthalmologists91.

Overt nephropathy was defined as persistent macroproteinuria. During the first decades it was measured only with semi-quantitative test strips and there are several sources of error. The test strips are not well validated. When the metabolic control is bad the urine is diluted and the protein concentration false low. However, the definition is the same as in other older studies, which makes it possible to compare the results. The prevalence of microalbuminuria was defined as AER 20 – 200 μg/minute in a timed over night urine sample, which is a general accepted definition, at the last available visit. We accepted a spot value to classify the patient as microalbuminuric, which probably have overestimated the prevalence. On the contrary, the use of ACE inhibitors and other antihypertensive medicines could reduce the prevalence of microalbuminuria. In study I 25 patients were prescribed antihypertensive medicine before the occurrence of nephropathy. The great majority of these patients (22) belonged to the cohorts 1961 – 65 and 1966 – 70 and it is possible that this to some extent has decreased the prevalence at the last follow up in these cohorts, but it can not explain the low prevalence of microalbuminuria in the youngest cohorts. In study II no patient used that sort of medicine.
Laboratory methods
The HbA1c method has changed several times during the follow up period, but the laboratory had conversion equations every time the method was changed (Table 12). The same method was used during 10 years (1986 – 1996) and during the last years it was continuously controlled against a reference method. Even laboratories outside the region could give us conversion factors to the actual reference method, making it possible to use data from patients who moved. We do not have any reason to believe that there is a systematic error between HbA1c values from different time periods. On the other hand the CV% was larger for the older methods, which tends to make it more difficult to find differences between groups. Despite this, we found a clear significant effect of metabolic control on the risk of development of complications. The HbA1c methods differ between laboratories world wide, making it difficult to compare results from studies in other countries. However, a previous study from our laboratory has shown that the actual Swedish reference value is 1.1% lower than the corresponding DCCT-value, making comparisons in many cases possible. In study III and IV we used mean HbA1c, weighted for the time between the measurements, as a measure of long term metabolic control. This is a more appropriate measure than just the mean of HbA1c as this method can correct for varying time intervals between measurements. Otherwise periods with very frequent analysis of HbA1c, for example during periods with bad metabolic control and during pregnancy with very good metabolic control, can influence the mean in an incorrect way.

Serum-C-peptide analysis was performed by the same method during most of the study period and by the same technician during 18 years, which implies that the values ought to be comparable between cohorts. However, after 2001 the method was changed and the blood samples were stored in the freezer for a longer time period before analysis. We can not exclude that this has influenced the results for the last cohort with false low values. The decreasing C-peptide values at follow up in this cohort could possibly be explained by these technical reasons, which is why we have been very cautious in our interpretation of this part of the study.

Incidence and prevalence of long term complications
The cumulative proportion of severe retinopathy was more than 50% after 30 years of diabetes duration in the cohort with diabetes diagnosis 1961 – 65, which is similar to other studies in the 1980s. The cumulative proportion then declined significantly in overall comparison between cohorts and the difference was statistically significant between the cohort 1961 – 65 and the cohorts 1971 – 75 and 1976 – 80. To our knowledge this has not been previously reported in an unselected population with diabetes beginning in childhood. A study from Denmark reported in 2000 a declining trend of proliferative retinopathy with increasing calendar year of diagnosis, but the patients were older at diagnosis and the study was clinic-based. The decrease appeared about 10 years later compared with our population and was noted first for the cohort with diabetes diagnosis 1979 – 84. Long term metabolic control seemed to be worse for the oldest patients in the Danish study compared to our patients, which possibly could explain the difference observed. After the method was introduced in the early 1980s long term HbA1c was 8.8 – 8.9% in the Danish cohorts with onset of diabetes 1965 – 1979, while the cohort 1979 – 1984 had a lower HbA1c of 8.5%. In comparison, already for the cohorts 1966 – 1980, long term HbA1c in our patients was 8.4 – 8.5% (DCCT-corrected value) (Table 11).

The prevalence of non-proliferative retinopathy in study I was high in all cohorts and increased between 1990 and 1997 in accordance with older studies, which reported that
almost every patient had developed background retinopathy after 20 – 30 years of diabetes duration. The cumulative proportion of severe retinopathy continued to rise during the whole observation period for all cohorts. This speaks in favour of that we have just postponed severe retinopathy. On the contrary, in study II we found a prevalence of any retinopathy of 27% and very few cases of more severe forms. That seems to be lower than in many other comparable studies, in which the prevalence after similar duration was 40 – 65%. In our study the prevalence was at the same level as in a recent study from the whole south east region in Sweden, where the prevalence was 32% after 10 – 12 years of diabetes duration. The clinical importance and prognostic significance of background retinopathy in a longer time perspective is unclear. Milder forms are reversible, even if a higher count of microaneurysms is predictive of higher rate of proliferative retinopathy the next years. Longer follow up is necessary before we can draw conclusions about whether it is possible to prevent even severe retinopathy.

The cumulative proportion of overt nephropathy of 32% after 30 years of diabetes duration in the oldest cohort with diabetes diagnosis 1961 – 65 is comparable with other studies from the 1980s and early 1990s. For the following cohorts we found a markedly reduced cumulative proportion of only 8% and 13%, respectively, after 25 years of diabetes duration, remaining largely unchanged after 30 years of diabetes duration. In the above mentioned study from Denmark, they also found a declining trend during the last decades, but again not before the cohort with diabetes diagnosis 1979 – 84, i.e. 15 years later than in our population. During the last years some prevalence studies, the Eurodiab Study and a study from Wales, also have reported a declining trend to about 20% after 20 – 30 years of diabetes duration. That is still much higher than in our population.

We found a low and constant prevalence of microalbuminuria in study I and a very low prevalence in study II of only 4%, despite using a spot value for classification. This is clearly lower compared with other studies from recent years, where a prevalence of 10–15% is common. Microalbuminuria is a risk factor for development of overt nephropathy and about 20% will progress to macroalbuminuria within 7 – 10 years. The progression rate would probably be even lower in adolescents. The low prevalence of microalbuminuria in our study population and the largely unchanged cumulative proportion of overt nephropathy after 25 to 30 years of diabetes duration speaks in favour of that nephropathy has really been prevented and not just postponed.

We found a high prevalence of subclinical neuropathy of nearly 60% in accordance with many other studies, even if different methods for definition of neuropathy hamper comparisons. An interesting question is why we still find a high prevalence of subclinical neuropathy, but a declining incidence of other microvascular complications in this population. Subclinical neuropathy was noted after just a few years of diabetes duration as in other studies and there was no correlation between diabetes duration and occurrence of neuropathy, also reported in other studies. The patients with signs of subclinical neuropathy had higher HbA1c than patients without neuropathy, but there was no difference between patients with just neuropathy or other types of complications. This argues against the nerves being more sensitive for worse metabolic control. Another explanation is that we used a more sensitive diagnostic method for nerve dysfunction than for the other complications, which possibly could be equally common with other examination methods. With kidney biopsy it is possible to demonstrate changes in the kidney very early after diabetes diagnosis. Fluorescein angiography can detect incipient forms of retinopathy several years earlier than fundus photography. Our study could not analyse this theory.
third possibility is that there are different pathogenetic mechanisms causing different kinds of long term complications. Long term metabolic control is certainly not the only factor and more acute effects of hyperglycaemia and other metabolic disturbances could also be of importance\textsuperscript{85}. The weak association between the occurrence of neuropathy and other types of complications and the lack of association with diabetes duration speaks in favour of this explanation.

Risk factors
There is a complex interrelationship between the different possible risk factors for long term complications and the cause and consequences are not always obvious. We found several significant univariate associations completely abolished in the multivariable analysis. It is absolutely necessary to adjust for confounding factors, which is not always done in other studies and makes comparison of results difficult.

Metabolic control
In study IV long term HbA\textsubscript{1c} was, beside diabetes duration, the only highly significant independent risk factor for both diabetic retinopathy and nephropathy, in multivariable models abolishing all other univariate associations of risk factors. Even in study II metabolic control was worse in patients with neuropathy and retinopathy. The inability to find a correlation between nephropathy and metabolic control in this study was probably explained by too low statistical power. The result is in concordance with practically all other population studies and intervention studies as the DCCT, the Oslo and the Stockholm study\textsuperscript{32, 128, 286} over the last decades.

There has been debated whether there is a threshold value for HbA\textsubscript{1c} and the risk of complications. Our study was not designed to give a definite answer to that question, but the risk for overt nephropathy was very small below HbA\textsubscript{1c} 8.5% and no patient with HbA\textsubscript{1c} < 6.5% had nephropathy despite long duration. The risk for severe retinopathy began to increase already when HbA\textsubscript{1c} increased above 7.5% and there was in our study no “safe” level. However, the recent guidelines from ADA with a recommended level of HbA\textsubscript{1c} < 6.0% may be unnecessarily strict\textsuperscript{130}. The different risk levels of HbA\textsubscript{1c} for retinopathy and nephropathy suggest a different susceptibility in these organs for the harmful effect of hyperglycaemia.

Duration
Not unexpectedly, duration of diabetes was a significant independent risk factor for retinopathy in study II and IV and for nephropathy in study IV, in concordance with practically all other studies. In study II we did not find any difference between patients with and without nephropathy, probably explained by too few cases and low statistical power. We did not find any correlation between neuropathy and diabetes duration, which is also in concordance with other studies. Since blood pressure, BMI, lipid values and prevalence of history of CVD and smoking increase with age, which of course highly correlates with diabetes duration, it is important to always adjust for the effect of duration as a confounding factor. Diabetes duration was therefore included in all multivariable models.

Blood pressure
We found a univariate association between both systolic and diastolic blood pressure and overt nephropathy and any retinopathy. After adjusting for diabetes duration and metabolic control the relationship between systolic blood pressure and overt nephropathy disappeared. The other associations were weak but statistically significant, but in multivariable analysis even these associations were abolished. Many studies have convincingly demonstrated the valuable effect of antihypertensive therapy to retard the progress of renal failure\textsuperscript{27, 175}. On the contrary, there are conflicting results concerning the importance of blood pressure level as a risk factor for the initial development of diabetic
retinopathy and nephropathy\textsuperscript{54, 65, 103, 117, 138, 163, 170} perhaps partly explained by not adjusting for the confounding effect of metabolic control, diabetes duration and early stages of nephropathy.

We analysed in this study the association between long term blood pressure and diabetic complications. It is possible that this may have underestimated the importance of higher blood pressure values during a shorter time period for the development of complications. On the other hand, if only the last year’s blood pressure is taken in consideration there is a risk of overestimating the causal effect of higher blood pressure values. Renal structural abnormalities are present long before they are possible to detect with usual laboratory test\textsuperscript{173, 174}. Twenty four-hour ambulatory blood pressure is pathologic already with AER within upper normal range\textsuperscript{172} and higher nocturnal mean arterial blood pressure was in normoalbuminuric children associated with more advanced renal changes\textsuperscript{106}. A higher blood pressure could thus be a secondary phenomenon to an early kidney disease. This population was too small to allow for dividing the blood pressure values in shorter time periods and perform subgroups analysis. This discussion is valid not only for nephropathy, but even for diabetic retinopathy, since many of these patients have concomitant renal disease\textsuperscript{170}.

Our study does not support the importance of higher blood pressure levels for the development of microvascular complications. However, the role of blood pressure levels must still be considered unclear and intervention studies with early antihypertensive therapy and long follow up are necessary to eventually investigate the clinical importance and create guidelines for clinical practice.

**Smoking** We found a significant univariate association between smoking and severe retinopathy and any nephropathy. But after adjusting for diabetes duration and metabolic control the associations were completely abolished. Diabetes duration is probably a confounding factor explained by a cohort phenomenon. History of smoking was more prevalent in the oldest cohorts with longest diabetes duration and decreased from 70 % to 30 % in the youngest cohorts. This is in concordance with the markedly changed smoking habits in Sweden the last decades (Official statistics, The National Board of Health and Welfare in Sweden). Metabolic control can also be a confounding factor with psychosocial factors affecting both smoking habits and the ability to achieve good metabolic control\textsuperscript{190}, even if some authors have suggested a direct effect of smoking on metabolic control and insulin sensitivity\textsuperscript{287}. Other studies have given conflicting evidence of the connection between smoking and diabetic complications\textsuperscript{65, 163, 194, 195}, but in our study the higher incidence of complications in the oldest cohorts seems not be explained by smoking habits.

**Other cardiovascular risk factors** BMI was the same in all cohorts and did not differ between patients with and without complications. We measured BMI at follow up in the end of the 1990s and we can not exclude that the results would have been different with long term BMI. However, this is in concordance with some studies\textsuperscript{167, 288}, while others have found BMI to be a risk factor for both retinopathy and nephropathy\textsuperscript{117, 162, 289}. Dyslipidemia is also considered part of the metabolic syndrome, which is suggested to be a risk factor for diabetic complications\textsuperscript{160}. In univariate analysis we found higher cholesterol values in patients with both any retinopathy and any nephropathy and higher triglycerides in patients with nephropathy. After adjusting for diabetes duration and metabolic control the association remained only for any nephropathy. The causal relationship between dyslipidemia and diabetic nephropathy is impossible to investigate in this type of observational study and intervention studies are required to further analyse the importance for the initial development
of complications. In multivariable regression models the association was abolished, again emphasizing the importance of metabolic control. Heredity for CVD, Type 2 diabetes and hypertension did not differ between patients with and without complications and our study can not support the theory of inheritance of the metabolic syndrome explaining the genetic susceptibility for long term complications. History of CVD was more common among patients with complications, as demonstrated in many other studies. Again, adjusting for diabetes duration and metabolic control abolished the relationship. In our study we can not further analyse if there is a common pathogenetic factor for CVD and nephropathy, suggested by some authors or if the higher prevalence of CVD in patient with nephropathy are secondary to the higher frequency of hypertension and dyslipidemia in these patients.

**C-peptide** The proportion of patients with persistent C-peptide secretion the first five years after diabetes diagnosis was the same in patients with and without retinopathy and nephropathy. There are few population studies in this field and no one could demonstrate an effect of C-peptide secretion when adjusting for metabolic control. In the DCCT study the patients with C-peptide secretion had a slower rate of progression of microvascular complications, mostly explained by a better metabolic control, but the follow up was shorter than in our study. However, it seems reasonable that the effect of C-peptide secretion during the first years diminishes in importance after a longer follow up, since the C-peptide secretion gradually decreases during the years after diabetes diagnosis.

In this population we found good glycaemic control to be the most crucial factor for prevention of long term complications. We could not confirm the importance of long term blood pressure, lipid profiles, BMI and smoking demonstrated in some other studies. We can not exclude that the explanation to some extent can be too low statistical power in this rather small population. However, there is conflicting evidence concerning the importance of these risk factors and our findings are supported by other studies. Early intervention studies with long follow up are needed to definitely examine the importance of primary preventive measures against these factors.

The study can not give a definite answer why the prognosis has improved substantially during the last decades in our population. A probable explanation is better metabolic control since the beginning of the 1970s, when the goal of good glycaemic control was emphasized from onset of diabetes. The existence of a diabetes team offered both medical and psychosocial support and encouraged the patients and their parents to take own responsibility for the therapy. Unfortunately there was no possibility to objectively measure the metabolic control before the end of the 1970s and we can just suspect that the metabolic control was worse in the 1960s.

**Clinical picture at diagnosis** The clinical characteristics at onset of diabetes were surprisingly constant in our population the last 25 years. The incidence doubled during the time period, but we could not confirm a shift to younger age groups reported from other parts of Sweden. There seems to be a wide geographical variation of presentation among countries in Europe with association to the background incidence rate of diabetes. However, the increased incidence in our population seems not to have increased the medical awareness in the general population or among health staff, since the prevalence of DKA, the duration of symptoms prior to diagnosis and HbA1c at diagnosis were unchanged in our population the last decades. The prevalence of DKA was
16%, which is a rather low figure compared to other countries, from where prevalence varying between 20% and nearly 70% is reported.

C-peptide secretion and partial remission

It was difficult to find a clear secular trend concerning C-peptide secretion. Serum C-peptide values at diabetes diagnosis were higher for the three latest cohorts and fasting, but not stimulated, C-peptide was higher for the cohort 1996 – 2000. In contrast, this cohort had lower values after 1.5 years and longer duration of diabetes. We can not exclude that these values are false low because of too long storage before analysis. A cautious interpretation is therefore, that the pattern of C-peptide secretion was largely unchanged during the last decades. The same was valid for the prevalence of C-peptide after different diabetes duration without clear discrepancy between cohorts. After 1 year more than 90% of the patients had detectable fasting C-peptide, decreasing to 33% after 5 years of diabetes duration. This is higher than usually reported. As in other studies we found a correlation between persistent C-peptide secretion and lower HbA1c. However, fasting C-peptide had to be above 0.1 nmol/l to show a significant association with metabolic control. The causal relationship between C-peptide secretion and metabolic control can not be answered in this type of observational study. The rather good mean HbA1c of 6.3% (DCCT corrected value 7.4%) the first 3 years, which is not so far from the intensively treated group (HbA1c 7.2%) in the DCCT study, could possibly explain the high persistence of C-peptide secretion in our population.

We defined partial diabetes remission as the period with insulin dose < 0.5 U/kg/day and found an unchanged prevalence except for the cohort with onset of diabetes 1996 – 2000, which had a lower prevalence but unchanged length of the remission period. That does not necessarily mean a real decrease. The insulin dose during the first years after diabetes diagnosis was higher in the cohort 1996 – 2000, probably because of a conscious effort to, when necessary, elevate the insulin dose to achieve the best possible metabolic control and not accept HbA1c values outside the normal range. This will lead to a decreased rate of partial remission with our definition. Several studies have demonstrated a positive correlation between prevalence of partial remission and duration and magnitude of C-peptide secretion. The probably unchanged remission period is in concordance with the unchanged pattern of C-peptide secretion in our population the last decades.

The insulin regimens changed dramatically during the period, which did not apparently affect the remission period and C-peptide secretion. The cohort 1981 – 85 with conventional insulin regimen achieved the same metabolic control during the first years as the following cohorts with more intensive insulin therapy, which shows that factors other than the number of insulin injections is of importance. The insulin regimen per se seems not to influence metabolic control and beta cell function during the first years after diagnosis, which is supported by some small controlled studies.
Conclusions

- The cumulative proportion of severe retinopathy and overt nephropathy after 30 years of diabetes duration has declined over the last decades.
- The results were possible to achieve in an unselected population with modern diabetes care.
- Diabetic nephropathy in this population has probably been prevented and not just postponed.
- Longer follow up is necessary before we can draw conclusions about whether it is possible to prevent even severe retinopathy.
- Good glycaemic control was the most important factor to avoid microvascular complications.
- The risk of overt nephropathy increased substantially when HbA1c exceeded 8.5%, while the risk of severe retinopathy already increased when HbA1c was higher than 7.5%.

- Intensive insulin regimens from onset of diabetes and fairly good metabolic was not sufficient to entirely escape early microvascular complications after mean 13 years of diabetes duration. The prevalence of subclinical neuropathy was high. The prevalence of background retinopathy was also relatively high, even if it was lower than usually reported, but the prevalence of microalbuminuria was low.
- Subclinical neuropathy was not a significant risk marker for other complications, which speaks in favour of different pathogenetic mechanisms.

- The clinical picture at onset of diabetes was unchanged during the last 25 years.
- Despite intravenous insulin at diagnosis and multiple insulin injections from onset of diabetes, prevalence and duration of partial diabetes remission were unchanged.
- Magnitude and duration of C-peptide secretion were largely constant during the last decades.
- Longer persistence of C-peptide secretion during the first five years was not of importance to prevent diabetic retinopathy or nephropathy.
Future research

- Longer follow up of this population to definite answer the question if we can prevent and not just postpone severe retinopathy.

- Good long term metabolic control is crucial for prevention of long term complications. We lack studies concerning the long term effect of worse metabolic control during shorter time periods, for example puberty. This is of great interest in clinical practice.

- Long follow up studies to investigate the prognostic significance of early signs of subclinical neuropathy.

- Randomized controlled studies to analyse the importance of early treatment of hypertension, lipoprotein disturbances and microalbuminuria in adolescents.

- Randomized controlled studies of substitution therapy with C-peptide in physiological amounts with long follow up, to determine an eventual beneficial effect on microvascular complications.
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