Linköping University Post Print

Guidelines for Management of Scoliosis in Rett Syndrome Patients Based on Expert Consensus and Clinical Evidence

Jenny Downs, Anke Bergman, Philippa Carter, Alison Anderson, Greta M Palmer,
David Roye, Harold van Bosse, Ami Bebbington, Eva-Lena Larsson, Brian G Smith,
Gordon Baikie, Sue Fyfe and Helen Leonard

N.B.: When citing this work, cite the original article.

This is a non-final version of an article published in final form in:

Jenny Downs, Anke Bergman, Philippa Carter, Alison Anderson, Greta M Palmer, David Roye, Harold van Bosse, Ami Bebbington, Eva-Lena Larsson, Brian G Smith, Gordon Baikie, Sue Fyfe and Helen Leonard, Guidelines for Management of Scoliosis in Rett Syndrome Patients Based on Expert Consensus and Clinical Evidence, 2009, SPINE, (34), 17, E607-E617.

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/BRS.0b013e3181a95ca4>

Copyright: J B Lippincott Co

<http://www.lww.com/>

Postprint available at: Linköping University Electronic Press

<http://urn.kb.se/resolve?urn=urn:nbn:se:liu:diva-19883>

Title: Guidelines for management of scoliosis in Rett syndrome patients based on expert consensus and clinical evidence

Authors:

Jenny Downs, PhD, Centre for Child Health Research, Telethon Institute for Child Health Research, Perth, Australia

Anke Bergman, MPH, Centre for Child Health Research, Telethon Institute for Child Health Research, Perth, Australia

Philippa Carter, MBBS, Centre for Child Health Research, Telethon Institute for Child Health Research, Perth, Australia

Alison Anderson, BSc (Hons), Centre for Child Health Research, Telethon Institute for Child Health Research, Perth, Australia

Greta Palmer, FFPMANZCA, Department of Anaesthesia and Pain Management, Royal Children's Hospital, Melbourne, Australia

David Roye, MD, Division of Pediatric Orthopaedic Surgery, Morgan Stanley Children's Hospital of New York Presbyterian, Columbia University Medical Center, New York, USA

Harold van Bosse, MD, Department of Orthopaedics, Shriner's Hospital for Children, Philadelphia, USA

Ami Bebbington, BSc (Hons), Centre for Child Health Research, Telethon Institute for Child Health Research, Perth, Australia

Eva Lena Larsson, PhD, Orthopaedic Centre, University Hospital, Linköping, Sweden

Brian Smith, MD, Department of Orthopedics, Yale University, USA

Gordon Baikie, MD, Department of Developmental Medicine, Royal Children's Hospital, Melbourne, Australia

Sue Fyfe, PhD, School of Public Health and Curtin Health Innovation Research Institute, Curtin University of Technology, Perth, Australia

Helen Leonard, MBChB, Centre for Child Health Research, Telethon Institute for Child Health Research, Perth, Australia

Working group: **Gordon Baikie**, MD, Department of Developmental Medicine, Royal Children's Hospital, Melbourne, Australia

Allan Beebe, Cardinal Orthopaedic Institute, Columbus, Ohio, USA

Andy Bowe, Department of Orthopaedics, Robert Wood Johnson University Hospital, New Brunswick, USA

Allen Carl, Capital Region Orthopaedic Group, Albany, USA

Hilary Cass, The Wolfson Centre, Great Ormond Hospital for Sick Children, London, UK

Eva Chan, Department of Physiotherapy, Glen Allan School, Melbourne, Australia

Craig Eberson, Department of Orthopaedics, Brown Medical School/Hasbro Children's Hospital, Providence, USA

Carolyn Ellaway, Genetic Metabolic Disorders Service, Children's Hospital at Westmead, Sydney, Australia

Peter Gibson, Department of Anaesthesia, Faculty of Medicine, Westmead Hospital, University of Sydney, Australia

Jocelyn Goodall, Department of Physical Therapy, Legacy Emmanuel Children's Hospital, Portland, USA

Robert Hensinger, Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, USA

Peter Huppke, Department of Paediatrics, Georg-August University, Göttingen, Germany

Walter Kaufmann, School of Medicine, Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, USA

John Killian, MD, Orthopaedic Specialists, Birmingham, USA

Alexander Krebs, Orthopaedisches Spital Wien-Speising, Abteilung fuer Orthopaedy, Vienna, Austria

Eva-Lena Larsson, PhD, Orthopaedic Centre, University Hospital, Linköping, Sweden

Gunilla Larsson, Swedish Rett Centre, Frosön, Sweden
Bruce McPhee, Chair of Orthopaedic Surgery, University of Queensland, Brisbane, Australia
Ulrich Meergans, Department of Orthopaedics, Helios Seehospital Sahlenburg, Germany
Peter Newton, Pediatric Orthopaedic and Scoliosis Center, Rady Children's Hospital, San Diego, USA
Roy Nuzzo, Department of Orthopaedics, Overlook Hospital, Summit, USA
Greta Palmer, FFPMANZCA, Department of Anaesthesia and Pain Management, Royal Children's Hospital, Melbourne, Australia
Alan Percy, Civitan International Research Center, University of Alabama, Birmingham, USA
James Policy, Rett Clinic, Children's Hospital Oakland, Oakland, USA
David Roye, MD, Division of Pediatric Orthopaedic Surgery, Morgan Stanley Children's Hospital of New York Presbyterian, Columbia University Medical Center, New York, USA
Suken Shah, Department of Orthopaedics, Alfred I. DuPont Hospital for Children, Delaware, USA
Brian Smith, MD, Department of Orthopaedics, Yale University, USA
Ian Torode, Department of Orthopaedics, Royal Children's Hospital, Melbourne, Australia
Stephen Tredwell, Emeritus Head of Paediatric Orthopaedics, Children's Hospital, British Columbia, Canada
Hans Tropp, Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, University Hospital, Linköping, Sweden
Harold van Bosse, MD, Department of Orthopaedics, Shriner's Hospital for Children, Philadelphia, USA
Lyn Weekes, physiotherapist retired, UK
Alexander Wild, Department of Orthopaedics, Hessing Stiftung Augsburg, Germany,
Matthew Yates, Cerebral Palsy Education Centre, Melbourne, Australia

Corresponding author

Dr Helen Leonard

Telethon Institute for Child Health Research, Centre for Child Health Research, University of Western Australia, Perth, Western Australia, 6872

Telephone: +61 08 9489 7790

Fax: +61 08 9489 7700

Email: hleonard@ichr.uwa.edu.au

Sources of support

The Australian Rett Syndrome program has been funded by the National Institutes of Health (5R01HD043100-05) and also the National Medical and Health Research Council (NHMRC) project grant #303189 for certain clinical aspects. The international Rett syndrome research program is funded by the International Rett Syndrome Foundation. HL is funded by a NHMRC program grant (#353514). There are no potential conflicts of interest or commercial support of the authors.

Acknowledgements

We would like to acknowledge the valuable contributions of our panel of parents; the work of the International Rett Syndrome Foundation (previously IRSA) in establishing and supporting Rettnet; the support of Drs Sarah Doyle, Michael Forness, Alison Hulme, Hossain Mehdian, Kit Song, Paul Sponseller and Helen Woodhead, and Mr Meir Lotan; and the information technology team at the Telethon Institute for Child Health Research in Western Australia.

ABSTRACT

Study design: Modified Delphi technique

Objective: To develop guidelines for the clinical management of scoliosis in Rett syndrome through evidence review and consensus expert panel opinion.

Summary of background data: Rett syndrome is a rare disorder and clinical expertise is thus with small case series. Scoliosis is a frequent association and the evidence base dealing with scoliosis management in this syndrome is limited. Parents have expressed needs for more information about scoliosis and Rett syndrome.

Methods: An initial draft of scoliosis guidelines was created based upon literature review and open-ended questions where the literature was lacking. Perspectives of four parents of Rett syndrome patients informed this initial draft. Access to an online and a word version of the draft were then sent to an international, multi-disciplinary panel via email with input sought using a 2-stage modified Delphi process to reach consensus agreement. Items included clinical monitoring and intervention prior to the diagnosis of scoliosis; monitoring following the diagnosis of scoliosis; imaging; therapy and conservative management; bracing; and pre-operative, surgical and post-operative considerations.

Results: The first draft contained 71 statements, 65 questions. The second draft comprised 88 items with agreement to strong agreement achieved on 85, to form the final guideline draft. A comprehensive, life-span approach to the management of scoliosis in Rett syndrome is recommended that takes into account factors such as physical activity, posture, nutritional and bone health needs. Surgery is indicated when the Cobb angle is approximately 40 to 50 degrees and must be supported by specialist management of anesthesia, pain control, seizures and early mobilization.

Conclusions: Evidence- and consensus-based guidelines were successfully created and have the potential to improve care of a complex co-morbidity in a rare condition and stimulate research to improve the current limited evidence base.

KEY WORDS Rett syndrome; scoliosis; neuromuscular diseases; Delphi technique, practice guideline

KEY POINTS

- A set of clinical guidelines for the management of scoliosis in Rett syndrome were developed based on expert expertise and clinical evidence
- Specific features of Rett syndrome such as genotype, seizures, autonomic disturbances and osteoporosis impact on the management of scoliosis
- A life-span approach commencing before the development of scoliosis and including comprehensive management from medical, therapy and surgical specialists is described
- Spinal fusion is recommended when the Cobb angle is approximately 40 to 50 degrees

MINI ABSTRACT

An international and multidisciplinary panel of clinicians participated in a modified Delphi technique to develop a set of clinical guidelines for the management of scoliosis in Rett syndrome. Items related to monitoring and conservative interventions prior to and following the diagnosis of scoliosis; and pre-operative, surgical and post-operative considerations.

INTRODUCTION

Rett syndrome is a rare neuromuscular disorder defined clinically by a set of criteria in 1988.¹ Further to the identification of a link with a *MECP2* gene abnormality² these were later modified in 2002.³ It primarily affects females, results in severe intellectual disability and functional dependence and is associated with co-morbidities such as seizures,⁴ nutrition and growth problems,⁵ autonomic disturbances^{6,7} and osteoporosis.⁸ Scoliosis (of neuromuscular type) is the most common orthopaedic condition.⁹⁻¹¹ Occurrence prior to adolescence is not unusual with data in our population-based Australian Rett Syndrome Database suggesting that the median age of onset for scoliosis is 9.8 years with about 75% affected by the age of 13 years.¹² Like other types of neuromuscular scoliosis, scoliosis in these patients progresses more rapidly on average 14 -21 degrees per year in small case series¹³⁻¹⁵ and may not necessarily halt with skeletal maturity.¹⁴ There may be adverse effects such as pain, loss of sitting balance, deterioration of walking skills and progressive restrictive lung disease.¹⁶

The evidence base for the scoliosis management in Rett syndrome is limited. Some case series^{11,15,17,18} and one case study¹⁹ are available which can be supplemented by narrative reviews for neuromuscular scoliosis.^{16,20,21} For example, expert opinion from two sources suggests that planning for surgery should commence when the Cobb angle is greater than 40 to 60 degrees.^{18,20} Clinical trials in neuromuscular scoliosis are also limited focusing upon blood loss reduction.^{22,23} As RTT is rare,²⁴ clinicians usually have exposure to small patient series.

Parents are integral to the management of scoliosis in Rett syndrome and can offer perspectives on management.^{18,25} Several families participating in the population-based Australian Rett Syndrome study²⁴ had voiced concerns to researchers about lack of available information on scoliosis management. This led to the current project which included a review of the literature and the use of collective expertise of an international group of experienced clinicians as well as input from family members. A consensus building process was employed using the modified Delphi technique²⁶ with the aim of increasing understanding and developing consensus guidelines for the clinical management of scoliosis in Rett syndrome.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This project took the format recommended for the development of clinical guidelines by the National Health and Medical Council²⁷ and the Royal College of Paediatrics and Child Health.²⁸ The project was directed from the Telethon Institute for Child Health Research, Western Australia from 2006 to 2008 and the study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Curtin University.

Literature review and parent perspectives

A literature search was performed by AB, JD and HL. Databases included PubMed, Medline, the Cochrane Library, EMBASE, CINAHL, PsychINFO and Web of Science; online libraries included those of the World Health Organisation, CMA – Clinical Practice Guidelines, Geneva Medical Research, the National Guideline Clearinghouse, National Electronic Library for

Health, Scottish Intercollegiate Guidelines, the British Orthopaedic Association, National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence, and the Trip Database. Search and key words included Rett syndrome, co-morbidity, physiotherapy, scoliosis, predictors, bracing, anesthesia, surgery, outcome, neuromuscular, management, complications, orthopedic and techniques. The search was limited to English and the years 1980 to 2007. If the title and abstract described the management of neuromuscular scoliosis (determined by authors AB, JD, HL), the full paper was retrieved. Statements describing clinical management of scoliosis in Rett syndrome were extracted from the full text.

Rettnet, an online email information interchange for parents /persons with a RTT interest,²⁹ was used to collect parent and carer perspectives. Using the filter word 'scoliosis' for postings between March 2001 and October 2006, interchange regarding scoliosis management were extracted.

Initial guideline development, expert panel recruitment and guideline redrafting using the modified Delphi process

Referenced statements extracted from the literature and Rettnet postings were categorized by AB and JD into topic areas. These were accompanied by a 5-point Likert scale for agreement rating (strongly agree, agree, neither agree or disagree, disagree, strongly disagree) with space for comments. If there was no literature, an open-ended question on management was constructed (by authors AB, JD, SF, HL). The statements and questions were listed in a word document and an online version created using HTML form and PHP script. Participants could indicate their level of agreement with dropdown menus and type in comments in the spaces provided, and responses could be saved, edited and submitted in stages. Data received were stored in a MySQL database on a secured server located at the Telethon Institute for Child Health Research.

Clinicians with Rett syndrome experience from different countries in the disciplines of orthopedics, spinal surgery, pediatrics, pediatric neurology, clinical genetics, anesthesia, nursing, physical and occupational therapy were identified by authors HL, JD and AB, and through publications and the Australian Rett Syndrome²⁴ and InterRett³⁰ databases. A Rettnet request was posted for parents to recommend clinicians with appropriate expertise. Snowball sampling using collegial recommendations was used to expand the sample. Parents who were participants in the Australian Rett Syndrome and InterRett studies were identified. Potential participants were contacted by telephone or email to request their participation; English was the language used. Seventy-two of the 128 identified clinicians were able to be successfully contacted. Sixty (83.3%) agreed to participate along with four parents.

For the first round of the Delphi process, members of the expert panel provided feedback on the emailed word document or online version which was username and password protected. Panel members were directed to respond to nominated sections relevant to their professional scope, for example, only orthopedic surgeons were required to respond to the statements and questions on imaging. A pre-determined level of consensus was established:

consensus was attained where a minimum of 70% of responses were within one response category of the median response.

The second guideline set was informed by these responses (drafted by AB, JD, SF and HL) and sent for second round consensus assessment. Any returned comments were considered for inclusion. This process was a modified Delphi process because consensus after round 2 was in the main clear and therefore we did not send panel members their previous responses together with the median responses for the group. The statements were then summarized to reduce repetition and sent to the panel for final endorsement. Some final discussion points were incorporated into the endorsed document where consensus allowed. A level of evidence using the Scottish Intercollegiate Guidelines Network grading scheme³¹ was applied to each item for which there was consensus: level 1 representing evidence from systematic reviews and randomized controlled trials (RCTs), level 2 case control or cohort studies, level 3 case reports or case series and level 4 expert opinion.

RESULTS

Literature review and parent perspectives

Search of 16 databases revealed 1,080 citations as potentially relevant. Of these, 183 articles were retrieved and reviewed in full text from August 2006 to March 2008: 42 supported the final guideline draft. No RCTs concerning neuromuscular scoliosis management were found.

Three hundred and nine Rettnet postings relating to scoliosis management were found with some families sending multiple postings. Common themes included conservative prevention of scoliosis progression, difficulties with spinal bracing, medical issues associated with severe scoliosis, anxiety about pending surgical procedures, a need for more pre-operative information and surgical outcomes.

Expert panel participation

Of the 60 clinicians agreeing to participate, 40 (66.7%) were orthopedic or spinal surgeons, six (10.0%) worked in areas of child neurology or developmental pediatrics, and there were eight (13.3%) physiotherapists or occupational therapists, two (3.3%) anesthetists, two (3.3%) clinical geneticists, one (1.7%) pediatric endocrinologist, and one (1.7%) spinal nurse.

Forty one participants responded including 25 (61.0%) orthopedic surgeons, seven (17.1%) physical or occupational therapists, four (9.8%) child neurologists or developmental pediatricians, two (4.9%) anesthetists, two (4.9%) clinical geneticists and one pediatric endocrinologist (2.4%). Nearly half (46%) were North American (46%), 11 (26.8%) were European, 9 (22.0%) were Australian with one (2.4%) from Israel. With regards to patients managed, 19 (54.3%) had managed more than 20, eight (22.8%) 11-20 patients, five (14.3%) 6-10 patients and three (8.6%) clinicians had managed less than five Rett syndrome patients.

Initial guideline draft and redrafting using the modified Delphi process

The initial guideline draft comprised six sections: monitoring and intervention prior to the diagnosis of scoliosis; monitoring and intervention after the diagnosis of scoliosis; imaging;

therapy; bracing; pre-operative considerations; peri-operative considerations; and post-operative considerations. All of the Rettnet topics were represented. The initial draft included 71 statements, 65 questions and a reference list.

Thirty-seven of 41 (90.2%) clinicians responded to the first round. Three of the four parents also responded and found that scope of the document was satisfactory and relevant to their experiences. Thirty-eight (92.7%) clinicians responded to version 2, which comprised of 92 items. The final guidelines document comprised 85 separate statements with agreement or strong agreement. Tables 1-4 list the items, together with levels of evidence, the median responses and the percentage of responses within 1 category of the median.

Two items were changed in response to comments received in the second round. Consensus was achieved for the item (Table 4 item 16) stating a preference for a single stage surgical approach. It was elected to expand this after discussion to "If extensive anterior-posterior surgery is planned, then consideration should be given to staging the procedure to reduce the risk of complications particularly if the child has significant co-morbidities".^{32,33} No consensus was achieved for the pain control regimen (Table 4 Item 29) as it was noted that medication regimens constantly change. In the final document, this was replaced with the more general statement "Post-operative analgesia must be closely monitored by a specialist pain team with 24 hour cover".³²

The final guideline document was summarized to reduce repetition and was endorsed by 35 panel members (Table 5). At this stage, some orthopedic panel members wished to emphasize the importance of pelvic fusion in relation to the amount of pelvic obliquity and whether the patient was ambulating or not. However, as many different views were expressed during this discussion, the original statement was retained.

DISCUSSION

This project integrated available evidence in the literature with parental input and expert clinician consensus using a modified Delphi technique. The resulting guideline contains statements relevant to the development and progression of scoliosis in Rett syndrome, including monitoring as well as conservative and surgical interventions. This document is comprehensive with a life-span approach.

We initiated this study in response to the voiced concerns of parents who had many questions about the management of scoliosis and many of whom have felt that the provision of information about scoliosis management was poor.²⁵ Incorporating parental concerns renders these guidelines reflective of consumer needs and supports their social validity.³⁴ Guidelines are systematically developed statements that assist clinicians and patients to engage in best practice.²⁶ Scoliosis management requires contributions from professionals with medical, surgical and therapy skills. For that reason, a multidisciplinary panel was sought. Although 'drop out' did occur, the number of participants and cross section of professions was reasonable. However we would acknowledge anesthetists and nurses were under represented which should be addressed during future guideline revision. Of those who participated, response rates were high and many constructive comments

were received. Use of the internet and email enabled timely consultation across the world. The method employed permits time for considered responses. Lack of face-to-face contact can be both advantageous in allowing freedom of expression and reduction of group pressures and disadvantageous in that it restricts constructive debate over contentious issues such as the management of pelvic obliquity.²⁶

A significant limitation of this project is that the peer-reviewed literature is very limited and even though expert exposure is also small, the consensus of experts played a particularly important role. This document is thus a current best effort to provide practitioners with guidance in the management of an important orthopedic condition in this rare disorder.

There are usually different ways to manage a clinical problem and many comments reflected the variety of clinical practice. For example, the panel endorsed use of 6 monthly antero-posterior X-ray films to assess the progress of scoliosis but some members of the panel requested both antero-posterior and lateral X-ray films at 12 monthly intervals in their practice. Similarly, use of the supine position for X-rays was recommended for girls who cannot sit but some clinicians commented that the supine position was preferred for all cases because the measures were felt to be more reproducible. The scoliosis guidelines therefore represent guidance and discussion points rather than a specific recipe for clinical management.

The clinical experience of our panel matched the consumer experience of a group of 168 parents with a daughter with RTT who described their perception of effectiveness of treatments for scoliosis in an online questionnaire. Parents judged bracing effective in delaying the need for surgery in a minority of cases and its use was tempered by commonly experienced adverse effects, and physiotherapy was considered beneficial to quality of life in nearly two thirds (62%) of cases countered by comments relating to the lack of effect on the progression of the scoliosis.^{12,25} This highlights the importance of involving parents, who have a wealth of knowledge, understanding and experience with both RTT and scoliosis, in health care. They are crucial partners in this process.¹⁸

Consistent with earlier recommendations in the literature,^{18,35,36} surgery should be conducted when the Cobb angle is approximately 40 to 50 degrees. Surgical intervention prior to the development of severe scoliosis and before the effects of other co-morbidities such as decreasing mobility with increasing age in RTT³⁷ come into play has the potential to improve surgical outcomes. This recommendation is also a measurable outcome of the acceptance of these guidelines by the orthopedic community.

There is much need for additional research. As case series to date have been small, multi-institutional study would better represent the population. Larger samples would possibly permit sub-analysis of predictive factors of age of onset, genotype, preceding mobility level and severity of Cobb angle. Other issues that could be assessed include establishment of a relationship between supine and standing X-rays, the impacts of spinal bracing and physical therapy, timing and type of surgery (the latter relevant to optimal surgical approaches and cases with pelvic obliquity), post-operative pain and respiratory interventions, and post-

surgical complications and outcomes. Strategies for support after the immediate post-operative period have not been determined in these guidelines and this is also an important subject for further research.

In conclusion, these guidelines have been created using innovative methodology where no prior document existed in response to parental needs. The recommendations incorporate a comprehensive approach to multiple aspects of health in subjects with RTT and scoliosis. This document can be used by clinicians with less experience of RTT, to promote discussion among clinicians and caregivers, and act as a catalyst for further research.

REFERENCES –

Table 1 Agreement with items describing the monitoring and intervention prior to diagnosis of scoliosis~

Items describing monitoring and intervention prior to diagnosis (reference and study design)	Level of evidence (SIGN)	Median response	n/N (%) with median response or 1 category either side
1. All children with a clinical diagnosis of RTT should have a molecular test as genotype may influence the development and management of scoliosis (cohort study ¹²).	2+	agree	9/9 (100.0)
2. Children with abnormal early development and those who never learned to walk have been shown to be at higher risk of developing scoliosis. These children require closer monitoring (cohort study; ¹² case series ¹⁴)	2+	strongly agree	9/9 (100.0)
3. A physical assessment of the spine should be conducted at the time of diagnosis of RTT	4	strongly agree	9/9 (100.0)
4. A physical assessment of the spine should be conducted at least every 6 months after diagnosis of RTT	4	agree	7/9 (77.8)
5. Develop, maintain and promote walking for as long as possible (case series; ¹⁷ case series ³⁸)	3	strongly agree	9/9 (100.0)
6. It is important to maintain strength of the back extensors (case series ¹⁴)	3	agree	9/9 (100.0)
7. It is important to maintain flexibility of the spine (case series ¹⁴)	3	strongly agree	9/9 (100.0)
8. It is important to implement a postural management scheme that includes appropriate support for correct sitting posture	4	strongly agree	9/9 (100.0)
9. It is important to implement a postural management scheme that includes sleeping posture supports	4	agree*	9/9 (100.0)
10. Because of the high prevalence of scoliosis in RTT, families should be given information about this early in the child's clinical course (narrative review ³⁹)	4	strongly agree	8/9 (100.0)

~ all items completed by 9 physicians and therapists; SIGN = Scottish Intercollegiate Guidelines Network;³¹ *1 strongly agree, 4 agree and 4 neither agree or disagree = therefore although there is consensus, this is the weakest of the items and therefore we feel more caution recommending this strategy.

Table 2 Agreement with items describing the monitoring after diagnosis of scoliosis~ and imaging of scoliosis[§]. Items in italics dropped because of no consensus direction.

Items describing physical monitoring after diagnosis of scoliosis (reference and study design)	Level of evidence (SIGN)	Median response	n/N (%) with median response or 1 category either side
1. Referral should be made to an orthopedic surgeon when there is clinical concern regarding scoliosis (case series; ¹¹ narrative review ³⁹)	3	strongly agree	30/30 (100.0)
2. Scoliosis monitoring should be conducted every 6 months (narrative review ³⁹)	4	agree	25/30 (83.3%)
3. <i>Scoliosis monitoring should be more frequent when there is evidence of low muscle tone</i>		<i>neither agree or disagree</i>	23/30 (76.7)
4. Scoliosis monitoring should be more frequent when there is evidence of limited early development of mobility	4	agree	22/30 (73.3)
5. Scoliosis monitoring should be more frequent during growth spurts	4	agree	26/29 (89.6)
6. Scoliosis monitoring should be more frequent when the curve is progressing rapidly	4	strongly agree	27/30 (90.0)
7. Children with genotypes known to be at higher risk of more severe scoliosis (p.R168X, p.R255X, p.R270X) require more frequent monitoring (cohort study ¹²).	2	agree	27/30 (90.0)
8. Relative importance of factors that determine the frequency of orthopaedic assessment include	4		N (%) ranking in the top 5
1. Progression of the curve			26/28 (92.8)
2. Age of onset			22/28 (78.0)
3. Cobb angle			21/28 (75.0)
4. Skeletal maturity			17/28 (60.7)
5. Level of ambulation			15/28 (53.6)
6. Genotype			13/28 (46.4)
7. Current age			12/28 (42.8)
8. Level of lung function			10/28 (35.7)
9. Muscle tone			9/28 (32.1)
10. Pattern of the curve			6/28 (21.4)
9. Physical assessment in RTT should include symmetry of weight bearing in sitting (narrative review ¹⁶)	4	agree	29/30 (96.7)
10. Physical assessment in RTT should include level of walking ability including time spent walking and total distance walked	4	agree	30/30 (100.0)
11. Physical assessment in RTT should include sitting balance (narrative review ¹⁶)	4	agree	29/30 (96.7)
12. At each visit, weight should be measured (narrative review ⁴⁰)	4	agree	28/30 (93.3)
13. At each visit, height should be measured (n=29) (narrative review ⁴⁰)	4	agree	27/30 (93.1)
14. <i>Due to the potential to lose height, the height of girls with RTT should be measured in the supine position</i>		<i>neither agree or disagree</i>	25/30 (83.3)
Items describing imaging (reference and study design)			
15. Request an initial X-ray if there is evidence of a curve (narrative reviews; ^{41,42} reliability studies ^{43,44})	4	strongly agree	21/21 (100.0)
16. Six monthly follow-up X-rays are suggested if the Cobb angle is more than 25 degrees before skeletal maturity (narrative reviews ^{20,45})	4	strongly agree	16/21 (76.2)
17. 12 monthly X-rays are required after skeletal maturity (narrative review ¹⁶)	4	agree	17/21 (81.0)
18. Plain radiography is sufficient in monitoring the progression of the curve (narrative reviews ^{16,42})	4	strongly agree	20/21 (95.2)
19. Standing upright antero-posterior and lateral spinal radiographs are advised for patients at their initial visit (narrative reviews ^{16,21})	4	strongly agree	20/21 (95.2)
20. Sitting upright antero-posterior and lateral spinal radiographs are advised for patients who cannot stand (narrative reviews ^{16,21})	4	strongly agree	21/21 (100.0)
21. Supine antero-posterior and lateral spinal radiographs are advised for patients who cannot sit	4	agree	19/21 (90.5)
22. Antero-posterior films alone may be used in follow up X-rays (narrative review ⁴⁰)	4	agree	17/21 (81.0)
23. A hand and wrist radiograph can be taken to assess skeletal maturity (descriptive text ⁴⁶)	4	agree	20/21 (95.2)

~ items completed by 30 orthopedic surgeons, physicians and therapists; § items completed by 21 orthopedic surgeons; SIGN = Scottish Intercollegiate Guidelines Network³¹

Table 3 Agreement with items describing therapy for scoliosis~ and spinal bracing[§]. Item in italics dropped because of no direction to the consensus.

Items describing therapy for scoliosis (reference and study design)	Level of evidence (SIGN)	Median response	n/N (%) with median response or 1 category either side
1. Commence therapy as soon as there is clinical concern (case study ¹⁹)	3	strongly agree	9/9 (100.0)
2. Physiotherapy should be used to maintain general well being in children with RTT and scoliosis (narrative reviews ^{16,21})	4	agree	9/9 (100.0)
3. Physiotherapy will not prevent the progression of an established scoliosis (narrative reviews ^{16,21})	4	agree	9/9 (100.0)
4. Aim to increase the distance that the child can walk	4	agree	9/9 (100.0)
5. Aim to increase the length of time that the child is able to stand on her feet	4	agree	9/9 (100.0)
6. Aim for walking and/or standing at least 2 hours per day (case study ¹⁹)	3	agree	9/9 (100.0)
7. For those who cannot walk, support standing in a standing frame or at least 30 minutes a day	4	agree	9/9 (100.0)
8. Aim to maintain range of movement of joints (case series; ¹⁷ case study; ¹⁹ narrative review ²¹)	4	strongly agree	9/9 (100.0)
9. Symmetrical supported seating is valuable for the child's comfort and functioning (narrative reviews; ²¹ case series; ¹⁷ before and after study ⁴⁷)	3	strongly agree	9/9 (100.0)
10. Time spent in daylight, and/or supplements of vitamin D should be considered to promote bone health (narrative review ⁴⁸)	4	agree	10/10 (100.0)
11. Improving dietary intake of calcium should be considered to promote bone health (RCTs ^{49,50})	1+	agree	10/10 (100.0)
12. In severe scoliosis where surgery is not indicated, the management plan should include the provision of supported sitting to optimize posture - (Holmes et al 2003 – before and after study ⁴⁷)	3	strongly agree	9/9 (100.0%)
13. In severe scoliosis where surgery is not indicated, the management plan should include the monitoring and treatment of pressure sores	4	strongly agree	9/9 (100.0)
14. In severe scoliosis where surgery is not indicated, the management plan should include chest physiotherapy, flu immunization and a low threshold for antibiotic use to minimize the effects of restrictive lung disease	4	agree	9/9 (100.0)
Items describing spinal bracing for scoliosis (reference and study design)			
15. There is no consensus that spinal bracing is beneficial in reducing the progression of scoliosis in RTT (case series; ^{11,13,51} narrative reviews; ^{16,21} narrative ¹⁸)	3	agree	28/31 (90.3)
16. <i>A brace is warranted in a very severe case of scoliosis where the child can't sit up straight (case series⁵¹)</i>		<i>neither agree or disagree</i>	27/31 (90.0)
17. A brace is warranted where active seating and trunk activation cannot be achieved (case series ⁵¹)	3	agree	30/31 (96.8)
18. If tolerated, bracing should be used in the skeletally immature child to delay surgery (narrative reviews; ^{16,21} narrative ¹⁸)	4	agree	29/31 (93.5)
19. The following are potential complications of bracing:		agree	30/31 (96.8)
Pressure sores	4		
Respiratory impairment (case series ^{16,18})	3		
Discomfort (case series ²⁸)	3		
Skin irritation	4		
Potential to decrease physical activity (case series ²⁸)	3		

~ items completed by 9 physicians and therapists, items 10 and 11 also completed by a pediatric endocrinologist; § items completed by 31 orthopaedic surgeons and therapists; SIGN = Scottish Intercollegiate Guidelines Network³¹

Table 4 Agreement with items describing the pre-operative[~]; peri-operative[§]; and post-operative considerations^α. Items in italics dropped because of no direction to the consensus.

Items describing pre-operative considerations (reference and study design)	Level of evidence (SIGN)	Median response	n/N (%) with median response or 1 category either side
1. A surgical objective is the restoration of the normal sagittal profile (narrative reviews ^{16,21,40})	4	agree	19/21 (90.5)
2. A surgical objective is to achieve level shoulders and hips (narrative reviews ²¹)	4	agree	20/21 (95.2)
3. A surgical objective is to achieve a spine that is balanced and fused (narrative review ⁴⁰)	4	strongly agree	21 (100.0)
4. Surgery should be performed when the Cobb angle is approximately 40 to 50 degrees (case series, ^{18,35,36} narrative review ²⁰)	3	agree	18/21 (85.7)
5. Sitting balance is an important consideration when planning surgery for scoliosis in RTT (narrative review ²¹)	4	strongly agree	19/20 (95.0)
6. Where there is a severe anaesthetic risk of complications, surgery should be performed at a specialist centre	4	strongly agree	21/21 (100.0)
7. Caution should be used when performing surgery in younger children due to the following problems: decreased trunk height, pulmonary restriction, 'crankshaft' phenomenon, secondary curvatures	4	agree	20/21 (95.2)
8. Surgery should not be delayed until skeletal maturity has been achieved (narrative review ²⁰)	4	agree	16/20 (80.0)
Items describing peri-operative considerations (reference and study design)			
9. There should be a period of pre-operative hyperalimentation if weight is less than the 5 th centile (narrative review relating to cerebral palsy ⁵²)	4	agree	24/25 (96.0)
10. The following markers of nutrition should be assessed:			
Body Mass Index	4	agree	21/25 (84.0)
Haemoglobin	4	agree	25/25 (100.0)
Electrolytes	4	agree	25/25 (100.0)
Albumin (narrative review ²¹)	4	agree	25/25 (100.0)
White cell count (narrative review ²¹)	4	agree	23/25 (92.0)
11. <i>Given the higher incidence of decreased bone density in children with RTT, bone density should be assessed pre-operatively (n = 26) – (cohort study⁸)</i>		<i>neither agree or disagree</i>	<i>25/26 (96.2)</i>
12. Patients with RTT need special anaesthetic consideration in line with other neuromuscular disorders. They are highly sensitive to analgesia, sedatives and volatile anaesthetics (case studies ⁵³⁻⁵⁵ ; case control study ⁵⁶)	2-	agree	21/21 (100%)
13. In addition to the pre-operative assessment used in all scoliosis surgery, the following must be considered before anaesthetising a child with RTT:			
Breathing patterns (narrative reviews ^{16,21})	4	agree	23/25 (92.0)
Excess salivation (n = 24)	4	<i>neither agree or disagree</i>	<i>24/24 (100.0)</i>
Gastro-oesophageal reflux (n = 24) (narrative reviews ^{20,21})	4	agree	23/24 (95.8)
Autonomic disturbance	4	agree	20/25 (80.0)
Seizure history and management (narrative review ²⁰)	4	agree	24/25 (96.0)
Pre-operative ECG (narrative review ²¹) to diagnose prolonged QT syndrome	4	agree	23/25 (92.0%)
14. <i>A Bispectral Index Monitor should be used in inducing and maintaining an adequate level of anaesthesia in children who are extremely sensitive to anaesthetic agents (case studies^{53,54})</i>		<i>neither agree or disagree</i>	<i>25/25 (100.0)</i>
15. If a reliable signal can be obtained, MEPs and/or SSEPs can be used to detect neurological injury during neuromuscular scoliosis surgery (case series; ^{5,23,42,57} narrative reviews ^{20,21,32})	3	agree	25/26 (96.2)
16. A posterior only fusion should be the definitive management of neuromuscular scoliosis in girls with RTT (narrative reviews ²¹)	4	agree	21/25 (84.0)
17. Both an anterior and posterior approach achieves maximal surgical correction and stability (narrative reviews ²¹)	4	agree	22/25 (88.0)
18. In the majority of cases, it will be possible to use a posterior approach to spinal surgery (case series ⁵⁸)	3	agree	24/25 (96.0)
19. If antero-posterior surgery must be used, a single stage approach is preferable in order to reduce surgical insult (retrospective case series relating to cerebral palsy ³³)	3	agree	23/26 (88.5)
20. Fixation to the pelvis is undesirable in patients who are ambulant (narrative reviews ^{16,21})	4	agree	21/24 (87.5)
21. If pelvic obliquity exists and the child is non-ambulant, pelvic fixation is indicated (narrative review ^{16,21})	4	agree	23/25 (92.0)

22. <i>There may be a role for halo-femoral traction intra-operatively in large, rigid curves (retrospective case control study⁵⁹; retrospective prospective quasi-experimental study⁶⁰)</i>		<i>neither agree or disagree</i>	22/25 (88.0)
Items describing post-operative considerations (reference and study design)			
23. Post-operatively, the following should be assessed as a measure of surgical success:			
Complications including bleeding, infection and duration of ICU stay (narrative reviews; ^{16,20} case series ^{33,36,61})	3	agree	23/25 (92.0)
Cobb angle and achievement of fusion (narrative review; ¹⁶ retrospective case series ⁶¹)	3	agree	22/24 (91.7)
Respiratory status (narrative ²⁰ ; retrospective case series ⁶¹)	3	agree	23/23 (100.0)
Sitting balance, function and quality of life (narrative review; ¹⁶ before and after study; ^{16,62} descriptive study ⁶³)	3	strongly agree	25/25 (100.0)
Parental satisfaction (narrative reviews ^{16,20})	4	agree	25/25 (100.0)
Weight gain		<i>neither agree or disagree</i>	24/25 (96.0)
24. Admit to HDU/ICU post-operatively (n = 27) (narrative review; ²⁰ narrative review relating to cerebral palsy ⁵²)	4	strongly agree	25/27 (92.6)
25. Care needs to be taken with regards the titration of analgesia so that pain relief is adequate, sedation is minimised and to ensure respiratory effort is not compromised (consensus guidelines ⁶⁴)	4	strongly agree	26/27 (96.3)
26. Frequent and aggressive chest physiotherapy should be used	4	agree	31/31 (100.0)
27. A clear management plan should be constructed when the patient is transferred back to the ward	4	strongly agree	33/33 (100.0)
28. Seek expert advice to optimize nutritional status	4	agree	26/26 (100.0)
29. <i>IV paracetamol 15mg/kg, 6/24 Ketamine infusion 0.1-0.2mg/kg/hr to reduce opioid requirements, Morphine 10-20mcg/kg/hr or Tramadol 0.25-0.33mg/kg/hr (if respiratory depression a major concern and seizures are adequately controlled and/or are absence type or are not present), Diazepam 0.025-0.075mg/kg 6/24 for muscle spasm management, pain team review twice daily, 24 hour cover for review prn if deteriorates</i>		<i>neither agree or disagree</i>	23/24 (95.8)
30. Consult parents or caregivers to help assess the child post-operatively (narrative review ¹⁸)	4	strongly agree	30/30 (100.0)
31. Log roll for bed mobility	4	agree	29/29 (100.0)
32. Sit over the edge of the bed on the first post-operative day	4	agree	31/31 (100.0)
33. Transfer to a chair on the second post-operative day	4	agree	30/31 (96.8)
34. Walking (if possible) on the third post-operative day	4	agree	29/30 (96.7)
35. Post-operative reviews should be carried out at 6 weeks and then every 2/3 months over the first year	4	agree	24/25 (96.0)
36. After one year, reviews should be carried out annually	4	agree	24/25 (96.0)

^aitems completed by 21 orthopedic surgeons; ^bitems completed by 25 orthopedic surgeons, anesthetists and physicians. One pediatric endocrinologist also answered item 3; ^citems completed by 25 orthopedic surgeons, anesthetists and physicians with 7 therapists completing questions relating to therapy; SIGN = Scottish Intercollegiate Guidelines Network³¹

Table 5 Final guidelines endorsed by the expert panel for the management of scoliosis in Rett syndrome (RTT)

<p>1 Monitoring and intervention prior to diagnosis of scoliosis</p> <p>1.1 All children with a clinical diagnosis of RTT should undergo genetic testing as genotype may influence the development and management of scoliosis.</p> <p>1.2 Because of the high prevalence of scoliosis in RTT, families should be given information about this early in the child's clinical course.</p> <p>1.3 Physical assessment of the spine should be conducted at the time of diagnosis of RTT and at least every 6 months thereafter.</p> <p>1.4 Therapy should aim to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Develop, maintain and promote walking for as long as possible. • Optimize strength of back extensors. • Maintain flexibility of the spine. • Implement a postural management scheme that includes appropriate support for correct sitting posture and sleeping posture supports.
<p>2 Monitoring following a diagnosis of scoliosis</p> <p>2.1 Referral should be made to an orthopedic surgeon when there is clinical concern regarding scoliosis</p> <p>2.2 Physical examination of the spine should be conducted at least every 6 months, but the frequency of assessment should be increased in the following situations:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Abnormal early development/never learning to walk • Low muscle tone • During growth spurts • Early age of onset • Greater Cobb angle • Children with genotypes known to be at higher risk of more severe scoliosis (p.R168X, p.R255, p.R270X) <p>2.3 Physical assessment in RTT should include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Sitting balance and symmetry of weight bearing in sitting • Level of walking ability and time spent walking • Total distance walked <p>2.4 At each visit, height and weight should be measured.</p>
<p>3 Imaging</p> <p>3.1 Request an initial X-ray if there is evidence of a curve.</p> <p>3.2 It is preferable to assess skeletal maturity with a hand and wrist radiograph but assessment of the iliac crest growth plate is also an option.</p> <p>3.3 Six monthly X-rays are suggested if the Cobb angle is more than 25 degrees before skeletal maturity and twelve monthly X-rays after skeletal maturity until evidence of no further progression.</p> <p>3.4 Plain radiography is sufficient in monitoring the progression of the curve. The following views should be obtained and should include shoulder to pelvis:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Standing upright AP and lateral spinal radiographs for patients who can stand at their initial visit. • Sitting AP and lateral spinal radiographs for patients who cannot stand. • Supine AP and lateral spinal radiographs for patients who cannot sit. <p>3.5 AP films alone may be used in follow up x-rays.</p>
<p>4 Therapy and conservative management</p> <p>4.1 Involve physiotherapists and occupational therapists as soon as scoliosis has been diagnosed.</p> <p>4.2 Physiotherapy should be used to maintain musculoskeletal wellbeing in children with RTT and scoliosis. There is not yet evidence that physiotherapy will prevent progression of an established scoliosis.</p> <p>4.3 Aim to prolong ambulation as long as possible. Aim to increase the distance that the child can walk and/or the length of time the child can stay on their feet (at least 2 hours per day where possible).</p> <p>4.4 For those who cannot walk, use standing frames for at least 30 minutes a day.</p> <p>4.5 Aim to maintain range of movement of joints.</p> <p>4.6 Symmetrical seating is valuable for the child's comfort and functioning.</p> <p>4.7 Assess, monitor and optimize Vitamin D levels. Improve dietary intake of calcium and time spent in daylight to promote bone health.</p> <p>4.8 In severe scoliosis where surgery is not indicated, the management plan should include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The provision of supported seating to optimize posture • Monitoring and treatment of pressure sores • A low threshold for antibiotic use during respiratory infections to minimize the effects of restrictive lung disease.
<p>5 Spinal Bracing</p> <p>5.1 There is no consensus that bracing is beneficial in reducing the progression of scoliosis in RTT but it may be used if seating and trunk activation cannot be achieved.</p> <p>5.2 If tolerated, bracing should be used in the skeletally immature child, to help delay surgery.</p> <p>5.3 The following potential complications of bracing must be considered: pressure sores, respiratory impairment, discomfort, skin irritation, exacerbation of gastro-esophageal reflux and the potential to decrease trunk strength, flexibility and physical activity.</p>
<p>6 Pre-operative considerations</p> <p>6.1 Surgery should be performed in a specialist center due to the high risk of anesthetic and post-surgical complications.</p>

<p>6.2 Surgery should not be delayed until skeletal maturity has been achieved, however, caution should be used before performing surgery in children younger than 10 years of age due to the following problems: decreased trunk height, pulmonary restriction, 'crankshaft', and secondary curvatures.</p> <p>6.3 Surgery should be performed when the Cobb angle is approximately 40 to 50 degrees.</p> <p>6.4 Surgical objectives should include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Achieving a spine that is balanced and fused • Restoration of the normal sagittal profile • Achieving level shoulders and hips • Improving the well-being and functioning of the child • Improving carer well-being. <p>6.5 There should be a period of hyperalimentation if weight is less than the 5th centile.</p> <p>6.6 The following markers of nutrition should be assessed: BMI, Hemoglobin, electrolytes, albumin (<3.5mg/dl), white cell count.</p> <p>6.7 Patients with Rett syndrome need special anesthetic consideration in line with other neuromuscular disorders. They are highly sensitive to analgesia, sedatives and volatile anesthetic agents.</p> <p>6.8 In addition to the pre-operative assessment used in all scoliosis surgery, the following must be considered before anaesthetizing a child with Rett syndrome:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Breathing patterns (hyperventilation, breath holding) • Pre-operative arterial blood gases/capillary gases • Gastro-esophageal Reflux • Autonomic disturbance • Seizure history, management and medications • Pre-operative ECG to identify possible prolonged QT syndrome. 	<p>7 Surgical considerations</p> <p>7.1 In the majority of cases it will be possible to use a posterior-only spinal fusion. This is the definitive management of neuromuscular scoliosis in girls with RTT.</p> <p>7.2 If anteroposterior surgery must be used, a single-stage approach is preferable in order to reduce anesthetic and surgical complications but a staged procedure may be appropriate in the presence of significant co-morbidities.</p> <p>7.3 Fixation to the pelvis is indicated if pelvic obliquity exists in the non-ambulant child. There is no consensus about the degree of obliquity that indicates fixation.</p> <p>7.4 If a reliable signal can be obtained, Motor Evoked Potentials and/or Somatosensory Evoked Potentials can be used to detect neurological injury during neuromuscular scoliosis surgery.</p>
<p>8 Post-operative considerations</p> <p>8.1 Admit to HDU/ICU post-operatively.</p> <p>8.2 Care needs to be taken with regards the titration of analgesia so that pain relief is adequate and sedation is minimized to ensure respiratory effort is not compromised. Post-operative analgesia must be closely monitored by a specialist pediatric pain team with 24hr cover or Intensive Care specialists.</p> <p>8.3 Frequent and aggressive chest physiotherapy should be used. Non-invasive positive airway pressure support may be required post-extubation (e.g. BiPAP)</p> <p>8.4 A clear management plan should be constructed when the patient is transferred back to the ward.</p> <p>8.5 Seek expert advice to optimise nutritional status.</p> <p>8.6 Consult parents or caregivers to help assess the child post-operatively.</p> <p>8.7 Mobility post operatively:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Log roll for bed mobility. • Sitting on edge of bed day one post-op. • Transfer to chair post op day two. • Walking (if possible) post op day three. <p>8.8 Post-operative reviews should be carried out at:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 6 weeks • Then every two-three months over the first year • Annually thereafter. <p>8.9 The following should be used to assess surgical outcome: complications including bleeding, infection and duration of ICU stay; Cobb angle and achievement of fusion; respiratory status; sitting balance, function and quality of life; parent and carer satisfaction.</p>	

1. The Rett Syndrome Diagnostic Criteria Work Group. Diagnostic Criteria for Rett Syndrome. Ann Neurol 1988;23:425-8.
2. Amir RE, Fang P, Yu Z, et al. Mutations in exon 1 of MECP2 are a rare cause of Rett syndrome. J Med Genet 2005;42:e15.
3. Hagberg B, Hanefeld F, Percy A, et al. An update on clinically applicable diagnostic criteria in Rett syndrome. Comments to Rett Syndrome Clinical Criteria Consensus Panel Satellite to European

- Paediatric Neurology Society Meeting, Baden Baden, Germany, 11 September 2001. *Eur J Paediatr Neurol* 2002;6:293-7.
4. Jian L, Nagarajan L, de Klerk N, et al. Predictors of seizure onset in Rett syndrome. *J Pediatr* 2006;149:542-7.
 5. Oddy WH, Webb KG, Baikie G, et al. Feeding experiences and growth status in a Rett syndrome population. *J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr* 2007;45:582-90.
 6. Julu PO, Kerr AM, Apartopoulos F, et al. Characterisation of breathing and associated central autonomic dysfunction in the Rett disorder. *Arch Dis Child* 2001;85:29-37.
 7. Axelrod FB, Chelimsky GG, Weese-Mayer DE. Pediatric autonomic disorders. *Pediatrics* 2006;118:309-21.
 8. Leonard H, Thomson M, Glasson E, et al. A population based approach to the investigation of osteopenia in Rett syndrome. *Dev Med Child Neurol* 1999;41:323-8.
 9. Huang TJ, Lubicky JP, Hammerberg KW. Scoliosis in Rett syndrome. *Orthop Rev* 1994;23:931-7.
 10. American Journal of Medical Genetics. Supplement Hagberg B, Witt Engerström I. Rett syndrome: a suggested staging system for describing impairment profile with increasing age towards adolescence. *Am J Med Genet Suppl* 1986;1:47-59.
 11. Bassett GS, Tolo VT. The incidence and natural history of scoliosis in Rett syndrome. *Dev Med Child Neurol* 1990;32:963-6.
 12. Ager S, Fyfe S, Christodoulou J, et al. Predictors of scoliosis in Rett syndrome. *J Child Neurol* 2006;21:809-13.
 13. Keret D, Bassett GS, Bunnell WP, et al. Scoliosis in Rett syndrome. *J Pediatr Orthop* 1988;8:138-42.
 14. Lidstrom J, Stokland E, Hagberg B. Scoliosis in Rett syndrome. Clinical and biological aspects. *Spine* 1994;19:1632-5.
 15. Harrison DJ, Webb PJ. Scoliosis in the Rett syndrome: natural history and treatment. *Brain Dev* 1990;12:154-6.
 16. Berven S, Bradford DS. Neuromuscular scoliosis: causes of deformity and principles for evaluation and management. *Semin Neurol* 2002;22:167-78.
 17. Hanks SB. Motor disabilities in the Rett syndrome and physical therapy strategies. *Brain Dev* 1990;12:157-61.
 18. Kerr AM, Webb P, Prescott RJ, et al. Results of surgery for scoliosis in Rett syndrome. *J Child Neurol* 2003;18:703-8.
 19. Lotan M, Merrick J, Carmeli E. Managing scoliosis in a young child with Rett syndrome: a case study. *The Scientific World Journal* 2005;5:264-73.
 20. Miller F. Spinal deformity secondary to impaired neurologic control. *J Bone Joint Surg Am* 2007;89A:143-7.
 21. Mehta JS, Gibson MJ. The treatment of neuromuscular scoliosis. *Curr Orthop* 2003;17:313-21.
 22. Letts M, Pang E, D'Astous J, et al. The influence of desmopressin on blood loss during spinal fusion surgery in neuromuscular patients. *Spine* 1998;23:475-8.
 23. Theroux MC, Corrdry DH, Tietz AE, et al. A study of desmopressin and blood loss during spinal fusion for neuromuscular scoliosis: a randomized, controlled, double-blinded study. *Anesthesiology* 1997;87:260-7.
 24. Laurvick CL, de Klerk N, Bower C, et al. Rett syndrome in Australia: A review of the epidemiology. *J Pediatr* 2006;148:347-52.
 25. Ager S. Scoliosis in Rett syndrome. School of Anatomy and Human Biology. Perth: University of Western Australia, 2003.

26. Campbell SM, Braspenning J, Hutchinson A, et al. Research methods used in developing and applying quality indicators in primary care. *Bmj* 2003;326:816-9.
27. National Health and Medical Research Council. A guide to the development, implementation and evaluation of clinical practice guidelines [Commonwealth of Australia], 1999. Available at: <http://www.nhmrc.gov.au/publications/synopses/files/cp30.pdf> Accessed 25 September 2008
28. Royal College of Paediatrics and Child Health. Standards for development of clinical guidelines in paediatrics and child health [Commonwealth of Australia], 2006. Available at: <http://www.rcpch.ac.uk/Publications/Publications-list-by-date> Accessed 25 September 2008
29. Leonard H, Slack-Smith L, Phillips T, et al. How can the internet help parents of children with rare neurological disorders? *J Child Neurol* 2004.
30. Bebbington A, Anderson A, Ravine D, et al. Investigating genotype-phenotype relationships in Rett syndrome using an international dataset. *Neurology* 2008;70:868-75.
31. Scottish Intercollegiate Guidelines Network. Sign 50: A guideline developer's handbook, 2008. Available at: www.sign.ac.uk/pdf/sign50.pdf Accessed 5 August, 2008
32. Gibson PR. Anaesthesia for correction of scoliosis in children. *Anaesth Intensive Care* 2004;32:548-59.
33. Tsirikos AI, Chang WN, Dabney KW, et al. Comparison of one-stage versus two-stage anteroposterior spinal fusion in pediatric patients with cerebral palsy and neuromuscular scoliosis. *Spine* 2003;28:1300-5.
34. Foster SL, Mash EJ. Assessing social validity in clinical treatment research: issues and procedures. *J Consult Clin Psychol* 1999;67:308-19.
35. Knoeller SM, Stuecker RD. Impact of early surgical correction of curves in paralytic scoliosis. *Saudi Med J* 2002;23:1181-6.
36. Sarwahi V, Sarwark JF, Schafer MF, et al. Standards in anterior spine surgery in pediatric patients with neuromuscular scoliosis. *J Pediatr Orthop* 2001;21:756-60.
37. Cass H, Reilly S, Owen L, et al. Findings from a multidisciplinary clinical case series of females with *Rett* syndrome. *Dev Med Child Neurol* 2003;45:325-37.
38. Lotan M, Isakov E, Merrick J. Improving functional skills and physical fitness in children with Rett syndrome. *J Intellect Disabil Res* 2004;48:730-5.
39. Holm VA, King HA. Scoliosis in the Rett Syndrome. *Brain Dev* 1990;12:151-3.
40. Burgoyne W, Fairbank J. The management of scoliosis. *Current Paediatrics* 2001;11:323-31.
41. Cassar-Pullicino V, Eisenstein S. Imaging in scoliosis: What, why and how? *Clin Radiol* 2002;57:543-62.
42. Wright N. Imaging in scoliosis. *Arch Dis Child* 2000;82:38-40.
43. Gupta. Reliability of radiographic parameters in neuromuscular scoliosis. *Spine* 2007;32:691-5.
44. Loder RT, Spiegel D, Gutknecht S, et al. The assessment of intraobserver and interobserver error in the measurement of noncongenital scoliosis in children < or = 10 years of age. *Spine* 2004;29:2548-53.
45. Thomsen M, Abel R. Imaging in scoliosis from the orthopaedic surgeon's point of view. *Eur J Radiol* 2006;58:41-7.
46. Gruelich WW, Pyle SI. Radiographic Atlas of Skeletal Development of the Hand and Wrist. 2nd edition ed. Stanford: Stanford University Press, 1959.
47. Holmes KJ, Michael SM, Thorpe SL, et al. Management of scoliosis with special seating for the non-ambulant spastic cerebral palsy population--a biomechanical study. *Clin Biomech* 2003;18:480-7.
48. Vanlint S, Nugent M, Durvasula S. Vitamin D and people with intellectual disability. *Aust Fam Physician* 2008;37:348-51.

49. Bonjour JP, Carrie AL, Ferrari S, et al. Calcium-enriched foods and bone mass growth in prepubertal girls: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *J Clin Invest* 1997;99:1287-94.
50. Cheng S, Lyytikainen A, Kroger H, et al. Effects of calcium, dairy product, and vitamin D supplementation on bone mass accrual and body composition in 10-12-y-old girls: a 2-y randomized trial. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2005;82:1115-26; quiz 47-8.
51. Olafsson Y, Saraste H, Al-Dabbagh Z. Brace treatment in neuromuscular spine deformity. *J Pediatr Orthop* 1999;19:376-9.
52. Williamson JB. Management of the spine in cerebral palsy. *Curr Orthop* 2003;17:117-23.
53. Khalil SN, Hanna E, Farag A, et al. Rett syndrome: anaesthesia management. *Paediatr Anaesth* 2002;12:375.
54. Konen. Epidural Analgesia for Pain Relief After Scoliosis Surgery in a Patient with Rett's Syndrome. *Anesth Analg* 1999;89:451-2.
55. Kim JT, Muntyan I, Bashkirov IL, et al. The use of bispectral index monitoring in the anesthetic management of a patient with Rett syndrome undergoing scoliosis surgery. *J Clin Anesth* 2006;18:161-2.
56. Tofil NM, Buckmaster MA, Winkler MK, et al. Deep sedation with propofol in patients with Rett syndrome. *Journal of Child Neurology* 2006;21:857-60.
57. Master DL, Thompson GH, Poe-Kochert C, et al. Spinal cord monitoring for scoliosis surgery in Rett syndrome: can these patients be accurately monitored? *J Pediatr Orthop* 2008;28:342-6.
58. Westerlund LE, Gill SS, Jarosz TS, et al. Posterior-only unit rod instrumentation and fusion for neuromuscular scoliosis. *Spine* 2001;26:1984-9.
59. Takeshita K, Lenke LG, Bridwell KH, et al. Analysis of patients with nonambulatory neuromuscular scoliosis surgically treated to the pelvis with intraoperative halo-femoral traction. *Spine* 2006;31:2381-5.
60. Vialle R, Delecourt C, Morin C. Surgical treatment of scoliosis with pelvic obliquity in cerebral palsy: the influence of intraoperative traction. *Spine* 2006;31:1461-6.
61. Thacker M, Hui JH, Wong HK, et al. Spinal fusion and instrumentation for paediatric neuromuscular scoliosis: retrospective review. *J Orthop Surg* 2002;10:144.
62. Larsson EEL. Long-term follow-up of functioning after spinal surgery in patients with neuromuscular scoliosis. *Spine* 2005;30:2145-52.
63. Samuelsson K, Larsson EL, Normelli H, et al. Development of an instrument for clinical evaluation after surgery for neuromuscular scoliosis. *Eur Spine J* 1996;5:400-6.
64. Playfor S, Jenkins I, Boyles C, et al. Consensus guidelines on sedation and analgesia in critically ill children. *Intensive Care Med* 2006;32:1125-36.