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Abstract

We have calculated the equation of state and elastic properties of face-centered cubic Fe and Fe-rich FeMg alloy at ultrahigh pressures from first principles using the Exact Muffin-Tin Orbitals method. The results show that adding Mg into Fe influences strongly the equation of state, and cause a large degree of softening of the elastic constants, even at concentrations as small as 1-2 at. %. Moreover, the elastic anisotropy increases, and the effect is higher at higher pressures.
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1. Introduction

The properties of iron at ultrahigh pressure have been studied extensively, both experimentally and theoretically. (Dubrovinsky et al., 2007; Lin et al., 2002; Dubrovinsky et al., 2003; Belonoshko et al., 2003; Dubrovinskaia et al., 2005; Mikhaylushkin et al., 2007; Vočadlo et al., 2008; Kuwayama et al., 2008; Vočadlo et al., 2005; Vočadlo, 2007; Belonoshko et al., 2008; Steinle-Neumann et al., 2001) Apart from a better understanding of one of our most important metals, one aim is to find the composition and structure of the Earth’s core, which is likely to be made up of iron mixed with lighter elements.

For a light element to be considered as a possible component in the Earth’s core, it should naturally alloy with Fe and be abundant within the Earth. While it is well-known that Mg is abundant (Anderson, 1989), until recently, magnesium was not considered as a possible candidate to be one of the light elements in the core, mainly because iron and magnesium differ too much in size to form alloys. However, it has been shown both theoretically and experimentally that at high pressures, the size mismatch of iron and magnesium has decreased enough so that FeMg alloys can form (Dubrovinskaia et al., 2005; Takafuji et al., 2005). At oxygen fugacity corresponding to Mg-MgO buffer, up to 10 at. % of Mg could be dissolved in hcp Fe at about 100 GPa pressure (Dubrovinskaia et al., 2005). In presence of magnesiowüstite (Mg,Fe)O and dense silicate perovskite \((Mg,Fe)SiO_3\), about 1 at. % Mg was observed in hcp Fe (Takafuji et al., 2005).

We acknowledge that the relatively high oxygen fugacity in the Earth interior, makes Mg a somewhat unlikely candidate for the main light element in the core (Dubrovinskaia et al., 2005). Still its presence has not been ruled out, and the study of its effect on the properties of Fe is motivated.

The elastic properties of iron rich FeMg alloys were recently reported for the hexagonal close-packed (hcp) (Kádas et al., 2008) and for body-centered cubic (bcc) (Kádas et al., 2009) structures. However, Mikhaylushkin et al. have shown that the fcc phase of Fe can not be ruled out as a possible structure stable at conditions corresponding to the Earth’s core. (Mikhaylushkin et al., 2007) Since the energy difference between the competing phases of Fe at Earth core conditions is extremely small (Mikhaylushkin et al., 2007), we therefore believe it is important to investigate elastic properties for the face-centered cubic structure (fcc) of Fe rich FeMg alloy. In particular, in a recent study (Asker et al., 2009) we have demonstrated that the elastic anisotropy of fcc Fe, estimated according to Hill’s definition (Grimvall, 1999), is much higher than for hcp Fe, and the effect persists in FeNi alloys.

We report in this letter first-principles calculations of
the equation of state and elastic properties of fcc Fe, Fe$_{98}$Mg$_{02}$, Fe$_{95}$Mg$_{05}$ and Fe$_{90}$Mg$_{10}$ at ultrahigh pressures. The calculations have been carried out using the EMTO-CPA method (Vitos et al., 2001) (described below), which is a suitable tool for calculating elastic properties in alloys.

The paper is organized as follows: in Sec. 2 we outline the theory used for calculating elastic properties from first-principles by the EMTO-CPA method together with details of our calculations and in Sec. 3 we present the equation of state, the single crystal and polycrystalline elastic properties of fcc FeMg.

2. Theory

In this work, we first calculated the total energy for a set of atomic volumes between 41.6, and 78.0 Bohr$^3$. The results from these calculations were then used to find the equation of state (EOS) through the Birch-Murnaghan scheme (Birch, 1947) (3rd order). The EOS provides a relation between volume and pressure as well as allows us to study the pressure dependence of the bulk modulus.

In cubic structures, there are three independent elastic constants: $c_{11}$, $c_{12}$ and $c_{44}$. (Grimvall, 1999) The $c'$ elastic constant (also known as Zener’s elastic constant) can be obtained from the orthorhombic structure with the following deformation (Vitos, 2007):

$$
\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{D}_0 = \begin{pmatrix}
1 + \delta & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 - \delta & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 - \delta
\end{pmatrix}
$$

(1)

The change in total energy upon distortion is

$$
\Delta E = 2V \cdot c' \cdot \delta^2 + O(\delta^4)
$$

(2)

Now the $c_{11}$ and $c_{12}$ can be calculated from the relations between $c'$ and the bulk modulus:

$$
B = \frac{c_{11} + 2c_{12}}{3}
$$

(3)

and

$$
c' = \frac{c_{11} - c_{12}}{2}
$$

(4)

From Eqs. (2,3,4) the $c_{11}$ and $c_{12}$ elastic constants can be obtained.

Next, the $c_{44}$ elastic constant can be obtained by performing monoclinic distortion (Vitos, 2007):

$$
\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{D}_M = \begin{pmatrix}
1 & \delta & 0 \\
\delta & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 - \delta
\end{pmatrix}
$$

(5)

In this case the change in energy is:

$$
\Delta E = 2V \cdot c_{44} \cdot \delta^2 + O(\delta^4)
$$

(6)

In the calculations of both $c'$ and $c_{44}$ the distortions used were $\delta = [0.00, 0.01, \ldots, 0.05]$. The elastic constants were then obtained from (linear) interpolations of the total energy as function of the square of the distortion, $\Delta E_{tot} = \Delta E_{tot}(\delta^2)$. The motivation for this procedure is that it simplifies the choice of suitable distortions, and is numerically preferable over interpolation of distortion. In this work we only do volume-conserving distortions. The reason for this is that we seek to calculate the elastic properties as functions of pressure, but in the computational setup we must use volume (rather than pressure) as input parameter. The EOS can then be used to obtain the elastic constants as functions of pressure. Also, the total energy depends more strongly on volume than on the distortions (Steinle-Neumann et al., 1999), indicating that it should be more stable numerically to do volume-conserving distortions whenever possible.

The elastic constants described above (except $B$) are single-crystal elastic constants. When doing measurements, one is often dealing with polycrystalline samples of a material, and hence the single-crystal constants should be averaged in a suitable way to facilitate comparison between experiments and theoretical calculations. The polycrystalline shear moduli defined by Reuss and Voigt are (Grimvall, 1999):

$$
G_R = \frac{5(c_{11} - c_{12})c_{44}}{4c_{44} + 3(c_{11} - c_{12})} = \frac{5c'c_{44}}{2c_{44} + 3c'}
$$

(7)

$$
G_V = \frac{c_{11} - c_{12} + 3c_{44}}{5} = \frac{2c' + 3c_{44}}{5},
$$

(8)

respectively. In the case of cubic systems, the Reuss and Voigt bulk moduli are equal, and defined in Eq.(3).

Further, the elastic anisotropy according to Hill is the weighted difference between the Voigt and Reuss shear moduli:

$$
A_{VRH} = \frac{G_V - G_R}{G_V + G_R},
$$

(9)

and hence is called the Voigt-Reuss-Hill (VRH) anisotropy (Grimvall, 1999).

All the calculations in this work have been carried out within Density-Functional Theory (Hohenberg and Kohn, 1964; Kohn and Sham, 1965) (DFT) using the Exact Muffin-tin Orbital Method (EMTO) (Andersen et al., 1994; Vitos, 1999, 2001). The problem of disorder was treated within the coherent potential approximation (CPA) (Soven, 1967; Velicky et al., 1968),
implemented in the EMTO-CPA (Vitos et al., 2001) method. The CPA represents the so-called effective medium approach (Ruban et al., 2007), where the averaging of scattering properties in the real alloy is done analytically. Real atoms (Fe and Mg in our case) are inserted one at a time as single impurities into the effective medium and are treated as perturbations. The scattering of electrons off these impurities should vanish on the average, giving the CPA self-consistency condition on the effective potential of the medium. The use of CPA alleviates the need for a use of supercells in the calculations, making them highly efficient. The accuracy of the CPA has been demonstrated in its numerous applications, and is discussed in detail in (Ruban et al., 2007).

For exchange and correlation the Local-Density approximation (LDA), parametrized by Perdew, Burke and Ernzerhof (Perdew et al., 1996), was used. After self-consistency had been reached in the LDA calculations, the total energy was calculated with Full Charge Density Technique (Vitos et al., 1997; Vitos, 2007) (FCD). In this last step the Generalized Gradient Approximation (GGA) was used. This procedure provides essentially the same materials properties as those of a self-consistent GGA scheme for non-magnetic systems. While calculations are done for $T = 0$ K, the effect of temperature on elastic properties is expected to decrease with increasing pressure (Anderson, 1989).

We have performed the calculations for $Fe$, $Fe_{90}Mg_{10}$, $Fe_{95}Mg_{5}$ and $Fe_{90}Mg_{10}$ in order to investigate the effects of alloying Mg in Fe at small Mg content.

For the EMTO calculations, the integration in reciprocal space was performed over a grid of $29x29x29$ points, and the Green’s function was integrated using 16 points in the complex energy plane. The basis set used $s,p,d,f$ orbitals.

3. Results

The volumes as function of pressure for pure Fe and for $Fe_{90}Mg_{10}$ are shown in Fig. 1. From this figure it is clear that adding Mg to Fe increases the volume corresponding to a certain pressure. This is expected due to the larger atomic size of Mg, and is well-known from earlier work. When the pressure is increased, the difference in volumes decreases, which is due to the fact that Mg has higher compressibility than Fe. (Dubrovinskaia et al., 2005)

In Fig. 2 we report the density as function of pressure. The results show that adding Mg into Fe decreases the density, which is expected. The results are similar to that reported earlier for hcp Fe-Mg alloys (Kádas et al., 2008). The density for $Fe_{90}Mg_{10}$ lies close to those of the Preliminary Reference Earth Model (PREM) (Dziewonski and Anderson, 1981). However, including temperature effects in our calculations is likely to change the agreement. From the inset, showing the concentration dependence at $P = 350$ GPa, we see that adding 2 % Mg causes the density to decrease about 1.5 %.

Next, Fig. 3 shows the bulk modulus as function of pressure. Also here the results are similar to those reported for hcp (Kádas et al., 2008). The bulk modulus for $Fe_{90}Mg_{10}$ is about 55 GPa lower for $Fe_{90}Mg_{10}$ than for pure Fe over the whole pressure range considered here, indicating that the FeMg alloy is indeed softer than pure Fe. Also here we note that the bulk modulus for $Fe_{90}Mg_{10}$

![Figure 1: (Color online) Volume as function of pressure in fcc $Fe_{90}Mg_{10}$ (red full line) and pure Fe (black dashed line).](image1)

![Figure 2: (Color online) Density as function of pressure in fcc $Fe_{90}Mg_{10}$ (red full line) and pure Fe (black dashed line). The inset shows the density as function of Mg content for $P = 350$ GPa.](image2)

![Figure 3: (Color online) Bulk modulus as function of pressure for pure Fe and $Fe_{90}Mg_{10}$.](image3)
is close to that of the PREM (Dziewonski and Anderson, 1981), but temperature effects may change this, and other elastic properties may not agree to such a large degree. Still, this work aims to show the effects of adding Mg in Fe, which is still likely to be important at elevated temperatures. At 350 GPa, adding 2 % Mg decreases the bulk modulus by about 1.0 %.

The single-crystal elastic constants are shown in Fig. 4. It is interesting to note that both $c_{11}$ and $c_{44}$ show considerable softening when adding Mg. This is also evident for $c'$, which is shown for comparison. However, the $c_{12}$ elastic constant is almost constant, and for very high pressures it even increases a little when adding Mg. This can be understood from the relations used to obtain $c_{11}$ and $c_{12}$ from $c'$ and the bulk modulus:

$$c_{11} = B + \frac{4}{3}c'$$

$$c_{12} = B - \frac{2}{3}c'$$

Since both the bulk modulus and $c'$ show similar behavior when adding Mg, $c_{11}$ will follow the same trend. However, Eq. (10) suggests that for $c_{12}$ the effect is to some extent canceled out. This can also be seen from the inset for $c_{12}$ in Fig. 4. For $P = 350$ GPa, adding 2 % Mg decreases $c'$ by about 8.2 %, $c_{11}$ by about 2.4 %, $c_{12}$ only 0.1 % and $c_{44}$ by 3.6 %.

The polycrystalline elastic constants are shown in Fig. 5. This figure shows that not just the single-crystal elastic constants change considerably when going from pure Fe to $Fe_{90}Mg_{10}$. A large degree of softening takes place for both $G_R$ and $G_V$ when adding Mg to Fe. For $P = 350$ GPa, adding 2 % Mg decreases $G_V$ by 4.3 % and $G_R$ by 6.9 %.

Fig. 6 shows the Voigt-Reuss-Hill elastic anisotropy ($A_{VRH}$) as function of pressure for pure fcc Fe and for fcc $Fe_{90}Mg_{10}$. This graph shows that adding 10% Mg into Fe cause a remarkable increase of the anisotropy. At 300 GPa, the anisotropy is about 40% higher for fcc Fe.
Fe₉₀Mg₁₀ than for pure fcc Fe. The pressure dependence of the anisotropy is also higher for Fe₉₀Mg₁₀ than for pure Fe.

4. Conclusion

We have calculated the elastic properties of fcc Fe and Fe₉₀Mg₁₀ random alloy up to pressures of the Earth’s core from first-principles methods. We show that adding 10 at. % Mg into iron has a substantial effect on the single crystal elastic constants. Moreover, even as small Mg concentrations as 2 % substantially affect some elastic constants, i.e. c’ which decreases by 8.2 % at 350 GPa. Further we find that the effect on the elastic anisotropy is remarkably high and is higher for the fcc FeMg alloy than for pure fcc Fe. We therefore conclude that the possibility of Mg in the Earth’s core must be included in models, even though it may not be the dominant light element.
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