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Phase-stabilization and substrate effects on nucleation and growth of (Ti,V)$_{n+1}$GeC$_n$ thin films

Sit Kerdsongpanya,a) Kristina Buchholt, Olof Tengstrand, Jun Lu, Jens Jensen, Lars Hultman, and Per Eklund

Thin Film Physics Division, Department of Physics, Chemistry, and Biology, IFM, Linköping University, SE-581 83 Linköping, Sweden

(Received 16 June 2011; accepted 26 July 2011; published online 12 September 2011)

Phase-pure epitaxial thin films of (Ti,V)$_2$GeC have been grown onto Al$_2$O$_3$(0001) substrates via magnetron sputtering. The c lattice parameter is determined to be 12.59 Å, corresponding to a 50/50 Ti/V solid solution according to Vegard’s law, and the overall (Ti,V):Ge:C composition is 2:1:1 as determined by elastic recoil detection analysis. The minimum temperature for the growth of (Ti,V)$_2$GeC is 700 °C, which is the same as for Ti$_2$GeC but higher than that required for V$_2$GeC (450 °C). Reduced Ge content yields films containing (Ti,V)$_3$GeC$_2$ and (Ti,V)$_4$GeC$_3$. These results show that the previously unknown phases V$_4$GeC$_2$ and V$_5$GeC$_3$ can be stabilized through alloying with Ti. For films grown on 4H-SiC(0001), (Ti,V)$_3$GeC$_2$ was observed as the dominant phase, showing that the nucleation and growth of (Ti,V)$_3$GeC$_2$ is affected by the choice of substrate; the proposed underlying physical mechanism is that differences in the local substrate temperature enhance surface diffusion and facilitate the growth of the higher-order phase (Ti,V)$_5$GeC$_3$ compared to (Ti,V)$_2$GeC. © 2011 American Institute of Physics. [doi:10.1063/1.3631087]

I. INTRODUCTION

The class of ternary nitrides and carbides known as M$_{n+1}$AX$_n$ phases (n = 1,2,3) comprises compounds made of M (a transition metal); an element from groups 12–16 (A), usually group 13 or 14; and a third element, X, that is either nitrogen or carbon.1–3 MAX phases are divided into three subgroups: M$_2$AX, M$_3$AX$_2$, and M$_4$AX$_3$, or “211,” “312,” and “413” phases, respectively. Recently, (Ti,Nb)$_5$AlC$_4$, the reported M-site solid solutions of (Ti,Nb)$_2$AlC, exist on MAX-phase solid solutions in thin films, Sca-baroziet al.4 The MAX phases’ unusual anisotropic hexagonal nanolaminated structure give remarkable properties such as high resistance to thermal shock, machinability, ductility, and high thermal and electrical conductivity.1,2

Among the research topics related to MAX phases, solid solutions are interesting because the effect of chemistry on synthesis, phase stability, and properties can be studied.1–3,5 These solid solutions can be categorized in three groups: (i) M-site solutions (M$_1$M$_2$)$_{n+1}$AX$_n$, (ii) A-site solid solutions M$_n$+t(A1,A2)X$_n$, and (iii) X-site solutions M$_n$+tA(X1,X2)$_n$.6 Different MAX-phase solid solutions have been investigated as bulk materials (e.g., Refs. 1, 5–9). However, solid solution MAX-phase thin films have been studied much less and offer an important opportunity for exploration, as it is relatively easy to grow MAX phases epitaxially,10–16 and thin-film growth permits the study of materials that are metastable and difficult to synthesize in bulk.7 Among the relatively few studies that exist on MAX-phase solid solutions in thin films, Scabaroziet al.7 reported M-site solid solutions of (Ti,Nb)$_2$AlC thin films.17 From Raman scattering, they indirectly determined the elastic modulus, suggesting solid solution hardening. Furthermore, in thin films, the oxycarbide X-site solid solution Ti$_2$Al(C,O) has been reported as a result of the incorporation of oxygen from the residual gas in a vacuum deposition process,18 or due to a reaction between TiC or Ti$_2$AlC layers with an Al$_2$O$_3$ substrate.19,20

Here, we investigate the Ti-V-Ge-C system. The end members Ti$_2$GeC and V$_2$GeC exist in bulk,1,2 and have also been grown as thin-film materials.14,21–25 However, the two systems differ in that the Ti-Ge-C system contains two other MAX phases (Ti$_5$GeC$_2$ and Ti$_6$GeC$_3$), whereas the V-Ge-C system does not contain them, and in that the lowest reported growth temperature required in order to form Ti$_2$GeC is ~700 °C (~800 °C for phase-pure Ti$_2$GeC), whereas V$_2$GeC can be grown at temperatures down to ~450 °C. The Ti-V-Ge-C system is therefore an ideal model system for investigating whether the “312” and “413” phases can be stabilized in the V-Ge-C system by alloying with Ti, and for determining whether the growth temperature of (Ti,V)$_2$GeC can be substantially reduced compared to that of Ti$_2$GeC.

II. EXPERIMENTAL DETAILS

The (Ti,V)$_2$GeC thin films were deposited using dc magnetron sputtering in an ultrahigh vacuum chamber (base pressure lower than 10$^{-7}$ Pa) in an Ar (99.9999%) discharge at a pressure of ~0.5 Pa. Three targets were used for the depositions: Ti/V (50 at. %/50 at. %, 99.99% purity), Ge (99.99% purity), and graphite (99.99% purity), with diameters of 75, 50, and 75 mm, respectively. The targets were operated in current-control mode with (Ti,V) at 310 mA (~311 V), Ge at 50 to 70 mA (~333–340 V), and C at 370 to 400 mA (~630–722 V). The substrate temperature (T$_s$) was varied in the range of 350–800 °C. Details about the deposition system can be found elsewhere.10

The substrates were (12.5 × 12.5) mm$^2$ of Al$_2$O$_3$(0001), single-side polished, and a 4H-SiC(0001) n-type wafer,

---
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Si-face, cut 4° off-axis, from SiCrystal. The 4H-SiC(0001) substrate has a 1 μm thick p- (4 × 10^{15} cm^{-3}) doped epitaxially grown SiC layer with a 0.8 μm n- (1.5 × 10^{19} cm^{-3}) doped epitaxially grown SiC layer on top. The dopant atoms used for the epilayers were Al and N for the p- and n-type, respectively, and were grown at the Institute Acreo. Prior to deposition, the substrates were ultrasonically degreased in two steps—in acetone for 5 min and isopropanol for 5 min—and blown dry in N2, inserted into the chamber, and thermally degassed at the substrate temperature for 1 h. 4H-SiC substrates were plasma etched for 30 min to remove any surface oxides on the 4H-SiC (as in Ref. 28).

The structural characterization of as-deposited films was performed via x-ray diffraction (XRD) θ-2θ scans using Cu Kα as an x-ray source with a Philips PW 1820 diffractometer. The (004) 4H-SiC was aligned at an offset of ~4°, because the 4H-SiC substrate is cut 4° off-axis. A Leo 1550 Gemini scanning electron microscope (SEM) with an accelerating voltage of 5 kV was used to study the surface morphology with secondary-electron images. A Dimension 3100 atomic force microscope (AFM) was also used to investigate the surface morphology. Transmission electron microscope (TEM) cross-sectional samples were prepared via mechanical polishing followed by ion milling in a Gatan Precision Ion Polishing System using argon ions with an energy of 5 keV, with a final polishing step at 2 keV. The TEM was an FEI Tecnai G2 TF 20 UT with a field-emission gun operated at 5 keV, with a final polishing step at 2 keV. The TEM was an FEI Tecnai G2 TF 20 UT with a field-emission gun operated at 5 keV, with a final polishing step at 2 keV. The TEM was an FEI Tecnai G2 TF 20 UT with a field-emission gun operated at 5 keV, with a final polishing step at 2 keV.

Nanoindentation was performed on a film ~1 μm in thickness with a Berkovich diamond tip at room temperature. The Oliver and Pharr method was used to calculate the hardness (H) and the reduced Young’s modulus (Eᵣ). Twenty-seven indents were made at a force of 2.6 mN. The indentation depth was around 0.09 μm. The stated error bars correspond to the standard deviation in the obtained H and Eᵣ values. Additional control measurements with varied forces were made, and no substantial differences in H and Eᵣ were seen.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Figure 1 shows a θ-2θ x-ray diffractogram of a Ti/V-Ge-C film deposited at a Ti/V current of 310 mA (~311 V), a Ge current of 60 mA (~340 V), a C current of 370 mA (~660 V), and a substrate temperature (Tₛ) = 800 °C on an Al₂O₃ substrate. Diffraction peaks are observed at 2θ angles from 4° to 14°, 28°, 37°, and 60°. For pure Ti₂GeC, the 0002, 0004, and 0006 peaks are at 20 angles of 13°, 27.5°, and 41.8°, respectively (ICDD PDF 89-2278); these peaks for V₂GeC are at 14.45°, 29.14°, and 44.3° (ICDD PDF 89-2276). The diffraction peaks from the Ti/V-Ge-C film are between the nominal positions for Ti₂GeC and V₂GeC, showing that this film is a virtually phase-pure solid solution of (Ti,V)₂GeC. The broad, low-intensity peak from (Ti,V)C at ~36.7° mainly comes from an incubation layer formed at the initial stage of nucleation, similar to what has been observed for Ti₃SiC₂. In addition, trace amounts of (Ti,V)C in the film were observed in TEM as inclusions (not shown). The c lattice parameter of (Ti,V)₂GeC determined from the XRD peak positions is 12.59 Å, which is between the c lattice parameters of Ti₂GeC (c = 12.93 Å) and V₂GeC (c = 12.25 Å). Assuming that Vegard’s law holds, the Ti/V ratio determined from these lattice parameters is 50/50, i.e., the same composition as in the Ti/V target.

Figure 2 shows θ-2θ XRD patterns of Ti/V-Ge-C films deposited onto Al₂O₃(0001) with the same deposition treatment. The XRD patterns of Ti/V-Ge-C films deposited onto Al₂O₃(0001) (marked by “S” in the diffractogram) at a substrate temperature of 800 °C. The targets were operated at 310 mA (Ti/V), 60 mA (Ge), and 370 mA (C).
parameters as in Fig. 1, except that \( T_s \) was varied from 350 °C to 800 °C. For \( T_s = 350 °C \), the (Ti,V)C peak is strong. For higher \( T_s \), the peak intensity of (Ti,V)C decreases, and at \( T_s = 700 °C \), the (Ti,V)2GeC is present. There are additional peaks at 2\( \theta \) angles of 27.3°, 35.5°, and 46.2°; respectively, that can be attributed to (Ti,V)5Ge3Cx and V2GeC3.24,25 Also, at \( T_s = 700 °C \), the (Ti,V)2GeC and (Ti,V)C peaks are strong, and (Ti,V)2GeC is present as a minority phase. For \( T_s = 760 °C \), the (Ti,V)5Ge3Cx peaks are not present and the (Ti,V)C peak shows a high intensity. The films consist of phase-pure solid-solution (Ti,V)2GeC, whereas V2GeC can be grown at temperatures down to 350 °C. The phase-pure (Ti,V)2GeC is present as a minority phase. For \( T_s = 800 °C \), except for the trace amounts of (Ti,V)C mentioned above (see Fig. 1).

Table I shows the composition (determined by ERDA) of the Ti/V-Ge-C films in Fig. 2. The phase-pure (Ti,V)2GeC film grown at \( T_s = 800 °C \) (Fig. 1) has a composition of \((\text{Ti, V})_{0.52} \text{Ge}_{0.24} \text{C}_{0.22}\), or 2:1:1 within the error bars of this technique. ERDA does not permit the separation of the Ti and V signals; however, according to the application of Vegard’s law to the XRD results (see above), the Ti/V ratio is 50/50. We can thus conclude that the phase-pure solid solution film has \((\text{Ti}_{0.5}, \text{V}_{0.5})_{2} \text{GeC}\) stoichiometry.

As mentioned, the lowest reported substrate temperature of Ti5Ge3Cx is \( \sim 700 °C \) (\( \sim 800 °C \) for phase-pure Ti5GeC), whereas V5GeC can be grown at temperatures down to \( \sim 450 °C \). Our results show that the lowest possible substrate temperature for \((\text{Ti}, \text{V})_{0.5} \text{Ge}_{0.5} \text{C}\) does not largely differ from that of Ti5GeC, i.e., films deposited at 700 °C contain \((\text{Ti}_{0.5}, \text{V}_{0.5})_{2} \text{GeC}\), whereas \( \sim 800 °C \) is required for phase-pure \((\text{Ti}_{0.5}, \text{V}_{0.5})_{2} \text{GeC}\). This is surprising because, in general, the substrate temperature for MAX phases is lower for transition metals (M) from groups 5 and 6 in the periodic table.2,24,25,33–36 As previously reviewed,2 the M–C bonding energy generally decreases going from group 4 to group 6 (e.g., from Ti to Cr), and kinetics should therefore favor M and C diffusion in MAX phases, with group 5 and 6 transition metals (e.g., V and Cr) requiring lower substrate temperatures for these MAX phases than the ones with group 4 transition metals. Our results indicate that this effect is not substantial for a 50/50 Ti/V mixture and that diffusion is not enhanced compared to the pure Ti-Ge-C system. A possible reason for this result could be that the (Ti,V)C and (Ti,V)2GeC3 phase with smaller unit cells are formed at these kinetically limited conditions, as is the case for the Ti-Ge-C system.24

Figure 3 shows x-ray diffractograms of Ti/V-Ge-C films deposited on Al2O3(0001) at \( T_s = 800 °C \). Table II shows the composition (determined by ERDA) of the films in Fig. 3.

### Table I. Composition of the Ti/V-Ge-C films in Fig. 2 (determined by ERDA).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( T_s ) (°C)</th>
<th>350</th>
<th>450</th>
<th>540</th>
<th>700</th>
<th>760</th>
<th>800</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(Ti,V) content (at. %)</td>
<td>46.4</td>
<td>47.1</td>
<td>46.3</td>
<td>50.6</td>
<td>51.7</td>
<td>52.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ge content (at. %)</td>
<td>30.4</td>
<td>28.8</td>
<td>28.2</td>
<td>24.4</td>
<td>24.1</td>
<td>24.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C content (at. %)</td>
<td>22.9</td>
<td>22.1</td>
<td>22.5</td>
<td>23.5</td>
<td>24.1</td>
<td>22.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O content (at. %)</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table II. Composition of the Ti/V-Ge-C films in Fig. 3 and Fig. 4 (determined by ERDA).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Film</th>
<th>Ti/V</th>
<th>Ge</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>Ti/M (°C)</th>
<th>Composition (at. %)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a</td>
<td>310</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>370</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>Ti6V4GeC2, 56.7 %, Ge 17.0 %, C 26.0 %, O 0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b</td>
<td>310</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>Ti6V4GeC2, 54.4 %, Ge 16.5 %, C 28.6 %, O 0.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c</td>
<td>310</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>Ti6V4GeC2, 52.3 %, Ge 18.0 %, C 29.6 %, O 0.1 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FIG. 3. (Color online) X-ray diffractograms of phase-mixed Ti/V-Ge-C MAX phase films deposited onto Al2O3(0001) substrate (S) at a substrate temperature of 800 °C. The targets were operated at (a) 310 mA (Ti/V), 55 mA (Ge), and 370 mA (C); (b) 310 mA (Ti/V), 55 mA (Ge), and 400 mA (C); and (c) 310 mA (Ti/V), 70 mA (Ge), and 400 mA (C).
result in mixtures of 211, 312, and 413 phases. This explains the observations of “523” and “725” intergrown phases, which are known from the Ti-Ge-C system but not the V-Ge-C system.\textsuperscript{5,24} In the binary V-C system, there are binary carbide superstructures such as V\textsubscript{8}C\textsubscript{7} that might prevent the formation of 312 and 413 phases. Apparently, there is a higher tendency to form V\textsubscript{2}GeC with binary-carbide inclusions when growth fluctuations occur,\textsuperscript{25} which might explain this difference between (Ti\textsubscript{0.5}V\textsubscript{0.5})\textsubscript{2}GeC and V\textsubscript{2}GeC.

Figure 4 shows XRD patterns of Ti/V-Ge-C films deposited on (a) 4H-SiC(0001) and (b) Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}(0001) substrates using identical growth conditions (Ti/V current of 310 mA (\~{}301 V), Ge current of 80 mA (\~{}330 V), C current of 370 mA (\~{}720 V), and T\textsubscript{s} = 800 °C) in the same deposition batch in geometrically equivalent positions in the rotating substrate holder. The XRD results (Fig. 4(a)) show that the film deposited onto Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}(0001) is a “211”-“523”-“312” phase-mixture. In contrast, the Ti/V-Ge-C film grown on 4H-SiC(0001) exhibits a dominant (Ti,V)\textsubscript{3}GeC\textsubscript{2} phase with only minor amounts of (Ti,V)\textsubscript{2}GeC. The ERDA results for these films showed that the overall composition is 51.7 at. % Ti/V, 16.7 at. % Ge, and 31.6 at. % C, or 3:1:2 within the accuracy of the technique. Because everything else is equal, these results show that the substrate affects the growth of Ti/V-Ge-C films. There is no epitaxial match by low integer number ratio between the c-axis height of (Ti,V)\textsubscript{2}GeC\textsubscript{2} and the step heights\textsuperscript{39,40} on the 4H-SiC substrates; therefore, a direct effect of the epitaxy conditions on nucleation cannot explain this observation. The difference should rather be related to enhanced diffusion on 4H-SiC as compared to Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}. This is possible, because SiC is a far better thermal conductor than Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}, and the actual local surface temperature might be higher for the case of deposition onto SiC than for deposition onto Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}. This would favor the formation of the larger-unit-cell phase (Ti,V)\textsubscript{3}GeC\textsubscript{2}.

FIG. 5. (Color online) SEM and AFM images of phase mixed Ti/V-Ge-C MAX phase films with different substrates (a),(b) on 4H-SiC(0001) and (c),(d) on Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}(0001).

Figures 5(a) and 5(b) show SEM and AFM images, respectively, of the surface morphology of the phase mixed Ti/V-Ge-C film on 4H-SiC substrate. Both techniques show stacked layers with steps, which are of the \{1120\} family.\textsuperscript{41} The off cut of the 4H-SiC substrate presents growth steps for the films generating the step-flow growth mode on the film (0001) surface, similar to Ti\textsubscript{3}SiC\textsubscript{2} films on 4H-SiC(0001).\textsuperscript{41} However, the growth of Ti\textsubscript{3}SiC\textsubscript{2} films requires Si-supersaturated conditions in order to maintain the faceted steps,\textsuperscript{41} whereas the supersaturated condition is not required for such growth of the Ti/V-Ge-C system. This might be due to the difference in the diffusivity of Si and Ge. However, the phase mixed Ti/V-Ge-C film on Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} substrate has a completely different surface morphology from the film grown on 4H-SiC [see the SEM and AFM images in Figs. 5(c) and 5(d), respectively]. The SEM image shows that the film has spiral-growth steps from threading screw dislocation. This growth mode has also been seen in the Ti-Si-C system.\textsuperscript{10}

FIG. 6. Shows cross-sectional TEM images of the phase-mixed Ti/V-Ge-C MAX phase film on Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}(0001) grown under the same conditions as in Fig. 3(a). Figure 6(a) shows an overview, and Fig. 6(b) is a high-resolution TEM image showing the nanolaminated structure of (Ti,V)\textsubscript{2}GeC with the c lattice parameter (c\textsubscript{211}) measured to 12.5 Å. The film also has regions of (Ti,V)\textsubscript{3}GeC\textsubscript{2} (Fig. 6(c)) where the c lattice parameter is measured (c\textsubscript{112}) to 17.4 Å. These values differ slightly from the more reliable values obtained from XRD, given the degree of error in the lattice parameter determination in TEM.

The hardness and reduced Young’s modulus were measured via nanoindentation for 1-\mu m-thick phase-mixed (Ti,V)-Ge-C MAX-phase film (XRD pattern similar to Fig. 3(c)). The values obtained from nanoindentation are 12.4 ± 0.9 GPa and 241 ± 15 GPa, respectively. Our determined hardness values are considerably higher than the values measured on bulk polycrystalline MAX phase materials.
which are around 2 to 5 GPa. However, in thin films, the measured hardness values are typically higher because of indentation size effects and possible anisotropy effects. Our measured hardness of 12.4 ± 0.9 GPa is a rather typical number and does not indicate (or disprove) any solid solution hardening. In addition, small amounts of binary-carbide inclusions inside the film are known to affect the determination of mechanical properties, which might also affect the present values.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

Phase-pure (Ti0.5V0.5)2GeC thin films can be grown using dc magnetron sputtering on Al2O3(0001) substrates at a substrate temperature of 800 °C. The c lattice parameter of (TiV)2GeC is 12.5 Å, which is between those of Ti2GeC (c = 12.93 Å) and V2GeC (c = 12.25 Å). The TiV ratio determined from these lattice parameters is 50/50. The substrate temperature that is required for the epitaxial growth of (TiV)2GeC is similar to that for Ti2GeC (Ts = 700 °C). The hypothetical phases V3GeC2 and V4GeC3 are realized by alloying with Ti. In contrast, Ti/V-Ge-C films grown on 4H-SiC under otherwise identical conditions have (TiV)2GeC as the dominant phase; the suggested underlying mechanism is the difference in the local substrate temperatures of Al2O3 and SiC, which enhances surface diffusion and facilitates the growth of the higher-order phase (TiV)2GeC2 compared to (TiV)2GeC.
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