DDT IN BREAST MILK: INTAKE, GENDER, AND DURATION OF LACTATION
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Introduction

DDT has been successfully used as Indoor Residual Spray (IRS) in malaria control for more than six decades in South Africa (SA) and elsewhere. Human exposure to and effects associated with DDT has received considerable attention, but only a few studies have been done in a malaria control context, where 64-128 g of DDT are applied on indoor walls and ceilings of every dwelling, every year. Inevitably, DDT residues find its way into humans via various routes, and gets transferred via breast milk to infants.

In this study, we evaluated at patterns of DDT levels in three DDT-sprayed villages and one reference village in South Africa, and examined factors that might influence these. In particular, we investigated the possibility that male and female infants may experience different exposures (due to apparent differences in milk energy content), and that DDT may prolong lactation.

Materials and methods

Breast milk was collected, with all required permissions and ethical clearances, from lactating women in one DDT-sprayed village in Limpopo Province (LP 1), and two DDT-sprayed villages in Kwazulu-Natal (KZN 1 and KZN 2), South Africa. The reference village (Ref), also in Kwazulu-Natal is located in a mountainous area where no malaria occurs, and where DDT has never been used. The village in Limpopo Province is located approximately 500 km away from the KwaZulu-Natal village.

None of the mothers were occupationally exposed to DDT, but some have worked on cotton farms and most used some form of domestic or home garden pest control, all utilizing current use pesticides - not DDT (DDT has been banned in SA since 1974, except for malaria control). All mothers from DDT-sprayed villages resided in DDT sprayed homes, and all homes were sprayed at least two months or less before sampling. All villages are in remote rural areas, removed from previous or current commercial farming and any significant industries. Milk was analysed for \( p,p'\)-DDT, \( p,p'\)-DDE, \( p,p'\)-DDD and \( o,p'\)-DDT using GC-ECD and identity confirmed with MS. Milk fat was also determined. Levels were calculated on both whole milk (wm) and milk fat (mf) basis. In most cases, data were log transformed. Significance in all cases is \( P < 0.05 \).

Results and discussion:

Table 1 provides basic variable comparisons for the villages. There were no significant differences in primipara or multipara maternal ages, infant ages, or infant ages. There were also no differences in % milk fat (not shown).

Fig. 1A shows \( \Sigma{DDT} \) levels in whole milk per village classified according to maternal parity status. The donor mothers and breastfeeding infants from all four villages were therefore comparable.

There were no differences in either primipara or multipara \( \Sigma{DDT} \) levels between DDT-villages (one-way Anova), but these were significantly higher than the corresponding levels from the reference village. In both KZN villages, the \( \Sigma{DDT} \) levels in primipara milk were significantly higher compared with multipara milk, but not in LP (two-tailed, unpaired t-tests).

Fig 1A also indicates the maximum residue limit for \( \Sigma{DDT} \) in bovine milk (20 \( \mu g/l = \log 1.3 \)). In all cases, except for the multipara milk from the reference village, did the respective means exceed the MRL significantly (one-sample t-tests).

Fig 1B shows calculated infant intake on a daily basis (assuming 800 ml per day, per kg body mass) for the DDT-villages. The Acceptable Daily Intake (ADI) of 10 \( \mu g/kg \) bm (Log 1 line in Fig 1B) was exceeded in all DDT-villages (one-sample t-tests). There were no differences in \( \Sigma{DDT} \) intake by firstborns from DDT-villages (primipara mothers), but multipara infants from KZN 2 took up significantly less than in KZN 1. Firstborns from KZN 1 and KZN 2 took up significantly more \( \Sigma{DDT} \) than multipara infants from the same village (two-tailed, unpaired, t-tests).
Table 1. Maternal and DDT variables for the four villages (prim = primipara, multip = multipara, mf = milk fat, wm = whole milk).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>KZN 1 prim (n=20)</th>
<th>KZN 1 multip (n=23)</th>
<th>KZN 2 prim (n=20)</th>
<th>KZN 2 multip (n=29)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Maternal Age Yrs</td>
<td>Mean SD %Pos</td>
<td>Mean SD %Pos</td>
<td>Mean SD %Pos</td>
<td>Mean SD %Pos</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infant Age Days</td>
<td>19.20 2.35</td>
<td>29.628</td>
<td>19.277</td>
<td>29.721</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΣDDT mf ug/kg</td>
<td>25000 31000 100</td>
<td>11000 82000 100</td>
<td>17000 27000 100</td>
<td>57000 40000 100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΣDDT wm ug/l</td>
<td>900 1200 100</td>
<td>380 320 100</td>
<td>750 1400 100</td>
<td>210 180 100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daily intake ug/kg bw</td>
<td>140 190 100</td>
<td>61 51 100</td>
<td>120 220 100</td>
<td>33 29 100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>LP 1 prim (n=14)</th>
<th>LP 1 multip (n=21)</th>
<th>Ref prim (n=20)</th>
<th>Ref multip (n=16)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Maternal Age Yrs</td>
<td>Mean SD %Pos</td>
<td>Mean SD %Pos</td>
<td>Mean SD %Pos</td>
<td>Mean SD %Pos</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infant Age Days</td>
<td>19.8 19.79</td>
<td>28.8 4.36</td>
<td>20.3 4.36</td>
<td>26.6 6.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΣDDT mf ug/kg</td>
<td>12000 7500 100</td>
<td>7800 4600 100</td>
<td>1600 2700 75</td>
<td>1100 130 55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΣDDT wm ug/l</td>
<td>490 500 100</td>
<td>290 200 100</td>
<td>76 140 75</td>
<td>39 47 55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daily intake ug/kg bw</td>
<td>78 80 100</td>
<td>46 32 100</td>
<td>12 22 75</td>
<td>6.3 7.5 55</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1: A Levels of ΣDDT in breast milk (MRL = maximum residue limit). B, Daily intake of DDT by infants (ADI = acceptable daily intake). A and B; P = primipara, M = multipara.

Figure 2: A Levels of DDT in multipara breast milk, per DDT-village, according to infant gender (M = male, F = female). B Length of breastfeeding per weaned infant of multipara mothers.
There were no such differences for LP 1 and Ref. In DDT-villages from KZN, therefore, the firstborns take in significantly more ΣDDT than the subsequent sibs. Why this is not the case in the village from Limpopo Province needs further investigation.

There were no differences in ΣDDT levels in whole milk from mothers breastfeeding either their male or female infants (one-way Anova). However, a pattern emerged when multipara milk was considered (Fig 2A). Male infants consistently drank milk with more ΣDDT (35%, 36%, and 18% calculated on geometric means for KZN 1, KZN 2 and LP 1, respectively) than female infants, in all three DDT-villages. Although the differences were not significant (two-tailed, unpaired t-tests) between genders within each village, this pattern indicates that male infants may be more exposed than female infants. This uptake may be affected by other factors such as possible higher energy content of breast milk⁴ that male infants may receive, or that male infants might consume more or less milk than female infants.

Fig. 2B shows the length of lactation for weaned infants. This is of course only for multipara mothers, as primipara mothers have not yet weaned their infants at the time of sampling. There were no differences in mean weaning period between any of the villages.

**Conclusions:**
The significant exceedances of both the MRL for milk and ADI by infants in the DDT-villages (Fig. 1) are a great source of concern. DDT has already been associated with effects on genitalia of newborn baby boys in a DDT-sprayed area in Limpopo Province,³ the same area where the LP 1 samples were collected. It is crucial therefore that all possible measures to reduce the exposure levels in utero and during breastfeeding should be taken if no malaria control option other than DDT remains effective. Such options have already been identified.

The possibility that infant boys may be exposed to higher levels of DDT through mechanisms not yet understood may add to the concern of post-partum effects on boys in particular, given the various known endocrine disruptive activities of DDT compounds.

We found no evidence that DDT had any effect on either shortening or lengthening lactation period in any village. Concern has be previously expressed on this issue⁵, but it seems not be in effect under the conditions we investigated.

Although it is clear that the infants are already at risk from high levels of DDT in breast milk, much larger samples sizes are needed to investigate possible gender bias and effects on lactation period.
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