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EVD-BASED CHANNEL ESTIMATION IN MULTICELL MULTIUSER MIMO SYSTEMS WITH VERY LARGE ANTENNA ARRAYS
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Department of Electrical Engineering (ISY), Linköping University, 581 83 Linköping, Sweden

ABSTRACT

This paper considers multicell multiuser MIMO systems with very large antenna arrays at the base station. We propose an eigenvalue-decomposition-based approach to channel estimation, that estimates the channel blindly from the received data. The approach exploits the asymptotic orthogonality of the channel vectors in very large MIMO systems. We show that the channel to each user can be estimated from the covariance matrix of the received signals, up to a remaining scalar multiplicative ambiguity. A short training sequence is required to resolve this ambiguity. Furthermore, to improve the performance of our approach, we combine it with the iterative least-square with projection (ILSP) algorithm. Numerical results verify the effectiveness of our channel estimation approach.

1. INTRODUCTION

Recently, there has been a great deal of interest in multiuser MIMO (MU-MIMO) systems using very large antenna arrays. Such systems can provide a remarkable increase in reliability and data rate with simple signal processing [1]. When the number of base station (BS) antennas grows large, the channel vectors between the users and the BS become very long random vectors and under “favorable propagation” conditions, they become pairwisely orthogonal. As a consequence, with simple maximum-ratio combining (MRC), assuming that the BS has perfect channel state information (CSI), the interference from the other users can be cancelled without using more time-frequency resources [1]. This dramatically increases the spectral efficiency. Furthermore, by using a very large antenna array at the BS, the transmit power can be drastically reduced. In [2], we showed that, with perfect CSI at the BS, we can reduce the uplink transmit power of each user inversely proportionally to the number of BS antennas with no reduction in performance. This holds true even if the transmit power of each user inversely proportionally to the square-root of the number of BS antennas grows large, the channel vectors between the users and the BS become very long random vectors and under “favorable propagation” conditions, they become pairwisely orthogonal. As a consequence, channel estimation errors will become significant. We call this effect “noise contamination”. Hence, with channels estimated from pilots, the benefits of using very large antenna arrays are somewhat reduced.

In this paper we investigate whether blind channel estimation techniques could improve the performance of very large MIMO systems. Blind channel estimation techniques have been considered before as a promising approach for increasing the spectral efficiency since they require no or a minimal number of pilot symbols [4]. Generally, blind methods work well when there are unused degrees of freedom in the signal space. This is the case in very large MIMO systems, if the number of users that transmit simultaneously typically is much less than the number of antennas. One particular class of blind methods is based on a subspace partitioning of the the received samples. This approach is powerful and can achieve near maximum-likelihood performance when the number of data samples is sufficiently large [5]. This approach requires a particular structure on the transmitted signal or system model, for example that the signals are coded using orthogonal space-time block codes [6, 7]. As shown later, in a system with very large antenna arrays it is possible to apply the subspace estimation technique using eigenvalue decomposition (EVD) on the covariance matrix of the received samples, without requiring any specific structure of the transmitted signals. The specific contributions of this paper are as follows. We consider multicell MU-MIMO systems where the BS is equipped with a very large antenna array. We propose a simple EVD-based channel estimation scheme for such systems. We show that when the number of BS antennas grows large, CSI can be estimated from the eigenvector of the covariance matrix of the received samples, up to a multiplicative scalar factor ambiguity. By using a short training sequence, this multiplicative factor ambiguity can be resolved. Finally, to improve the performance, we combine our EVD-based channel estimation technique with the iterative least-square with projection (ILSP) algorithm of [8].

2. MULTI-CELL MULTI-USER MIMO MODEL

Consider a multicell MU-MIMO system with $L$ cells. Each cell contains $K$ single-antenna users and one BS equipped with $M$ antennas. The same frequency band is used for all $L$ cells. We consider the uplink transmission where all users from all cells simultaneously transmit their signals to their desired BSs. Then, the $M \times 1$ received vector at the $l$th BS is given by

$$y_l(n) = \sqrt{\rho_u} \sum_{i=1}^{L} G_{li} x_i(n) + n_l(n)$$
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where $\sqrt{\eta}x_i(n)$ is the $K \times 1$ vector of collectively transmitted symbols by the $K$ users in the $i$th cell (the average power used by each user is $p_u$); $\eta(n)$ is $M \times 1$ additive white noise whose elements are Gaussian with zero mean and unit variance; and $G_{li}$ is the $M \times K$ channel matrix between the $i$th BS and the $K$ users in the $i$th cell. The channel matrix $G_{li}$ models independent fast fading, geometric attenuation, and log-normal shadow fading. Each element $g_{limk} = [G_{li}]_{mk}$ is the channel coefficient between the $m$th antenna of the $i$th BS and the $k$th user in the $i$th cell, and is given by
\[
g_{limk} = h_{limk} \sqrt{\beta_{lik}}, \quad m = 1, 2, \ldots, M
\]
where $h_{limk}$ is the fast fading coefficient from the $k$th user in the $i$th cell to the $m$th antenna of the $i$th BS. We assume that $h_{limk}$ is a random variable with zero mean and unit variance. Furthermore, $\sqrt{\beta_{lik}}$ represents the geometric attenuation and shadow fading, which are assumed to be independent of the antenna index $m$ and to be constant and known a priori. These assumptions are reasonable since the distance between the user and the BS is much greater than the distance between the BS antennas, and the value of $\beta_{lik}$ changes very slowly with time. Then, the channel matrix $G_{li}$ can be represented as
\[
G_{li} = H_i D_i^{1/2}
\]
where $H_i$ is the $M \times K$ matrix of fast fading coefficients between the $K$ users in the $i$th cell and the $i$th BS, i.e., $[H_{li}]_{mk} = h_{limk}$, and $D_i$ is a $K \times K$ diagonal matrix whose diagonal elements are $[D_i]_{kk} = \beta_{lik}$.

3. EVD-BASED CHANNEL ESTIMATION

For multicell MU-MIMO systems with large antenna arrays at the BS, with conventional LS channel estimation using uplink pilots, the system performance is limited by pilot contamination and noise limitation. Pilot contamination is caused by the interference from other cells during the training phase [1, 3]. Noise contamination occurs when the transmit power is small and the channel estimates are dominated by estimation errors [2]. Another inherent drawback of the pilot-based channel estimation is the spectral efficiency loss which results from the bandwidth consumed by training sequences. To reduce these effects, in this section, we propose an EVD-based channel estimation method.

3.1. Mathematical Preliminaries

We first consider the properties of the covariance matrix of the received vector $y_i$. From (1) and (3), this covariance matrix is given by
\[
R_y = \mathbb{E} \left\{ y_i y_i^H \right\} = p_u \sum_{i=1}^{L} H_i D_i H_i^H + I_M.
\]
(4)

From the law of large numbers, it follows that when the number of BS antennas is large, if the fast channel coefficients are i.i.d. then the channel vectors between the users and the BS become pairwise orthogonal, i.e.,
\[
1/M H_i^H H_i \rightarrow \delta_{ij} I_K, \quad \text{as } M \rightarrow \infty
\]
This is a key property of large MIMO systems which facilitates a simple EVD-based channel estimation that does not require any specific structure of the transmitted signals. Multiplying (4) from the right by $H_i$, and using (5), we obtain
\[
R_y H_i \approx M p_u D_i I + H_i, \quad \text{as } M \ \text{large}
\]
(6)

For large $M$, the columns of $H_i$ are pairwise orthogonal, and $M p_u D_i I + I_K$ is a diagonal matrix. Therefore, Equation (6) can be considered as a characteristic equation for the covariance matrix $R_y$. As a consequence, the $j$th column of $H_i$ is the eigenvector corresponding to the eigenvalue $M p_u \beta_{lik} + 1$ of $R_y$.

Remark 1 Since $M p_u \beta_{lik} + 1, k = 1, 2, \ldots, K$, are distinct and can be known a priori, the ordering of the eigenvectors can be determined. Each column of $H_i$ can be estimated from a corresponding eigenvector of $R_y$ up to a scalar multiplicative ambiguity. This is due to the fact that if $u_\nu$ is an eigenvector of $R_y$ corresponding to the eigenvalue $M p_u \beta_{lik} + 1$, then $c_\nu u_\nu$ is also an eigenvector corresponding to that eigenvalue, for any $c_\nu \in \mathbb{C}$.

Let $U_l$ be the $M \times K$ matrix whose $k$th column is the eigenvector of $R_y$ corresponding to the eigenvalue $M p_u \beta_{lik} + 1$. Then, the channel estimate of $H_i$ can be found via
\[
\hat{H}_i = U_l^\dagger \Xi
\]
(7)
where $\Xi \triangleq \text{diag} \{c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_K\}$. The multiplicative matrix ambiguity $\Xi$ can be solved by using a short pilot sequence (see Section 3.2).

3.2. Resolving the Multiplicative Factor Ambiguity

In each cell, a short training sequence of length $\nu$ symbols is used for uplink training. We assume that the training sequences of different cells are pairwise orthogonal. Then, the $M \times \nu$ received training matrix at the $i$th BS is
\[
Y_{l,t} = \sqrt{\eta} H_i D_i^{1/2} X_{l,t} + N_{l,t}
\]
(8)
where $\sqrt{\eta} X_{l,t}$ is the $K \times \nu$ training matrix ($p_u$ is the power used by each user for each training symbol), and $N_{l,t}$ is the noise matrix. From (7) and (8), the multiplicative matrix $\Xi$ can be estimated as
\[
\Xi = \arg \min_{\Xi \in \mathbb{A}} \| Y_{l,t} - \sqrt{\eta} U_l^\dagger \Xi D_i^{1/2} X_{l,t} \|_F^2
\]
(9)
where $\mathbb{A}$ is a set of $K \times K$ diagonal matrices. Denote by $Y_n \triangleq [y_{1,n}(n)^T \ldots y_{\nu,n}(n)^T]^T$, where $y_{i,n}(n)$ is the $n$th column of $Y_{l,t}$. $B^R$ and $B^I$ denote the real and imaginary parts of matrix $B$; and
\[
A_n \triangleq \begin{bmatrix} A_n^R & -A_n^I \\ A_n^I & A_n^R \end{bmatrix}
\]
(10)
where $A_n \triangleq \sqrt{\eta} U_l^\dagger D_i^{1/2} X_n$, $X_n \triangleq \text{diag} \{x_{l,t}(n)\}$. Then, we obtain (the proof is omitted due to space constraints)
\[
\Xi = \text{diag} \left( \hat{\xi} \right)
\]
(11)
where $\hat{\xi} = [I_K \ jI_K]^T \hat{\xi}$, and
\[
\hat{\xi} = \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\nu} A_n^T A_n \right)^{-1} \sum_{n=1}^{\nu} A_n^T y_n.
\]
(12)
3. Implementation of the EVD-based Channel Estimation

As discussed, when $M$ is large the channel matrix $H_M$ can be determined by using the EVD of the covariance matrix $R_y$. In practice, this covariance matrix is unavailable. Instead, we use the sample data covariance matrix $\hat{R}_y$:

$$\hat{R}_y \triangleq \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} y(t(n))y(t(n))^H$$

where $N$ is the number of samples. Here, we assume that the channel is still constant over at least $N$ samples.

We summarize our proposed algorithm for estimating $H_M$ as follows:

**Algorithm 1** Proposed EVD-based channel estimation method

1. Using a data block of $N$ samples, compute $\hat{R}_y$ as (13).
2. Perform the EVD of $\hat{R}_y$. Then obtain an $M \times K$ matrix $U_X$ whose $kth$ column is the eigenvector corresponding to the eigenvalue which is closest to $M_p\bar{\beta}_k + 1$.
3. Compute the estimate $\hat{\Xi}$ of the multiplicative matrix $\Xi$ from $\nu$ pilot symbols using (11).
4. The channel estimate of $H_M$ is determined as $\hat{H}_M = U_X\hat{\Xi}$.


**Remark 2** There are two main sources of errors in the channel estimate: (i) The covariance matrix error: this error is due to the use of the sample covariance matrix instead of the true covariance matrix. This error will decrease as the number of samples $N$ increases (this requires that the coherence time is large); (ii) The error due to the channel vectors not being perfectly orthogonal as assumed in $\Xi = \beta_1 \hat{R}_y^{-1}$. Since the eigenvalue is obtained from the sample data covariance matrix, the corresponding eigenvalue is only approximately equal to $M_p\bar{\beta}_k + 1$.

**Remark 2** When using (11) replace the true covariance matrix by the sample covariance matrix.

We now present a joint EVD-based method and ILSP algorithm.

4. Joint EVD-based method and ILSP algorithm

As discussed above (see Remark 2), there EVD-based channel estimates will suffer from errors owing to a finite coherence time and a finite $M$. To reduce this error, in this section, we consider combining our EVD algorithm with the ILSP algorithm of [8].

Define the $K \times N$ matrix of transmitted signals from the $K$ users in the $i$th cell and the $M \times N$ matrix of received signals at the $i$th BS respectively as

$$X_i \triangleq [x_i (1), x_i (2), \ldots, x_i (N)], \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, L$$

$$Y_i \triangleq [y_i (1), y_i (2), \ldots, y_i (N)].$$

From (1), we have

$$Y_i = \sqrt{p_0} \bar{G}_{ii} X_i + \sqrt{p_0} \sum_{j \neq i} \bar{G}_{ij} X_j + N_i$$

where $N_i \triangleq [n_i (1), n_i (2), \ldots, n_i (N)]$. The channel estimation error due to the channel vectors not being perfectly orthogonal as assumed in the covariance matrix $\hat{R}_y$ will decrease as the number of samples $N$ increases (this requires that the coherence time is large).

The error due to the channel vectors not being perfectly orthogonal as assumed in

$$\hat{\Xi} = \beta_1 \hat{R}_y^{-1}$$

where the superscript $(\cdot)^{-1}$ denotes the pseudo-inverse. Next, the detected data $\hat{X}_i$ are used as if they were equal to the true transmitted signal and the channel is re-estimated using least-squares,

$$\hat{G}_{ii} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{p_0}} Y_i \hat{X}_i.$$
Equations (17) and (18), yield the ILSP algorithm for our problem. Applying the ILSP algorithm, and using the channel estimate based on EVD method discussed in Section 3 as the initial channel estimate, we obtain the joint EVD method and ILSP algorithm (EVD-ILSP).

Algorithm 2 The EVD-ILSP algorithm

1. Initialize \( \hat{G}_{0,0} = \hat{H}_0 D_0^{1/2} \) (obtained by using the EVD-based method). Choose number of iterations \( K_{\text{step}} \). Set \( k = 0 \).
2. \( k := k + 1 \)
   \[ X_{l,k} = \arg \min_{X \in \mathcal{X}} \| \frac{1}{\sqrt{p_u}} G_{l,k-1}^l Y_l - X_l \|_F^2 \]
   \[ G_{l,k} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{p_u}} Y_l X_{l,k}^l \]
3. Repeat 2 until \( k = K_{\text{step}} \).

5. NUMERICAL RESULTS

We simulate a system with \( L = 3 \) cells, each containing 3 users. We consider the uplink of the 1st user in 1st cell, assuming BPSK modulation. We choose \( D_{11} = \text{diag} \{0.98, 0.36, 0.47\} \), \( D_{12} = a \times \text{diag} \{0.36, 0.29, 0.07\} \), and \( D_{13} = a \times \text{diag} \{0.32, 0.14, 0.11\} \). For the EVD-based method, we use \( \nu = 1 \) (one training symbol) to resolve the multiplicative factor ambiguity.

Fig. 1 shows the SEP versus \( a \) of the EVD-based and the conventional pilot-based channel estimation methods with different \( N \) and \( M \) at \( p_u = 20 \) dB. We can see that when \( a \) increases (the effect of pilot contamination increases), the system performance degrades dramatically when using the pilot-based method. This is due to the fact that the pilot-based method suffers from pilot contamination. In particular, the EVD-based method is not affected much by the pilot contamination, and it can significantly improve the system performance when the effect of pilot contamination is large. It can also be seen from the figure that the effectiveness of our EVD-based method increases when the number of samples \( N \) and the number of BS antennas \( M \) increase.

To ascertain the effectiveness of the EVD-based channel estimation method under noise-limited conditions, we consider the SEP when the transmit power of each user is chosen to be proportional to \( 1/M \). We choose \( M = 100 \) and \( a = 1 \). Fig. 2 shows the comparisons between the SEPs versus SNR of the EVD-based method and the pilot-aided method for different \( N \). Here, with each SNR, we set \( p_u = SNR/M \). We can see that by using the EVD-based method, the system performance significantly improves compared with the conventional pilot-based method. When \( N \) increases, the sample covariance matrix tends to the true covariance matrix and hence, as we can see from the figure, the SEP decreases.

Fig. 3 shows the SEP of the EVD-based method versus the number of BS antennas at \( p_u = 20 \) dB and \( a = 1 \), for different \( N \), with and without using the ILSP algorithm. With the ILSP algorithm, we choose \( K_{\text{step}} = 5 \). As expected, comparing with the EVD-based method, the joint EVD-based and ILSP algorithm offers a performance improvement. Also here, the system performance improves significantly when \( M \) and \( N \) increase.

6. CONCLUDING REMARKS

Very large MIMO systems with \( M \gg K \gg 1 \) offer many unused degrees of freedom. We proposed a channel estimation method that exploits these excess degrees of freedom, together with the asymptotic orthogonality between the channel vectors that occurs under “favorable propagation” conditions. Combining the proposed method with the ILSP algorithm of [8] further enhances performance.
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