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Abstract

In this paper, a simple and sensitive approach for human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (HER2) detection is presented, using antibody-functionalised magnetic beads coupled to screen-printed cells. The immunoassay is based on a sandwich format in which a primary monoclonal antibody anti-HER2 is coupled to protein A modified magnetic beads. The modified beads are then used to capture the protein from the sample solution and a sandwich assay is performed by adding a secondary monoclonal antibody anti-HER2 labelled with biotin. The enzyme alkaline phosphatase (AP) conjugated with streptavidin and its substrate (1-naphthyl-phosphate) are then used for the electrochemical detection by differential pulse voltammetry (DPV). The experimental conditions for the immunoassay were optimised. The performance of the assay in terms of sensitivity, reproducibility and selectivity has been studied in buffer and serum samples.
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1. Introduction

The development of immunosensors for the detection and monitoring of cancer markers is a major area of recent research.

The aim of the present work is the investigation of screening devices for the detection of human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (HER2) cancer marker by using antibody-modified magnetic microparticles coupled to screen-printed electrochemical platforms.

Overexpression of HER2 is observed in 20–30 % of breast cancer cases and treatment using a monoclonal antibody (trastuzumab) to this molecule is now advocated (Gohring et al., 2010), but is only effective in patients that have excess receptor levels. This means that screening of those patients who are eligible is required before treatment can be administered. Other cancers, such as ovarian, stomach, pancreatic and prostate, have also been shown to exhibit increased expression of HER2 (Payne et al., 2000), (Quaranta et al., 2006).

HER2 is a receptor tyrosine kinase, which belongs to the epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) family involved in cellular signalling pathways, that may lead to proliferation, growth, apoptosis and differentiation (Tse et al., 2005). These processes are essential to life, but loss of control within these pathways is frequently associated with disease including cancer.

The HER2 gene is located on chromosome 17 and encodes for a 185 KDa protein with three distinct domains; an extracellular region with similarities to other EGFRs, a transmembrane hydrophobic section, and an intracellular zone with tyrosine kinase activity. The extracellular domain (ECD) can be cleaved by matrix metalloproteases, which leads to increased phosphorylation of the tyrosine kinase region and therefore escalated levels of signalling. The cleaved ECD then enters into the blood stream and can be an indicator of increased expression of HER2, but also highlights raised levels of tyrosine kinase domain activation (Tse et al., 2005), (Quaranta et al., 2006). Increased levels of HER2 ECD in serum is associated with a poor prognosis, reduced therapeutic response and decreased survival chances and is observed in patients with highly aggressive breast tumours (Payne et al., 2000), (Esteva et al., 2005), (Ludovini et al., 2008).

Current diagnostic tests for HER2 involve analysis of tumour cells for either amplification of the HER2 gene using fluorescent in situ hybridisation (FISH) or immunohistochemistry (IHC) to determine the expression of the receptor within the cell membrane (Tse et al., 2005).

Both procedures are complex, involve time consuming steps and require specially trained personnel to carry out the procedures (Gohring et al., 2010). Paraffin embedded samples used in both IHC and FISH are collected via invasive biopsies and must be prepared correctly to minimise problems with interpretation (Lottner et al., 2005).
Alternative methodologies are being researched that minimise the disadvantages of these techniques. Some of these procedures are variations on the existing tests (IHC and FISH) and involve the introduction of novel labelling practices such as chromogenic in situ hybridisation (CISH) (Cayre et al., 2007), fluorescence immunohistochemistry (FIHC) or a combination of both (Lottner et al., 2005). The use of real time polymerase chain reaction (QPCR) for the detection of the HER2 gene and enzyme linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) for the detection of serum HER2 ECD have been investigated as quantitative alternatives to FISH and IHC and results show promise for the future.

There are data that indicate that the monitoring of the HER2 ECD as a real-time prognostic tool could be used to determine treatment efficiency and indicate that the patient remains disease free by comparing the serum level of HER2 from a pre-treatment baseline with measurements taken during and after treatment (Payne et al., 2000), (Streckfus et al., 2000), (Esteva et al., 2005). The measurement of serum HER2 ECD levels may also be useful following the removal of tumour tissue which would mean the material required for IHC and FISH would no longer be available (Payne et al., 2000). Patients with breast cancer have concentration of HER2 ECD in the blood of over 15 ng mL\(^{-1}\), whereas healthy individuals have levels below this value, therefore an assay must be able to measure to this concentration (Esteva et al., 2005), (Gohring et al., 2010). A study has also been conducted to investigate the potential to use measurements of HER2 ECD levels in saliva as an alternative to serum (Streckfus et al., 2000).

In this paper, the development of simple and rapid immunoassay for HER2 analysis in buffer and human serum is described. The proposed approach uses disposable screen-printed cells as transducers and a simple target capturing step by antibody-functionalised magnetic beads. The assay is based on a sandwich format in which a primary monoclonal antibody anti-HER2 is coupled to protein A-modified magnetic beads. The modified beads are then used to capture the protein from the sample solution and the sandwich assay is performed by adding a secondary biotinylated polyclonal antibody anti-HER2. Streptavidin-alkaline phosphatase conjugated was then added to trace the affinity reaction. The enzymatic substrate, 1-naphthyl-phosphate, is then used for the electrochemical detection by Differential Pulse Voltammetry (DPV).

The performance of the assay in terms of sensitivity, reproducibility and selectivity in buffer and serum samples has been studied.

2. **Materials and Methods.**

2.1. **Chemicals and materials.**
Dynabeads® magnetic beads, coated with protein A, were provided by Invitrogen (Milan, Italy). Sodium phosphate dibasic dehydrate and sodium phosphate monobasic monohydrate were purchased from Merck (Milan, Italy). Sodium chloride, Trizma base, diethanolamine, magnesium chloride, potassium chloride, polyoxyethylene sorbitan monolaurate (Tween 20), bovine serum albumin, streptavidin-alkaline phosphatase conjugated, rabbit IgG (rIgG) and 1-naphthyl phosphate were obtained from Sigma (Milan, Italy). The protein HER2, the monoclonal antibody anti-HER2 (primary antibody) and the biotinylated polyclonal antibody anti-HER2 (secondary antibody) (R&D Systems) were purchased from Space srl (Milan, Italy). The two anti-HER2 antibodies are recommended by the producer as antibody pair for HER2 sandwich assays as capture and detection antibody. All solutions were prepared using water from a Milli-Q Water Purification System (Millipore, UK). The sample mixer and magnet used to precipitate the beads out of solution were provided by Dynal Biotech (Milan, Italy).

Buffer solutions used and their composition:
- Buffer A: phosphate buffered saline (PBS) pH 7.4
- Buffer B: phosphate buffered saline (PBS) pH 7.4 with 0.05% w/w Tween 20
- Buffer C: Tris buffer 0.02 M pH 7.3 0.15 M NaCl
- Buffer D: Tris buffer 0.02 M pH 7.3 with 0.05% Tween 20
- Buffer E: Diethanolamine buffer (DEA) 0.1 M pH 9.6 with 1 mM MgCl₂ and 0.1 M KCl
- Buffer F: Diethanolamine buffer (DEA) 0.1 M pH 9.6 with 0.05% Tween 20
- Buffer G: Diethanolamine buffer (DEA) 0.1 M pH 9.6 with 1% bovine serum albumin (BSA)

Serum samples were kindly provided by AL-Kadhomyah Hospital (Baghdad, Iraq). The samples were from hospital patients who have been subjected to breast mass analysis: breast tissue samples were subjected to full histological diagnosis and DNA extraction for multiplex PCR testing. All the samples were from patient suffering from breast carcinoma, some with metastasis at different stages.

Commercial normal human serum (Sigma, Milan, Italy) was also used for the experiments.

2.2 Screen-Printed Cells

Screen printed cells, comprising of a carbon working and counter electrode and a silver reference electrode were used as the electrochemical cells. These were produced in house on a DEK 248 screen-printing machine (DEK, Weymouth, UK). The printing was performed on a polyester film (Autostat CT5) from Autotype (Milan, Italy) using the polymeric inks (Electrodag PF-410 (silver))
and (Electrodag 423 SS (graphite)), which were purchased from Acheson (Milan, Italy). Vinlyfast 36-100 was used as the insulting ink and was obtained from Argon (Lodi, Italy). Differential Pulse Voltammetry (DPV) measurements were performed using a μAutolab type II PGSTAT supported with GPES 4.9 software (Metrohm, Rome, Italy) using the following parameters; range potential 0/+600 mV, step potential 7 mV, modulation amplitude 70 mV, standby potential 200 mV, interval time 0.1 second.

2.2 Scheme of the assay

2.2.1 Protein A-coated paramagnetic microparticles modified with rIgG anti-HER2

In this work, a sandwich assay format was developed, and the steps are illustrated in Figure 1. At this purpose, the stock solution of microbeads (30 mg mL⁻¹) was re-homogenised in its original vial, then 0.100 mL of the suspension were taken by a pipette and added in a vial containing 0.4 mL of buffer A. The vial is then placed in a rotating stand for 2 min for washing. This procedure is repeated for three times. Between each washing step, the vial is removed and beads were captured using a magnetic particle concentrator (MagneSphere Magnetic Separation Stand, Promega) and re-suspended in the same amount of fresh buffer B.

Once washing steps are terminated, microbeads were captured and added of 0.40 mL of monoclonal anti-human ErbB2 antibody (100 mg L⁻¹) was added and vortexed. The suspension was then incubated at room temperature for 40 minutes in the rotating stand. Thus, again microbeads were washed three times with buffer B following the washing procedure already reported. The beads were then resuspended in 0.500 mL of buffer C and stored at 4 °C until required.

2.2.2 Blocking with non-specific rabbit IgG

In order to limit the nonspecific interaction between the assay reagents and the free sites eventually present onto the microbeads surface, after the beads functionalisation a blocking step was introduced. At this purpose, functionalised microbeads are incubated with 0.400 mL of buffer B containing 1, 5 and 10 mg L⁻¹ of rIgG (i.e. which are not able to interact with the analyte) for 30 min under rotation. Then, microbeads are again washed three times with buffer D and buffer C and then reconstituted in 0.5 mL of the buffer C.

2.2.3 Reaction with antigen and with the secondary antibody

To perform the calibration, 0.050 mL of functionalised bead suspension to 0.450 mL of buffer C were added, containing the antigen in order to have final HER2 concentrations in the range 0-30 ng
mL⁻¹. After an incubation time of 60 min, beads were washed three times with 0.500 mL of buffer C.

Thus, 0.250 mL of solution of the secondary antibody at concentration 1 mg L⁻¹, prepared in buffer C, are added to the beads and left to incubate for 20 min. Then, beads are washed three times in buffer C.

A negative control was also made to calculate the amount of background signal not caused by the immunocomplex.

The magnetic particles were then separated, as previously described, and two washes with buffer F were performed. The beads were then incubated with 0.500 ml of steptavidin-alkaline phosphatase conjugated (3.9 U mL⁻¹) diluted in buffer G for ten minutes on the sample mixer. Each set of beads containing the immunocomplex was then washed twice after separation of the beads from the solution with buffer F. Finally the samples were resuspended in 0.050 ml of buffer E and vortexed.

2.2.4 Measurement with Screen-Printed Cell

The screen printed cells were secured to a magnetic bar to ensure the retention of the beads and 0.010 mL of a sample was placed onto the working electrode, followed by the addition of 0.060 mL of a solution containing 1 mg mL⁻¹ 1-naphthyl phosphate prepared in buffer E. The measurement of current generated was taken 5 minutes after the addition of substrate using Differential Pulse Voltammetry.

2.2.5 Analysis of serum samples

When testing serum samples, 0.050 mL of functionalised beads suspension were mixed to 0.050 mL of undiluted serum and 0.400 mL of buffer C. After an incubation time of 60 min, beads were washed three times with 0.500 mL of buffer C and further steps of incubation and measurements were repeated as reported form paragraph 2.2.3.

Experiments were also conducted on commercial normal human serum spiked with two concentrations of HER2 (15 ng mL⁻¹ and 25 ng mL⁻¹). For these experiments 0.050 mL of functionalised beads suspension were mixed to 0.050 mL of undiluted serum and 0.400 mL of buffer C containing HER2 antigen in order to have HER2 concentration in the final volume of 15 and 5 ng mL⁻¹.
3. Results and discussion

The sandwich assay was optimised with respect to several parameters such as concentration of primary and secondary antibodies, incubation time of antigen and secondary antibody, blocking agent.

3.1 Optimisation of the assay parameters

As first point, procedures for beads functionalisation and conjugation with the antigen were optimised.

At this purpose, sandwich assays were carried out by varying one parameter per time, in order to experimentally establish the best parameter. The optimised parameters were primary antibody concentration, antigen incubation time and secondary antibody concentration and incubation time.

All the parameters were tested by measuring HER2 at 0 and 10 ng mL\(^{-1}\) (n=3). The results are reported in Table 1. In the table we report the average coefficient of variation (CV\%) for the two concentration of HER2 (0 and 10 ng mL\(^{-1}\)) and the signal ratio between the 10 ng mL\(^{-1}\) of HER2 and the blank (0 ng mL\(^{-1}\) of HER2). The best parameters were chosen considering the best discrimination between 10 ng mL\(^{-1}\) HER2 and blank (Current Ratio) and the best reproducibility in terms of CV\%.

In the case of the experiments for the optimisation of the secondary Ab concentration, best current ratio was obtained with 0.5 mg L\(^{-1}\) of Ab with very poor reproducibility (19\%); in this case, the chosen parameter was the 1 mg L\(^{-1}\) concentration of Ab for the acceptable Current Ratio (1.6) and the very good reproducibility (CV\% = 3\%).

The chosen parameters were: primary Ab 100 mg L\(^{-1}\); secondary Ab 1 mg L\(^{-1}\) and 30 min; antigen 1 h.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Average CV%</th>
<th>Current Ratio Signal (10 ng mL(^{-1}))/Blank (0 ng mL(^{-1}))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Primary Ab 50 mg L(^{-1})</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary Ab 100 mg L(^{-1})</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antigen incubation time 30 min</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antigen incubation time 1 h</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary Ab 0.5 mg L(^{-1})</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>4.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary Ab 1 mg L(^{-1})</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary Ab incubation time 30 min</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary Ab incubation time 1 h</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 1.** Optimisation of the assay parameters primary Ab concentration, antigen incubation time, secondary Ab concentration and incubation time. First column: parameter; second column: average CV% for two concentrations of HER2 (0 and 10 ng mL⁻¹) for each examined parameter; current ratio among the current recorded for the blank and for 10 ng mL⁻¹ of HER2.

3.4 Optimisation of blocking agents

Blocking agents were used at two different steps of the sandwich assay: after beads functionalisation in order to saturate all the protein A binding sites and avoid binding of the secondary antibody to the beads. Moreover, during the incubation of the enzyme different blocking agents were used in order to prevent non specific adsorption of the enzyme onto the beads. In order to assess the effectiveness of this treatment, after the functionalisation procedure, experiments were conducted to determine the effects that the addition of rabbit IgG solutions at different concentrations would have on the signal produced when 0 ng mL⁻¹ and 10 ng mL⁻¹ of HER2 were used in the assay. After the realisation of the complete assay, electrochemical measurements were carried out. Rabbit IgG was incubated with anti-HER2 primary antibody-functionalised beads at 0, 1, 5 and 10 mg L⁻¹ concentration. No difference was observed when using 1 mg L⁻¹ of rabbit IgG, whereas increased signal/blank ratio was obtained with 5 and 10 mg L⁻¹ of rabbit IgG (2 and 5 fold signal of 10 ng mL⁻¹ HER2 with respect to blank, respectively). In the light of these results, blocking of functionalised beads with 10 mg L⁻¹ of rabbit IgG was chosen for further experiments.

The blocking agent in the enzyme, alkaline phosphatase, incubation buffer (buffer G) was further optimised. Casein and BSA were added to this buffer and measurements were carried out as previously described. The results are reported in Figure 1a. Better results were obtained with BSA in terms of reproducibility (CV% = 7%). In order to improve the ratio between the blank signal and the signal of HER2, BSA was tested at two concentrations in buffer G, 0.5 and 1% (Figure 1b). With BSA at 1% concentration a five times higher signal was obtained with HER2 with respect to the blank signal with a good reproducibility (CV% = 9%).
**Figure 1:** Optimisation of the blocking agent during the incubation of the enzyme. a) Optimisation of the blocking agent: casein or BSA were added to buffer G for the incubation of the streptavidin-alkaline phosphatase conjugate. b) Optimisation of BSA concentration: 0.5% and 1% BSA was added to buffer G for the incubation of the streptavidin-alkaline phosphatase conjugate.

### 3.3 Calibration curve of HER2 using a dual antibody sandwich assay

A calibration curve under the conditions optimised for HER2 was performed. For this purpose, a screen-printed cell was used to test a different HER2 concentration. The calibration curve in the concentration range 0-30 ng mL$^{-1}$ was performed: a linear range ($y = 9.0 \times 10^{-8} x + 1.6 \times 10^{-6}$, $R^2 = 0.997$) covers the HER2 concentration range 0-15 ng mL$^{-1}$, which is also the important concentration range from the clinical point of view.
An average CV% of 7% was obtained by repeating the experiment using different electrodes (n=5). The detection limit, calculated by interpolating the mean of the blank solution response plus three times its standard deviation in the linear equation, was determined as 6 ng mL\(^{-1}\), which can be considered adequate to the threshold value of 15 ng mL\(^{-1}\), for the possible application to real samples analysis.

3.4 Serum samples analysis
Once verified the suitability of the assay to detect HER2 in standard solutions, experiments on serum samples were carried out. Initial experiments concerned commercial serum spiked with HER2 at a known concentration. HER2 was added to serum either not treated or after filtration (0.45 \(\mu\)m). Results are reported in Figure 2.

![Figure 2](image)

**Figure 2**: Experiments with commercial serum 1:5 in buffer, filtered and not filtered and spiked with 15 and 25 ng mL\(^{-1}\) HER2.

Increasing current signals were obtained both with spiked serum, filtered and non treated, but better discrimination between serum alone and different concentrations of HER2 were obtained with filtered serum. This is probably due to some matrix effect of the complex serum mixture on the measurement or to less availability of HER2 in the non-filtered serum. Good reproducibility in terms of CV% was achieved with both kinds of serum samples (average CV% ~ 10%).

Real serum samples were then analysed. The samples were from hospital patients who have been subjected to breast mass analysis: breast tissue samples were subjected to full histological diagnosis and DNA extraction for multiplex PCR testing. All the samples were from patient suffering from breast carcinoma, but from the histological analysis, samples 3, 8 and 9 resulted not to be
metastasized, whereas samples 5 and 7 have advanced malignancy (at end stage). All the other samples (1, 2, 4, 6) have lymph node metastasis.

The sandwich assay results are reported in Table 2. The concentration of HER2 cannot be quantified directly by comparison with a calibration curve due to different matrices between serum and buffer or sera from hospital and commercial serum, but a qualitative correlation between level of signal and malignancy stage can be done. Actually, from the sandwich assay analysis, samples with metastasis at the end stage (samples 5 and 7) could be detected and differentiated from the ones without metastasis or with lymph node metastasis. Since HER2 is actually considered as a prognostic marker for breast cancer, the proposed sandwich assay demonstrated to be a useful test to screen samples for a prognostic evaluation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample number</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Histological diagnosis</th>
<th>Grading</th>
<th>Immunoassay results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Current (µA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>Infiltrating ductal carcinoma</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.20 ± 0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>Infiltrating ductal carcinoma</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.16 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>Infiltrating ductal carcinoma</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.20 ± 0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>Lobular carcinoma</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.23 ± 0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>Infiltrating ductal carcinoma</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.5 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>Ductal carcinoma in situ</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.19 ± 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>Infiltrating ductal carcinoma</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7.3 ± 1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>Infiltrating ductal carcinoma</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.20 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>Infiltrating ductal carcinoma</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.15 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Results obtained with real serum samples. First column: sample number; second column: age of the patient; third column: type of cancer resulting from the histological diagnosis; fourth column: grade of cancer; fifth column: immunoassay results expressed as current recorded after the analysis of the serum sample ± the standard deviation (n=3). Evidenced in grey are the two samples (5 and 7) with metastasis at the end stage and which resulted in a higher current signal from the immunoassay analysis.

Conclusions

A simple and sensitive approach for HER2 detection is described, by using antibody modified paramagnetic microparticles coupled to electrochemical platform for electrochemical revelation using alkaline phosphatase as enzyme label and signal amplification.
The different parameters of the assay were optimised and a first calibration was produced in buffered solution with good sensitivity (6 ng mL\(^{-1}\)) and reproducibility (average CV\% = 7 \%) were obtained for HER2 detection, with a linear response which matches clinical needs. The sensitivity achieved was adequate for the analysis of serum samples, since 15 ng mL\(^{-1}\) was defined as the appropriate cut-off between normal and elevated serum HER-2 protein levels. The immunoassay was also tested on serum samples, yielding promising results for use with real samples.
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