

With a focus on context - studies on women's entrepreneurship from Nordic countries and beyond

Leona Achtenhagen and Malin Tillmar

The self-archived postprint version of this journal article is available at Linköping University Institutional Repository (DiVA):

<http://urn.kb.se/resolve?urn=urn:nbn:se:liu:diva-84254>

N.B.: When citing this work, cite the original publication.

Tillmar, M., Achtenhagen, L., (2012), With a focus on context - studies on women's entrepreneurship from Nordic countries and beyond: Editorial, Special Issue guest editors, *International Journal of Gender and Entrepreneurship*, 5(1), 4-16. <https://doi.org/10.1108/17566261311305184>

Original publication available at:

<https://doi.org/10.1108/17566261311305184>

Copyright: Emerald

<http://www.emeraldinsight.com/>



With a focus on context – studies on women’s entrepreneurship from Nordic countries and beyond

Published in: International Journal of Gender and Entrepreneurship, 2013, 5(1), 4-16

Editorial

Leona Achtenhagen and Malin Tillmar

Abstract

Purpose: The purpose of this paper is to direct attention to (recent) research on women’s entrepreneurship, with a focus on Nordic countries.

Design/method/approach: The paper encourages research investigating how context on the micro, meso and macro level is related to women’s entrepreneurship, and acknowledging that gender is socially constructed.

Findings: This paper finds evidence that recent calls for new directions in women’s entrepreneurship research are being followed, for example regarding how gender is done and how context is related to women’s entrepreneurial activities.

Originality/value: This paper assesses trends in research on women’s entrepreneurship, mainly from the Nordic countries.

Keywords: Gender, women, context, Nordic countries

Paper type: Viewpoint

Guest Editor Biography:

Leona Achtenhagen, PhD, is professor of business administration, with special focus on entrepreneurship and business development, at Jönköping International Business School in Sweden. One of her main research areas focuses on entrepreneurial and strategic aspects of small firm growth, often with a focus on the media industries. Other areas of research concern the portrayal of (women’s) entrepreneurship in media as well as ethnic minority and transnational diaspora entrepreneurs. She has published in journals such as Entrepreneurship Theory & Practice, Entrepreneurship & Regional Development and the International Journal of Media Management.

Malin Tillmar, PhD, is associate professor in business administration and deputy director of the Helix Vinn Excellence Centre at Linköping University in Sweden. Her research focuses on less illuminated kinds of entrepreneurship, often with a gender perspective. In 2002, she defended her dissertation "Swedish Tribalism and Tanzanian Agency: Preconditions for Trust and Cooperation in a Small Business Context". Since then, Tillmar has studied (women's) entrepreneurship in the area of public sector transformation and societal entrepreneurship. In 2005, she won the FSF-Nutek prize for young entrepreneurship researchers. Her research results have been published for example in *Entrepreneurship & Regional Development*, the *International Journal of Gender and Entrepreneurship* and the *Scandinavian Journal of Management*.

Introduction

The emergence of the academic topic of women's entrepreneurship is often traced back to the 1980s (Goffe and Scace, 1985; Hisrich and Brush, 1986). Since then, the phenomenon of women's entrepreneurship has triggered considerable interest, both by policy makers in many countries worldwide as well as by academic researchers. In Sweden, a pioneering study on women's entrepreneurship was conducted by Elisabeth Sundin and Carin Holmquist (1989, 1991). Provoked by statements that there were no women entrepreneurs, these researchers traced and studied the total population of women business owners through empirical groundwork. Their work was ground-breaking for the development of the field in Nordic countries¹ and beyond (see for example Spilling and Gunnerud Berg, 2000, in Norway). On the international scene, the Diana-project (initiated in 1997 by the US-based professors Candida G. Brush, Elisabeth J. Gatewood, Nancy M. Carter, Myra M. Hart, and Patricia G. Green) has of course played a crucial role for developing the academic field (see also Holmquist and Carter, 2009) and for creating commitment among researchers. By now, it has developed into an international research project involving scholars from 23 countries, including several Nordic countries (see for example Alsos, Jensen and Ljunggren, 2010).

¹ The term 'Nordic countries' comprises Denmark, Finland, Iceland, Norway and Sweden.

Several scholars have pointed out the relevance of context for studies of entrepreneurship in general (e.g. Johannisson and Monsted, 1998; Welter, 2011) and women's entrepreneurship in particular (Hytti, 2005). This special issue originates from the Nordic context – it is in Sweden the guest editors work and the conference track², from which selected papers are published in this issue, took place. In addition, three of the full papers in this special issues come from the Nordic countries and the fourth takes a world-wide perspective. Therefore, we will take this opportunity to focus on research findings from Sweden and other Nordic countries to set the scene.³

Sundin and Holmquist (1989) studied the phenomenon of women's entrepreneurship in a country which, according to the Global Gender Gap Report 2011, is characterized by a comparatively small gender gap (ranking fourth after Iceland, Norway and Finland) (Hausmann, Tyson and Zahidi, 2011). Sundin and Holmquist's study found a total of 65,000 women running businesses in Sweden, representing around 25 % of the business owners.⁴ Yet, they concluded that women's business ownership could be characterized by the three key terms 'invisibility', 'diversity', and 'adjustment'. Namely, they found that despite the relatively high percentage of women owning and running businesses, these women entrepreneurs were largely invisible in many contexts. But just as male owned businesses, the female owned businesses displayed a diversity of characteristics, depending on the industry, size, legal form and geographical area. Furthermore, female entrepreneurs typically adjusted their businesses to the family situation. The authors identified clear patterns in how the choice

² The track 'Gender and Entrepreneurship' at the 2011 annual International Council for Small Business (ICSB) world conference in Stockholm, see below.

³ In this review, we have searched for and included articles on women's entrepreneurship drawing on empirical material from the context of the Nordic countries and published in the following entrepreneurship journals: Entrepreneurship & Regional Development, Entrepreneurship Theory & Practice, International Journal of Gender and Entrepreneurship, International Small Business Journal, Journal of Business Venturing and Small Business Economics. In addition, we have included relevant publications on the Nordic context where available to us.

⁴ In 2004, women represented 24.8% of all self-employed in both Denmark and Sweden, 32% in Finland and 26.7% in Norway (Arenius and Kovalainen, 2006).

of typical sectors differed between women who were single, married/in a partnership or running a business together with their partner. This led them to question the common assumption that women entrepreneurs tend to prefer 'typical female sectors' *per se*, rather suggesting that women entrepreneurs self-select into those sectors partly because of the adjustment to the family situation.

Moreover, more recent studies have confirmed that the three key terms still seem valid 20 years later (Sundin and Tillmar, 2010a). Especially, the invisibility of women's entrepreneurship in various practices (Javefors-Grauers, 2002; Tillmar, 2007), discourse (Pettersson, 2002) and in research (Ahl, 2004) has since the pioneering study by Sundin and Holmquist (1989) been confirmed and further discussed by Swedish scholars. If women entrepreneurs are invisible even in a country often praised for its gender equality, it is not surprising that similar patterns emerge in other countries. For example, Achtenhagen and Welter (2011) found in a recent study that women entrepreneurs are greatly underrepresented in German newspaper reporting. Also the multitude of women's entrepreneurship was confirmed in international studies, finding that there is nothing like *one* generic kind of women entrepreneur (Gatewood et al., 2003). This contradiction between the general image of gender equality and persisting gender-based (i.e. largely male-dominated) power structures and discourses might very well have contributed to triggering the great interest in gender studies and women entrepreneurship present not only in Sweden, but also the other Nordic countries. This research interest was further fueled by Swedish scholar Helene Ahl (e.g. 2004; 2006), who directed attention to the gendering of entrepreneurship research through her discourse analysis of international research texts.

In Sweden, Carin Holmquist and Elisabeth Sundin continue to be important drivers of the research focus on women's entrepreneurship, i.a. through their 'Female

Entrepreneurship and Management' research program, funded by the Swedish Agency for Economic and Regional Growth. The program engaged around a dozen researchers in the field, putting Sweden firmly on the women's entrepreneurship research map (e.g. Sundin and Holmquist, 1996, 2002). This research program has also worked actively with complementing (women's) entrepreneurship theory with gender theory (e.g. Javefors-Grauers, 2002; Lindgren, 2000; Lindgren and Packendorff, 2006) as well as with policy, practice and support systems. The continuing tradition at many Nordic universities to write PhD theses in their respective languages makes a bulk of the highly interesting findings that have emerged from this research program not accessible for an international audience and thereby limits its impact on developing the field internationally, though they of course inspire theory-building efforts in the Nordic countries (e.g. Dareblom, 2005; Javefors-Grauers, 2002; Hedfeldt, 2008; Ljunggren, 2003⁵; Näsman, 2000; Pettersson, 2002).

The Swedish research results led the country's government to invest (this time via the Swedish Governmental Agency for Innovation Systems 'Vinnova'), into a large-scale research program 'Research on women's entrepreneurship' (*Forskning om kvinnors företagande*). Between 2007 and early 2011, this research program comprised ten different research projects conducted at different Swedish universities. The government's aim with sponsoring this program was to revitalize research and increase knowledge on women's entrepreneurship in order to enable the development of better policy measures and, eventually, increase the numbers of women entrepreneurs (Government Decision N 2007/5532/ENT). The government was driven by the assumption that there was untapped potential of increased women's entrepreneurship in the country and that existing policies to foster entrepreneurship were oriented to men's entrepreneurship and men-dominated industries. Therefore, i.a. the need for research which would unmask (hidden) challenges for

⁵ This dissertation contains articles also in English.

women entrepreneurs was identified (ibid). This need is confirmed e.g. by a study comparing the self-employment preferences among women in the different Nordic countries, which found that despite the similarity of these countries in terms of gender position differences in factors influencing women's involvement in new venture formation exist (Arenius and Kovalainen, 2006). And while national state support systems exist in all Nordic countries, these are based on different paradigms and rationales (Pettersson, 2012).

In an anthology presenting findings from the different projects, Blomberg, Hedlund and Wottle (2011: 31-32) identify and discuss three different dilemmas which politics and policy-making face related to women's entrepreneurship.

1. The first dilemma refers to how women entrepreneurship is portrayed as a '*problem*', in that it is perceived to be happening too little and in 'wrong' industry sectors, namely those displaying too little growth and creating too few jobs. Men's entrepreneurship, in contrast, is rarely portrayed in such terms. Women entrepreneurs are thus constructed as a 'problematic' group in need of specific support and help, and whose entrepreneurial activities are seen as not innovative and productive enough.
2. The second dilemma refers to *gender segregation*. While the Swedish government takes up both the labor market and entrepreneurship in its recent action plan towards gender equality, it is not questioning the segregated labor market *per se*. Thus, the action plan encourages women to become entrepreneurs – but expects these activities to take place within the 'traditional' confines of health and care services, transferring low-income employed positions into low-income self-employment.
3. The third dilemma refers to women as a '*means*', e.g. as an available, relatively 'untapped' resource to spark economic development through entrepreneurial activities.

While Sweden is characterized by relative gender equality when compared to most other countries, gender issues remain present in Swedish public debate and are frequently covered in mass media. Despite the public awareness of the topic which this debate fuels, power structures (and discourses) in the country continue to be somewhat gendered and unbalanced. Already in the 1970s, Sweden introduced a number of reforms which made it easier to be part of the labor market: married couples were no longer taxed jointly, but individually; maternity leave was changed to parental leave; and childcare facilities were expanded. Thus, the country already a long time ago got rid of a number of formal institutional bottlenecks commonly identified in empirical studies as great obstacles for women's entrepreneurship (e.g. Holst, 2001; Winn, 2004, 2005). An informal gender system however remains. The gender segregation on the labor market is still strong and also the hierarchisation is evident from national statistics (see SCB, 2010). Not only professions but also industries are gender labeled (Lindgren, 2008). The same applies to entrepreneurship as such, which continues to be gender-labeled as 'male' (Ahl, 2004; Ljunggren and Alsos, 2007; Sundin, 2002). This labeling continues to be reproduced in media, though women entrepreneurs contribute to discursive change (see for example Berglund, 2006). Naturally, the gendered structures and discourses can be assumed to have an impact on the fact that women entrepreneurs still represent only around 25-30% of business ownership in the Nordic countries.

In an analysis of the Swedish welfare state, Bourne (2010) shows the difficulty of dealing with issues of hierarchical ordering, where policies which were intended to break down gender barriers appear to reproduce asymmetrical gender systems and a gendered labor market structure. The impact of the welfare state on women's entrepreneurial activities is complex. Among other things, public childcare and elderly care facilitate women's

participation in the labor market, and these welfare states have been termed ‘women friendly’ (Hernes, 1987; see also Ahl, 2011). Yet, adjustment to women who are owner/managers has not been sufficient. For example in the case of Denmark, Neergaard and Thrane (2011) illustrate how though it had been taken for granted that public childcare would facilitate increased women’s entrepreneurship, this might not necessarily be the case, as there appears to be a schism between welfare models that facilitate employment and those that facilitate entrepreneurship.

In Sweden, women’s entrepreneurship and entrepreneurship in social and health-care has been supported by the government in a number of ways, for example by the National Agency for Economic and Regional Growth (see e.g. Berglund, 2006; Tillmar, 2007 for analyses of such projects from a gender perspective). In the political debate, the deregulation of the public sector was presented as an opportunity for women, who represent the majority of employees in welfare sectors. As we know from established research that a majority of entrepreneurs start businesses related to their previous occupations (Shane, 2000; Sundin and Thörnqvist, 2006), the hope that women would act on newly emerging entrepreneurial opportunities was not far-fetched. The process of deregulation has involved outsourcing of publicly funded education, healthcare and social care, and customer-choice systems are currently being implemented in many municipalities. In these systems, the user decides on which organization to deliver the publicly funded service. In an official report of the Swedish government, it was for example stated that *“In those municipalities and county councils that introduce customer choice, small businesses and in particular female entrepreneurship will be favored...”* (SOU 2008:15). Unfortunately, research results assessing the outcomes are to date contradictory and inconclusive (cf. Sundin and Tillmar, 2010b). If a fulfillment of the political ambition described above is the best-case scenario from a gender-equality

perspective, the worst-case scenario is that the gender system is reproduced in business ownership with a resulting “masculinization” of parts of the health and care operations (i.e. predominant male ownership of newly founded businesses in the sector). In elderly-care, this situation seems to be emerging, partly due to economies of scale and competition from international corporations (Sundin and Tillmar, 2010a). However, an ongoing mapping study will reveal how women’s entrepreneurship has evolved in different parts of the (previously) public sector and in different regions of the country (Sköld, forthcoming 2012).

In recent years, a number of new policy-related partnerships have emerged, which had the potential to address the phenomenon of women’s entrepreneurship. However, this potential seems to have been largely lost, i.a. as women with power in politics and the economy did not manage to join forces in these partnerships (which include, among others, the EU-funded regional Structural Funds), as a study of different Swedish regions showed (Hedlund, 2011). However, as Hedfeldt (2011: 158) concludes from a study of the representation of women in regional Structural Funds processes and projects, the question is whether women interested and/or active in entrepreneurship actually could join forces to formulate a shared agenda (despite their diversity, cf. Sundin and Holmquist, 1989), and which issues they would in that case want to raise.

This special issue: background and contributions

In the summer of 2011, the guest editors of this special issue organized a track on “Gender and Entrepreneurship” at the International Council for Small Business (ICSB) Annual Conference in Stockholm, Sweden. We received close to 100 contributions, from many corners of the world, but with a high representation of Swedish and other Nordic scholars. This large number of submissions confirms the recent claim that the field of

women's entrepreneurship has left its 'early childhood stage' (De Bruin, Brush and Welter, 2006), and rather is 'at the brink to adolescence', as Hughes et al. (2012) put forward in the editorial to a special issue in *Entrepreneurship Theory & Practice*.

Based on Ahl's (2006) critical review, these authors summarize three areas of criticism of the literature on women's entrepreneurship accumulated through the time of publication of Ahl's review. The first criticism states that the popular view on women's entrepreneurship as untapped resource for economic growth has triggered much research documenting and explaining the performance and growth of women's ventures, while silencing other types of research questions (including research focusing on other possible outcomes of entrepreneurial activities) (see also Berglund & Johansson, 2007).⁶ The second criticism addresses the highly individualistic orientation of entrepreneurship research in general, and women's entrepreneurship in particular. Building on Ahl's (2006: 605-607) arguments, Hughes et al. (2012: 3) point out how due to this individualistic focus rather little attention has been paid to, for example, contextual and historical variables, and little explicit use has been made of feminist perspectives to explain gendered power structures. In result, there has been a tendency to attribute 'shortcomings' of female entrepreneurs to individual women, rather than social or institutional arrangements (Hughes et al., 2012: 3; Ahl, 2006: 606). The third area of criticism is the objectivist stance, which by presuming the existence of inherently 'male' or 'female' attributes and their measurability, contributes to the production of gender differences.

Ahl (2006: 611) concluded in her review that new directions are needed in research on women's entrepreneurship, namely towards an expansion of the research object and a shift

⁶ This body of research also contains performance comparisons between men's and women's entrepreneurship, for the Swedish context e.g. regarding self-employment among immigrants (Hammarstedt, 2004) or studies, which employ gender as a control variable (e.g. for a study on effects of unemployment on firm formation in Finland, see Ritsilä and Tervo, 2002).

in epistemological position. As the author points out, researchers do not necessarily have to abandon an objectivist perspective, but argues that it would be an improvement to account for factors ‘outside’ the individual entrepreneur and her business, such as policies, social norms or legislation. She suggests that internationally-comparative and contingency studies could, for example, study relationships between family policies and women entrepreneurship as well as how these are related to different contexts (ibid). A shift in epistemological position would imply a shift from considering “gender as something that *is* to gender as something that is *done* and from gender as something firmly tied to bodies to gender as tied to anything—concepts, jobs, industries, language, disciplines—or to businesses” (Ahl, 2006: 612). She suggests that studies on how institutions are gendered could make important contributions, for example investigating the institutionalization of support structures for women entrepreneurs.

How do the contributions in this special issue relate to these concerns and which focus and findings do the articles have? The paper ‘*Entrepreneurship within social and health care – a question of identity, gender and professionalism*’ by Anne Kovalainen and Johanna Österberg investigates how entrepreneurial identity is adopted and how it is constructed amongst female entrepreneurs in small businesses within social and health care in the Nordic context of Finland. The authors have managed to conduct an epistemological shift, as called for by Ahl (2006; see above), towards discussing how gender is ‘done’ by analysing the emergence of an entrepreneurial identity and position in an occupational group that is historically and presently embedded in paid employment patterns and practices. Kovalainen and Österberg make an interesting contribution in elaborating on the interrelatedness of different levels of context for women entrepreneurs in this specific industry sector. Namely, the authors discuss the intersectionality of gender, identity and positions in professions and occupations development.

An earlier version of the paper by Susan Clark Muntean '*Wind beneath my wings: Policies promoting high-growth oriented women entrepreneurs*' received the ICSB-IJGE-NWBC Best Paper Award 2011 (for more information on this award, see Weeks and Duffy, 2011). In this paper, Clark Muntean investigates how countries' stance towards gender equality (in terms of women's legal and social status, institutional presence and economic empowerment) is related to opportunity-driven women's entrepreneurship. Analyzing cross-sectional data across a large number of countries, she finds support for her assumption that gender equality is positively related to opportunity-driven entrepreneurial activities by women. Though maintaining a largely objectivist perspective, this paper makes a relevant contribution by pointing out the systematic impact of contextual factors beyond the influence of individual female entrepreneurs on women's entrepreneurs' performance – representing one direction of research on women's entrepreneurship called for by Ahl (2006, see above).

The paper by Maria Bogren, Yvonne von Friedrichs, Øystein Rennemo and Øystein Widding '*Networking women entrepreneurs – fruitful for business growth?*' explores the way how women entrepreneurs in rural areas in two Nordic countries, namely Sweden and Norway, utilize various kinds of networks is related to their level of ambition to grow. This paper draws attention to the relevance of the personal context of women for their entrepreneurial activities and finds that women entrepreneurs in both country-contexts perceive personal networks as more supportive than business networks for their entrepreneurial endeavors.

The paper '*Women business ventures in Swedish university incubators*' by Åsa Lindholm Dahlstrand and Diamanto Politis investigates the role of university incubators for women's academic entrepreneurship. The authors take the vantage point that it is important to

develop an understanding of how women entrepreneurs in various industries differ. They attempt to move beyond the individualistic focus discussed above by applying a multilevel design that takes into consideration the relationship between individual firms and the incubator environment (cf. de Bruin, Brush and Welter, 2007; Rothaermel, Agung and Jiang, 2007). Their study of academic women entrepreneurs starting up their ventures in incubators refers to a knowledge-intensive context where men and women entrepreneurs possess fairly similar levels of human capital, thereby avoiding a typical sampling bias (see Brush, de Bruin and Welter, 2009). Their analysis, based on 19 Swedish incubators and over 1400 ventures, out of which 210 ventures with women entrepreneurs, shows that there are large difference between women's ventures in the incubators.

Finally, the research note by Sylvain Max and Valérie Ballereau proposes a promising research method to identify stereotypical thinking, which could be fruitfully employed to unmask even latent discrimination of women (or other groups of entrepreneurs). Making use of the research method discussed by these authors could support theory-building e.g. regarding the question of whether and how female entrepreneurs are discriminated against by those who might have a say in evaluating their businesses, such as banks (Holmquist, 2008: 16), which is an area where research results to date deliver inconclusive results (Carter et al., 2007; Eriksson, Katila and Niskanen, 2009; Fay and Williams, 1993).

Concluding remarks

Recently, Brush et al. (2009) argued for the need to acknowledge that institutionalized social structures at the micro, meso and macro levels can have a significant impact on women's entrepreneurship. The papers included in this special issue clearly demonstrate that the understanding of entrepreneurial processes and realities of female

entrepreneurs can be enhanced by employing different perspectives, from micro level – e.g. how individuals make sense of their entrepreneurial identity (captured in Kovalainen and Österberg’s paper) – to macro level understandings, e.g. showing sensitivity to different national and institutional contexts (as in Clark Muntean’s contribution). But not the least we need studies which focus on the meso level (as represented by the role of business networks in Bogren et al.’s paper as well as that of incubators in Lindholm Dahlstrand and Politis’ paper).

Judging from the range of papers submitted to our conference track mentioned above, we are not witnessing a revolution towards new directions in research on women’s entrepreneurship, but rather an evolution. This special issue is attempting to contribute by further exploring the relevance of context for women entrepreneurs. Thereby, we follow in the footsteps of a previous special issue published in this journal, which had focused on the role of context in international studies and comparisons to illuminate the role of context, e.g. in terms of culture and socio-economic situation (Ashe and Treanor, 2011). The papers in this special issue also illustrate the multitude of women’s entrepreneurship. This diversity is contingent not least on the different industry sectors where the entrepreneurs operate as well as the business models applicable in these contexts. As entrepreneurship theory has to date dominated over gender perspectives in studies on women as entrepreneurs, there is a risk that women are squeezed into the theory which has evolved around entrepreneurship (Berglund, 2007). A promising trend for current and future studies is the increase in articles integrating gender theory with entrepreneurship (Mirchandani, 1999), challenging and contributing to entrepreneurship theory from a gender perspective (e.g. Achtenhagen and Welter, 2011; Ahl, 2007; Bruni, Gherardi and Poggio, 2004; Calas, Smircich and Bourne, 2009). Research on women’s entrepreneurship can be further strengthened by employing gender perspectives –

especially following a social constructivist perspective investigating ‘how gender is done’ (Ahl, 2006).

Acknowledgements

The authors wish to thank professor Helene Ahl, Dr Karin Berglund, Dr Katarina Pettersson and professor Elisabeth Sundin for their valuable comments on earlier versions of this paper.

References

Achtenhagen, L. and Welter, F. (2011): ‘Surfing on the ironing board’ – The representation of women’s entrepreneurship in German newspapers, *Entrepreneurship & Regional Development*, Vol. 23, No. 9-10, pp. 763-786.

Ahl, H. (2004): *The scientific reproduction of gender inequality: A discourse analysis of research texts on women's entrepreneurship*. Copenhagen: Copenhagen Business School Press.

Ahl, H. (2006): Why research on women entrepreneurs needs new directions. *Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice*, 30(5), 595–621.

Ahl, H. (2007), Sex business in the toy store: a narrative analysis of a teaching case. *Journal of Business Venturing*, 22(5), 673-693.

Ahl, H. (2011), Politik för kvinnors företagande i Sverige och USA, in Blomberg, E., Hedlund, G. and Wottle, M. (eds.), *Kvinnors företagande – mål eller medel?*, SNS Förlag, Stockholm, pp. 292-322. [Policy-making for women’s entrepreneurship in Sweden and the US].

Alsos, G.A, Jensen, R.S. and Ljunggren, E. (2010) in Brush, C.G., de Bruin, A., Gatewood, E.J. and Henry, C. (eds.), *Women Entrepreneurs and the Global Environment for Growth*, Cheltenham: Edward Elgar.

Arenius, P. and Kovalainen, A. (2006), Similarities and differences across the factors associated with women’s self-employment preferences in the Nordic countries, *International Small Business Journal*, Vol. 24, No. 1, pp. 31-59.

Ashe, F. and Treanor, L. (2011), Situating the subject: gender and entrepreneurship in international contexts, *International Journal of Gender and Entrepreneurship*, Vol. 3, No. 3, pp. 185-199.

Blomberg, E., Hedlund, G. and Wottle, M. (2011) (Eds.), *Kvinnors företagande – mål eller medel?*, SNS Förlag, Stockholm. [Women’s entrepreneurship – aim or means?]

Berglund, K. (2006), Discursive diversity in fashioning entrepreneurial identity, in: Hjorth, D. and Steyaert, C. (eds.) *Entrepreneurship as Social Change – A Third Movements in Entrepreneurship Book*, Cheltenham, UK: Edward Elgar, pp. 231-250.

Berglund, K. (2007), *Kvinnors företagande: En internationall forskningsöversikt*, R 2007:007, Östersund, Institutet för Tillväxtpolitiska Studier (ITPS). [Women's entrepreneurship: An overview of international research]

Berglund, K. and Johansson, A.W. (2007), The Entrepreneurship Discourse - Outlined from Diverse Constructions of Entrepreneurship on the Academic Scene, *Journal of Enterprising Communities: People and Places in the Global Economy*, Vol. 1, No. 1: pp. 77-102.

Bourne, K.A. (2010), The paradox of gender equality: an entrepreneurial case study from Sweden, *International Journal of Gender and Entrepreneurship*, Vol. 2, No. 1, pp. 10-26.

Bruni, A., Gherardi, S., and Poggio, B. (2004), "Entrepreneur-mentality, gender and the study of women entrepreneurs", *Organizational Change Management*, Vol. 17, No. 3, pp. 256-268.

Brush, C.G., de Bruin, A. and Welter, F. (2009), A gender-aware framework for women's entrepreneurship, *International Journal of Gender and Entrepreneurship*, Vol. 1, No. 1, pp. 8-24.

Calás, M.B., Smircich, L., and Bourne, K.A. (2009), "Extending the Boundaries: Reframing 'Entrepreneurship as Social Change' Through Feminist Perspectives", *The Academy of Management Review*, Vol. 34, No. 3, 552-569.

Carter, S., Shaw, E., Lam, W. and Wilson, F. (2007), "Gender, entrepreneurship, and bank lending: The criteria and processes used by bank loan officers in assessing applications", *Entrepreneurship Theory & Practice*, May, pp. 427-444.

Dareblom, J. (2005), *Prat, politik och praktik – Om individers möten med strukturer i en kommunal satsning på kvinnors företagande*, PhD Dissertation, Stockholm School of Economics. [Talk, politics, and practice – About the meetings of individuals with structures in a local project on women's entrepreneurship]

de Bruin, A., Brush, C.G. and Welter F. (2006), Introduction to the Special Issue: Towards Building Cumulative Knowledge on Women's Entrepreneurship, *Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice*, September, pp. 1042-2587.

de Bruin, A., Brush, C.G. and Welter, F. (2007), Advancing a framework for coherent research on women's entrepreneurship, *Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice*, Vol. 31, No.3, pp. 323-339.

Du Rietz, A. and Henrekson, M. (2000), Testing the female underperforming hypothesis, *Small Business Economics*, Vol. 14, pp. 1-10.

- Erikson, P., Katila, S. and Niskanen, M. (2009), Gender and sources of finance in Finnish SMEs: a contextual view, *International Journal of Gender and Entrepreneurship*, Vol. 1, No. 3, pp. 176-191.
- Fay, M. and Williams, L. (1993), Gender bias and the availability of business loans, *Journal of Business Venturing*, Vol. 8, No. 4, pp. 363-376.
- Gatewood, E.G., Carter, N.M., Brush, C.G., Greene, P.G. and Hart, M.M. (2003), *Women entrepreneurs, their ventures, and the venture capital industry: An annotated bibliography*. Stockholm, Sweden, ESBRI.
- Goffe, R. and Scafe, R. (1985), *Women in charge: The experiences of female entrepreneurs*, London and Boston: Allen & Unwin.
- Hammarstedt, M. (2004), Self-employment among immigrants in Sweden – An analysis of intragroup differences, *Small Business Economics*, Vol. 23, pp. 115-126.
- Hausmann, R., Tyson, L.D., and Zahidi, S. (2011), *The Global Gender Gap Report 2011*, World Economic Forum, available at: <http://reports.weforum.org/global-gender-gap-2011/> (accessed 15 March 2012).
- Hedfeldt, M. (2008): *Företagande kvinnor i bruksort – Arbetsliv och vardagsliv i samspel*, PhD dissertation, Örebro University [Entrepreneurially active women in small industrial towns – The interaction between work life and everyday life]
- Hedfeldt, M. (2011), Verkliga och imaginära företagande kvinnor, in: Blomberg, E., Hedlund, G. and Wottle, M. (eds.), *Kvinnors företagande – mål eller medel?*, Stockholm, SNS Förlag, pp. 139-160. [Real and imagined entrepreneurially-active women]
- Hedlund, G. (2011), Partnerskap och genus i den regionala röran, in: Blomberg, E., Hedlund, G. and Wottle, M. (eds.), *Kvinnors företagande – mål eller medel?*, Stockholm, SNS Förlag, pp. 114-138. [Partnership and gender in the regional mess]
- Hernes, H. (1987), *Welfare state and women power. Essays in state feminism*. Oslo, Norwegian University Press.
- Hisrich, R.G. and Brush, C.D. (1986), *The women entrepreneur: Starting, financing and managing a successful new business*, Lexington, MA, Lexington Books.
- Holmquist, C. (2008), Fältet genus och företagande – introduktion och sammanfattning, in: Larsson, P., Göranson, U. and Lagerholm, M. (Eds.): *Sesam öppnar dig! Forskarperspektiv på kvinnors företagande*, Vinnova Report VR 2008:20, Stockholm, Swedish Governmental Agency for Innovation System, pp. 7-30. [The area of gender and entrepreneurship – introduction and summary]
- Holmquist, C. and Carter, S. (2009), The Diana project: pioneering women studying pioneering women, *Small Business Economics*, Vol. 32, No. 2, pp. 121-128.

- Holmquist, C. and Sundin, E. (1996), *Studier av kvinnors företagande – ett forskningsprogram*, R1996:32, Stockholm, Nutek. [Studies of women's entrepreneurship – a research program]
- Holst, E. (2001), *Institutionelle Determinanten der Erwerbsarbeit: Zur Notwendigkeit einer Gender-Perspektive in den Wirtschaftswissenschaften*. DIW Diskussionspapier, 237. Berlin: DIW. [Institutional determinants of gainful employment: The necessity of a gender perspective in business studies]
- Hughes, K.D., Jennings, J.E., Brush, C., Carter, S. and Welter, F. (2012), Extending women's entrepreneurship research in new directions, *Entrepreneurship Theory & Practice*, Special Issue Editorial, March.
- Hytti, U. (2005) New meanings for entrepreneurs: from risk-taking heroes to safe-seeking professionals, *Journal of Organizational Change Management*, Vol. 18, No. 6, pp. 594 – 611.
- Javefors Grauers, E. (2002), *Profession, genus och företagarpär: en studie av advokater och köpmän*, PhD Dissertation, Linköping University, Linköping Studies in Management and Economics. [Profession, gender and enterprising couples: a study of lawyers and retailers]
- Johannisson, B. and Mønsted, M. (1998), Contextualizing Entrepreneurial Networking: The case of Scandinavia. *International Studies of Management and Organization*, Vol. 27, No. 3, pp 109-136.
- Lindgren, M. (2000), *Kvinnor i friskolor: om kön, entreprenörskap och profession i identitetsskapandet : rapport från FEM-gruppen*, Örebro: Forum för Småföretagsforskning. [Women in private schools: On gender, entrepreneurship and profession in creating identities]
- Lindgren, M. (2008), Maskulint, feminint, entreprenöriellt – könsstämpling av branscher och professioner, in: Larsson, P., Göranson, U. and Lagerholm, M. (eds.) *Sesam, öppna dig! Forskarperspektiv på kvinnors företagande*: pp. 53-65. VR 2008:20. Stockholm: VINNOVA. [Masculine, feminine, entrepreneurial – gendering of industries and professions]
- Lindgren, M. and Packendorff, J. (2006) What's New in New Forms of Organizing? On the Construction of Gender in Project-Based Work, *Journal of Management Studies*, Vol. 43, No. 3, pp. 841-866.
- Ljunggren, E. (2003), *Entreprenørskap og kjønn - En kunnskapsreise mellom to perspektiver: fra individ til relasjon*, PhD Dissertation, Umeå University [Entrepreneurship and gender – A knowledge journey between two perspectives: from individual to relationship]
- Ljunggren, E. and Alsos, G.A. (2007), Media expressions of entrepreneurs: presentations and discourses of male and female entrepreneurs in Norway, in: Henry, C. and Johnston, K. (eds.), *Promoting female entrepreneurship: Implications for education, training and policy*, London: Routledge.

- Mirchandani, K. (1999), Feminist insight on gendered work: New directions in research on women and entrepreneurship, *Gender, Work and Organization*, Vol. 6, No. 4, pp.224-235.
- Neergaard, H. and Thrane, C. (2011), The Nordic Welfare Model: barrier or facilitator of women's entrepreneurship in Denmark, *International Journal of Gender and Entrepreneurship*, Vol. 3, No. 2, pp. 88-104.
- Näsman, B. (2000), *Pappas flickor... Entreprenöriella processer i kvinnoföretagandets tillkomst*, PhD dissertation, Stockholm University [Daddy's girls... Entrepreneurial processes in women's entrepreneurship's establishment]
- Pettersson, K. (2002), *Företagande män och osynliggjorda kvinnor*, PhD dissertation, Uppsala University [Entrepreneurially active men and women made invisible].
- Pettersson, K. (2012), Support for women's entrepreneurship: a Nordic spectrum, *International Journal for Gender and Entrepreneurship*, Vol. 4, No. 1, pp. 4-19.
- Ritsilä, J. and Tervo, H. (2002), Effects of unemployment on new firm formation: Micro-level panel data evidence from Finland, *Small Business Economics*, Vol. 19, pp. 31-40.
- Rothaermel, F.T., Agung S.D. and Jiang L. (2007), "University entrepreneurship: a taxonomy of the literature", *Industrial and Corporate Change*, Vol. 16, No. 4, pp. 691-791.
- SCB (2010), Statistics Sweden, *På tal om kvinnor och män: lathund om jämställdhet*, ISBN: 978-91-618-1517-3. [Speaking about women and men: quick guide on equality]
- Shane, S. (2000), Prior knowledge and the discovery of entrepreneurial opportunities, *Organization Studies*, Vol. 11, No. 4, July-August.
- Sköld, B. (forthcoming 2012), *Förändring i kvinnors företagande i spåren av offentlig sektors omvandling, 1993–2008*, Licentiate thesis, Linköping University. [Change in women's entrepreneurship in result of public sector changes, 1993-2008]
- SOU (Official Reports of the Swedish Government) 2008:15. *LOV att välja – Lag Om Valfrihetssystem. Frittvalutredningens betänkande*. Stockholm: Fritzes. www.sweden.gov.se. [Allowed to choose – Law about a customer-choice system]
- Spilling, O.R. and Gunnerud Berg, N. (2000), Gender and small business management: The case of Norway in the 1990s, *International Small Business Journal*, Vol. 18, No. 2, pp. 38-59.
- Sundin, E. (2002), "Företagandets manliga prägling", in Sundin, E. and Holmquist, C. (Eds.), *Företagerskan, Om kvinnor och entreprenörskap*, Stockholm, SNS Förlag. [The male imprinting of entrepreneurship]
- Sundin, E. and Holmquist, C. (1989), *Kvinnor som företagare: Osynlighet, mångfald, anpassning*, Malmö, Liber. [Women as entrepreneurs: Invisibility, diversity, adjustment]

Sundin, E. and Holmquist, C. (1991), The Growth of Women Entrepreneurship - Push or Pull Factors?, in: Davies, L.G. and Gibb, A.A. (eds.) *Recent Research in Entrepreneurship*. Avebury, pp. 106-114.

Sundin, E. and Holmquist, C. (1996), *Studier av kvinnors företagande: Ett forskningsprogram*, NUTEK Rapport1996:32, Stockholm, Nutek. [Studies of women's entrepreneurship: A research program]

Sundin, E. and Holmquist, C. (Eds.) (2002), *Företagerskan, Om kvinnor och entreprenörskap*, Stockholm, SNS Förlag. [The female entrepreneur: About women and entrepreneurship]

Sundin, E. and Thörnquist A. (Eds.) (2006), *När anställda blir företagare: Studier av företagande i ett strukturomvandlings och arbetsmarknadsperspektiv*. Arbetsliv i Omvandling 2006:17, Stockholm, Arbetslivsinstitutet. [When employed become self-employed: Studies of entrepreneurship from the perspective of structural change and labor market]

Sundin, E. and Tillmar, M. (2010a), "Masculinization of the public sector: Local level studies of public sector outsourcing in elder care", *International Journal of Gender and Entrepreneurship*, Vol. 2, No. 1, pp. 49-67.

Sundin, E. and Tillmar, M. (2010b), *Varför ska kvinnor starta eget inom vård och omsorg?*, Stockholm, Tillväxtverket. [Why should women found companies within the health and care sectors?]

Tillmar, M. (2007), Gendered small-business assistance: lessons from a Swedish project, *Journal of European Industrial Training*, Vol. 31, No. 2, pp. 84-99.

Weeks, J.R. and Duffy, S.G. (2011): Seven years of the ICSB-IJGE-NWBC Best Paper Award for women's entrepreneurship research, *International Journal of Gender and Entrepreneurship*, Vol. 3, No. 1, pp. 79-82.

Welter, F. (2011), Contextualizing entrepreneurship – Conceptual challenges and ways forward, *Entrepreneurship Theory & Practice*, January, pp. 165-184.

Winn, J. (2004), "Entrepreneurship: Not an easy path to top management for women", *Women in Management Review*, Vol. 19, No. 3, pp. 143-153.

Winn, J. (2005), "Women entrepreneurs: Can we remove the barriers?", *International Entrepreneurship and Management Journal*, Vol. 1, No. 3, pp. 381-397.