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ABSTRACT

We investigated presence and levels of DDT in 163 breast milk samples from four South African villages where, in three of them, malaria is controlled with DDT sprayed indoors. Mean ΣDDT levels in breast milk were 18, 11, and 9.5 mg/kg mf (milk fat) from the three DDT-sprayed villages, respectively, including the highest ΣDDT level ever reported for breast milk from South Africa (140 mg/kg mf). Understanding the causes for these differences would be informative for exposure reduction intervention. The Provisional Tolerable Daily Intake (PTDI) for DDT by infants, and the Maximum Residue Limit (MRL) were significantly exceeded. DDT had no effect on duration of lactation. There were indications (not significant) from DDT-sprayed villages that first-born female infants drink milk with more ΣDDT than first-born male infants, and vice versa for multipara male and female infants, suggesting gender involvement on levels of DDT in breast milk – requiring further investigation.
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1. Introduction

DDT (dichlorodiphenyltrichloroethane) in humans continues to draw much attention, primarily due to legacy issues (e.g. Cao et al., 2011; Cohn, 2011; Fromberg et al., 2011; Glynn et al., 2011) and its continued use in malaria vector control (Eskenazi et al., 2009; van den Berg, 2009; Darnerud et al., 2010; Bouwman et al., 2011). The problems with the use of DDT stems largely from its persistence, bioaccumulation, toxicity, and physical-chemical capacity for long-range transport (Mackay et al, 2006; Stockholm Convention, 2012).

The World Health Organization (WHO) estimated that 174 million cases of malaria occurred in 2010 (81% in Africa). An estimated 655 000 died from malaria (91% in Africa); 86% were children under the age of 5 (WHO, 2011b). Reducing malarial morbidity and mortality remains a high priority. About 4.1 million people are at risk of malaria in South Africa and are protected by yearly IRS with DDT and pyrethroids. DDT is applied as an indoor residual spray (IRS) at 2 g/m² on indoor walls, rafters, and elsewhere by trained provincial applicators. Between 64 and 128 g of DDT is applied per average dwelling, entering the human body via food, dermal exposure, and air (Van Dyk et al., 2010). In 2009, 63 750 kg of DDT was applied in South Africa.

The presence of lipophilic DDT in human breast milk has been known for more than 60 years (Laug et al., 1951). In malaria areas, DDT levels in breast milk generally exceed the maximum residue limit (MRL, for bovine milk), and DDT intake by infants exceeds the provisional tolerable daily intake (PTDI) significantly (Bouwman et al., 2006; Okonkwo et al., 2008). The recently released WHO health risk assessment on DDT as used in IRS (WHO, 2011a) concluded that.... “in some areas, the exposures in treated residences have been higher than potential levels of concern. Efforts are needed to implement best practices to protect residents in treated households from exposures arising from IRS. Of particular concern would be women of childbearing age who live in DDT IRS-treated dwellings and transfer of DDT and DDE to the foetus in pregnancy and to the infant via lactation.” However, other than stating that exposures should be reduced, the health implications of exceedances were not addressed. The paradox inherent in combating a deadly disease with a compound that is increasingly associated with a number of human health conditions remains a difficult and vexing issue (Bouwman et al., 2011).

Parity and infant age are well-known factors that govern levels of DDT in human milk (Bouwman et al., 1990b; Mishra and Sharma, 2011). A factor less often explored is location (Cerna et al., 2010; Mishra and Sharma, 2011). IRS in SA is a provincial responsibility, with three separate provincially operated spraying programmes in Mpumalanga, Limpopo, and KwaZulu-Natal (Fig. 1). Although all three operate according to WHO IRS guidelines (WHO, 2007), operational and cultural differences between provinces might be sufficient to influence DDT levels in breast milk. Although levels of DDT in breast milk are known from two of the three provinces – KwaZulu-Natal (Bouwman et al., 1990a) and Limpopo (Okonkwo et al., 2008) - no study has yet used the same collection and analytical procedures in different provinces.

DDT might reduce (Rogan and Gladen, 1985; Rogan et al., 1987; Gladen and Rogan, 1995; Kostyniak et al., 1999), lengthen (Weldon et al., 2006) or have no effect (Cupul-Uicab et al., 2008) on duration of lactation, due to the endocrine disruptive properties of DDT isomers and breakdown products (Wetterauer et al., 2012). The levels of DDT in SA are exceedingly high - the highest yet measured was 59.3 mg/kg ΣDDT mf (milk fat) (Bouwman et al., 1990a). From a DDT-sprayed area in Zimbabwe, Chikuni et al. (1991) reported a maximum ΣDDT of 102 mg/kg mf. Determining the possible effect of DDT on duration of lactation from a high-exposure situation would therefore be very informative.
First-born infants receive much higher levels of DDT in breast milk than their sibs (Harris et al., 2001; Bouwman et al., 2006). Recently however, the differences in pollutant levels and effects between male and female infants received attention (Ribas-Fitó et al., 2006; Jusko et al., 2006; Grimalt et al., 2010; Jackson et al., 2010; Gascon et al., 2011). This implies that infant gender may somehow influence levels of pollutants in breast milk. One gender receiving higher levels than the other would add to concern about possible effects of DDT on urogenital development due to the known endocrine disruptive properties of DDT pollutants, a situation already suspected in South Africa (Bornman et al., 2010).

With the aim of investigating exposure, we report and compare levels and patterns of DDT in breast milk from three DDT-sprayed villages and one reference village from two provinces in South Africa, examine factors that influence these, and discuss risk. We also report on the possibility that DDT may affect the duration of lactation, and if male and female infants experience different exposures via breast milk.

2. Materials and methods

2.1 Sample collection

Fig. 1 indicates the location of the villages. Dididi in Limpopo, and Manguzi and Mseleni in KwaZulu-Natal have been under IRS treatment since the 1950s, with interruptions in KwaZulu-Natal between 1996 and 2000 when pyrethroids were applied. These three villages are called ‘DDT-sprayed villages’ throughout. Gwaliweni was the ‘reference village’, with no malaria, and has never been sprayed. More information on the social and economic conditions in KwaZulu-Natal (Bouwman et al., 2006; Sereda et al., 2009) and Limpopo (Van Dyk et al., 2010) are available. In KwaZulu-Natal, Manguzi was DDT-sprayed between 10-12 July 2007, and Mseleni on 1 August 2007. In Limpopo, Dididi was treated between 23 November and 14 December 2007. Breast milk collections were done in February 2008. Ethical approval was obtained from the respective provincial departments of health of Limpopo and KwaZulu-Natal, Pharma-Ethics, and the ethics committee of the University of Pretoria. All collections were done with informed consent in the local language by trained personnel and recorded. Only mothers born in areas with IRS were selected for the DDT-sprayed villages, and only mothers not born in DDT-sprayed villages for the reference village. Mothers were asked to initiate breast-feeding their infants so as not to collect foremilk. Mothers then manually expressed 25 ml directly into cleaned glass jars; the jars were capped immediately, stored on ice, and frozen on the same day.

Analyses were done at the Plant Protection Research Institute of the Agricultural Research Council (ARC). Before analyses, the milk was thawed, vigorously shaken, and aliquots taken. All glassware was thoroughly cleaned, and all solvents were high purity. Milk fat was determined gravimetrically. The QuEChERS- A mini-multi-residue method for the analysis of pesticides residues in low-fat products was done for 5 ml samples with a final extract volume of 200 μl, with a 1μl injection volume. The calibration curve standards, blank matrix, QA and all the samples were extracted and analyzed in exactly the same manner. Analyses were done on an Agilent 6890N gas chromatograph with a 5975 inert mass-selective detector (MSD) in the select-ion monitoring mode. A multi-point calibration curve was prepared with matrix-matched standards containing the analytes of interest. After injection of single standards and confirmation with the NIST 05 Spectral Library, a target ion (235 for the DDTs and DDAs, and 245 for the DDEs) and two qualifier ions (237 and 165 for the DDTs and DDAs, and 248 and 318 for the DDEs) were identified from the full spectra of each analyte. Identification was done based on retention times, presence of the target ion and two qualifier ions. The qualifier ion/target ion abundance ratio of the samples was detected.
within 20% of matrix matched standards target ion ratios. The target ion was used for quantification. Recoveries ranged between 70 and 120% and results were corrected for recovery. Due to interfering peaks, only p,p’-DDT, p,p’-DDE, p,p’-DDD and o,p’-DDT were quantified. Limits of quantification were 10 μg/kg mf but matrix effects allowed some lower values to be measured. Work was done based on Good Laboratory Practice principles and was audited by the ARC.

2.2 Statistics

Data are presented based on both whole milk (wm) and milk fat (mf) due to the large differences in milk fat content between samples (1.08-8.57% - Table 1), and for reasons explained in Bouwman et al. (2006). Daily intake was calculated as 800 ml by a 5 kg baby (Bouwman et al., 2006). Mean days breastfed per infant (MDBFI) is the mean of the number of days each mother reported for completed breast feeding episodes. Cumulative days breast fed (CDBF) is the sum of all previous and current breast feeding episodes – for primipara mothers, this is equivalent to the age of the infant. Where data was not normally distributed (e.g. concentrations), log-transformation was applied. Only values above quantification limits were used. All t-tests were unpaired and two-tailed, and differences in one-way ANOVA was tested with Bonferroni multiple comparison tests. Significance in all cases is p<0.05.

3. Results

3.1 Maternal and infant parameters

None of the 163 mothers were accidentally or occupationally exposed to pesticides other than working on lands or through malaria control. Domestic and home-garden pest control was small scale only, mainly using formulated dusts or spray cans containing mostly pyrethroids. IRS with DDT was the only use of DDT in all DDT-sprayed villages. Table 1 presents the results per village (in the first column), and stratified for primiparae and multiparae. There were no significant differences in the mean ages of primipara mothers, multipara mothers, or infant ages between villages. The differences in mean ages between primipara and multipara mothers were significant within each village - about ten years for the DDT-sprayed villages and six years for Gwaliweni (ANOVA). There were no differences in percentage milk fat (%mf) between parities and villages. The mean parities for the villages ranged between 2.0 - 2.2 with no significant differences.

3.2 Effect of location

Overall mean ΣDDT in breast milk from all the DDT-sprayed villages were significantly higher than Gwaliweni (first column, Table 1). ΣDDT from Manguzi was significantly higher than Mseleni, but not Dididi (p < 0.05, ANOVA). Within each DDT-sprayed village, primipara milk had significantly higher p,p’-DDT, p,p’-DDE, p,p’-DDD and o,p’-DDT levels than multipara milk (t-tests). For primipara and multipara milk, the DDT-sprayed villages had significantly higher p,p’-DDT, p,p’-DDE, p,p’-DDD and o,p’-DDT levels than Gwaliweni. %DDT (percentage of p,p’-DDT of ΣDDT) in milk from primipara mothers from DDT-sprayed villages did not differ between villages. However, %DDT in Manguzi multipara milk was significantly higher than multipara milk from the other two villages (ANOVA).
3.3 Exposure and risk

Fig. 2A shows levels of ΣDDT in whole milk stratified according to village and parity. The mean ΣDDT levels in milk from the DDT-sprayed villages and primipara milk from the reference village significantly exceeded the ΣDDT MRL of 20 µg/l wm (FAO & WHO, 2005). Fig. 2B shows the same categories for daily intake by infants. The PTDI for ΣDDT is 10 µg/kg/day (FAO & WHO, 2005). Infant intakes in all DDT-sprayed villages significantly exceeded the PTDI (one-sample t-tests). The intake by primipara infants from the reference village was not different from the PTDI, but multipara PTDI for the reference village was significantly less (one-sample t-tests).

3.4 Duration of lactation

For mothers that have completed breastfeeding at least one infant, the mean days breastfed per infant (MDBFI) ranged between 30-1440 days, with means per village between 554-681 (Table 1 and Fig. 2C). The curious pattern shown by the scatter plot is due to mothers recalling approximate months with clustering around 12, 18 and 24 months. However, as all mothers were interviewed for the same information in the same way, the data is considered comparable between villages. There were no significant differences in MDBFI between villages (p=0.0912, ANOVA). Fig. 2D plots ΣDDT against the cumulative days breastfed (CDBF). Although a non-linear regression would be complicated by mothers accumulating DDT between breast feeding episodes, a one-phase decay of ΣDDT is shown with $R^2=0.126$, a half-life of 287 days, and a plateau at 7100 µg/kg mf.

3.5 Infant gender and levels of DDT

Since infants drink whole milk, and MRL s and PTDIs are based on whole milk, possible differences in intake between genders were calculated on a whole milk basis. Combining the ΣDDT levels in milk consumed by the multipara male and female babies from the three DDT-sprayed villages and comparing their means provided a p-value of 0.0540 (t-test). Fig. 2E shows the ΣDDT levels in primipara whole milk stratified according to male and female infants. In two of the DDT-sprayed villages, primipara female babies received milk with higher mean ΣDDT. However, t-tests did not show any significant differences between male and female babies within villages for ΣDDT, p,p'-DDT, p,p'-DDE, p,p'-DDD and o,p'-DDT. Fig. 2F shows the ΣDDT levels in multipara whole milk stratified according to male and female infants, per DDT-sprayed village. Multipara males received milk with higher mean ΣDDT in every village. However, the differences were not significant (Manguzi p=0.0994; Mseleni p=0.1649; Dididi p=0.4652; t-tests). Nor were there significant differences for the individual compounds. However, male infants drink 10% more milk than female infants (Michaelsen et al., 1994). Increasing the DDT concentrations by 10% for male infants reduced the differences for daily intakes between primipara genders, but for multipara infants, the differences increased (t-tests between multipara male and female infants from Manguzi provided a p=0.0581, for Mseleni p=0.0949, and for Dididi, p=0.2875).

Because mothers have infants of both sexes, regressions to investigate the possible influence of gender on DDT in breast milk can only be done using primipara data. The only logical independent variable is CDBF (effectively the age of the infant at time of sampling). The best-fitting model was linear regression (Fig.2G). Slopes for both genders was not significantly different from zero (male p=0.9901; female p=0.0633). Slopes were equal (p=0.2957) as well as elevations (p=0.2785).
3.6 Other observations

In milk from DDT-sprayed villages, %DDT varied over a wide range in primipara milk, tapering off towards an intermediate percentage with increasing parity (Fig. 2H). Regression analysis showed no association between %mf and parity (data not shown). A non-linear regression could not be fitted.

4. Discussion

4.1 Maternal and infant parameters

Mean maternal primipara and multipara ages, infant ages, parity, and %mf were the same between villages (section 3.1). Except for IRS with DDT, we consider the four villages essentially equivalent for maternal and infant variables.

4.2 Effect of location

Gwaliweni had significantly lower levels of ΣDDT, p,p’-DDT, p,p’-DDE, p,p’-DDD and o,p’-DDT than the DDT-sprayed villages. Manguzi, Mseleni, and Dididi, respectively, had ΣDDT about 10-13, 7-8, and 6-7 times higher than the reference village, depending on whole milk or milk fat calculations. Manguzi milk had significantly higher ΣDDT levels than Mseleni but not Dididi. Manguzi also had significantly higher levels of %DDT (section 3.2). In DDT-sprayed homes and homesteads, IRS-applied DDT is the major source of DDT in humans (Sereda et al., 2009; Van Dyk et al., 2010). Van Dyk et al. (2010) showed multiple routes of human uptake in DDT-sprayed homesteads. Bouwman et al. (1990a; 1994) showed changes in levels of DDT in breast milk and serum were directly attributable to IRS (Bouwman et al., 2011).

Manguzi and Mseleni were sprayed almost on the same date, seven months before sample collection. The slight difference in timing is highly unlikely to have caused these significant differences in DDT levels in breast milk from these two towns. The significantly higher levels of DDT and %DDT in Manguzi breast milk, and the intermediate levels of DDT in Dididi (section 3.2) that was treated only two months prior to sampling, suggests that factors other than timing of IRS. Such factors may be operational (e.g. how DDT is prepared, application methods, pre- and post-treatment procedures, etc.), structural (e.g. types of wall, ventilation, etc.), and cultural (e.g. human behaviours that would affect exposure, such as time spent indoors, sweeping, etc.). Future identification of such factors could suggest ways in which to reduce exposure, and thereby risk to mothers and infants.

4.3 Exposure and risk

Table 1 and Fig. 2A shows that breastfeeding mothers from DDT-sprayed villages had levels of DDT significantly exceeding the MRL for DDT (section 3.3). The mean ΣDDT in Manguzi primipara milk exceeds the MRL 45 times; the highest measured ΣDDT (from Mseleni) was 99 times higher than the MRL. Levels of DDT in milk is closely associated with DDT in maternal blood, indicating hazard to the mother, as well as exposure of reproductive organs and foetus (the health implications are discussed, inter alia, by Longnecker et al., 2001; Eskenazi et al., 2006; Eskenazi et al., 2009; Bornman et al., 2010; Bouwman et al., 2011). These exceedances show that the maternal burden of DDT is cause for concern.
The PTDI is also exceeded by infants in all three DDT-sprayed villages (Table 1, Fig.2B, and section 3.3). The mean ΣDDT for Manguzi primipara milk exceeds the PTDI 14 times, and 310 times for the maximum ΣDDT from Mseleni. Considered together with the very long periods of breastfeeding practiced (Table 1, Fig.2C, Fig. 2D, and section 3.3), a considerable amount of DDT will be transferred to the infant via breast milk as was shown elsewhere (Bouwman et al., 1992; Gyalpo et al., in press). Exposure to DDT over a lifetime is therefore likely to be the greatest during foetal and postnatal development, periods likely to be sensitive to chemical harm. It is especially the first-born infants that will be exposed to higher ΣDDT levels; therefore experiencing greater risk when compared with their sibs. Research conducted in the same region as Dididi identified DDT-associated effects on male urogenital parameters and reduction of retinol binding protein (Aneck-Hahn et al., 2007; De Jager et al., 2009; Bornman et al., 2010; Delport et al., 2011).

4.4 Duration of lactation

There is no indication that the high mean levels of DDT in breast milk had any effect on the duration of lactation (MDBFI) of the mothers in the three DDT-sprayed villages, as there was no difference compared to the reference village (Fig. 2C, and section 3.4). The levels reported here are the highest yet used to investigate the effect of DDT on duration of lactation that we could find (inter alia Rogan and Gladen, 1985; Gladen and Rogan, 1995; Kostyniak et al., 1999; Weldon et al., 2006; Cupul-Uicab et al., 2008). Fig. 2D shows the effect of cumulative breastfeeding on ΣDDT in breast milk independent of parity. A quick reduction with a half-life of 287 days (section 3.4) indicates depuration from a high initial level, and then reaching a plateau. Interestingly, the half-life is also about half of the MDBF (Table 1), emphasising that the bulk of elimination is during breastfeeding the firstborn. Tao et al. (2008) also plotted the same variables and found a half-life of approximately 450 days, but ΣDDT levels were lower by an order of magnitude. A one-phase decay model is appropriate, as the rate of change is proportional to the concentration of the chemical.

It would be easy to misinterpret Fig. 2D as indicating that a higher ΣDDT level is associated with a shorter lactation time (effectively switching X and Y-axes). Reduction in ΣDDT levels is caused by lactation as is evident from Fig. 2D. The long MDBFIs by mothers from both the DDT-sprayed villages and the reference village shows no discernible effect on duration of lactation at high or low concentrations.

4.5 Infant gender and levels of DDT

The finding by Powe et al. (2010) that male infants receive breast milk with a 25% greater energy content than female infants, prompted us to investigate the possible involvement of gender with ΣDDT levels. Since milk fat makes up a significant proportion of the energy component of breast milk (Nommsen et al., 1991), and organochlorine pesticides associate with the triglycerides in the fat globules of the milk (Hugunin and Bradley, 1971), we hypothesised that DDT levels in milk of mothers breastfeeding baby boys might also be greater. However, sugars and protein also contribute towards energy content and the statistical analyses can therefore only be done on whole milk.

Fig. 2E and 2F show consistent patterns, but not proof, of possible infant gender involvement with maternal levels of DDT. [Fig.2E and 2F shows means and standard deviations, but geometric means and medians show the same patterns.] Primipara female infants received higher mean ΣDDT levels in two DDT-sprayed villages, but the differences were not significant (Fig. 2E). Multipara male infants received higher mean ΣDDT levels in
breast milk in every DDT-sprayed village (Fig. 2F); again, the difference was not significant. Incorporating a 10% increase in levels due to higher breast milk consumption by male infants (Michaelsen et al., 1994) somewhat improved the significance, but caution should be applied. MRLs and PTDIs would mirror this pattern as these metrics are based on whole milk. In Fig. 2G, the regression for primipara female infants was close to deviating from zero at \( p=0.0633 \), indicating that the levels in breast milk received by female infants may decline while staying essentially constant \( (p=0.9901) \) for male infants; again there were no statistical differences in gender elimination kinetics.

A number of studies investigated the infant gender differences of pollutants in blood of children. Female umbilical blood from Singapore had higher \( \beta \)-HCH, but lower p,p’-DDT and p,p’-DDE than males (not significant) (Tan et al., 2009). For children aged 0-11 years from China, no differences were found between genders (Chen et al., 2010). Female cord serum from Menorca, Spain, had marginally higher levels of HCB and p,p’-DDE than males, but not for PCBs, again no significant differences (Grimalt et al., 2010). None of these studies investigated parity as a confounder.

Hooper et al. (1997) investigated but found no gender effects on pollutants in breast milk from Kazakhstan. No effect of infant gender on pollutant levels in breast milk from mothers from New York State was found (Jackson et al., 2010). Parity, maternal age, or infant gender was found not to be related to PCBs in breast milk from Poznan, Poland (Skrbic et al., 2010). PCBs, p,p’-DDT and p,p’-DDE in breast milk from mothers from North Carolina were not related to infant gender. Parity had no effect or was not reported in these studies.

Our findings are only suggestive of an involvement of gender on levels of DDT in breast milk. The only case that can be made from our data is for higher levels found for primipara female infants in two separate villages (Fig.2E), and consistently higher levels for multipara male infants from all three villages (Fig. 2F). The switch from relatively higher DDT levels in primipara females to relatively higher DDT levels in multipara male infants could be explained by a slightly faster elimination of DDT via breast milk for female infants, or the maintenance of relatively constant levels in breast milk received by male infants (Fig. 2G). However, further research is required.

We cannot explain the phenomenon that %DDT in DDT-treated villages tapered off towards an intermediate percentage with increasing parity (Fig 2H). To our knowledge, it has not been reported previously.

5. Conclusions and recommendations

We have previously argued for a Total Homestead Environment approach to investigate exposure and uptake routes in a domestic IRS setting (Van Dyk et al., 2010). The DDT levels in breast milk differ between villages and we have highlighted possible governing factors. Investigating these factors should be further explored and would be instructive as to how exposures to DDT might be reduced. The need for such a comprehensive reduction in exposure is amply illustrated by the exceedances of the MRLs and PTDIs in the three DDT-sprayed villages.

Very high levels of DDT did not affect duration of lactation when compared with the reference village. The endocrine disrupting effects of DDT and its metabolites did not influence duration of lactation that is potentially susceptible to hormone disruption. This is indeed a positive finding as infant nutrition is maintained for many months in poor rural communities.

The underlying mechanisms of how infant gender would affect energy content of breast milk (Powe et al., 2010) needs further investigation of the possibility that the gender of
the breastfeeding infant may affect the content of DDT or any other pollutants in breast milk for that matter. If so, infant gender should be included in future studies as a classification variable as it may affect risk assessment.

In 1986/87, a breast milk sample was collected that until now had the highest ΣDDT level yet reported in South Africa (2.7 mg/l wm and 59 mg/kg mf) (Bouwman et al., 1990b). Now, 24 years later, it is disconcerting that the highest ΣDDT level in breast milk yet measured from South Africa (5.2 mg/l wm and 140 mg/kg mf; Table 1) was found in a breast milk sample collected about 60 km away from the previous highest ΣDDT. Despite numerous scientific assessments recommending that safe and sustainable alternatives to DDT should be urgently investigated and deployed (De Jager et al., 2006; De Jager et al., 2009; Eskenazi et al., 2009; Bornman et al., 2010; Bouwman et al., 2011), a relatively affluent African country is in a position to do much more to reduce exposures or to move away from DDT. It must be acknowledged however, that a previous attempt to switch from DDT to pyrethroids failed (Hargreaves et al., 2003; Maharaj et al., 2005), and that the expectations of proof of safety and sustainability of alternatives have probably increased due to that failure. Added to the concerns of health effects of DDT also comes the concerns associated with pyrethroids (Bouwman et al., 2006; Bouwman and Kylin, 2009). The current malaria prevention measures are very effective (Craig et al., 2004; Gerritsen et al., 2008), but a reduction in DDT exposure is urgently needed, apart from the need to find suitable, safe and sustainable alternatives.
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Fig. 1. Localities of villages where breast milk was collected. Shaded area indicated malaria endemic areas.
Fig. 2. DDT-related variables in breast milk for four towns; Mang = Manguzi, Msel = Mseleni, Didi = Dididi, Gwal = Gwaliweni. (A) Whole milk ΣDDT levels in breast milk from four towns, stratified according to parity. Maximum Residue Limit (MRL) is indicated. P = primipara, M = multipara. (B) Infant intake of ΣDDT from four towns, stratified according to parity. P = primipara, M = multipara. (C) Mean days breastfed per infant (MDBFI) per town. (D) ΣDDT in breast milk fat plotted against cumulative days breastfed. (E) ΣDDT in whole
milk received by primipara infants in the three DDT-sprayed towns. M = males, F = females. 
(F) ΣDDT in whole milk received by multipara infants in the three DDT-sprayed towns. (G) 
Linear regressions of ΣDDT received by primipara male and female infants against 
cumulative days breast fed (effectively infant age). (H) Scatterplot of %DDT in breast milk 
per parity. In some instances, high concentration dots were excluded from plots although they 
were included in the statistics.
Table 1 Variables relating to subjects and DDT parameters from the four villages.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Manguzi primipara (n=20) KZN DDT-sprayed</th>
<th>Manguzi multipara (n=23) KZN DDT-sprayed</th>
<th>Combined Mean</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>Median</td>
<td>SD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>M Age</strong></td>
<td>Days</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BF completed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Days</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ppDDT wm</td>
<td>μg/l</td>
<td>380</td>
<td>190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ppDDE mf</td>
<td>μg/kg</td>
<td>11000</td>
<td>9400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ppDDD mf</td>
<td>μg/kg</td>
<td>3500</td>
<td>1400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΣDDT mf</td>
<td>μg/kg</td>
<td>2500</td>
<td>1800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mean</strong></td>
<td>Days</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Days</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BF</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΣDDT</td>
<td>μg/kg</td>
<td>890</td>
<td>950</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Inf Age</strong></td>
<td>Years</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BF completed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Days</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%Milk fat (%)</td>
<td>μg/kg</td>
<td>3.52</td>
<td>3.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>DCC</strong></td>
<td>Days</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%DDT (%)</td>
<td>μg/kg</td>
<td>4600</td>
<td>1600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mean</strong></td>
<td>Years</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Days</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%Milk fat (%)</td>
<td>μg/kg</td>
<td>3.86</td>
<td>3.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Inf Age</strong></td>
<td>Years</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BF completed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Days</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%DDT (%)</td>
<td>μg/kg</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mean</strong></td>
<td>Years</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Days</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%Milk fat (%)</td>
<td>μg/kg</td>
<td>8.62</td>
<td>7.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>M Age</strong></td>
<td>Years</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BF completed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Days</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%DDT (%)</td>
<td>μg/kg</td>
<td>5170</td>
<td>1200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Inf Age</strong></td>
<td>Years</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BF completed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Days</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%DDT (%)</td>
<td>μg/kg</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mean</strong></td>
<td>Years</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Days</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%Milk fat (%)</td>
<td>μg/kg</td>
<td>2.02</td>
<td>1.93</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Mean parity