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Abstract  Aim of the study: The primary purpose of this study is to investigate if pretreatment plasma levels of vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) are predictive of the effect of celecoxib on survival in advanced non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) treated with palliative chemotherapy. A secondary objective is to describe the course of plasma VEGF levels during and after treatment with cytotoxic chemotherapy combined with celecoxib or placebo.

Methods: In a previously published double-blind multicenter phase III trial, 316 patients with NSCLC stage IIIB or IV and World Health Organisation (WHO) performance status 0–2 were randomised to receive celecoxib 400 mg b.i.d. or placebo in combination with two-drug platinum-based chemotherapy. Chemotherapy cycle length was three weeks and planned duration of chemotherapy was four cycles. Celecoxib was given for a maximum of one year but was stopped earlier in case of disease progression or prohibitive toxicity. In a subset of...
patients, plasma VEGF levels were examined at onset of treatment and at 6, 12 and 20 weeks. 

Results: VEGF levels at start of treatment were obtained in 107 patients at four study sites. The median value was 70 pg/ml. Mean values declined during the first 12 weeks and then increased at 20 weeks. A subpopulation treatment effect pattern plot (STEPP) analysis showed an inverse relationship between initial plasma VEGF and the impact of celecoxib on survival with zero effect at 200 pg/ml. The effect on survival by celecoxib in the whole subset of patients was positive (hazard ratio (HR) = 0.64 [confidence interval (CI) 0.43–0.95], p = 0.028).

Conclusion: Low pretreatment plasma levels of VEGF appear to be predictive of a positive effect of celecoxib on survival.

© 2012 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

The COX-2 inhibitor celecoxib has shown activity against non-small-cell lung cancer (NSCLC) in experimental systems.1,2 Two phase III studies investigating the effect on overall survival by adding celecoxib to chemotherapy in advanced NSCLC were, however, negative.3,4 The negative overall results do not, however, exclude a clinical role of the compound in certain subpopulations. Since one of the mechanisms involved in the antineoplastic effect of COX-2 inhibitors appears to be inhibition of angiogenesis,5–7 biomarkers related to angiogenesis might be useful as predictors of a positive clinical effect on survival by celecoxib. Plasma levels of the angiogenic factor vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) have previously been shown to correlate to microvessel density in patients with NSCLC.8

There has been much debate as to which specimen, serum or plasma, is best suited for analysis of VEGF as a biomarker in cancer.9,10 Large amounts of VEGF can be released from platelets and leukocytes during clotting of serum and the amount released is dependent on clotting time and temperature.10,11 Hence, serum VEGF may represent blood platelet count rather than VEGF produced by other tissue. In this study we therefore chose to follow the levels of VEGF in plasma as this would be more likely to reflect tumour associated production of VEGF and be less sensitive to variations in sample handling than serum VEGF.

In the Swedish CYCLUS trial, comparing celecoxib with placebo in patients with advanced NSCLC receiving two-drug platinum-based chemotherapy,3 a subpopulation was examined with respect to plasma levels of VEGF before, during and after primary chemotherapy.

2. Patients and methods

Between May 2006 and May 2009, 316 patients with NSCLC stage IIIB–IV from 13 Swedish hospitals were included in a prospective randomised double-blind phase III trial comparing celecoxib at a dose of 400 mg b.i.d. with placebo in combination with platinum-based chemotherapy. The details of the trial have been presented elsewhere.3 The drug regimens used by the participating hospitals were carboplatin + gemcitabine or carboplatin + vinorelbine. The cycle duration was three weeks and the planned number of cycles was four. Celecoxib or placebo was given from the first day of chemotherapy for a maximum of 1 year. Treatment with celecoxib/placebo was stopped earlier in case of disease progression, prohibitive toxicity related to the study drug or at the patient’s wish.

At four hospitals that had accepted to do so, specimens for examination of plasma levels of VEGF were obtained before start of treatment, at 6, 12 and 20 weeks. The VEGF analyses were approved by the regional ethics committee of the University of Linköping. All participating patients had given oral and written consent to the procedure.

2.1. Laboratory methods

2.1.1. Blood samples

Venous blood was collected in sterile vacutainer tubes using sodium EDTA as anticoagulant. The samples were kept on ice and centrifuged (1500g, 10 min, 4°C) within one hour of sampling. The plasma was aspirated into a centrifuge tube and centrifuged again (3000g, 10 min, 4°C) to remove residual cells. The plasma was then transferred into polypropylene microcentrifuge tubes (Costar, Corning Incorporated Life Sciences, Lowell, MA) and stored at −70°C to −80°C until analysis.

2.1.2. VEGF immunoassay

Samples from sites outside Linköping were shipped over night on dry ice to the Laboratory of Clinical Immunology at the University Hospital in Linköping where all VEGF analyses were performed. The plasma was thawed at room temperature immediately before assay. The plasma levels of VEGF were analysed using a commercially available quantitative sandwich ELISA (Quantikine Human VEGF Immunoassay, R&D Systems Europe Ltd., Abingdon, United Kingdom (UK)) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The assay measures both VEGF 165 and VEGF 121. The limit of sensitivity of the assay was 9.0 pg/ml. Quantitation of VEGF in the samples was based on comparison to a linear standard curve ranging from 31.2 to 2000 pg/ml.
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used to evaluate treatment effects with respect to VEGF

Rather than applying subgroup analysis based on arbitrary cut-off values of VEGF, the STEPP analysis is used to evaluate treatment effects with respect to VEGF as a continuous covariate. For the STEPP analysis the program stepp tail implemented in the statistical software Stata was used. The parameter g was set to 7, generating 13 overlapping subgroups (six for decreasing VEGF values, one for all patients, and six for increasing VEGF values). The black solid curve in Fig. 4 shows the estimated log hazard ratio of celecoxib versus placebo in each of these subgroups. A curve value below zero indicates prolonged survival for the celecoxib group.

Overall survival was defined as the time from randomisation to death from any cause. Deaths until 31st March 2011 were included in the analysis. Failure probabilities were estimated according to the Kaplan–Meier method. Hazard ratios (HRs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were derived from Cox’s proportional hazard regression.

Twenty-seven patients had VEGF values measured at all four time points, with specimens taken within an acceptable interval around 0, 6, 12 and 20 weeks, respectively. To follow the trend of VEGF changes over time a longitudinal analysis using the program xtgraph implemented in Stata was performed. The graph shows the averages of VEGF with 95% confidence intervals measured at start of treatment, at 6 weeks, at 12 weeks and at 20 weeks.

All statistical analyses were performed using Stata/SE 10.0.

3. Results

At least one specimen for determination of plasma VEGF was obtained in 107 out of 208 patients (51%) who were randomised at the four hospitals. Clinical characteristics and the numbers of patients receiving celecoxib versus placebo are summarised in Table 1. The proportion of patients with stage IV disease was slightly higher in the VEGF study group than in the CYCLUS study as a whole (82% versus 76%) but the difference was not statistically significant. Other features were quite similar.

Mean values and confidence intervals of plasma VEGF levels that were obtained within acceptable intervals from 0, 6, 12 and 20 weeks are displayed in Table 2. The median plasma level of VEGF before treatment was 70 pg/ml. This value was used to divide patients into two groups with ‘high’ and ‘low’ initial plasma VEGF concentrations in subsequent analyses.

In 27 patients who underwent all four examinations, the course of mean values and confidence intervals is shown in Fig. 1. There was a gradual decline of mean values from elevated pretreatment levels up to week 12, followed by an increase at week 20, and the values at 6 and 12 weeks are significantly lower than before treatment. The course of VEGF concentrations was similar for responders and non-responders to treatment (Fig. 2) but baseline levels for VEGF were higher in the non-responder group.

In the whole subset of 107 patients, there was no significant survival difference between patients with initial VEGF concentrations ≥ or <70 pg/ml (Fig. 3). Even progression-free survival was similar between the two groups (high versus low: HR = 1.17, CI 0.80–1.71, p = 0.43). The response rate was, however, significantly higher among patients with lower values (43% versus 23%, p = 0.028).

Survival in patients with VEGF concentrations < or ≥ 70 pg/ml was also compared in the two subgroups of patients treated with celecoxib or placebo, respectively. In patients treated with placebo (n = 55), the survival curves were virtually identical (HR = 1.01, CI 0.59–1.73, p = 0.96) for patients with low and high levels. In patients treated with celecoxib (n = 52), survival was slightly prolonged for patients with low values but the difference was not statistically significant (high versus low HR = 1.36, CI 0.77–2.41, p = 0.29).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (median, range)</td>
<td>65 (37–84)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male/female</td>
<td>50/57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage IIIB/stage IV</td>
<td>19/88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Performance status (WHO) 0/1/2</td>
<td>17/64/26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoker/ex-smoker/never smoker</td>
<td>38/50/13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Squamous cell carcinoma/adenoacarcinoma/other NSCLC</td>
<td>16/65/26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chemotherapy: carboplatin + vinorelbine/</td>
<td>66/37/4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>carboplatin + gemcitabine/other</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treatment with celecoxib/placebo</td>
<td>52/55</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time (weeks from start of treatment)</th>
<th>Placebo</th>
<th>Celecoxib</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>102.8 (75.1–130.6)</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>101.1 (29.4–172.7)</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>32.5 (21.1–43.9)</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>58.6 (30.8–86.4)</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
STEPP analysis showed an inverse relationship between initial plasma VEGF and the impact of celecoxib on survival with zero effect at 200 pg/ml (Fig. 4), indicating a positive effect on survival by celecoxib as compared to placebo in patients with VEGF <200 pg/ml but not in patients with higher values. In the whole group, patients treated with celecoxib had significantly prolonged survival compared to patients treated with placebo (HR 0.64, CI 0.43–0.95, \( p = 0.028 \), Fig. 5).

4. Discussion

The most important finding is an inverse relationship between initial plasma VEGF levels and the effect on
survival by the addition of celecoxib to chemotherapy. Low concentrations predict enhancement of survival while high concentrations do not. The observation is in accordance with data on vandetanib, an inhibitor of VEGF itself or other molecules expressed by VEGF-high-tumours, e.g. BCL-2 family proteins, might counteract antiproliferative or proapoptotic effects of celecoxib and related drugs. Alternatively, lung tumours producing low or high levels of VEGF, respectively, might represent biologically different phenotypes that differ in their dependence on COX-2 or other targets of celecoxib.

We found an association between low pre-treatment plasma VEGF levels and response to chemotherapy as assessed by the individual investigator after completed first-line chemotherapy. This observation is in accordance with the findings of a previous smaller study. Another study found VEGFR-2 copy number gains in NSCLC resistant to platinum-based chemotherapy. These changes were, however, observed both in responders and non-responders which suggests a downregulation of VEGF production irrespective of tumour histology. Hanrahan and associates found that VEGF may in fact rescue cancer cells from celecoxib-induced apoptosis and that anti-metastatic effects of coxibs may improve during simultaneous VEGF blockade. A reasonable hypothesis, therefore, is that VEGF might counteract antitumour effects of celecoxib and related drugs. Alternatively, lung tumours producing low or high levels of VEGF, respectively, might represent biologically different phenotypes that differ in their dependence on COX-2 or other targets of celecoxib.

We detected no association between pre-treatment VEGF levels and overall survival in the group as a whole (Fig. 3). Because low VEGF values were associated with a positive effect of celecoxib on survival, the analysis was repeated in the subgroups of patients treated with placebo and celecoxib, respectively. No statistically significant differences were observed but the numbers of patients were small. The absence of a prognostic role for plasma VEGF prior to treatment agrees with the results of a recent study where VEGF in plasma from 432 patients with advanced NSCLC treated with cisplatin and docetaxel was analysed and compared to 89 healthy age-matched controls. The mean plasma VEGF value in that study was 69.7 pg/ml, which is lower than in our patients (Table 2). The authors demonstrated no survival differences albeit a significantly shorter time to progression in patients with adenocarcinoma and VEGF-A above the 75th percentile. Hypothetically, VEGF may have a histology-dependent impact on prognosis in NSCLC. In the CYCLUS study, a large majority of patients had non-squamous histology.

Plasma VEGF levels showed a decline at 6 and 12 weeks, followed by an increase at 20 weeks (Fig. 1). These changes were, however, observed both in responders and non-responders which suggests a downregulation of VEGF production irrespective of tumour regression (Fig. 2).

An unexpected observation was a significantly positive effect of celecoxib on survival in the whole subpopulation analysed, in contrast to the result of the CYCLUS study as a whole. There is no obvious explanation for this finding. The clinical characteristics were similar for patients in the VEGF study and the whole CYCLUS population. A possible explanation could be that, for unknown reasons, the patients analysed with respect to VEGF had lower VEGF levels than average in the CYCLUS trial.
In conclusion, plasma concentrations of VEGF appear to be a predictive factor for survival of patients with advanced NSCLC receiving combined treatment with celecoxib and standard palliative chemotherapy.
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