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We present the theory for retarded resonance interaction between two identical atoms at arbitrary positions near a metal surface. The dipole-dipole resonance interaction force that binds isotropically excited atom pairs together in free space may turn repulsive close to an ideal (totally reflecting) metal surface. On the other hand, close to an infinitely permeable surface it may turn more attractive. We illustrate numerically how the dipole-dipole resonance interaction between two oxygen atoms near a metal surface may provide a repulsive energy of the same order of magnitude as the ground-state binding energy of an oxygen molecule. As a complement we also present results from density-functional theory.
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Two identical, isotropically excited atoms can be bound together in free space due to attractive retarded resonance interaction. Interestingly, Jones et al. demonstrated the influence of retarded resonance interaction on the binding energy of Na₂ molecules [1,2]. Boström and co-workers [3] demonstrated that, due to too drastic approximations, the underlying theory of resonance interactions in free space derived from perturbative quantum electrodynamics is correct only in the nonretarded limit. Mechanisms of breakage and formation of molecules near surfaces are the key problems of catalysis. We present here a mechanism that derives from the retarded resonance interaction between two identical atoms near a surface. Hopmeier et al. [4] provided experimental evidence for enhancement of dipole-dipole interaction in microcavities and Agarwal and Gupta [5] verified this theoretically. A way has recently been predicted by which very large molecules may form by resonance interaction between atoms in a narrow cavity [6].

The aim of this brief report is to present the theory for retarded resonance interaction between two identical atoms in an excited state with the line joining the two atoms being perpendicular to the surface. A schematic illustration of the system is shown in Fig. 1. This choice of configuration was used because it enables us to derive analytical results for a configuration that has not been previously studied. Previous work considered only the case with two atoms at the same distance from the surfaces. This is now extended to enable consideration of two atoms at arbitrary positions near a metal surface. We find that attractive resonance interaction between isotropically excited atom pairs in free space may turn repulsive close to an ideal metal surface and more attractive close to an infinitely permeable surface. Close to an ideal metal there is a repulsive contribution which decreases as 1/z₂⁺ (where z⁺ is the distance between one atom and the surface image of the second atom). The calculations of resonance interaction between excited-state atom pairs and Casimir-Polder interaction between ground-state atom pairs require the proper Green’s functions. The nonzero matrix elements of the field susceptibility matrix (Tij) needed for our calculations were adapted from the Green’s functions given in the literature. Buhmann and co-workers [7,8] studied Casimir-Polder interaction between two ground-state atoms placed with different orientations near a surface. As an illustrative example we present numerical calculations on the resonance interaction between two oxygen atoms near a metal surface. The bond enthalpy for an O₂ molecule is around 498 kJ mol⁻¹ = 5.2 eV. The sunlight spectrum has an energy range of 1–3 eV, so apart from solar energy one needs an extra 3 eV to split an oxygen molecule. A contribution to such bond splitting may occur due to excitation-induced resonance interaction between oxygen atoms near a metal surface. We also present results for two Zn atoms where the binding energy is much smaller, ~0.4 eV, and the resonance interaction effects are much stronger.

We first recall the standard argument: Consider two identical atoms where one initially is in its ground state and the other is in an excited state. This state can also be represented by a superposition of states, one symmetric and one antisymmetric with respect to interchange of the atoms. While the symmetric state is likely to decay into two ground-state atoms, the antisymmetric state can be quite long-lived. The system can thus be trapped in the antisymmetric state [3,9]. The energy migrates back and forth between the two atoms until either the two atoms move apart or a photon is emitted away from the system. First-order dispersion interactions are caused by this coupling of the system, i.e., the energy difference between the two states is separation (ρ) dependent. After writing down the equations of motion for the excited system, it is straightforward to derive the zero-temperature Green’s function for two identical and isotropic atoms [3,10]. The resonance frequencies of the system are given by the following equation [3]:

\[ 1 - \alpha(1|ω)α(2|ω)T(ρ|ω)^2 = 0, \]

where \( \alpha(j|ω) \) is the polarizability of atom \( j \) [11]. We present in Fig. 2 the numerically evaluated polarizability of oxygen...
and zinc atoms used in this study. The atomic polarizability data were obtained from quantum chemical response theory calculations based on the complex polarization propagator (CPP) approach [12] with implementations made in the Dalton program [13] as described in Refs. [14–16]. In the CPP framework, damping terms are introduced in the response functions so as to describe relaxation in the system. These damping terms may be viewed as causing the frequency argument of the linear response function to become complex, and in the present work we employ this feature to determine the polarizability for the special case of imaginary frequencies. We have earlier described and applied this technique in several papers, see, e.g., Ref. [17].

The electronic configuration of the Zn atom is $[\text{Ar}]3d^{10}4s^2$ and we chose to describe the electronic structure of this singlet ground state at the Kohn-Sham density-functional theory (DFT) level with use of the Coulomb attenuated hybrid exchange-correlation functional CAM-B3LYP [18,19] in conjunction with Dunning’s triple-augmented triple-$\zeta$ basis set (t-aug-cc-pVTZ) [20]. For the O atom we consider the singlet ground state at the Kohn-Sham density-functional theory (DFT) level with use of the Coulomb attenuated hybrid exchange-correlation functional CAM-B3LYP [18,19] in conjunction with Dunning’s triple-augmented triple-$\zeta$ basis set (t-aug-cc-pVTZ) [20].

In the case of two identical atoms the above resonance condition can be separated in one antisymmetric and one symmetric part. Since the excited symmetric state has a much shorter lifetime than the antisymmetric state, the system can be trapped in an excited antisymmetric state [3]. The resonance interaction energy of this antisymmetric state is

$$ U(\rho) = \hbar[\omega_s(\rho) - \omega_t(\infty)]. $$

Since the relevant solution of Eq. (1) really is the pole of the antisymmetric part of the underlying Green’s function, we can in a standard way [22] deform a contour of integration around this pole to obtain a both simple and exact expression for the resonance interaction energy:

$$ U(\rho) = \sum_{i=x,y,z} \hbar \int_0^\infty d\omega n[1 + \alpha(1i\omega)T_{ii}(\rho|i\omega)] $$

$$ \approx \sum_{i=x,y,z} \hbar \int_0^\infty d\omega \alpha(1i\omega)T_{ii}(\rho|i\omega). $$

In order to compare with previous calculations on dipole-dipole resonance interaction we use the approximate linearized expression. In this way we ignore corrections due to an attractive van der Waals (Casimir-Polder) term. We also ignore finite size effects, which will change the interaction for separations smaller than two atomic radii.

To account for the temperature ($T$) dependence, we simply replace the integration over imaginary frequencies by a summation over discrete Matsubara frequencies [22],

$$ \frac{\hbar}{2\pi} \int_0^\infty d\omega \rightarrow k_B T \sum_{n=0,\infty} \omega_n = 2\pi k_B T n/\hbar, $$

where $k_B$ is the Boltzmann constant and the prime indicates that the $n = 0$ term should be divided by 2.

We consider the case when the distance $x$ between the two atoms in the plane of the surface is zero and the distances from atoms $a$ and $b$ to the surface is $z_a$ and $z_b$, respectively. In other words, the atoms are along a line perpendicular to the surface; atom $a$ is furthest away from the surface (see Fig. 1). The field susceptibility is a sum of three terms. Apart from the free space field susceptibility $T_{ii}^0$, there are $p$ and $s$ field susceptibility corrections due to the presence of a surface, $T_{ii}^p$ and $T_{ii}^s$, respectively. In free space the field susceptibility matrix $T(\rho|i\omega)$ has the following nonzero matrix elements:

$$ T_{xx}^0(i\omega_n) = T_{yy}^0(i\omega_n) = -\left(\frac{\omega_n^2}{c^2} + \frac{\omega_n}{c z_x} + \frac{1}{z_x^2}\right) e^{-w z_x/c}, $$

$$ T_{xz}^0(i\omega_n) = 2\left(\frac{1}{z_x^2} + \frac{\omega_n}{c z_x} - \frac{e^{-w z_x/c}}{z_x}\right). $$

Here we define $z_+ = z_a + z_b$ and $z_- = z_a - z_b$, with the first being the distance between atom $a$ and the image of atom $b$ inside the surface and the second being the distance between the two atoms (see Fig. 1). The corresponding surface-induced corrections to the field susceptibility matrix [7,8] have

![FIG. 1. (Color online) Two atoms in vacuum near a metal surface. Also shown are their mirror images.](image)

![FIG. 2. (Color online) The polarizabilities of oxygen and zinc atoms. The discrete Matsubara frequencies are indicated by the circles. Note that we have placed the $n = 0$ value at the left vertical axes.](image)
contributions from $p$ and $s$ polarizations (with $\eta = \omega_n z_+/c$),

$$T^{(p)}_{zz} = T^{(p)}_{yy} = r_p [1 + \eta + 0.5\eta^2] e^{-\eta/z_+^3},$$

$$T^{(p)}_{xx} = 2r_p (1 + \eta) e^{-\eta/z_+^3},$$

and

$$T^{(s)}_{zz} = T^{(s)}_{yy} = -r_s \omega_n^2 e^{-\eta/(2c^2 z_+^1)},$$

$$T^{(s)}_{xx} = 0,$$

respectively. Here $r_s$ and $r_p$ are the reflection coefficients for $s$- and $p$-polarized waves, respectively, impinging on the surface. For an ideal metal $r_s = -1$ and $r_p = 1$. For an infinitely permeable surface one gets a sign reversal of both terms. Therefore the corrections to the resonance interaction due to a surface have opposite sign at an ideal metal surface as compared to an infinitely permeable surface. The result in free space in the retarded limit is

$$U(z_-) \approx -2\hbar c \alpha(0)/(\pi z_+^3).$$

Near a surface we find a surface-induced nonretarded resonance interaction between the two atoms,

$$U(z_+) \approx 4\hbar r_p/(\pi z_+^3) \int_0^\infty d\omega \omega \alpha(i\omega) \approx 2\hbar r_p \omega_0 \alpha(0)/(z_+^1),$$

where the London approximation, $\alpha(j i\omega) \approx \alpha(j 0)/(1 + \omega^2/\omega_c^2)$, has been used to find the final analytical expression. It is also possible to derive analytical results for the surface corrections to the resonance interaction at finite temperature in the retarded limit when $\alpha(i\omega_n) \approx \alpha(0)$. Defining $\omega_n = n\omega_0$, $\eta = \omega_n z_+/c$, and $\gamma = (-1 + e^{\eta})^{-1}$, we find for the $p$- and $s$-polarized contributions,

$$U^p(z_+) \approx 2r_p k_b T \alpha(0) \left\{ 4 + 2\eta^2 \gamma^3 + (4 + 4\eta_1 + \eta_2^2) \right\}$$

$$+ (4\eta_1 + 3\eta_2^2) \gamma^2 \right\},$$

and

$$U^s(z_+) \approx -2r_p k_b T \alpha(0) \eta_2^2 \omega_n^2 \omega_c/(z_+^3) \left[ 2\gamma^3 + 3\gamma^2 + \gamma \right],$$

respectively. The retarded asymptote at zero temperature then is

$$U^p(z_+) + U^p(z_+) \approx \hbar c \alpha(0)(10r_p - 2r_s)/(\pi z_+^3).$$

It is interesting to see that this asymptote has the same power law as the Casimir-Polder energy between a ground-state atom and an ideal metal surface [23], which goes as $U^{CP}(z) \approx -\hbar c \alpha(0)/(8\pi z^4)$.

At finite temperature the long-range resonance interaction driven by entropy is given by

$$U^p(z_+) + U^p(z_+) \approx 8r_p k_b T \alpha(0)/(z_+^3).$$

Now let us consider the total resonance interaction in the retarded limit but at sufficiently small separations for the zero-temperature results to apply. It is given by

$$U \approx [\hbar c \alpha(0)/\pi] \left\{ [(10r_p - 2r_s)/(z_+^3)] - 2/z_+^4 \right\}.$$

It is then clear that in the case of two atoms near an ideal metal surface the total resonance interaction can be either attractive or repulsive depending on $z_+$ and $z_-$. We will now proceed to calculate numerically the retarded resonance interaction at 300 K between two oxygen atoms near an ideal metal surface. We present in Figs. 3 and 4 the retarded resonance interaction between two atoms near an ideal metal surface. The total potential is the sum of the contributions from free space $U_0$, the correction due to the surface from $s$ waves $U^s$, and the correction due to the surface from $p$ waves $U^p$. In the first case, when one atom is adsorbed at the surface, the interaction is dominated by $p$-polarized surface corrections. When the atom closest to the surface is some distance away from the surface, the interaction for

FIG. 3. (Color online) The resonance interaction energy between two oxygen atoms situated one outside the other near an ideal metal surface. The closest atom is at 1 Å from the surface. For comparison we have added a curve (DFT curve) showing the potential for two oxygen atoms at an Au(111) surface as obtained from a DFT calculation, including a van der Waals functional. See the text for details.

FIG. 4. (Color online) The resonance interaction energy between two oxygen atoms situated one outside the other near an ideal metal surface. The closest atom is 10 Å from the surface.
calculated the retarded resonance interaction between two close contact. Only meaningful when the two atoms are at separations beyond corrections influence the interaction. Our results are therefore in recent work found that this is also the limit where finite-size for very small separations of the order of 3 Å or less. We have nonresonant contribution to the interaction affects the result structure with 44 Å vacuum layer. The fixed oxygen atom \( \times 6 \times 6 \times 6 \) supercell slab direction. We find that the \( z_b = 1 \) Å, solid (dashed) curve. The field susceptibility for this case is not described in the text. The different contributions can be obtained using the Green’s functions given in the literature [7,8].

small atom-atom separations is dominated by the free space contribution. However, as the distance between the atoms increases repulsive surface corrections become increasingly important, as can be seen in Fig. 4. In Fig. 3 we also compare the total potential with the potential energy for two oxygen atoms on a gold surface calculated within the Kohn-Sham DFT model with a nonlocal van der Waals correlation functional (rPW86/vdw-DF2) [24,25]. In the atomistic calculation the Au(111) surface is modeled by a \( 6 \times 6 \times 6 \) supercell slab structure with 44 Å vacuum layer. The fixed oxygen atom is relaxed at the fcc hollow surface site, and the position of the second atom is varied in the \( z \) direction. We find that the nonresonant contribution to the interaction affects the result for very small separations of the order of 3 Å or less. We have in recent work found that this is also the limit where finite-size corrections influence the interaction. Our results are therefore only meaningful when the two atoms are at separations beyond close contact.

Extending the theory presented above, we furthermore calculated the retarded resonance interaction between two atoms both adsorbed on an ideal metal surface. We show the result in Fig. 5 as a function of the lateral distance \( x \) between the two atoms. Surface corrections to the resonance interaction between atoms near an ideal metal surface may result in a significant repulsion. The DFT calculations of zinc and oxygen on the gold surface reveal that these atom pairs have a very weak chemical bond when both atoms are adsorbed on the true gold surface.

We have discussed how the presence of a surface influences the retarded dipole-dipole resonance interaction between two identical atoms in an isotropically excited state. For very small atom-atom separations, close to direct contact, there will be important finite-size corrections and influence from nonresonant contributions such as nonretarded van der Waals forces. Beyond these limiting separations the excitation-induced interaction between two atoms near an ideal metal can produce a repulsive energy of the same order of magnitude as the bonding energy of diatomic molecules. Catalytic effects due to the presence of a surface may induce large enough energies to break up or form molecules. The \( \text{O}_2 \) reduction reaction is one of the most studied, not only because it interesting scientifically but also important for practical purposes. Such catalytic effects occur, for example, at the cathode electrode of fuel cells, where \( \text{Pt}, \text{Au} \), and related alloys have been used or suggested as the catalyst. Yotsuhashi et al. [26] studied dissociative adsorption of \( \text{O}_2 \) molecules on \( \text{Pt} \) and \( \text{Au} \) surfaces. They found that the chemical reactivity is substantially larger on \( \text{Pt} \) as compared to on \( \text{Au} \) surfaces. Another interesting surface is Cu(110), where the energy gain by dissociation of an \( \text{O}_2 \) molecule on the surface is approximately 1.3 eV per molecule [27]. There are several diatomic molecules and metallic surfaces that will show varying strengths of the chemical interactions. For instance, we observe that the \( \text{O}_2 \) molecule has much larger bond enthalpy in vacuum (\( \sim 5 \) eV) compared to the bond enthalpy of the \( \text{Zn}_2 \) molecule (\( \sim 0.3 \) eV). Also, we regard \( \text{Au} \) to be somewhat more comparable to an ideal metal than, for example, \( \text{Pt} \), and we therefore complement the calculation of resonance interactions with atomistic modeling of \( \text{O}_2 \) on Au(111) surfaces.
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