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Abstract: A V-type engine with a bi-turbocharger configuration utilizes the exhaust energy well which gives a fast torque response. An unwanted instability, called co-surge, can occur in such engines where the two interconnected compressors alternately go into flow reversals. If co-surge occurs, the control system must quell the oscillations with as little disturbance in engine torque as possible. A model of a bi-turbocharged engine is presented, combining a mean value engine model and a Moore-Greizer compressor model for surge. The model is validated against measurements on a vehicle dynamometer, showing that it captures the frequency and amplitude of the co-surge oscillation. The model is used to develop detection and control strategies for co-surge that rapidly returns the turbo to a stable operating point. Both simulations and experimental evaluation on the vehicle show that the developed strategies are successful in rapidly detecting and quelling co-surge. The selection of actuators is also studied. With no or small pressure drops over the throttle, it is necessary to use the bypass valves. However, for operating conditions with moderate and high pressure drops over the throttle, it is shown that it is sufficient to only open the throttle. This has the advantage, compared to opening the bypass valves, that it reduces the drop in boost pressure and thus reduces the drop in engine torque.
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1. INTRODUCTION

More advanced turbocharging concepts are constantly being developed to increase power density, and to reduce fuel consumption and emissions of internal combustion engines. For V-type engines one option is to use two smaller parallel turbos and let the exhausts from the two cylinder banks feed separate turbines. In this way the turbines can be placed closer to the exhaust ports than with a single larger turbo. Heat losses are reduced which increases the available energy to the turbochargers. With fewer cylinders feeding each turbine it is also possible to make better use of the pulsating flow, increasing the energy extracted from the exhaust.

In a bi-turbocharged engine the two air paths are connected before the throttle. If a disturbance alters the mass flow balance between them, when operating close to the surge line on an otherwise stable operating point, one compressor can be pushed into the surge region and the mass flow reverses. When the compressor recovers it can push the other compressor into surge, starting an oscillation where the mass flow through the compressors alternately reverses. This phenomenon with alternating flow reversals is called co-surge. Compressor surge should be avoided and thus needs to be considered when developing the control system for a bi-turbocharged engine.

1.1 Contributions and Outline

Compressor surge has been extensively studied during the 70’s and 80’s and a well known modeling result is the Moore-Greitzer model (Greitzer, 1981). The majority of the work has been on turbo machinery with gas turbines. A survey of surge modeling and control is given in Willems and de Jager (1998) and there is also a substantial treatment in Gravdahl (1998). For automotive turbochargers there are only a few studies on surge where most utilize the Moore-Greitzer model, see e.g. Ammann et al. (2001) or Leufven and Eriksson (2008). Studies of surge in bi-turbocharged engines are even scarcer, although the problem of one compressor pushing another into surge, when connected to a common intake, was pointed out by Watson and Janota (1982). The main contributions of this paper is an extension and experimental evaluation of the detection and control strategy for co-surge presented in Thomasson and Eriksson (2011). The paper strengthen the model validation from that paper with measurements from a vehicle dynamometer. With retuned turbo model parameters, correctly matched to the test vehicle, the agreement to measured data is significantly improved.

Section 2 presents the test vehicle and the experimental setup. Experimental data on co-surge and an analysis of the phenomenon is presented in section 3. Section 4 briefly outlines the model and evaluates it with new experimental data. A detection algorithm is outlined and evaluated in section 5. In section 6 a control strategy to quell co-surge is presented. The controller is evaluated in a vehicle in a vehicle dynamometer and compared to simulation results.
2. TEST SETUP

The test vehicle is equipped with a gasoline V6-engine with two parallel turbochargers, each powered from one bank of cylinders. A sketch of the engine is shown in Figure 1, that also defines the nomenclature which is also available in Appendix A. The engine is equipped with three hot film mass flow sensors that are placed approximately 50 cm after the air filter, 80 cm before the compressors, one directly before and two directly after the air path is divided. Pressures are measured before and after each compressor, before the throttle and in the intake manifold. The turbochargers are equipped with speed sensors. The measurement and control system is a dSpace MicroAutoBox and a RapidPro system, connected to a computer running ControlDesk. The actuators used by the control algorithm are the throttle, the bypass valves and the wastegates. The throttle and wastegate are continuously actuated while the bypass valves are of ON/OFF type. For the tests the vehicle is mounted in a vehicle dynamometer with one electric motor connected to each wheel on the rear axis. Although the test vehicle is equipped with lots of sensors for modeling, only the two mass flow sensors, MAF1 and MAF2, the pressure after the intercooler, \( p_{ic} \), and the intake manifold pressure, \( p_{im} \), are used in the detection and control algorithms.

3. CO-SURGE

An example of co-surge measured in a test vehicle will be shown in Figure 3. (The figure is placed in the model section for convenience of validation.) The mass flow balance between the two air paths is slightly unbalanced.

4. ENGINE MODEL

To efficiently and safely test new control strategies it is advantageous to use a model of the system before testing in a real environment. To do this a model that can capture the most important system properties, in this case co-surge, is needed. The model was outlined in Thomasson and Eriksson (2011) and in this paper the model validation is strengthened with additional measurements including turbocharger speed.

The modeling approach taken is the component based Mean Value Engine Model (MVEM) outlined in Eriksson et al. (2002); Eriksson (2007). A complete turbocharged spark ignited engine with these components is implemented and evaluated in Andersson (2005). For this investigation the MVEM components have been arranged in a bi-turbo structure to resemble the engine in Figure 1.
4.1 Compressor model

To model surge the compressor model must handle reverse mass flow. This is achieved by the well known and well tested Moore-Greitzer model (Greitzer, 1981), that incorporates an additional state for the mass flow, $W_c$.

$$\frac{dW_c}{dt} = \frac{\pi D^2}{4L} (\dot{p}_{ac} - p_{ac})$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

The difference between pressure build up, $\dot{p}_{ac}$, and pressure after the compressor, $p_{ac}$, results in a force that accelerates a flow plug, with length $L$ and diameter $D$, that govern the mass flow, see Figure 2. This model was first developed for axial flow compressors in Greitzer (1976), and was shown to work for centrifugal compressors in Hansen et al. (1981).

In the model it is necessary to have a description of the compressor speed lines. Here a simple parametrization is used, based on the dimensionless quantities for flow $\Phi$ and energy $\Psi$. They are defined as (Dixon, 1998)

$$\Phi = \frac{W_c RT_{bc}}{ND^2 p_{bc}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

$$\Psi = \frac{c_p T_{bc} (\Pi_c^{\gamma - 1}/\gamma - 1)}{N^2 D^2}$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

where $D$ is the compressor diameter, $N$ is the rotational speed, $T_{bc}$ is the temperature before the compressor, $R$ is the specific gas constant for air and $\Pi_c = p_{ac}/p_{bc}$. When transformed into the $\Phi - \Psi$ domain, the speed lines in the compressor map gathers into almost a single curve (Eriksson, 2007). The model uses the relation between $\Phi$ and $\Psi$ to span the compressor map and it is represented by the combination of a third and a second order polynomial.

4.2 Model validation

Figures 3 and 4 compare measurement and simulation for a constant operating point, where a small throttle disturbance at $t = 0$ s results in co-surge. The amplitude and frequency of the mass flow and pressure oscillations is similar to the measured data. The model shows negative mass flow during the surge period but the mass flow sensors can not measure negative flows. However, during co-surge the measured mass flow from the side with positive flow is larger than the in the measurements and the initial drop in speed is not present in the simulation.

$$\Psi(\Phi) = \begin{cases} 
  a_3 \Phi^3 + a_2 \Phi^2 + a_1 \Phi + a_0 & \text{if } \Phi \leq \Phi_{\Psi_{\text{max}}} \\
  b_2 \Phi^2 + b_1 \Phi + b_0 & \text{if } \Phi > \Phi_{\Psi_{\text{max}}}
\end{cases}$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

The parameters $a_i$ and $b_i$ in $\Psi(\Phi)$ are determined from the parameters $\Psi_{\text{max}}$, $D\Psi_{\text{max}}$, $\Phi_{\Psi_{\text{max}}}$ and $\Phi_{0}$, together with the constraints $\Psi'(0) = 0$ and $\Psi'(|\Phi_{\Psi_{\text{max}}}|) = 0$, see Figure 2.

For this investigation, measurements on co-surge has been made in high load operating points close to the surge line around $\Pi_c = 1.5$. The model parameters have been tuned for best fit in this region. A study of how the model parameters affect the co-surge behavior was presented in Thomasson and Eriksson (2011).
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For the detection algorithm it is assumed that one mass flow sensor in each air path is available. When co-surge begins the mass flow in the two air paths diverges rapidly. The detection is therefore based on the difference in mass flow between the two air paths. The absolute value of the difference is low-pass filtered to remove high frequency disturbance, but with a cut-off frequency high enough for fast detection. The filtered difference, $\Delta W$, is used to determine if the system has entered co-surge. When $\Delta W$ exceeds the threshold $\Delta W_{\text{high, lim}}$, the variable CS is set to one, indicating that co-surge has been detected. The variable $\Delta W$ is saturated at 50 to quicken the response when co-surge ends, and hysteresis, with the lower limit $\Delta W_{\text{low, lim}}$, is used to avoid switching during transitions.

$$\Delta W = \frac{1 - k}{1 - k z^{-1}} |\text{MAF}_1 - \text{MAF}_2|$$

$$\text{CS} = \begin{cases} 
1, & \text{if } \Delta W \geq \Delta W_{\text{high, lim}} \\
1, & \text{if } \Delta W \geq \Delta W_{\text{low, lim}} \text{ and } z^{-1} \text{CS} = 1 \\
0, & \text{otherwise}
\end{cases} \quad (5)$$

The variable $z$ is the $z$-transform operator and $k$ depends on the sample rate and desired cut-off frequency for the low-pass filter. The threshold $\Delta W_{\text{high, lim}}$ must be larger than the mass flow difference expected during normal operation due to disturbances etc. In Figure 5 the detection is evaluated on measurements from the test vehicle. The evaluation runs at $\text{MAF}_{\text{tot}} \approx 80 \text{ g/s}$ with $\Delta W_{\text{high, lim}} = 12 \text{ g/s}$, $15\%$ of the total mass flow. A disturbance is introduced at $t = 0$ s, after about 0.05 s the mass flows start to diverge and co-surge is detected at $t = 0.1$ s.

There are several methods for avoiding or suppress surge in single compressors described in the literature (de Jager, 1995). In active surge control the operating region of the compressor is extended by stabilizing the compressor in the otherwise unstable region of the surge line, see for example Willems and de Jager (1998); Gravdahl and Engeland (1999). In surge avoidance strategies the compressor is controlled to operate with a safety margin to the surge line in order to avoid surge Gravdahl (1998). Surge detection an avoidance strategies tries to avoid the drawbacks of operating with a safety margin by detecting the onset of surge and then act to move the operating point away from the unstable region de Jager (1995). Co-surge is partly a different control problem. When co-surge occurs due to a disturbance between the two mass flows, at a constant operating point, the operating point with balanced mass flows is stable. The objective of the control system is then to balance the flow and return to this operating point, as fast and with as little torque disturbance as possible.
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Opening the throttle reduces the boost pressure for a given mass flow, moving the operating point to the right in the compressor map and increasing the surge margin. The mass flow will also increase, partly counteracting the reduction in total mass flow that occurs during co-surge. Opening the bypass valves reduces the pressure ratio and increases the mass flow felt by the compressor by recirculating part of the compressed air. This moves the operating point of the compressor away from the surge region but also reduces boost pressure and thus leading to greater torque disturbance.

To minimize torque disturbance and the time to recover from co-surge it is therefore beneficial to open the throttle and keep the bypass valves closed, if this is enough to quell the oscillation. Several experiments where made for different operating points to investigate this is possible. Co-surge was initialized by a short throttle disturbance for otherwise constant operating conditions. The result correlates well to when the throttle has authority, i.e. when there is a pressure drop over the throttle. When the pressure drop over the throttle is larger than 10 kPa, throttle actuation alone was always able to quell the oscillation. For 5-10 kPa the oscillation was quelled in most cases, with lower success rate for lower pressure drops. If the pressure drop over the throttle is too small, the bypass valves need to be opened. An example of when opening the throttle is not enough is shown in Figure 6. Co-surge is induced by a short closing of the throttle at t = 0s. Due to the pressure drop when the system enters co-surge the boost controller closes the wastegates (100 % control signal is commanded). When the oscillation starts and co-surge is detected, the throttle is opened up but the oscillation continues undamped.

The control strategy proposed here is therefore to only open the throttle and keep the bypass valves closed if the pressure drop over the throttle, $\Delta p_{thr}$, is above a certain limit, $\Delta p_{lim}$, provided that the desired boost pressure and intake manifold pressure is below their respective reference values. If the pressure drop is lower, and if intake manifold pressure is below the reference, both throttle and bypass valves are opened. Otherwise only the bypass valves are opened. This is written in equation form as:

$$\Delta u_{thr} = \begin{cases} k_{thr}, & \text{if } CS = 1 \text{ and } p_{im} < p_{im,ref} \\ \Delta u_{thr} (k - 1) - k_{thr} \frac{\tau_{thr}}{\tau_{thr}}, & \text{if } CS = 0 \end{cases}$$

$$u_{bp} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } CS = 1 \text{ and } \Delta p_{thr} (t_{CS}) < \Delta p_{lim} \\ 1, & \text{if } CS = 1 \text{ and } p_{im} \geq p_{im,ref} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$
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where $\Delta u_{thr}$ is an additive throttle reference, $u_{bp}$ is bypass valve command, $(k - 1)$ indicate previous sample, $t_{CS}$ is the time when co-surge was detected (the last time
sample when CS went from zero to one), $k_{thr}$ is the amplitude of throttle opening, $\tau_s$ is the sample time and $\tau_{thr}$ determines how fast the throttle is ramped down. This works in parallel with a standard boost pressure controller, consisting of a gain scheduled PID-controller and a feedforward, that commands the two wastegates.

6.1 Evaluation

The control strategy is first tested in simulation environment. A simulation with a constant operation point can be seen in Figure 7. At $t = 0$ s a small disturbance results in co-surge. The control system detects the divergence in mass flow at $t = 0.13$ s and opens the throttle. The mass flow quickly recovers and after about 0.75 s boost pressure is back to the same level as before the disturbance.

The control strategy was then implemented in a test vehicle. Figure 8 show one experiment with the suggested control strategy. At $t = 0$ s a small disturbance in throttle position is causing the mass flows to diverge. The difference in mass flow is detected and since $\Delta p_{thr}$ is high enough and $p_{oc}$ is below the reference, the throttle is opened. The mass flow balance is quickly restored and mass flow and pressure is back to level within 0.5 s. Comparing the simulation result and the experimental data the behavior in both mass flow and pressure are similar. The recovery in the simulation is slightly slower, probably because the positive peak in MAF is not present in the measurement. As shown earlier the initial speed drop in turbocharger speeds is not present in the simulation, but the amplitude of the divergence is similar as in the measurements.

7. CONCLUSIONS

Measurements of co-surge from a test vehicle with a biturbocharged engine, in a vehicle dynamometer, is presented. The paper presents an engine model capable of capturing co-surge. This is achieved by combining a mean value engine model with a Moore-Greitzer compressor model. The model is compared to experimental data and is shown to capture the qualitative properties of co-surge, the amplitude and frequency of the pressure and mass flow oscillation and the turbo speed oscillation with opposite phase compared to the mass flow. A detection algorithm to rapidly detect co-surge is presented and validated against measured data. A control strategy is presented that aims to quell the co-surge oscillation with as little torque disturbance as possible. The experimental evaluation shows that the the co-surge oscillation is quenched and the preceding operating point is recovered in 0.5 s. Additionally there is good agreement between simulations and experiments with the suggested controller structure, further strengthening the model validity.
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Appendix A. NOMENCLATURE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Subscript</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Area</td>
<td>af</td>
<td>Air filter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$c_p$</td>
<td>Specific heat</td>
<td>bc</td>
<td>Before compressor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>Diameter</td>
<td>bp</td>
<td>Bypass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\gamma$</td>
<td>$c_p/c_v$</td>
<td>CS</td>
<td>Co-surge detected</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L</td>
<td>Flow plug length</td>
<td>c</td>
<td>Compressor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAF</td>
<td>Mass flow sensor</td>
<td>frc</td>
<td>Friction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$N$</td>
<td>Rotation speed</td>
<td>ic</td>
<td>Intercooler</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Pi$</td>
<td>Pressure ratio</td>
<td>im</td>
<td>Intake manifold</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$p$</td>
<td>Pressure</td>
<td>lim</td>
<td>Limit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta p$</td>
<td>Pressure difference</td>
<td>ref</td>
<td>Reference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R$</td>
<td>Gas constant</td>
<td>t</td>
<td>Turbine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T$</td>
<td>Temperature</td>
<td>tc</td>
<td>Turbocoder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$t$</td>
<td>Time</td>
<td>thr</td>
<td>Throttle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\tau$</td>
<td>Time constant</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$u$</td>
<td>Control signal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>Volume</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W</td>
<td>Mass flow</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\omega$</td>
<td>Angular velocity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CS</td>
<td>Co-surge detected</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>z</td>
<td>z-transform operator</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>