Reactive sputtering of delta-ZrH₂ thin films by high power impulse magnetron sputtering and direct current magnetron sputtering

Hans Högberg, Lina Tengdelius, Mattias Samuelsson, Fredrik Eriksson, Esteban Broitman, Jun Lu, Jens Jensen and Lars Hultman

Linköping University Post Print

N.B.: When citing this work, cite the original article.

Original Publication:

Hans Högberg, Lina Tengdelius, Mattias Samuelsson, Fredrik Eriksson, Esteban Broitman, Jun Lu, Jens Jensen and Lars Hultman, Reactive sputtering of delta-ZrH₂ thin films by high power impulse magnetron sputtering and direct current magnetron sputtering, 2014, Journal of Vacuum Science & Technology. A. Vacuum, Surfaces, and Films, (32), 4, 041510.

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1116/1.4882859>

Copyright: American Vacuum Society

<http://www.avs.org/>

Postprint available at: Linköping University Electronic Press

<http://urn.kb.se/resolve?urn=urn:nbn:se:liu:diva-109234>

**Reactive sputtering of δ -ZrH₂ thin films by high power impulse magnetron sputtering
and direct current magnetron sputtering**

Hans Högberg^a and Lina Tengdelius,

Department of Physics, Chemistry, and Biology (IFM) Linköping University, SE-581 83
Linköping, Sweden.

Mattias Samuelsson

Impact Coatings AB, Westmansgatan 29, SE-582 16 Linköping, Sweden

Fredrik Eriksson, Esteban Broitman^{a)}, Jun Lu, Jens Jensen, and Lars Hultman

Department of Physics, Chemistry, and Biology (IFM) Linköping University, SE-581 83
Linköping, Sweden.

^{a)}Electronic mail: hans.hogberg@liu.se

Reactive sputtering by high power impulse magnetron sputtering (HiPIMS) and direct current magnetron sputtering (DCMS) of a Zr target in Ar/H₂ plasmas was employed to deposit Zr-H films on Si(100) substrates, and with H content up to 61 at.% and O contents typically below 0.2 at.% as determined by elastic recoil detection analysis. X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy reveals a chemical shift of ~0.7 eV to higher binding energies for the Zr-H films compared to pure Zr films consistent with a charge transfer from Zr to H in a zirconium hydride. X-ray diffraction shows that the films are single-phase δ -ZrH₂ (CaF₂-type structure) at H content > ~55 at.% and pole figure measurements give a 111 preferred orientation for these films. Scanning electron microscopy cross-section images show a glasslike microstructure for the HiPIMS films, while the DCMS films are columnar. Nanoindentation yield hardness values of 5.5-7 GPa for the δ -ZrH₂ films that is slightly harder than the ~5 GPa determined for Zr films and with coefficients of friction in the range 0.12-0.18 to compare with the 0.4-0.6 obtained

for Zr films. Wear resistance testing show that phase-pure δ -ZrH₂ films deposited by HiPIMS exhibit up to 50 times lower wear rate compared to those containing a secondary Zr phase. Four-point probe measurements give resistivity values in the range of ~ 100 - $120 \mu\Omega \text{ cm}$ for the δ -ZrH₂ films, which is slightly higher compared to Zr films with values in the range 70 - $80 \mu\Omega \text{ cm}$.

I. INTRODUCTION

The early transition metal zirconium exhibits a high affinity to hydrogen, which results in the formation of hydrides. The Zr-H phase diagram^{1, 2} reveals at least two phases that are stable above room temperature, possibly up to 900°C .¹ These are δ -ZrH₂ (CaF₂-type structure) and ϵ -ZrH₂ (body-centered tetragonal with ThH₂-type structure), where δ -ZrH₂ exhibits a lattice constant of $a = 4.781 \text{ \AA}$,³ and ϵ -ZrH₂ shows the lattice constants, $a = 3.5199 \text{ \AA}$ and $c = 4.4500 \text{ \AA}$.⁴ In addition to δ -ZrH₂ and ϵ -ZrH₂, there are metastable phases with a face-centered tetragonal phase γ -ZrH and an ordered structure with the composition Zr₂H that is fully coherent with α -Zr.⁵ The cubic δ -phase is characterized by a homogeneity range with possible compositions from ZrH₂ to approximately ZrH_{1.6},⁵ while ϵ -ZrH₂ is reported to exhibit a somewhat smaller homogeneity range with compositions between ZrH₂ to ZrH_{1.7}.¹ Using first-principle calculations of the electronic structures for δ -ZrH₂ and ϵ -ZrH₂, the electrical and mechanical properties have been assessed⁶⁻⁹. The calculated density of state at the Fermi level reported in these studies support experimental results on good electrical conductivity for both phases and the calculations show that the d-bands of zirconium play a dominant role in the electrical transport. The chemical bonding in δ -ZrH₂ and ϵ -ZrH₂ is characterized by strong interaction between zirconium and hydrogen, and in the works by Zhang *et al.*⁸ and Chihi *et al.*⁹ it is concluded that the Zr-H bonding exhibits higher degree of ionic character than covalent type of bonding. A less directional bond is also to be expected in zirconium hydrides compared to for instance ZrC and ZrN, since the interaction occurs between Zr d-orbitals and

H s-orbital in the hydride, while the high hardness values and extreme melting points found for carbides and nitrides stem from the interaction between the metal d-orbitals and the highly directional p-orbitals of carbon or nitrogen. As a consequence, δ -ZrH₂ and ϵ -ZrH₂ demonstrate lower hardness values and melting points compared to ZrC and ZrN.

Experimentally, the properties of these ZrH₂ phases have been verified in bulk material, using pieces of zirconium metal that have been heat treated in a H₂ atmosphere for 1 to 2 days and at elevated temperatures up to 900 °C.^{10,11} Of particular interest to the present study are reports on the chemical bonding structure,^{10,12} structural properties,¹² electrical properties,^{11, 12} and mechanical properties.^{13,14} The literature show that δ -ZrH₂ and ϵ -ZrH₂ are good conductors that demonstrate slightly higher hardness value than the metal, and that the properties are determined by both the phase composition and the stoichiometry (hydrogen content) of the material. Specifically, lower nanoindentation hardness value for δ -ZrH_{2-x} of ~4.5 GPa has been reported in (Ref.10), whereas the data on the melting points of δ -ZrH₂ and ϵ -ZrH₂ are rather uncertain according to the available phase diagrams,^{1,2} but considerably lower compared to ZrC and ZrN.

However, the Zr-H phase diagram has been, and still is, the subject of discussions and investigations given uncertainties both with respect to, e.g., the number of existing phases, their homogeneity ranges, and melting points. Nevertheless, the reports presented above clearly demonstrate that zirconium hydrides are materials with unique properties. Also, it is well known that the properties of a material in thin film form may differ from those that the same material exhibits in bulk form.

In comparison to borides, carbides, nitrides, and oxides, hydride thin films are scarcely studied, which is particularly evident for zirconium hydrides. The aim of the present study is to demonstrate the synthesis of ZrH₂ in thin film form, and study the influence of growth

process parameters, as well as compare the materials properties in thin film form with that of the bulk material. Magnetron sputtering is a commonly used thin film growth technique that operates at non-equilibrium conditions.¹⁵ Therefore thin film techniques such as magnetron sputtering constitute suitable synthesis routes for these materials and enable growth of materials with well-defined properties. This will be favorable for in-depth property characterization of these materials and provide a possible route in the search for metastable phases, as has previously been shown for other ceramics, e.g. TiAlN.¹⁶

We have studied reactively sputtered zirconium hydride thin films, synthesized using high power impulse magnetron sputtering (HiPIMS) and direct current magnetron sputtering (DCMS), and investigated their properties with respect to their chemical bonding structure, composition, and structural properties including the growth relationship to the substrate material, microstructure, as well as their mechanical and electrical properties. The growth was carried out in an industrial scale deposition system without external heating to avoid decomposition of phases potentially stable at low-temperatures. To minimize the influence of the residual gas on the film properties, short deposition times and high power densities on the target were used.

II. EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

The depositions were carried out at a fixed target to substrate distance of 7 cm and using no external substrate heating in a commercial industrial high vacuum (HV) coating system (CemeCon CC800/9®) that had been evacuated to a base pressure below 2.2×10^{-4} Pa prior to growth. Getter pumping was applied immediately before deposition to further minimize the impact of residual gas, using sputtering of a rectangular shaped titanium target (50 x 8.8 cm) at a power of 1500 W onto the backside of a shutter that covered the magnetron during 600 s and at a pressure of 0.42 Pa. The films were reactively sputtered onto Si(100) substrates, 2 in

each run, at a substrate bias of - 80 V by DCMS or HiPIMS from a zirconium target with a purity higher than 99.9% (Hf 0.05%) in Ar (99.9997%) /H₂ (99.9996%) plasmas containing 0%, 2.5%, 5%, 10%, 15%, and 20% H₂, as determined from the resulting total pressure at the beginning of the deposition cycle. The Ar partial pressure was fixed at 0.42 Pa and as no pressure control was applied for the reactive gas the pressure increased during the process, which was particularly evident for the HiPIMS processes performed with high hydrogen content in the plasma. The sputtering power, after ramping of the power behind a shutter, was 5000 W for growth of the DCMS films, which corresponds to 11.36 W/cm² on the 50 x 8.8 cm target. The HiPIMS films were deposited at an average constant power of 3000 W (6.82 W/cm² on the 50 x 8.8 cm target), using a pulse width of 150 μs and with a pulse repetition frequency of 300 Hz. The deposition time was set to 120 s for the DCMS processes and 496 s for the HiPIMS processes. The corresponding growth rates were ~70 Å/s for DCMS and ~11 Å/s for HiPIMS at the highest hydrogen content in the plasma.

The chemical bonding structure of the as-deposited films was determined by X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS), using an AXIS Ultra^{DLD} instrument from Kratos Analytical and analysis with monochromatic Al Kα radiation. The binding energy (BE) scale was calibrated by setting the position of the Fermi edge of a sputter-cleaned Ag sample to 0.0 eV.¹⁷ To remove surface oxides and adventitious carbon the samples were sputter etched at an angle of 20° for 90 s by 4 kV Ar-ions. The composition and level of impurities in the deposited Zr-H films were determined by time-of-flight energy elastic recoil detection analysis (ToF-E ERDA), using energetic, 36 MeV, ¹²⁷I⁸⁺ ions with a beam incidence angle of 67.5° to the sample surface normal and a recoil angle of 45°.^{18,19} The structural properties of the films were assessed by X-ray diffraction (XRD) with a Philips PW 1820 diffractometer operated in Bragg-Brentano geometry and using Cu Kα radiation at 40 kV and 40 mA. To determine the preferred orientation of the films, pole figure measurements of the 220 and 420

poles were conducted with a PANalytical EMPYREAN diffractometer operated at 45 kV and 40 mA. The same instrument was used for X-ray reflectivity (XRR) measurements to obtain film densities by fitting the critical angle of total external reflection using the X'Pert Reflectivity software from PANalytical. High-resolution electron microscopy (HREM) cross-sectional specimens were manufactured following the conventional routine and with final Ar-ion milling to electron transparency. Both HREM imaging and collection of selected area electron diffraction (SAED) patterns were carried out by using a FEI Tecnai G2 TF20 UT HRTEM instrument with a field emission gun operated at 200 kV and with a point to point resolution of 1.9 Å. The film microstructure and thickness were determined by cross-sectional scanning electron microscopy (SEM) LEO 1550 Gemini with images recorded at an acceleration voltage of 10 kV. The mechanical and tribological properties: hardness, reduced Young's modulus (E_r), friction, and wear rate were investigated, using a Hysitron Triboindenter TI950 instrument. The hardness was measured by indentation from a Berkovich diamond probe at an applied load of 500 μN , and E_r was calculated from these values. In all the indentation experiments, the penetration depth of the indenter was lower than 10% of the film thickness to avoid the influence of the substrate. The friction coefficient (μ) was determined by a 40 cycles reciprocal test of 10 μm length with a diamond conical probe (5 μm diameter) at a load of 50 μN . Nanowear tests were conducted by raster scanning the indenter with a 90° cube corner tip across the sample surface while maintaining a normal force W of 100 μN . The root mean squared (RMS) film roughness was determined, using the tip in Scanning Probe Mode (SPM) at a load of 3 μN on a square area of $10 \times 10 \mu\text{m}^2$. A square area of $5 \times 5 \mu\text{m}^2$ was selected for five times scans at the higher load, and then the tip was used in SPM at a load of 3 μN to acquire topographical information of the worn region of the film. The wear scar volume was obtained by the measurement of average height h of the worn surface and the wear rate k was calculated by equation $k = h/nW$, where n is the number

of scanning passes.²⁰ Finally, the electrical resistivity values of the films were calculated from measured sheet resistivity data as determined from four point probe measurements with Model 280C (Four Dimensions) instrument and using the film thicknesses determined from SEM images.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A. Chemical bonding structure and elemental composition

The high resolution XPS spectra in Fig. 1 were obtained from the Zr 3d photoelectron region of a Zr-H film deposited by HiPIMS with 20% H₂ in the plasma (solid line) and from a Zr reference film grown by HiPIMS (dashed line). As can be seen, there is a chemical shift towards higher binding energies for the film grown with hydrogen in the plasma compared to the pure metal film. This shift of ~0.7 eV determined from the positions of Zr 3d_{5/2} peaks originates from a charge transfer from Zr atoms to H atoms, which is a consequence of the higher electronegativity (χ) of H compared to Zr with $\chi= 2.2$ for hydrogen and $\chi= 1.33$ for zirconium.²¹ Here, we note that no chemical shifts were evident in the XPS analysis conducted on films where the group 5 metal Ta ($\chi=1.50$) and the group 6 metal Cr ($\chi=1.66$) were reactively sputtered in H₂ containing plasmas by HiPIMS and DCMS.²² Furthermore, the peak positions for the Zr-H film in Fig. 1 was determined to Zr 3d_{5/2} = 179.44 eV and Zr 3d_{3/2} = 181.85 eV, where the position of the former peak is in good agreement with the binding energies of 179.375 eV reported for a bulk δ -ZrH_{1.74} material¹² and 179 eV determined for a bulk ZrH_{1.9} material.¹⁰ For the reference film in Fig. 1, the peaks were located at Zr 3d_{5/2} = 178.75eV and Zr 3d_{3/2} = 181.15 eV, which is in excellent agreement with the work by Nyholm and Mårtensson.²³

As XPS is unable to detect hydrogen, ToF-E ERDA was used for qualitative and quantitative analysis of the films constituents as well as the contaminants present in the films. Table I

shows that the hydrogen content in the HiPIMS and DCMS deposited films scales with the amount of H_2 in the plasma as exemplified by the fact that HiPIMS films exhibit 55 at.% H when growth is conducted with 5% H_2 in the plasma, 59.1 at.% with 10% H_2 in the plasma, and finally 61.1 at.% H with 20% H_2 in the plasma. For the DCMS films, the amount of hydrogen incorporated is less compared to HiPIMS films deposited with the same hydrogen content in the plasma seen from the results with 22.9 at.% with 10% H_2 in the plasma, and 53.6 at.% H with 20% H_2 in the plasma. The higher hydrogen content in the HiPIMS films is likely due to the higher plasma density characteristic for the technique to yield a more efficient dissociation of the H_2 molecule as well as by the lower growth rate that will allow more hydrogen to react with the sputtered metal to form a hydride – particularly as no external heating was applied in our study. For the latter, we find support from the synthesis of bulk δ - ZrH_2 and ϵ - ZrH_2 samples, where 1-2 days of H_2 treatment at elevated temperatures is typically applied.^{10,11} Furthermore, we investigated the long-term stability of the films by analyzing one HiPIMS film and one DCMS film deposited with 20% H_2 in plasma at two separate occasions following close to 5 months of storage. As can be seen, Table I shows slightly lower hydrogen content in both films following storage. However, the small difference of ~2 to 3 at.% in measured value suggests that the deposited ZrH_2 films are stable over time.

In addition, Table I shows that the level of O, C, Ar, and N in the films is low. For example, the O content was typically < 0.1- 0.3 at.% with an exception for a DCMS film deposited with 10% H_2 in the plasma that shows a slightly higher content of 0.7 at.%. The C content is even lower in the region 0.1 at.%, albeit with slightly higher Ar content of < 0.4 at.% in the HiPIMS films, which is probably explained by Ar implantation given the intense ion-bombardment often present when using this technique.²⁴ The N content was also very low with about 0.1 at.% of N in the analyzed films. The low level of contaminants encountered in

the Zr-H films is in agreement with results in (Ref. 22), where β -Ta and Cr films were sputtered by HiPIMS and DCMS in H_2 containing plasmas and under HV conditions. Thus, this study support the suggestion made by Högberg *et al.*²² that H_2 chemically interacts with species from the residual gas present on the film surface during growth to decrease the level of contaminants in the deposited films.

B. Structural properties

The XRD patterns in Fig. 2 were recorded from films deposited by HiPIMS to the left and DCMS to the right with Zr reference films found in the bottom of each respective stack and then followed by films deposited with increasing hydrogen content in the plasma and finally ending with films deposited with 20% H_2 in the plasma. In both diffractograms, the reference films display three peaks originating from Zr with: $10\bar{1}0$ at $2\theta \approx 32^\circ$, 0002 at $2\theta \approx 32.8^\circ$, and $10\bar{1}1$ at $2\theta \approx 36.5^\circ$ and where the needle-shaped peak at $2\theta \approx 33.4^\circ$ is the forbidden 200 peak from the single crystal substrate. When H_2 is added to the plasma the intensities of the Zr peaks are gradually reduced and finally they are replaced by one single peak positioned at a diffraction angle of $2\theta \approx 32.4^\circ$. This remaining peak can be assigned to the phase δ -ZrH₂ and represents diffraction from the 111 planes.³ This demonstrates that a hydride phase has been successfully deposited using both HiPIMS and DCMS. Simulations of XRR measurements conducted on films grown by both techniques, and with 20% H_2 in the plasma, yield densities of 5.96 g/cm³ for the film deposited with HiPIMS, and 5.91 g/cm³ for the film where DCMS was applied. Both values are close to the value 5.667 g/cm³ reported for bulk δ -ZrH₂.³ Further support for the growth of δ -ZrH₂ films is provided from the XRD diffractogram shown as inset in Fig. 2 that only show 111, 222, and 333 peaks originating from the phase δ -ZrH₂ together with the Si 400 and 600 peaks. This result suggests that δ -ZrH₂ is preferred compared to ϵ -ZrH₂ in reactive sputtering of films on Si(100) substrates by HiPIMS and DCMS. The reason

for this is probably the larger homogeneity range shown by δ -ZrH₂ compared to ϵ -ZrH₂ that allows a higher degree of freedom with respect to the hydrogen content in the films. In addition, the diffractograms in Fig. 2 show traces of peaks originating from metallic Zr in the DCMS films deposited with 15% H₂ in the plasma, while no such peaks are present in the HiPIMS films grown with 15% H₂ in the plasma. This suggests a less efficient incorporation of hydrogen in the DCMS films, which is supported by ToF-E ERDA measurements yielding a hydrogen content of 22.9 at.% for DCMS film deposited with 10% H₂ in the plasma. A film with such hydrogen content would in the phase diagram¹ be positioned in the metal-rich two phase region where δ -ZrH₂ and Zr co-exist, but where possible growth of Zr₂H,⁴ cannot be ruled out. The most probable explanation for this difference is the higher deposition rate and shorter deposition cycle applied in DCMS compared to HiPIMS. Furthermore, when entering the single-phase region of δ -ZrH₂ there is an increasing shift to lower diffraction angles for the δ -ZrH₂ 111 peaks for the HiPIMS films. This is likely an effect from compressive stresses induced by the intense ion bombardment during growth by the technique,²⁴ as the hydrogen content determined ToF-E ERDA remains more or less constant above for films deposited with more than 5% H₂ in the plasma, see Table I.

As only 111 type of peaks are visible in the XRD patterns from δ -ZrH₂ films this suggests oriented growth to the Si(100) substrate for the film. XRD pole figure measurements of the 220 pole in Fig. 3a) show a broad ring of high intensity at $\psi \approx 35^\circ$ for a film deposited with HiPIMS and 15% H₂ in the plasma. The measured angle corresponds to the angle between the 110 and 111 planes in a cubic structure, while only parts of the ring expected at $\psi \approx 90^\circ$ is visible. This is probably due to limitations of the diffractometer at such high tilt angles. The ring shows that the δ -ZrH₂ film is textured and the rotational symmetry of the ring suggests a fiber-textured growth to the Si(100) substrate. An epitaxial growth behavior is not to be expected in this study as the depositions were carried out without external heating and using

Si(100) substrates with the native oxide still present. The preferred orientation is supported by complementary measurements of the 420 pole in b) displaying rings at $\psi \approx 39^\circ$ and $\psi \approx 75^\circ$ that are close to the angles between 210 and 111 planes.

C. Microstructure

The low-resolution micrographs in Fig. 4 are obtained from a HiPIMS film (a) and DCMS film (b) that are deposited with 20% H_2 in the plasma for both films. The images show that both techniques yield ZrH_2 films with a smooth surface structure. The film grown by DCMS displays a more pronounced columnar growth behavior compared to the more glasslike microstructure of the film deposited by HiPIMS. High-resolution images obtained from the interface region reveal a sharp interface and good adhesion between the film and the native oxide still present on the substrate (not shown). The surface structure and film microstructure determined are supported by SEM images from the films deposited at the most hydrogen-rich composition in the plasma in Figs. 5 and 6. A similar glasslike microstructure seen for HiPIMS films has been reported from studies conducted on several binary ceramic thin film systems such as CrN ,²⁵ TiC ,²⁶ and ZrB_2 .²⁷ Moreover, the SAED patterns present as insets in Fig. 4 display broken rings of elongated spots, which support the pole figure measurements with a 111 textured growth for both type of films at the highest hydrogen content in the plasma. It was also possible to determine the thicknesses of the deposited films to ~ 520 nm for the film grown by HiPIMS, while the film deposited with DCMS is slightly thicker: ~ 840 nm.

The microstructural evolution is evident from the SEM cross-section images in Fig. 5 for films deposited by HiPIMS and in Fig. 6 for films grown by DCMS. Both reference films in Fig. 5a and Fig. 6a exhibit a ductile plastic behavior typical for metals and with visible surface roughness. Adding hydrogen to the plasma results in a columnar microstructure

already at 2.5% H₂ in plasma for the HiPIMS films, whereas DCMS films grown under the same condition display more metallic-like properties. This difference in microstructure is supported from the XRD patterns in Fig. 2 showing clear Zr peaks for the DCMS film, while the intensities of these peaks are low compared to the δ -ZrH₂ 111 peak in the HiPIMS film. As the hydrogen content is further increased to 10% and finally 20%, a columnar growth behavior becomes apparent for the DCMS films, while the HiPIMS films now display a more glasslike microstructure and with a smooth surface finish. This is consistent with the TEM micrographs in Fig. 4, and agrees with reports from literature on ceramic HiPIMS films.²⁵⁻²⁷ Furthermore, the difference in microstructure between the metallic reference films and the δ -ZrH₂ deposited by HiPIMS and DCMS provides further support for the ceramic-like properties of δ -ZrH₂ films as inducted by the formation of Zr-H bonds. The consequences of this interaction on foremost the mechanical properties is presented in section D. The thicknesses for films grown with the highest hydrogen content in the plasma provided by the SEM cross-section images are in good agreement with those obtained by TEM. Images obtained from films deposited at other conditions show typical thicknesses in the range ~750-850 nm and with no clear trends, but the HiPIMS films are generally slightly thinner in particular the reference film ~660 nm and the film grown with 20% H₂ in the plasma with ~530 nm.

D. Mechanical properties

Fig. 7a shows that phase pure δ -ZrH₂ films deposited by both techniques exhibit typical hardness values of 5.5-7 GPa, which is slightly harder than the ~5 GPa determined for Zr reference films of similar thicknesses. These results are in agreement with the study in (Ref. 9), but our hardness values are somewhat higher than the ~4.5 GPa measured for annealed δ -ZrH_{2-x} bulk samples and for zirconium metal ~3 GPa.¹³ A possible explanation for the higher

hardness is the residual compressive stress present in the films, which should also be most pronounced in the HiPIMS films due to the intense ion bombardment.²⁴ Our results suggest that the hardness scales with the hydrogen content in the film and that the δ -ZrH₂ films deposited with HiPIMS are slightly harder than those deposited by DCMS, thus reflecting the amount stress depending on the choice of sputtering technique. The influence of the hydrogen content in the films indicates that the interaction between zirconium and hydrogen leads to higher hardness, i.e. more ceramic-like properties. Furthermore, the results from the hardness measurements show that the δ -ZrH₂ films are considerably softer than ZrC with a hardness value of 25.9 GPa,²⁸ and ZrN a reported value of 15.8 GPa.²⁸ This reflects the difference in degree of directional bonding between hydrides on one side and carbides and nitrides on the other side. The friction measurements revealed scattered μ values in the range 0.12-0.18, which are considerably lower than the 0.4 to 0.6 measured for Zr reference films. This is probably explained by the differences in surface roughness between the metal and the δ -ZrH₂ films, as shown in Figs. 5 and 6. Measurements of the RMS roughness of the films support this suggestion in particular for the films deposited by HiPIMS. For such films the roughness is lowered from 5 nm to 1.3 nm and finally to 0.8 nm for the investigated films grown with 2.5%, 10%, and 20% hydrogen in the plasma, respectively. The films deposited with DCMS follow a similar trend seen from a measured value of 4.9 nm for the film grown with 2.5% hydrogen in the plasma and 1.9 nm for the film grown with 20% hydrogen in the plasma, but with an increased film roughness to 15.8 nm for the film grown with 10% hydrogen in the plasma. The reason for this growth behavior is unclear, but finds support from the SEM image in Fig. 6c. For the wear resistance, there is a clear trend particularly for the HiPIMS films, see Fig. 7b. As can be seen, the wear rate of the films is lowered when deposited at increasing hydrogen content plasma. For instance, the δ -ZrH₂ films grown with 20% H₂ in the plasma show a wear rate that is ~50 times lower compared to the films deposited with 2.5% H₂ in the

plasma; please note the logarithmic scale in Fig. 7b. The wear resistance of films deposited by DCMS is also higher when increasing the hydrogen content in the plasma, albeit lower than their HiPIMS counterpart. Again, we note the difference in surface roughness seen in the SEM pictures in Figs. 5 and 6 as well as the measured film roughness values.

E. Resistivity

Results from the four-point probe measurements in Table 2 show that all deposited films are good conductors. For the DCMS films, the results indicate increasing resistivity values for films deposited with increasing hydrogen content in the plasma going from $62 \mu\Omega \text{ cm}$ to $116 \mu\Omega \text{ cm}$ and with the reference film showing a resistivity of $68 \mu\Omega \text{ cm}$. For HiPIMS films, the resistivity values are slightly higher compared to the DCMS film deposited at the same process conditions with measured values of $60 \mu\Omega \text{ cm}$ to $111 \mu\Omega \text{ cm}$ and with the exception of the reference film and a film deposited at the most hydrogen-rich composition. The reason for the higher values obtained for the HiPIMS are likely due to a higher defect density in these films compared to their DCMS counterparts as explained the more intense ion bombardment in HiPIMS.²⁴ The values obtained for the films are higher than those reported both for of bulk $\delta\text{-ZrH}_2$ and $\epsilon\text{-ZrH}_2$ $\sim 20\text{-}80 \mu\Omega \text{ cm}$, an observation commonly reported when comparing the thin film with its bulk counterpart, and with the lowest values obtained for the phase $\epsilon\text{-ZrH}_2$ as well as metallic Zr with a resistivity of $42.6 \mu\Omega \text{ cm}$.¹¹ The trend with increasing resistivity values for increasing hydrogen content in our $\delta\text{-ZrH}_2$ films can likely be explained by more fine-grained microstructure visible in SEM and in particular for the HiPIMS films. The higher amount of intense ion irradiation present during growth of the HiPIMS is known to induce a repeated nucleation growth behavior²⁵, resulting in a more fine-grained microstructure. Considering that the resistivity of a thin film is determined by, among other properties, the grain size of the material, the present observations are reasonable.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

We demonstrate that δ -ZrH₂ with 111 fiber texture can be synthesized in thin film form on Si(100) substrates, using reactive sputtering by HiPIMS and DCMS at room-temperature. Our films contain up to 61 at.% of hydrogen when grown with HiPIMS and exhibits less than 0.2 at.% of O and with even lower C and N content. The δ -ZrH₂ films exhibit a glasslike microstructure when deposited by HiPIMS, while those deposited by DCMS are columnar. Hardness values in the range 5.5-7 GPa are measured for the δ -ZrH₂ films to compare with the ~5 GPa obtained for Zr films and with μ values in the range 0.12-0.18 being considerably lower than the 0.4-0.6 measured for Zr reference films. The δ -ZrH₂ films are good conductors with resistivity values in the range of ~100-120 $\mu\Omega$ cm, where the values are slightly higher compared to Zr films as well as bulk δ -ZrH_{2-x} and ϵ -ZrH_{2-x}.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Grzegorz Greczynski is gratefully acknowledged for assistance with the XPS measurements. HH acknowledges financial support from the Swedish Research Council (VR) through the Contract No. 622-2008-1247. LH, FE, EB, and HH acknowledge the Swedish Government Strategic Research Area in Materials Science on Functional Materials at Linköping University (Faculty Grant SFO-Mat-LiU No. 2009-00971) for financial support. LH and JJ acknowledge the Linköping Linnaeus Initiative LiLi-NFM, supported by the VR grant No. 2008-6572. The authors are grateful for access to the Tandem Laboratory at Uppsala University.

- ¹T.B. Massalski, J.L. Murray, L.H. Bennett, and H. Baker, *Binary Alloy Phase Diagrams* (American Society for Metals, Metals Park, Ohio, USA, 1986).
- ²E. Zuzek, J.P. Aribiata, A.S. Martin, and F.D. Manchester, *Bull. Alloy Phase Diagrams* **11**, 385 (1990).
- ³International Centre for Diffraction Data, Zirconium Hydride (δ -ZrH₂), PDF no. 34-0709.
- ⁴International Centre for Diffraction Data, Zirconium Hydride (ϵ -ZrH₂), PDF no. 17-0314.
- ⁵L. Holliger, A. Legris, and R. Besson, *Phys. Rev. B* **80**, 094111 (2009).
- ⁶W. Wolf and P. Herzig, *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter* **12**, 4535 (2000).
- ⁷R. Quijano, R.de Coss, and D.J. Singh, *Phys. Rev. B* **80**, 184103 (2009).
- ⁸P. Zhang, B.-T. Wang, C.-H. He, and P. Zhang, *Comp. Mater. Sci.* **50**, 3297 (2011).
- ⁹T. Chihi, M. Fatmi, and A. Bouhemadou, *Solid State Sciences* **14**, 583 (2012).
- ¹⁰J.D. Corbett and H.S. Marek, *Inorg. Chem.* **22**, 3194 (1983).
- ¹¹P.W. Bickel and T.G. Berlincourt, *Phys. Rev. B* **2**, 4807 (1970).
- ¹²S. Yamanaka, K. Yamada, K. Kurosaki, M. Uno, K. Takeda, H. Anada, T. Matsuda, and S. Kabayashi, *J. Alloys Comp.* **330-332**, 99 (2002).
- ¹³K Kuroda, D. Setoyama, M. Uno, and S. Yamanaka, *J. Alloys Comp.* **368**, 211 (2004).
- ¹⁴M. Ito, D. Setoyama, J. Matsunaga, H. Muta, K. Yamada, K. Kurosaki, M. Uno, and S. Yamanaka, *J. Alloys Comp.* **426**, 67 (2006).
- ¹⁵J.L. Vossen and W. Kern, *Thin Film Processes* (Academic Press, Inc., New York, 1978).

- ¹⁶O. Knotek, M. Böhmer, and T. Leyendecker, J. Vac. Sci. Technol. A **4**, 2695 (1986).
- ¹⁷S. Hüfner, *Photoelectron Spectroscopy: Principles and Applications*, 3rd ed. (Springer-Verlag, 2010).
- ¹⁸H.J. Whitlow, G. Possnert, and C.S. Petersson, Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res. Sect. B: Beam Interact. Mater. At. **27**, 448 (1987).
- ¹⁹J. Jensen, D. Martin, A. Surpi, and T. Kubart, Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res. Sect. B: Beam Interact. Mater. At. **268**, 1893 (2010).
- ²⁰P. Zhang, L. Wang, and X. Nie, Surf. Coat. Technol. **201**, 5176 (2007).
- ²¹A.L. Allred, J. Inorg. Nucl. Mater. **17**, 215 (1961).
- ²²H. Högberg, L. Tengdelius, M. Samuelsson, J. Jensen, and L. Hultman, Physica B **439**, 3 (2014).
- ²³R. Nyholm and N. Mårtensson, J. Phys. C: Solid St. Phys. **13**, L279 (1980).
- ²⁴K. Sarakinos, J. Alami, and S. Konstantinidis, Surf. Coat. Technol. **204**, 1661 (2010).
- ²⁵A.P. Ehasarian, P.Eh Hovsepian, L. Hultman, and U. Helmersson, Thin Solid Films **457**, 270 (2004).
- ²⁶M. Samuelsson, K. Sarakinos, H. Högberg, E. Lewin, U. Jansson, B. Wälivaara, H.Ljungcrantz, and U. Helmersson, Surf. Coat. Technol. **206**, 2396 (2012).
- ²⁷M. Samuelsson, J. Jensen, U. Helmersson, L. Hultman, and H. Högberg, Thin Solid Films **526**, 163 (2012).

²⁸H.O. Pierson, *Handbook of Refractory Metal Carbides and Nitrides* (Noyes, New Jersey, 1996).

Table I. Compositions and level of contaminants for Zr-H films deposited by HiPIMS and DCMS determined from ToF-E ERDA measurements. The marking * and ** represent two separate measurements on one HiPIMS and one DCMS films following five months of storage in air.

Deposition technique	H ₂ content in plasma (%)	[H] at. %	[Zr] at. %	[O] at. %	[C] at. %	[Ar] + [N] at. %
HiPIMS	5	55	45	< 0.1	< 0.1	0
	10	59.1	40.4	0.2	0	0.2 Ar
	15	58.6	40.8	0.2	0.1	0.3 Ar
	20*	61.1	38.6	0.1	0	0.2 Ar
	20**	59.6	39.7	0.2	0.1	0.4
DCMS	10	22.9	76.3	0.7	0.1	0
	20*	53.6	46.1	0.2	0.1	0
	20**	50.5	49	0.3	0.1	0.1 Ar

Table II. Four-point probe resistivity values for Zr reference films and Zr-H films deposited by HiPIMS and DCMS, using different hydrogen content in the plasma.

H ₂ content in the plasma (%)	Resistivity ($\mu\Omega$ cm)	
	HiPIMS	DCMS
0	60	68
2.5	93	62
5	108	69
10	88	87
15	101	96
20	111	116

Figure Captions

Figure 1. High-resolution XPS Zr 3d spectra from a δ -ZrH₂ film deposited by HiPIMS with 20% H₂ in the plasma (solid line) and a Zr reference film grown by HiPIMS (dashed line). The vertical dashed lines represent the peak positions determined for Zr 3d_{5/2} and Zr 3d_{3/2} in bulk zirconium (Ref. 23).

Figure 2. X-ray $\theta/2\theta$ scans from films deposited by HiPIMS to the left and DCMS to the right and using from the bottom of the stack: 0%, 2.5%, 5%, 10%, 15%, and 20% of H₂ in the plasma. The inset is a diffractogram recorded from a film deposited by DCMS and using 20% H₂ in the plasma, where the needle shaped peaks at $2\theta \approx 17^\circ$ and $2\theta \approx 27^\circ$ are detector artefacts.

Figure 3. X-ray pole figures for a δ -ZrH₂ film deposited by HiPIMS with 15% H₂ in the plasma showing: in a) the 220 pole and in b) the 420 pole.

Figure 4. TEM micrographs with SAED pattern as insets in (a) from a δ -ZrH₂ film deposited by HiPIMS and (b) from a δ -ZrH₂ film deposited by DCMS and using 20% H₂ in the plasma during growth of both films.

Figure 5. SEM images obtained from films deposited by HiPIMS: in (a) a ~ 660 nm thick Zr reference film, in (b) a ~ 780 nm thick film deposited with 2.5% H₂ in the plasma, in (c) a ~ 780 nm thick deposited with 10% H₂ in the plasma, and in (d) a ~ 530 nm thick film deposited with 20% H₂ in the plasma.

Figure 6. SEM images obtained from films deposited by DCMS: in (a) a ~ 800 nm thick Zr reference film, in (b) a ~ 750 nm thick film deposited with 2.5% H₂ in the plasma, in (c) a ~ 850 nm thick deposited with 10% H₂ in the plasma, and in (d) a ~ 860 nm thick film deposited with 20% H₂ in the plasma.

Figure 7. Hardness values determined from nanoindentation of films deposited with DCMS and HiPIMS in hydrogen containing plasmas in (a) and wear resistance for films deposited with DCMS and HiPIMS in hydrogen containing plasmas in (b). Note the logarithmic scale for the wear rate used in (b).

Figure 1.

Figure 2.

Figure 3.

Figure 4.

Figure 5.

Figure 6.

Figure 7.