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Abstract

Background: Overweight perception has been shown to be important for health related adolescent behavior, particularly in girls. Body perception may be affected by bodily changes, especially changes visible for others. Female pubertal development is characterized by many physical changes, such as accelerated growth and altered body fat distribution. This study examined the role of appearance of female characteristics in the risk for overweight perception among healthy adolescent girls.

Methods: 220 girls, aged 11–16, provided self-reports on body perception and pubertal maturation before anthropometric measurements of height, weight, hip and waist circumference (WC). Logistic regression modeling was used to study the appearance of pubertal characteristics in relation to body perception.

Results: Of the 76 girls (35%) perceiving themselves as overweight, only 14 and 36 girls were overweight according to body mass index and waist circumference respectively. Girls reporting breast development and acne (n=144) were more likely to perceive themselves as overweight than girls who did not report this appearance (n=76). These findings persist after adjusting for overweight index and waist circumference respectively. Girls reporting breast development and acne (n=50) were at risk of perceiving themselves overweight.

Conclusions: Girls may confuse natural changes occurring during adolescent development with being overweight. It is therefore important to improve the understanding about the physical changes that normally occur during puberty along with the girls’ own perception of these bodily changes among girls themselves, their parents, at schools, and other healthcare services.
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Introduction

The concept of body perception or body image is cultural, complex and multidimensional [1,2]. Being thin is greatly valued within Western societies and a considerable number of adolescents with normal weight, especially girls, are trying to lose weight to achieve the socially endorsed ideal of a beautiful body [1,3]. Both the prevalence and frequency of weight control behavior multiplies as the body mass index increases. At the same time, a high proportion of normal-weight Western girls considering themselves overweight have attempted to lose weight [3]. Self-perception of overweight has in several studies been found to be the most important factor leading to attempts to lose weight [3,4]. Health behavior and especially female weight management decision-making is therefore associated more with weight satisfaction than with actual weight, body size or levels of fatness [1,3-5].

Body mass index (BMI) that is used worldwide as a measure of actual body size, correlates poorly with body perception [6]. Waist circumference (WC) has been shown to correlate more closely with body perception than BMI in European adolescent girls [7]. As WC is an important measure of body fat distribution and fat accumulation in the abdominal region [8], this implicates that body perception is related to body fat distribution.

The female pubertal development is characterized by a period of rapid increase in body fat, usually appearing after body hair and breast development have undergone significant changes. An apparent sexual dimorphism in fat patterning is occurring where adolescent girls, compared to prepubertal children and boys, decrease relatively the amount of fat on their waist site and at the same time increase the amount of fat on their hips [9]. This means that girls during their pubertal development get a lower waist hip ratio (WHR) and an apparent “pear” shape compared to prepubertal children and boys, who have, respectively keep, a higher WHR and show a so called “apple”-shape. Menarche typically occurs relatively late in female maturation, after breast growth and fat patterning has started and growth velocity has peaked [10-12].

The relation between total body fat, body fat distribution and
onset of pubertal maturation is controversial [13-17]. A high amount of body fat is believed to promote an earlier maturation [16,18-20]. Buyken et al. [21] found that the combination of large WC at the prepubertal stage and early menarche increases the risk of being overweight in late adolescence. However, they conclude that prepubertal body composition may not be critical for initiation of the pubertal growth spurt, but that it affects progression of pubertal development and leads to earlier attainment of later pubertal stages [21]. Several studies show that individuals who mature early or more rapidly tend to have more subcutaneous truncal fat than their peers of the same age [19,20,22].

These naturally occurring physical changes during female pubertal development may contribute to a non-positive body image, since the often rapid increase in body fat in girls is considered to be a negative attribute in Western Europe [23]. The major transition during pubertal development is therefore believed to involve the creation of a “new body image” [24,25]. In addition to the influence exerted by fat and weight gain in puberty, breast development itself may drastically alter female self-perception [26]. In general, visible physical changes such as breast development may affect girls more than changes that are less obvious to others [26,27].

Our hypothesis in this study was that overweight perception is influenced by physical changes in adolescence. The purpose of this study was therefore to examine the relationship between perception of overweight and naturally occurring female characteristics during pubertal maturation among healthy Finnish adolescent girls.

Methods

Participants

The study population included all girls aged 11-16 years attending school in a small rural town in Finland during 1997-2000 (N=348). Only data from the 220 girls (63%) who participated in both the questionnaire and the anthropometric measurements were analyzed in this study. Written consent was obtained from both parents and participants before starting any of the measurements. All measurements took place at the school during school hours. All participation was voluntary and the participants were not compensated in any way. The local health centre and the involved schools approved and cooperated with the study.

Instruments

In order to make future international comparisons possible our questionnaires posed a series of questions from the international WHO survey on Health Behavior in School-aged Children (HBSC) [28]. From the HBSC-questionnaire, we phrased the question “Do you think your body is...” to assess body perception. Response categories were based on the five-point Likert scale and ranged from “far too thin” to “far too fat”.

To assess emergence of female characteristics we chose to use self-reported age at menarche and a self-administered rating scale for puberty developed by Carskadon and Acebo [29]. Perception of these characteristics were preferred over the more objective Tanner scale, as perception to a larger extent than actual measures of different body sites and characteristics are believed to affect body perception. A self-rating scale may moreover picture the stage of body consciousness. Items concerning physical development in the scale developed by Carskadon and Acebo included growth spurt, pubic hair growth, skin changes, breast growth, and menstruation. Response options for the first four items were “not yet started,” “barely started,” “definitely started,” “seems complete,” and “I don’t know” (missing value). Only two answers were possible on the item regarding menstruation: “yes” or “no” and if “yes,” the follow-up question was “age at menarche.”

In addition to the qualitative self-reported data by questionnaires, physical measurements of height, weight, waist and hip circumference were obtained. Height was measured to the nearest 0.1 cm and weight to the nearest 0.1 kg. Hip circumference (cm) was measured at the widest point to the nearest 0.1 cm. Waist circumference (cm) was measured to the nearest 0.1 cm midway between the tenth rib and the iliac crest.

Procedure

Oral and written presentations of the study were given to both the girls, in their class room during school hours, and to their parents, who were invited to a special parental evening at school. Information was included on how the girls’ integrity was ensured by taking the measurements individually in privacy and by encoding every participant immediately after consent. The girls completed the questionnaire in the classroom where the researchers were present to ensure privacy and individually completion of the questionnaire. After that, the anthropometric measures were obtained individually in privacy by the researchers using calibrated school equipment. All measurements were made at school during school hours.

In addition to the directly obtained physical measurements, we calculated body mass index (BMI=weight/height²) and waist-hip ratio (WHR) for each respondent. The adolescents’ weight statuses were categorized by means of the age- and gender-specific BMI cut-off points for overweight and obesity presented by Cole et al. [30]. Cole and coworkers did not present any cut-off points for underweight and severe underweight in their study [30] and as in this study the focus is on overweight and overweight perception, only three categories obese, overweight and non-overweight were used. For the age- and gender specific cut-off points for overweight according WC, we used the percentiles developed by McCarthy et al. [31]. From the answer on the question on body weight perception, overweight perception was defined by a score of four or higher on the Likert scale. Body perception was dichotomized in non-overweight and overweight perception as the focus in this study was on overweight perception. Of the 144 girls
perceiving themselves non-overweight, only 16 girls reported themselves being too thin. None of the 16 girls perceiving themselves too thin were measured overweight according to BMI or according to WC.

In the analyses of the self-administered rating scale for puberty we chose to treat the items on pubertal maturation separately, rather than calculating a total score as proposed by Carskadon and Acebo, since responses to the different items varied for each individual. The responses “not yet started” and “barely started” were categorized into “not started,” while “definitely started” and “seems complete” were categorized into “started”. The reason for including “barely started” in “not started” was the small group of individuals in the category “not started”, probably due to the fact that the age of the girls in this study started at 11 years when most girls start to show some signs of development. The pubertal maturation scale was dichotomized to diminish the individual variation due to the girls’ uncertainty on the exact place on the response scale and to be able to calculate the odds ratios of the different pubertal characteristics for overweight perception.

Data analysis
The information collected was coded and entered into the statistics program SPSS 19.0. To compare girls perceiving themselves as overweight with those not perceiving themselves as overweight, the t-test was used for continuous variables and the chi-square test for non-parametric variables.

We used univariate logistic regression modeling to study the appearance of pubertal characteristics in relation to body perception and logistic regression to assess odds ratios of overweight perception. Logistic regression answered the question whether likelihood for overweight perception varies with independent variables such as secondary pubertal characteristics. We also calculated crude odds ratios from cross-tabulations. The crude odds ratio (OR) for overweight perception for girls classified as overweight based on BMI was 41.63 with a confidence interval (CI) of 5.46-317.15. The high OR and wide CI were due to having just a single person in one of the cells. Multiple regression modeling was therefore used to adjust for measured overweight according BMI which reflects instability in the results. Another effect of having a single person in one of the cells in the cross-tabulation was that classification of overweight or obesity among 11- and 15-year old Finnish girls in the current study compared to the Finnish results of the HBSC-study by survey year [43].

Results
Of all girls participating (n=220), 15 girls (7%) were measured overweight or obese while 76 girls (35%) perceived themselves as overweight. Table 1 shows a comparison of the prevalence of overweight, including obesity, and the prevalence of overweight perception among girls in the present study and girls participating in the HBSC-surveys in Finland in 1997/1998, 2001/2002, 2005/2006 and 2009/2010. Girls in the present study had a comparable low prevalence for overweight as well as for overweight perception. Of all girls perceiving themselves as overweight in the present study and logistic regression to assess odds ratios of girls not perceiving themselves as overweight.

Table 1. Prevalence (%) of overweight and Prevalence (%) of overweight perception among 11-, 13- and 15-year old Finnish girls in the current study compared to the Finnish results of the HBSC-study by survey year [43].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Current study 97-00</th>
<th>HBSC Finland 01/02</th>
<th>HBSC Finland 05/06</th>
<th>HBSC Finland 09/10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Prevalence (%) of</td>
<td>Prevalence (%) of</td>
<td>Prevalence (%) of</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Overweight</td>
<td>Overweight</td>
<td>Overweight</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11-year 13-year 15-year</td>
<td>11-year 13-year 15-year</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current study</td>
<td>8 13 6</td>
<td>8 13 6</td>
<td>16 11 12</td>
<td>13 14 11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HBSC Finland 01/02 missing</td>
<td>11 9</td>
<td>11 9</td>
<td>35 44 45</td>
<td>35 47 49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HBSC Finland 05/06</td>
<td>16 11 12</td>
<td>16 11 12</td>
<td>35 44 45</td>
<td>35 47 49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HBSC Finland 09/10</td>
<td>13 14 11</td>
<td>13 14 11</td>
<td>35 47 49</td>
<td>35 47 49</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1Criteria for overweight, including obesity, according BMI: IOTF [30].
2Prevalence of overweight in the current study is based on measured data of height and weight, while the prevalence of overweight in the HBSC-surveys in Finland are based on self-reported data of height and weight. In the current study the correlation coefficient between measured and self-reported BMI was 0.94 (P<0.01).

Table 2. Mean and standard deviations (SD) for height, weight, body mass index (BMI), waist circumference (WC), hip circumference, waist-hip ratio (WHR), age, and age at menarche in girls perceiving themselves as overweight compared with girls not perceiving themselves as overweight.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Girls perceiving themselves as overweight</th>
<th>Girls not perceiving themselves as overweight</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean (SD) N</td>
<td>Mean (SD) N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height</td>
<td>159.5 (7.2) 76</td>
<td>157.0 (8.0) 144</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight</td>
<td>54.1 (9.6) 76</td>
<td>45.1 (7.8) 144</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI</td>
<td>21.2 (2.8) 76</td>
<td>18.2 (1.8) 144</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WC</td>
<td>70.3 (8.1) 76</td>
<td>63.6 (4.4) 144</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hip Circumference</td>
<td>91.4 (7.8) 76</td>
<td>84.6 (6.5) 144</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHR</td>
<td>0.77 (0.00) 76</td>
<td>0.75 (0.01) 144</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>13.7 (1.5) 76</td>
<td>13.5 (1.4) 144</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age at menarche</td>
<td>12.4 (1.0) 50</td>
<td>12.7 (1.0) 81</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
weight perception (n=144). No significant differences were found between the groups in chronological age or in age at menarche.

Table 3 shows how the girls reported their perception of the stage of each of the female characteristics. Most missing values ("I don't know") were found for self-report on the stage for growth spurt and acne. How the girls’ perceived stage of each of the female pubertal characteristics affected overweight perception is presented as results of the univariate logistic regression modeling in Table 4. The results show that the likelihood of overweight perception increased significantly among girls reporting apparent breast growth and girls reporting apparent acne (p<0.05). After adjusting for overweight classification according to WC, the increased likelihood of overweight perception persisted both among girls reporting breast growth and girls reporting acne (p<0.05). No significant interaction factor was found for breast growth and WC or for acne and WC. The interaction factor is therefore omitted from further analysis.

For comparison of the impact of emergence of breast growth or acne among non-overweight and overweight girls, odds ratios were calculated separately for these groups and presented in Table 5. Table 5 shows that the likelihood of overweight perception was significantly increased among non-overweight girls when reporting apparent breast growth (p<0.01).

### Discussion

This study illustrates how appearance of female pubertal characteristics affects body perception among adolescent girls. In particular we found that characteristics visible to others, such as breast growth and acne, increased the likelihood of overweight perception, especially in non-overweight girls.

Perception of the body and its development has an important role in self-evaluation, mental health and psychological well-being [32]. It is therefore not surprising that the majority of disturbances in body perception start during adolescence, a period of rapid physiological changes [33]. In our previous work, waist circumference (WC) was found to correlate more closely with body perception than BMI in adolescent girls [7], implicating that body fat distribution mediates body size estimation. Rhodes et al. [34] showed that obese women with prominent body fat distribution concentrated in visible areas such as the face, chest, and waist, overestimate their

---

**Table 3. Number of girls (% of all girls) reporting perceived stage for each of the pubertal characteristics (n=220).**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Female characteristic</th>
<th>Not started</th>
<th>Started</th>
<th>I don’t know</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Growth spurt</td>
<td>86 (39%)</td>
<td>87 (40%)</td>
<td>47 (21%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pubic hair</td>
<td>68 (31%)</td>
<td>146 (66%)</td>
<td>6 (3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acne</td>
<td>86 (39%)</td>
<td>118 (54%)</td>
<td>16 (7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breast growth</td>
<td>76 (35%)</td>
<td>144 (65%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Menarche</td>
<td>82 (37%)</td>
<td>138 (63%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 4. Logistic univariate regression model predicting odds ratios (OR), 95% confidence intervals (CI), and significance of perceived emergence of each of the secondary pubertal characteristics, for overweight perception. Overweight perception adjusted for overweight based on WC in a multiple regression model predicting OR, 95% CI, and significance for each pubertal characteristic.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Female characteristic</th>
<th>OR when perceived &quot;not started&quot;</th>
<th>OR when perceived &quot;started&quot;</th>
<th>CI perceived &quot;started&quot;</th>
<th>OR when perceived &quot;not started&quot;</th>
<th>OR when perceived &quot;started&quot;</th>
<th>CI perceived &quot;started&quot;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Breast growth (n=220)</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>2.83*</td>
<td>1.51-5.31</td>
<td>2.73*</td>
<td>1.36-5.47</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acne (n=203)</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>2.56*</td>
<td>1.42-4.60</td>
<td>2.02*</td>
<td>1.07-3.82</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pubic hair growth (n=214)</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.33</td>
<td>0.73-2.42</td>
<td>1.37</td>
<td>0.70-2.66</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Growth spurt (n=173)</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.30</td>
<td>0.72-2.35</td>
<td>1.04</td>
<td>0.55-1.99</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Menarche (n=220)</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.55</td>
<td>0.88-2.74</td>
<td>1.45</td>
<td>0.77-2.73</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*p<0.05

**Table 5. Logistic univariate regression model predicting odds ratios (OR), 95% confidence intervals (CI), for overweight perception among non-overweight and overweight girls respectively that report the apparent physical characteristics breast growth and acne. Overweight classification is based on WC.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Perceived appearance of:</th>
<th>OR (95% CI) for overweight perception among Non-Overweight girls</th>
<th>OR (95% CI) for overweight perception among Overweight girls</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Breast growth</td>
<td>n=170 3.57 (1.47-8.66)**</td>
<td>n=50 1.94 (0.51-7.47)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acne</td>
<td>n=155 2.02 (0.95-4.33)</td>
<td>n=48 1.81 (0.47-6.97)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**p<0.01**


body size and feel heavier than they actual are. Moreover, Bergström and coworkers [35] showed that Swedish female adolescents and young adults overestimate different body sites, especially waist, buttocks, thighs, and chest. These results are confirmed by our study, where girls who perceived themselves as overweight had in fact a larger WC and hip circumference (Table 2), which are both important measures of visible female body fat distribution [9]. Furthermore, we found a significant higher WHR among girls perceiving themselves as overweight (Table 2), indicating that the relative higher fat deposition in the abdominal region and a lesser “pear”-shaped body plays an important role in girls’ overweight perception. With regard to this risk for overweight perception, an important question remains whether the higher amount of body fat in the abdominal region is the cause or an effect of the stage of female pubertal development.

Of the characteristics appearing during pubertal development, especially physical changes observable by others, such as breast development and skin changes like acne, affected body perception more than changes and characteristics less obvious to others, such as menarche. Brooks-Gunn and coworkers noted long ago that perceived breast growth may, at least at the very start, be associated with a more positive body image in contrast to fat increase at other sites of the body [27], but this is left without further attention in recent research. Our results could also confirm the findings of Rhodes et al. [34] mentioned above that body fat in visible areas such as the face, chest and waist leads to an overestimation of body size.

Our finding that the likelihood of overweight perception was even more increased in non-overweight girls strengthens the fact that visible physiological changes affect the girls’ body perception. For non-overweight girls, physical changes in body fat distribution and breast growth are more obvious, both to themselves and to others. Especially breast growth that initially can be experienced as positive by the girls can become distressing later in the developmental process, since it reflects the characteristically female accumulation of body fat, not just around the breasts, but also as a general increase in body fat [11]. The fact that self-reports on the stage of breast development did not have any missing values (“I don’t know”) in contrast to the number of missing values on the stage for growth spurt, implicate that school-aged girls themselves are aware of the process of breast development and can therefore easily respond to this item [36]. Self-reported breast growth could therefore be used as an indicator of the female accumulation of body fat, in addition to WC as a measure for abdominal fat, to assess body perception and the risk for overweight perception in girls during their pubertal development.

When discussing pubertal development it is important to note the secular trend in pubertal timing over the past century. Age at menarche decreased dramatically during the first half of the twentieth century in Western nations. From 1960 onwards, the trend towards earlier onset of menarche has slowed and actually reversed in some societies [14,37-38]. However, modern studies found significantly earlier breast development among girls who were born more recently [38-41]. Thus, a secular trend toward earlier breast development may raise some alarm, considering the association between breast development and overweight perception shown in this study.

One limitation of the present study is the lack of longitudinal data on girls before they enter puberty and throughout the maturation process to study cause and effects of body fat distribution at different pubertal stages. Buyken et al. noted that prepubertal body composition affects progression of pubertal development, leading to earlier attainment of later pubertal stages [21]. American girls with breast development as the initial manifestation of puberty had more body fat not only at onset of puberty, but also one year prior to pubertal maturation. These girls also experienced earlier onset of menarche, and had a larger WC [14]. None of these theories can be studied here.

In this study, a rather homogeneous population was taken from a small rural town in Finland and the period of data collection was some years ago, both potential limitations on the implications of the results. However, in the comparison of prevalence of overweight and overweight perception with the results of the HBSC-surveys in Finland (Table 1), there were no indications that overweight and overweight perception would not to be a problem today. Also Ojala et al. concluded that there was no significant difference in self-perceived weight or body satisfaction between the HBSC-survey years in Finland [42]. On the contrary, the proportion of overweight and obese adolescents has increased in the Western world, there is still a great value placed on a thin appearance and, at the same time, secular trend in pubertal timing especially with respect to an earlier breast development is ongoing. Further research concerning body perception during female pubertal development in various populations is valuable for creating knowledge based strategies in future school health.

Conclusions
We conclude that girls, especially non-overweight girls, may confuse the natural physical changes occurring during female pubertal development with being overweight. It is therefore important to regard the own perception of the whole body along with objective assessment of the body. The understanding about the physical changes that normally occur during puberty along with the girls’ own perception of these bodily changes needs to be improved among girls themselves, their parents, at schools, and other healthcare services. We wish to emphasize the importance of raising the issue of the girls’ own body perception with adolescent girls to facilitate health awareness for their future health and health behaviors.
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