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The phase stability of Mo$_{n+1}$GaC$_n$ has been investigated using ab-initio calculations. The results indicate stability for the Mo$_2$GaC phase only, with a formation enthalpy of -0.4 meV per atom. Subsequent thin film synthesis of Mo$_2$GaC was performed through magnetron sputtering from elemental targets onto Al$_2$O$_3$ [0001], 6H-SiC [0001] and MgO [111] substrates within the temperature range of 500 and 750°C. High structural quality films were obtained for synthesis on MgO [111] substrates at 590 ºC. Evaluation of transport properties showed a superconducting behavior with a critical temperature of approximately 7 K, reducing upon the application of an external magnetic field. The results point towards the first superconducting MAX phase in thin film form.

Mo$_{n+1}$AX$_n$ (n=1-3) phases constitute a family of atomically laminated materials based on an early transition metal (M), an A-group element (A) and carbon or/and nitrogen (X). These compounds crystallize in a hexagonal structure belonging to the space group P6$_3$/mmc (194) with the A layer interleaved between layers of Mo$_{n+1}$X$_n$. The laminated structure leads to a combination of metallic and covalent binding between the individual elements, resulting in a combination of both ceramic and metallic properties. For instance, selected MAX phases display high thermal and electrical conductivity, oxidation resistance and stiffness, while being easily machinable [1-3]. MAX phases, at the time denoted H-phases (Mo$_{n+1}$AX$_n$, n=1), were discovered in the 1960’s by Nowotny et al. [4] and more than thirty phases were synthesized. Interest was reignited a few decades later by the work of Barsoum et al. [5], leading to the nomenclature Mo$_{n+1}$AX$_n$ phases.

Predicting and synthesizing unexplored MAX phases is motivated by the number of possible isostructural compositions, ensuring a wide range of different properties. Mo$_2$GaC is a phase where theory suggests superconducting behavior [6]. Comparing with Nb$_2$GaC and V$_2$GaC, also theoretically predicted to be superconducting, the band structure suggest a higher degree of anisotropy for Mo$_2$GaC, similar to e.g. Ti$_2$AlC$_3$O$_1$ [7]. Several bulk MAX phases show superconductivity, namely Nb$_2$SC [8], Nb$_2$SnC [9], Nb$_2$AsC [10], Ti$_2$InC [11], Nb$_2$InC [12] and Ti$_2$InN [13]. However, the result found by Bortolozo et al. [12, 13] has to date not been reproduced. In addition, Barsoum [14] have reported that Nb$_2$SnC and Ti$_2$InC do not display any superconducting behaviour. Furthermore, there is to date no superconducting MAX phase in thin film form.

Synthesis of bulk Mo$_2$GaC has previously been carried out by L. E. Toth [15] in 1967, where an evacuated quartz capsule with powders of molybdenum and carbon and with liquid gallium was heated at 850 ºC for a duration of four weeks. The transport measurements performed on the sample showed a superconducting critical temperature at 3.9 K [15]. However, no phase and composition analysis, such as x-ray diffraction (XRD) or transmission electron microscopy (TEM) is performed, making it difficult to draw any conclusions regarding the purity or structural quality of the synthesized material. The presence of superconducting MoC as well as Mo$_2$C may influence the results, as these phases crystallize in various different structures, with measured critical temperatures from 6 up to 13 K [16, 17]. Thus, careful evaluation of the transport properties ideally requires a highly oriented single crystal sample with minimized defect concentrations and grain boundaries in order to avoid any result that might stem from possible impurity carbides in addition to the MAX phase. There are no reports on thin film synthesis of Mo$_2$GaC, which makes it an excellent candidate for a detailed investigation on synthesis procedures, materials optimization, and transport properties.

We have in the present study performed theoretical evaluation of the phase stability of Mo$_{n+1}$GaC$_n$, with subsequent thin film synthesis of Mo$_2$GaC. The theoretical work also identified the most competing phases, and their stability, to be used as guidance in the material synthesis and analysis.

Evaluation of transport properties shows a superconducting response with a transition temperature at 7 K, displaying a clear reduction in $T_c$ upon the application of an external magnetic field in the range 0 to 5 T.
DC magnetron sputtering was used for thin film synthesis, involving three elemental targets, molybdenum (Mo), gallium (Ga) and carbon (C). Due to Ga being liquid close to room temperature (melting point 30 °C), previously developed procedures for MAX phase synthesis involving liquid targets were utilized [18]. The base pressure was $6 \cdot 10^{-8}$ Torr and during deposition the Ar-gas pressure was 4.8 mTorr. The net flux of the target material was calibrated by performing room temperature depositions for Mo and C targets at different current/power. Density and thickness of the films were obtained by X-ray reflectivity (XRR). Further optimization of the deposition procedure was done by XRD on deposited test samples. Depositions of Mo$_2$GaC were performed on Al$_2$O$_3$ [0001], 6H-SiC [0001] and MgO [111] substrates. These were cleaned in an ultrasonic bath in acetone, ethanol and isopropanol for duration of ten minutes each. The substrates were afterwards annealed at the growth temperature of the MAX phase (590 °C) inside the vacuum chamber for 15 minutes prior to deposition. Structural characterization of the optimized thin films was obtained by XRD. For that purpose a Panalytical Empyrean MRD with a line focus Cu K$_\alpha$ source ($\lambda = 1.5418$ Å) was employed. For the symmetric ($\theta$-2$\theta$) measurements the system was equipped with a hybrid mirror and a 0.27º parallel plate collimator in the incident and diffracted beam side, respectively. For pole measurements, the system was set to the point focus position with a capillary lens used in the incident beam side. Detailed structural analysis was carried out using TEM. Preparation of a cross-sectional TEM-sample was accomplished using conventional mechanical methods with additional low angle ion milling, finalized by a low accelerated voltage fine-polishing step. The TEM used for this study was FEI Tecnai G2 TF20 UT instrument with a field-emission gun, operated at 200 kV, including energy dispersive spectroscopy (EDX) and point resolution of 0.19 nm. A four point probe was used for evaluating the resistivity of the film as a function of temperature from room temperature down to 3 K, and in an externally applied magnetic field up to 5 T, parallel to the plane of the film.

All calculations performed were based on Density Functional Theory (DFT) and the projector augmented wave (PAW) method [19] as implemented in the Vienna ab initio simulation package, VASP [20, 21]. The exchange-correlation energy and electron potential were accounted for by employing the generalized gradient approximation (GGA) and the Perdew-Burke-Ernzerhof exchange (PBE) model [22]. The Monkhorst pack scheme [23] was utilized for integrating reciprocal space. The plane wave cutoff energy was set to 400 eV with a convergence of about 0.1 meV for the total energy. The same convergence was used for the k-point density.

The formation enthalpy of Mo$_{n+1}$GaC$_n$ was evaluated according to previously developed procedures [7, 24, 25]. All experimentally observed competing phases within the Mo-Ga-C system were identified from phase diagrams and databases. Also a set of hypothetical phases were included in the calculations, based on observations in neighboring materials systems. All phases included in the present study are listed in Table S1, (see Supplemental Material). A linear optimization procedure based on the simplex method was used for identifying the set of most competing phases under the constraints of a MAX phase stoichiometry. The formation enthalpy for the MAX phase, $\Delta H_{M_{n+1}AX_n}$, can then be formulated as,

$$\Delta H_{M_{n+1}AX_n} = \frac{(E_{M_{n+1}AX_n} - \sum E_{cp})}{2(n+1)}$$

where $E_{M_{n+1}AX_n}$ is the energy of the $M_{n+1}AX_n$ phase and the sum of $E_{cp}$ is the energy for the set of competing phases. By dividing the expression with $2(n+1)$ the formation energy per atom is obtained.

Including all known phases in the Mo-Ga-C system, see Table S1, (see Supplemental Material), Mo$_2$GaC is predicted stable compared to the set of most competing phases Mo$_3$Ga, Mo$_5$Ga$_3$, and γ-MoC, with a formation enthalpy of -0.4 meV/atom. However, by including hypothetical phases, such as MoGa$_4$ suggested from the cubic CrGa$_4$ observed in the Cr-Ga phase diagram, the formation enthalpy of the 211 phase becomes positive, $\sim 3.4$ meV/atom, with a new set of most competing phases, Mo$_3$Ga, γ-MoC and MoGa$_4$. In order to investigate how sensitive the formation enthalpy is with respect to the identified most competing phases, each phase was excluded and a new set was identified from the remaining phases. However, no other set of competing phases altered the stability result. Mo$_2$GaC$_2$ and Mo$_2$GaC$_3$ were predicted unstable, with a formation energy of 102 and 138 meV/atom, respectively, with respect to the same set of most competing phases, γ-MoC and Mo$_2$GaC.

Figure 1 shows $\theta$-2$\theta$ diffractograms from XRD measurements on films deposited on (a) MgO and (b) Al$_2$O$_3$ substrates simultaneously. The inset in Fig. 1(a) is a pole figure of the (0006) plane suggesting the formation of tilted grains in the film. For the film deposited on MgO, the basal plane peaks (0006) of Mo$_2$GaC are clearly visible, indicating the preferred orientation of the film. The two additional peaks situated at 36.7º and 78.4º are contributions from the (111) and (222) planes of the substrate. Also noticeable is the broadening of the obtained peaks which could possibly be due to the overlap between the planes of the observed tilted grains and the basal planes of the crystal. The measured in-plane and out-of-plane lattice parameters are $a = 2.97$ and $c = 13.43$ Å.
respectively, which is below and above the calculated values of 3.07 and 13.27 Å, respectively. Due to the close in-plane parameter of the MAX phase to the (110) spacing of the MgO substrate, $a$, was obtained from indirect measurements of the (10\bar{1}3) plane parameters.

Highest structural quality was obtained at a deposition temperature of about 590 °C. Nevertheless, minor traces of one of the most competing phases Mo$_3$Ga can be identified to the left of the substrate peaks in the XRD scan, see Fig. 1(a), a phase which grow in intensity upon both an increase and a reduction in deposition temperature.

Synthesis within a temperature range of 500 and 750 °C show that the formation of Mo$_2$GaC is very sensitive to the growth temperature, and limited to within a narrow window between 580 and 620 °C. Depositions at higher or lower temperatures show strongly reduced MAX phase intensity, indicating a low stability of Mo$_2$GaC with respect to its most competing phases, which is consistent with the calculated small formation enthalpy.

Films deposited on Al$_2$O$_3$ substrates, see Fig. 1(b), showed drastically reduced MAX phase content, with formation of additional intermetallic and carbide phases. Furthermore, for 6H-SiC [0001] substrates with an $a$ parameter of ~ 3.07 Å, the obtained result was close to that shown in (b), i.e. of very low MAX phase quality.

![Figure 1](image.png)

**Figure 1** 2θ XRD scan from a Mo$_2$GaC thin film deposited on (a) MgO (111) (b) Al2O3 (0001) for 30 minutes. The inset in (a) shows the pole measurement of the (0006) plane, 2θ at 40.256°, revealing the presence of tilted grains in the film.

Figure 2 shows TEM micrographs of a film deposited on MgO. In (a) an overview image of the film (top) and the substrate (bottom) can be seen. The image reveals an epitaxially grown film consisting of homogenous crystal structure up to approximately 15 nm, followed by formation of tilted grains. In the higher magnification TEM image, Fig. 2(b), the atomic laminated nature of the MAX phase is apparent. This data confirms the presence of the tilted grains and defects in the film, consistent with the peak broadening seen in the XRD scan. Figure 2(c) shows the associated FFT image obtained from (b). There were no traces of Mo$_3$Ga phase in the obtained TEM images. Additional information regarding local composition of the MAX phase was obtained from TEM/EDX, where the ratio of Mo and Ga was determined to 65:35, hence close to a 2:1 ratio, as expected. The total thickness of the film was estimated to be 90 nm for 30 minutes of deposition, resulting in growth rate of ~ 0.5 Å per second.
Figure 2 TEM analysis on a Mo$_2$GaC film grown for 30 min. a) Low magnification image with the substrate (bottom) and the film (top) revealing the epitaxial growth of the film up to about 15 nm thickness, followed by the formation of tilted grains. b) Higher magnification micrograph of the epitaxially grown layers showing the laminated nature of the MAX phase with c) associated ED imaging showing the diffraction of the crystal planes.

The temperature dependence of the electrical resistivity of a 90 nm thick film is shown in Fig. 3(a). The film displays a metallic behavior from 300 K down to 7 K where an abrupt drop is observed in the resistivity indicative of a superconducting transition. For the resistivity measurements shown, a current of 100 μA was applied through the film. The identified transition temperature was not affected by the applied current in the range 10 μA to 10 mA. The width of the transition is over 3 K and it does not appear to be complete at the lowest measured temperature of 3 K. Upon the application of an external magnetic field, parallel to the film plane, the transition displays a systematic shift to lower temperatures as shown in Fig. 3(b). This behavior is consistent with that of a superconducting transition. The inset of Fig. 3(b) shows the $H$–$T$ phase diagram of the superconductor from which we can estimate that the zero temperature critical field $H_{c2}$ is of the order of 10 T [26]. The previously reported transition temperature of bulk Mo$_2$GaC is about 3.9 K [15], which is considerably lower than the reported value here of 7 K. However, as previously mentioned, there is no structural or compositional analysis allowing a comparison between this material and the thin films of the present paper. It is well known that superconductivity is highly sensitive to composition and crystal structure of a phase [27], and that critical transitions are dependent on the film thickness and its crystal orientation [28]. This can explain the difference in the observed transition temperature. In fact, our detailed XRD and TEM analysis does not show any evidence of other known superconducting phases in our samples, suggesting that the observed transition can be attributed to the MAX phase. The large width of the superconducting transition, as well as the fact that the resistance drop is rather small, is consistent with the two-dimensional character of the MAX phase laminae [29, 30]. In any case, it is clear that further investigations are required to determine the precise nature of the superconductivity in this complex material.
In conclusion, we have investigated the theoretical phase stability of Mo$_{n+1}$GaC$_n$, and found Mo$_2$GaC to be stable compared to all known competing phases in the Mo-Ga-C system. Subsequent thin film synthesis through magnetron sputtering reveal epitaxial growth of Mo$_2$GaC MAX phase on MgO [111] substrates. Evaluation of the transport properties shows superconducting behavior with a critical temperature around 7 K, which points towards the first superconducting MAX phase in thin film form.
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Table S1 List of all competing phases (in addition to the $\text{M}_{n+1}\text{AX}_n$ phases) included in the evaluation of phase stability. Phases in italic are hypothetical, i.e. not reported experimentally.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phase</th>
<th>Prototype structure</th>
<th>Space group</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mo</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>Im-3m (229)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a-Ga</td>
<td>Ga</td>
<td>Cmca (64)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>C (graphite)</td>
<td>P6_3/mmc (194)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{M}_{n}\text{GaC}$</td>
<td>CaTiO3</td>
<td>Pm-3m (221)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MoC</td>
<td>TiP</td>
<td>Pm-3m (221)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MoC</td>
<td>γ'-MoC</td>
<td>P6_3/mmc (194)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MoC</td>
<td>NaCl</td>
<td>Fm-3m (225)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MoC</td>
<td>η-MoC</td>
<td>P6_3/mmc (194)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MoC</td>
<td>γ-MoC</td>
<td>P-6m2 (187)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mo2C</td>
<td>β''-Mo2C</td>
<td>P-3m1 (164)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mo3Ga</td>
<td>Cr3Si</td>
<td>Pm-3n (223)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{M}<em>{n}\text{Ga}</em>{31}$</td>
<td>$\text{Mo}<em>{n}\text{Ga}</em>{31}$</td>
<td>P12_1/c1 (14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{M}<em>{n}\text{Ga}</em>{41}$</td>
<td>V3Ga3</td>
<td>R-3 h (148)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MoGa</td>
<td>CrGe</td>
<td>P2_1_3 (198)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{M}<em>{n}\text{Ga}</em>{2}$</td>
<td>CrSe2</td>
<td>P-3m1 (164)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{M}<em>{n}\text{Ga}</em>{3}$</td>
<td>NbGa3</td>
<td>I4/mmm (139)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{M}<em>{n}\text{Ga}</em>{4}$</td>
<td>CrGa4</td>
<td>Im-3m (229)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{M}<em>{n}\text{Ga}</em>{2}$</td>
<td>U2Si2</td>
<td>P4/nmbm (127)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{M}<em>{n}\text{Ga}</em>{3}$</td>
<td>Cr3As3</td>
<td>Pnma (62)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{M}<em>{n}\text{Ga}</em>{4}$</td>
<td>Nb2Ga4</td>
<td>P6_3/mcm (193)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mo3C</td>
<td>Cr3C</td>
<td>Pnma (62)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mo3C2</td>
<td>Cr3C2</td>
<td>Pnma (62)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{M}<em>{n}\text{Ga}</em>{3}$</td>
<td>Ti2Ga3</td>
<td>P4/m (83)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mo2Ga</td>
<td>Cu6Sb</td>
<td>P4/nmm (129)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>